

**City Council**

Mayor Jill McLewis  
Vice Mayor Sandra Maurer  
Councilmember Phill Carter  
Councilmember Neysa Hinton  
Councilmember Stephen Zollman

**Interim City Manager**

Mary Gourley  
[mgourley@Cityofsebastopol.gov](mailto:mgourley@Cityofsebastopol.gov)

**City of Sebastopol**

Meeting of Tuesday, January 6, 2026

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES****MINUTES FOR MEETING OF January 6, 2026**

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of January 20, 2026.

**CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor McLewis called the meeting to order 6:00 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:**

Present:	Mayor Jill McLewis
	Vice Mayor Sandra Maurer
	Councilmember Phill Carter
	Councilmember Neysa Hinton
	Councilmember Stephen Zollman
	Arrived 6:10 pm
Absent:	None
Staff:	Interim City Manager Mary Gourley
	City Attorney Alex Mog
	Administrative Services Director Kwong
	Police Chief Sean McDonagh
	Public Works Operations Supervisor Billings
	Jane Riley, 4Leaf, Planning Consultant

**SALUTE TO THE FLAG**

**REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED):** To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). Assembly Bill 2302 (2024) ("AB 2302") revises rules for when members of local legislative bodies may participate in meetings remotely. Specifically, it amends the number of meetings that may be attended remotely for just cause and under emergency circumstances and clarifies the definition of the term "meeting," for purposes of remote attendance. AB 2302 caps the number of remote meetings a member can attend each year based on the frequency of a legislative body's meetings: Five meetings per year for those meeting twice a month.

None Requested utilizing AB 2449.

**LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** The City of Sebastopol acknowledges that we live and work within the unceded ancestral homelands of the Southern Pomo and the Coast Miwok people. We pay our respect to the past, present, and future generations of these peoples, including the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria.

**City of Sebastopol History Acknowledgement by Mayor**

**PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/INTRODUCTIONS:    NONE**

**STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

**PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):** *Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.*

*Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.*

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment: None

**CONSENT CALENDAR:** The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read aloud the title of each consent item (either full agenda title or a simplified version of the agenda title), and ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to two (2) minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an item or items removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor. Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor.

Mayor McLewis read the consent calendar.

Mayor McLewis asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

Councilmember Zollman removed consent calendar item number 6.

Mayor McLewis opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

Member of the audience

Member of the audience

Mayor McLewis called for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Vice Mayor Maurer moved and Councilmember Carter seconded the motion to approve consent calendar items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

Item 6 has been removed and will be heard at the end of the regular agenda.

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, Vice Mayor Maurer and Mayor McLewis

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

**1. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes of December 15, 2025 Special Meeting – Closed Session**

*Responsible Department: Interim City Manager*

*Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the minutes is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item.*

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes of December 15, 2025 Special Meeting – Closed Session

Minute Order Number: 2026-001

2. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes of December 16, 2025 Regular Meeting

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the minutes is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes of December 16, 2025 Regular Meeting

Minute Order Number: 2026-002

3. Receipt of Minute/Reference Orders for Calendar Year 2025

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Minute/Reference Orders for Calendar Year 2025

Minute Order Number: 2026-003

4. Approval of Designating Voting Delegate and Alternate(s) to Vote in the Mayor's Absence for the 2026 Sonoma County Mayors and Councilmembers City Selection Committee Meetings and Sonoma County Mayors and Councilmembers Board and General Membership Association Meetings.

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved Designating Voting Delegate and Alternate(s) to Vote in the Mayor's Absence for the 2026 Sonoma County Mayors and Councilmembers City Selection Committee Meetings and Sonoma County Mayors and Councilmembers Board and General Membership Association Meetings.

Minute Order Number: 2026-004

5. Approval of Sebastopol Walks 2026 Program for City Sponsorship

Responsible Department: Councilmember Zollman

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved Sebastopol Walks 2026 Program for City Sponsorship

Minute Order Number: 2026-005

6. Receipt of Updated on Community Service Volunteer (CSV) Program and Update on Status of Police Citizen Academy

Responsible Department: Police Chief

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with receipt of this item

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Updated on Community Service Volunteer (CSV) Program and Update on Status of Police Citizen Academy

Minute Order Number: 2026-006

7. Approval of 2026 City Council Meeting Dates

Responsible Department: Agenda Review Committee

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved 2026 City Council Meeting Dates

Minute Order Number: 2026-007

Resolution Number: 6725-2026

8. Receipt of Code of Conduct Policies (Request for Councilmembers to Sign Yearly)

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with receipt of this item

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Code of Conduct Policies (Request for Councilmembers to Sign Yearly)  
 Minute Order Number: 2026-008

9. Approval of Amendment No. 1 to Cooperative Funding Agreement between the SCTCA and the City for the Bodega Avenue Phase Bike Lanes and Pavement Rehab Phase 2 project. The City requested a minor scope change to the Project to include installation of bike lanes facilities and pavement rehab on Bodega Ave. between Valley View Drive and Atascadero Creek.

Responsible Department: Public Works

Fiscal Impact: No fiscal impact but will be a net savings to City's use of local funds for local match.

City Council Action: Approved Amendment No. 1 to Cooperative Funding Agreement between the SCTCA and the City for the Bodega Avenue Phase Bike Lanes and Pavement Rehab Phase 2 project. The City requested a minor scope change to the Project to include installation of bike lanes facilities and pavement rehab on Bodega Ave. between Valley View Drive and Atascadero Creek.

Minute Order Number: 2026-009

10. Approval to authorize Interim City Manager to sign purchase order for new backhoe. Approved in budget but need to follow city purchasing policy due to amount being above City Managers' signing limit.

Responsible Department: Public Works

Fiscal Impact: By leveraging Sourcewell pricing and applying trade-in credits for the retired units, the department is able to secure the new backhoe at a competitive rate without exceeding budgetary limits as this allocation to purchase this new equipment falls within the approved FY25-26 adopted budget. The net price of the new backhoe, after accounting for the trade in value of two retired units, is \$153,152.26, which is less than the budgeted amount.

City Council Action: Approved to authorize Interim City Manager to sign purchase order for new backhoe.

Approved in budget but need to follow city purchasing policy due to amount being above City Managers' signing limit.

Minute Order Number: 2026-010

Resolution Number: 6726-2026

11. Approval of Resolution Opposing Federal Communications Commission Proposed Rulemaking 25-276

Requestor: Vice Mayor Maurer

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved Resolution Opposing Federal Communications Commission Proposed Rulemaking 25-276

Minute Order Number: 2026-011

Resolution Number: 6727-2026

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS:** Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

NONE

**PUBLIC HEARING(s):**

12. Introduction, public hearing and first reading of proposed Zoning Code amendments to implement Housing Element programs. This item would be the first reading of an ordinance making various changes to the Zoning code to implement certain Housing Element programs that are past due.

Responsible Department: Planning

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

Jane Riley, 4Leaf, Planning Consultant, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council

Mayor McLewis opened for questions of staff. The Council asked various questions of the consultant and City staff.

Mayor McLewis opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public spoke during the public hearing:

Jen  
Kyle  
Louis  
Robert  
Rick  
Sunny  
Renata  
Omar  
Carli  
Rosemohan  
Member of the audience  
Lai  
Kenna  
Helena  
Celeste  
Oliver  
Elizabeth

Hearing no further comments, Mayor McLewis closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Comments:

Council continued discussion of the item.

Councilmember Zollman moved and Vice Mayor Maurer seconded the motion to approve for introduction and first reading of proposed Zoning Code amendments to implement Housing Element programs as amended below:

**Section 17.42.020**

**Work Force Housing**

**Change reference from 1300 feet to 2500 feet**

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, and Vice Mayor Maurer  
 Noes: Mayor McLewis  
 Absent: None  
 Abstain: None

**City Council Action:** Approved for introduction and first reading of proposed Zoning Code amendments to implement Housing Element programs as amended below:

Section 17.42.020

Work Force Housing

Change reference from 1300 feet to 2500 feet

Minute Order Number: 2026-012

Ordinance Number: 1162

**REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):**

**13. Discussion and Consideration of 2026 City Council and City Staff Committee and Liaison Appointments**

Responsible Department: Mayor McLewis

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item

Mayor McLewis presented the agenda item.

Mayor McLewis opened for questions of staff. The Council asked various questions of the Mayor and City staff.

Mayor McLewis opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

Robert

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Comments:

Council continued discussion of the item.

Vice Mayor Maurer moved and Councilmember Zollman seconded the motion to approve 2026 City Council and City Staff Committee and Liaison Appointments as amended:

Sonoma Marin Mosquito	Citizen appointment
Sonoma Clean Power	Carter/Zollman
EIFD	Carter/Hinton
SAFE	Liaison to Remain /Councilmember Zollman
Protocols	Mayor McLewis and Vice Mayor Maurer

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, Vice Mayor Maurer and Mayor McLewis  
 Noes: None  
 Absent: None  
 Abstain: None

**City Council Action:** Approved 2026 City Council and City Staff Committee and Liaison Appointments as amended:

Sonoma Marin Mosquito	Citizen appointment
Sonoma Clean Power	Carter/Zollman
EIFD	Carter/Hinton
SAFE	Liaison to Remain /Councilmember Zollman
Protocols	Mayor McLewis and Vice Mayor Maurer
Minute Order Number:	2026-013

**14. Discussion of direction to City Attorney to prepare an ordinance on Magazine/Newspaper/Pamphlets Boxes in city Right of Ways/Locations/Accountability**

**Responsible Department: City Attorney**

**Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budget for City Attorney services. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item**

Mayor McLewis and City Attorney Mog presented the agenda item.

Mayor McLewis opened for questions of staff. The Council asked various questions of the Mayor and City staff.

Mayor McLewis opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

Oliver

**City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Comments:**

Council continued discussion of the item.

Mayor McLewis moved and Councilmember Zollman seconded the motion to approve direction to City Attorney to prepare an ordinance on Magazine/Newspaper/Pamphlets Boxes in city Right of Ways/Locations/Accountability

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes:	Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, Vice Mayor Maurer and Mayor McLewis
Noes:	None
Absent:	None
Abstain:	None

**City Council Action: Approved direction to City Attorney to prepare an ordinance on Magazine/Newspaper/Pamphlets Boxes in city Right of Ways/Locations/Accountability**

Minute Order Number: 2026-014

**5. Receipt of Updated on Community Service Volunteer (CSV) Program and Update on Status of Police Citizen Academy**

**Responsible Department: Police Chief**

**Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the report is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with receipt of this item**

Police Chief McDonagh presented the agenda item.

Mayor McLewis opened for questions of staff. Councilmembers asked questions of staff.

Mayor McLewis opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment: None.

Councilmember Zollman moved and Vice Mayor Maurer seconded the motion to receive the update on Community Service Volunteer (CSV) Program and Update on Status of Police Citizen Academy with an update to include input from CIRA related to risk management to return to the City Council March/April timeframe.

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, Vice Mayor Maurer and Mayor McLewis

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

**City Council Action:** Approved Receipt of Updated on Community Service Volunteer (CSV) Program and Update on Status of Police Citizen Academy with an update to include input from CIRA related to risk management to return to the City Council March/April timeframe.

Minute Order Number: 2026-006

**ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA:** Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor's discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Oliver

**COUNCILMEMBER(S) REQUESTS FOR FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS**

**Approval of 2026 City Council Meeting Dates –Item Number 7 - RECONSIDERATION**

The motion to reconsider must be made by a Councilmember who voted on the prevailing side, must be seconded and requires a majority vote for passage, regardless of the vote required to adopt the motion being reconsidered

Councilmember Hinton asked for reconsideration of Item Number 7 for clarity of the cancellation of the August 18 2026 City Council Meeting.

Councilmember Hinton moved and Zollman seconded the motion to approve reconsideration of item number 7.

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, Vice Mayor Maurer and Mayor McLewis

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Reconsideration of Agenda Item of City Council Meeting Dates for Clarification of August 18<sup>th</sup> 2026 City Council Meeting.

Minute Order Number: 2026-015

**The Council discussed the City Council Meeting Dates.**

Councilmember Zollman moved and Vice Mayor Maurer seconded the motion to approve the cancellation of the August 18 2026 City Council Meeting as part of the adoption of the 2026 approved City Council meeting dates.

Mayor McLewis called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Zollman, Vice Mayor Maurer and Mayor McLewis  
 Noes: None  
 Absent: None  
 Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved 2026 City Council Meeting Dates cancelling the Aug 18 2026 City Council Meeting

Minute Order Number: 2026-016

Resolution Number: 6725-2026

**CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:**

15. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

None

16. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

The Council provided updates and announcements.

17. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)

**CLOSED SESSION: NONE**

**ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

January 6, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the next Regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, January 20, 2026 at **6:00 pm**, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor McLewis adjourned the January 6, 2026 City Council Regular Meeting at 8:19 pm to the next Regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, January 20, 2026 at **6:00 pm**, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

*Mary C Gourley*

Mary C. Gourley  
Interim City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment: Zoom Transcript

City Council Meeting - January 6, 2026

HI EVERYONE. LET'S JUST DO A CALL TO ORDER VERY QUICKLY.

THANK YOU MAYOR. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

HERE.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WILL BE LATE. COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN. MAYOR MCLEWIS. ALL GOOD? WE ARE GOOD.

OKAY. PERFECT. ALL RIGHT, WELL, I JUST WANTED TO SAY THIS. I CAN'T BELIEVE I'M BACK HERE. IT HAS BEEN WHAT, FIVE, SIX MONTHS? I AM THRILLED TO BE BACK. I'M FINISHED WITH ALL MY TREATMENT AND ON MY WAY TO HEALING. AND EVERYTHING IS LOOKING UP. SO LET'S DO GO RIGHT TO THE SALUTE TO THE FLAG.

I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION, UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

ALL RIGHT, SO I GUESS WE WILL MOVE ONTO OUR LAND ACKNOWLEDGMENT. THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. OVER THE PAST FEW MONTHS I HAVE HAD A LOT OF TIME TO REFLECT ON THE PAST, PRESENT, AND THE FUTURE. AND, ALSO, ON WHAT I MIGHT BRING TO THIS ROLE AS BOTH MEANINGFUL AND A LITTLE FUN SO I HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH TERESA THE HISTORY MUSEUM AND I WILL BE SHARING A BRIEF HISTORICAL DID YOU KNOW TO HONOR SEBASTOPOL'S RICH HISTORY. FOR THOSE WHO LIVED HERE A LIFETIME, THESE MOMENTS MAY FEEL FAMILIAR. FOR THOSE, FOR OTHERS, THEY MAY SEEM NEW. BUT MY HOPE IS THAT IT WILL HELP CONNECT ALL OF US MORE DEEPLY TO THIS PLACE WE CALL HOME. AND SO LET ME JUST GET THIS OUT. I APOLOGIZE. I HAVE IT ALL PRINTED OUT. SO I'M HOPING THAT YOU WILL FIND THIS INTERESTING AND I JUST, TERESA IS HERE IN THE ROOM SO I JUST WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU. SO MUCH. I WILL READ THESE. IT WILL BE VERY BRIEF. BUT I THINK WE CAN ALL ENJOY IT. SO, IN 1912, OUR COFFEE CLUB AT SEBASTOPOL NOW ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF THE SEBASTOPOL COFFEE CLUB WERE FILED YESTERDAY IN THE OFFICE OF COUNTY CLERK. AS THE TITLE IMPLIES ITS CONCERN IS TO DISPENSE COFFEE. TO AFFECT THAT NO LIQUOR OR SPIRIT OR TOBACCO OR CIGARS WILL BE SOLD. STOCKHOLDERS SHOULD NOT PROFIT BY RECEIPTS AND ALL AMOUNTS OVER \$500 TO BE DIRECTED TO PHILANTHROPIC CAUSE. MARY WILL SHARE A PHOTO BECAUSE I HAVE TWO OTHER LITTLE HISTORICAL. IN 1912, THE TELEGRAPH WILL TAP SEBASTOPOL. AFTER AN EFFORT EXTENDING OVER A NUMBER OF YEARS, THE WESTERN UNION DECIDED TO BUILD A TELEGRAPH LINE IN THE GOLD RIDGE SESSION FINALLY PUTTING SEBASTOPOL ON THE MAP. AND ALSO IN 1912, THE 2,000 LIGHTS AND BIG APPLE FAIR. YOU CAN SEE THE PHOTO THERE. THAT IS OF THE APPLE FAIR AND THE DIRT ROADS AND DECORATION OF THE INTERIOR OF THE MAMMOTH TENT IN WHICH THE APPLE EXPOSITION WILL BE HOUSED IS IN PROGRESS. THE SCHEME IS A REDWOOD FOREST. THE TENT POST WILL BE COVERED WITH REDWOOD BARK AND TREE BOWS WILL BRANCH OUT MOST REALISTICALLY. STRUNG AMID THE REDWOOD TREES WILL BE THOUSANDS OF ELECTRIC GLOBES. THE DIRECTOR EH BROWN MEAN TO KEEP HIS PROMISE THIS FAIR SHALL BE THE GREATEST EVER. IF I UNDERSTAND AND REMEMBER CORRECTLY, THIS YEAR IS THE 80th ANNIVERSARY OF WHAT WE KNOW AS OUR APPLE BLOSSOM FESTIVAL SO I WILL SHARE EACH MONTH, A FEW BRIEFS PROVIDED TO ME AND I JUST WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU. I KNOW JUST A LITTLE BLURB ABOUT THE SONOMA COUNTY HISTORIC. WHAT IS THE EXACT TITLE TERESA? I KEEP SAYING IT DIFFERENTLY. I WANT TO MAKE SURE IT WAS SOCIETY. OKAY. SO, THEY ARE WORKING VERY HARD TO HELP US ALL HONOR SEBASTOPOL. AND THE HISTORY AND IT IS LED BY DONNA PITMAN THE MUSEUM DIRECTOR. YOU CAN DO A LOT OF RESEARCH AT THE HISTORY MUSEUM. THEY HAVE A CURRENT EXHIBIT UNCOVERING THE PAST AND IT SEEMED TIMELY WITH THESE LITTLE EXCERPTS WE HAVE AND I HAVE QUITE A FEW WE WILL SHARE OVER THE MONTHS. SO I HOPE YOU DON'T MIND ME TAKING THAT MOMENT. IT SEEMED APPROPRIATE TO HONOR THE HISTORY BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF NEW PEOPLE IN SEBASTOPOL. SOME WILL BE QUICKER THAN OTHERS BUT THANKS FOR INDULGING ME AND I HOPE IT WAS INSIGHTFUL FOR OTHER PEOPLE. WE WILL MOVE ON. PROCLAMATIONS, WE HAVE NONE TONIGHT. DO WE HAVE ANY STATEMENTS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST? NO? ALL RIGHT. WE WILL GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT, MARY. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS THAT ARE NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA. THERE ARE TWO PUBLIC COMMENT PERIODS. ONE IN THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING AND ONE AT THE END OF THE MEETING. THERE IS

A 20-MINUTE TIME LIMIT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. TWO MINUTES PER SPEAKER AND I GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SO IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, GO TO CHAMBERS. FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. THANKS. UP TO THE PODIUM.

I WOULD LIKE FOR THE PEOPLE OF SONOMA TO OPPOSE 25-276 WHICH REMOVES ALL LOCAL CONTROL OF CELL TOWERS. THERE ARE SOME OF US THAT THINK THAT THE CELL TOWERS ARE NOT HEALTHY.

MA'AM? EXCUSE ME. I'M SORRY. THIS IS FOR ANY ITEM THAT IS NOT ON THE AGENDA.

IS THAT ON THE AGENDA?

YES.

I'M SORRY.

NO, THAT'S OKAY. THAT'S OKAY. NO, YOU'LL HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL WE GET TO THAT ITEM. THEN YOU CAN SPEAK ON IT THEN. RIGHT NOW, THE TIME. SO THAT ITEM IS ON THE AGENDA FOR TONIGHT SO WE WILL LET YOU KNOW WHEN THAT ITEM COMES UP. I BELIEVE IT IS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA, IF YOU COULD RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. ALL RIGHT. THEN WE WILL MOVE ONTO THE CONSENT CALENDAR. ALL RIGHT. WITH THE CONSENT CALENDAR, I WILL READ THESE. AND, EVERYONE CAN LET ME KNOW IF THERE IS ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO PULL OFF WITH THIS. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 15th, SPECIAL MEETING CLOSED SESSION. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF DECEMBER 16th, 2025, REGULAR MEETING. RECEIPT OF MINUTE REFERENCE ORDERS FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2025. APPROVAL OF DESIGNATING VOTING DELEGATE AND ALTERNATES TO VOTE IN THE MAYOR'S ABSENCE FOR THE SONOMA COUNTY MAYOR SELECTION COMMITTEE MEETINGS AND COUNCIL MEMBERS BOARD AND GENERAL MEMBERSHIP ASSOCIATION MEETING. APPROVAL OF SEBASTOPOL WALKS 2026 PROGRAM FOR CITY SPONSORSHIP. RECEIPT OF UPDATED ON COMMUNITY SERVICE VOLUNTEER PROGRAM AND UP DATE ON CITIZENS, POLICE CITIZENS ACADEMY. APPROVAL OF 2026 CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATES, RECEIPT OF CODE OF CONDUCT POLICIES WHICH IS SOMETHING COUNCILMEMBERS SIGN YEARLY AND APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT NUMBER ONE TO COOPERATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENT PAVEMENT REHAB PHASE TWO PROJECT. THE CITY REQUESTED A MINOR SCOPE CHANGE TO THE PROJECT TO INCLUDE INSTALLATION FOR BIKE LANE FACILITIES. BETWEEN VALLEY VIEW DRIVE. NUMBER 10, APPROVAL TO AUTHORIZE CITY MANAGER TO SIGN PURCHASE ORDER FOR NEW BACKHOE. APPROVED IN BUDGET POLICY DUE TO THE AMOUNT BEING ABOVE THE CITY MANAGER SIGNING LIMIT. AND NUMBER 11 FINALLY, APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION. SORRY, THERE'S A BUG. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION OPPOSING FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION PROPOSED RULE MAKING 25-276. DO WE HAVE ANY ITEMS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO PULL OFF? GO AHEAD.

I WOULD LIKE TO PULL NUMBER SIX FOR QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION.

NUMBER SIX? OKAY. AND, WE HAVE OTHERS? VICE MAYOR, DID YOU HAVE ONE YOU WANTED TO PULL? I THOUGHT YOU WERE WAVING. NO?

NO, IT'S OKAY, WE ARE JUST PULLING OFF CONSENT CALENDAR IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING. WE ARE PULLING NUMBER SIX. TRADITIONALLY THAT WILL GO AT THE END OF ALL THE OTHER ITEMS. IF YOU WISH TO COMMENT ON THAT, YOU'LL HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL WE GET TO THAT POINT. ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. SO, MOVING ON. MAYOR, WE NEED TO BE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT.

I TOLD YOU TO REMIND ME. SO LET'S GO AHEAD AND GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU. SO, THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS THAT WERE JUST READ. THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. IT IS A TWO-MINUTE SO I WILL JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT. SO YOU CAN GO UP TO THE PODIUM AND DO PUBLIC COMMENT.

WE JUST WANTED TO HAVE PEOPLE QUIET IN THE ROOM PLEASE.

GO AHEAD.

SO I WOULD LIKE SEBASTOPOL TO OPPOSE 25-267 WHICH REMOVES ALL LOCAL CONTROL OF CELL TOWERS. CELL TOWERS ARE DANGEROUS. AND THEY, THERE'S BEEN ALL THIS INFORMATION WHICH A LOT OF IT HAS BEEN SHOVED DOWN. BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY.

I'M SORRY. I'M JUST ASKING, GENTLEMEN, IF WE CAN TAKE IT OUTSIDE, IT IS HARD TO HEAR. THANK YOU. ARE YOU SPEAKING TO ME?

NO. YOU CAN GO AHEAD. SORRY SO INTERRUPT.

OKAY, SO, I REQUEST THAT THE SEBASTOPOL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE VOTE AGAINST 25-276. THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON A CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM ONLY, I AM GOING TO ZOOM FIRST AND I WILL COME BACK IN. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SHANDRA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? THANK YOU. CAN YOU HEAR US? CAN YOU HEAR US? SO WE ARE EXPERIENCING ZOOM, WE HAVE BEEN KICKING OUT THREE OR FOUR OF THEM LEFT AND RIGHT. IN THE BACKGROUND. SO, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS SOMEONE IN CHAMBERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE COME BACK TO THE PODIUM.

HI. MY NAME IS TERRI AND I'M WANTING TO SPEAK ABOUT THIS AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 11 AS WELL. IT IS OUTRAGEOUS TO ME TO THINK THAT THE FCC THINKS THAT IT CAN JUST DO AWAY WITH ANY INPUT FROM CITIZENS ABOUT WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN TO THEIR HOMES, THEIR SAFETY, THEIR HEALTH, THEIR WELL BEING, THEIR FAMILIES. OUR PROPERTY VALUES, I MEAN, EVERYTHING TO THINK THAT THEY CAN JUST TAKE THAT AWAY. AND DURING THE LOCKDOWNS, I HAVE FRIENDS THAT WERE GOING OUT 2:00, 3:00 IN THE MORNING AND THEY WERE STRINGING THE WIRES ONLY FROM 3:00 TO 5:30 A.M. SO, THEY JUST HAVE A LITTLE BIT LEFT TO DO. AND THEN WE ARE ALL GOING TO BE LIKE, IN THIS STATE OF WI-FI, 24/7. AND IT IS VERY, VERY UNHEALTHY AND COURTS HAVE RULED THAT THEY NEED TO UPDATE THEIR FILES. AND THIS IS IN 2021. THIS LAWSUIT. THEY HAVE NOT EVEN MADE ONE STEP TOWARD UPDATING THEIR RULES AND TECHNOLOGY HAS CHANGED SO MUCH IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. SO WE NEED TO HAVE DEMONSTRATION. AND I'M CERTAIN THAT THE REASON THEY HAVEN'T EVEN TRIED TO DO IT IS BECAUSE THEY CANNOT SHOW THAT THIS TECHNOLOGY IS SAFE. THAT IS WHY THEY INSTITUTED THE LAW BACK IN 2016 THAT SAID THAT WE CAN OPPOSE THESE CELL TOWERS GOING UP IN OUR FRONT YARD, BUT WE CAN'T TALK AT ALL ABOUT THE HEALTH IMPACTS OR THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS BECAUSE IT IS ALSO KILLING OUR TREES. INSECTS, THE BEES. AND IT HAS A LOT TO DO.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

I'M A HEALTH COACH. THIS WOULD BE A DISASTER IF THEY PUT THESE ANYWHERE THEY WANT. I READ YOUR EIGHT-PAGE DOCUMENT. I'M GLAD YOU WROTE IT AND I HOPE THE REST OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD VOTE TO APPROVE THAT AS WELL. IT WOULD TAKE AWAY DEMOCRACY AS WE KNOW IT.

IF THERE IS ANYONE IN ZOOM WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDARS ONLY? CONSENT CALENDAR IS CLOSED.

ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

MAKE A MOTION TO MOVE.

I'M GETTING IN THE GROOVE HERE. IT HAS BEEN A LONG TIME. WE HAVE A FIRST. AND WE HAVE A MOTION. SO DO WE HAVE A SECOND?

SECOND.

THANK YOU. SO MOVED BY VICE MAYOR MAUER AND SECONDED BY CARTER TO APPROVE ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE, TEN, 11. SIX HAS BEEN REMOVED FOR DISCUSSION.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

NOW IT IS OKAY TO MOVE ON. SORRY ABOUT THAT. THANKS FOR EVERYONE'S PATIENCE AS I GET IN THE GROOVE HERE. WE HAVE NO INFORMATIONAL ITEM PRESENTATIONS. WE ARE MOVING TO ITEM NUMBER 12, PUBLIC HEARINGS. THE INTRODUCTION PUBLIC HEARING AND FIRST READING OF PROPOSED ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS. THIS ITEM WOULD BE THE FIRST READING OF AN ORDINANCE MAKING VARIOUS CHANGES TO THE ZONING CODE TO IMPLEMENT HOUSING THAT ARE PAST DUE. THIS RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT IS PLANNING AND I KNOW THAT THEY HAVE, SHE HAS A PRESENTATION. SO I JUST WANT TO REMIND TO KEEP THE PRESENTATION AT 10 MINUTES. SO I WILL HAND IT TO YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MAYOR. I THINK ZACH IS LOADING MY POWER POINT FOR ME. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THE FIRST FEW SLIDES SUMMARIZES THE CHANGES WE ARE REQUIRED TO MAKE BY LAW TO IMPLEMENT OUR HOUSING ELEMENT. AND, THERE IS, THIS IS TABLE ONE. IT IS A REPEAT OF TABLE ONE IN YOUR STAFF REPORT. ON PAGE TWO. AND, IT LISTS EACH, A DESCRIPTION OF THE CHANGE. THE BASIS FOR THAT CHANGE. WHAT THE PRIORITY IS. ALL ALL WERE SELECTED BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BEING PRIORITIES THEY ARE WATCHING US ON AND MAKING SURE WE GET THEM DONE IN TIME. THESE ALL HAD A DUE DATE OF DECEMBER OF 2024. SO WE ARE A LITTLE BIT BEHIND. THEY HAVEN'T SENT OUT THE FIRST SET OF LETTERS YET AND WE WOULD LIKE TO NOT GET ONE. THE FIRST THING ON THE LIST, OR, CHANGES TO THE TABLE OF RESIDENTIAL LAND USES AND RELATED DEFINITION TO ADD LOW BARRIER NAVIGATION CENTERS AND RESIDENTIAL ZONES. THE HIGHER DENSITY RESIDENTIAL ZONES AND TO CLARIFY THAT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING IS A USE ALLOWED IN ALL RESIDENTIAL ZONES. AGAIN, THESE ARE ALL STATE LAWS. THEY ARE LISTED AS PROGRAMS IN THE CITY'S CERTIFIED HOUSING ELEMENT AND IT IS ON THE PRIORITY PROGRAM. ONTO NUMBER TWO. WHICH REPLACES THE EXISTING 30-FOOT TWO-STORY HEIGHT LIMIT.

COULD YOU TELL US WHAT PAGE YOU ARE ON IN THE STAFF REPORT?

ON TABLE ONE AND PAGE TWO OF THE STAFF REPORT.

PAGE TWO.

WHICH IS REPLICATED UP HERE. BUT IT IS VERY SMALL ON THE SCREEN. THAT SECOND CHANGE REPLACES THAT 30-FOOT TWO-STORY HEIGHT LIMIT IN OUR HIGHEST DENSITY RESIDENTIAL ZONE WITH A 35-FOOT HEIGHT LIMIT AND NO LIMIT ON STORIES. AGAIN. THAT IS A PROGRAM THAT HAS BEEN REQUIRED AND IT IS A PRIORITY. NUMBER THREE, THIS IS A PROGRAM IN OUR HOUSING ELEMENT. IT IS NOT REQUIRED BY ANY CHANGE IN LAW. IT IS RATHER A PROGRAM IN OUR HOUSING ELEMENT TO PROVIDE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR HOUSING. SO, IT IS IN HOUSING ELEMENT AND IN THAT WAY, IT IS REQUIRED BY LAW, BECAUSE LAW DOES REQUIRE CONSISTENCY BETWEEN THE GENERAL PLAN HOUSING ELEMENT AND ZONING. BUT IT IS NOT PRESCRIBED EXACTLY WHAT IT HAS TO BE. SO YOU HAVE SOME LEE WAY ON THIS ONE. NUMBER FOUR THERE IS, CAN I HAVE THE NEXT SLIDE PLEASE? NUMBER FOUR IS REDUCING THE PARKING REQUIREMENT FOR HOMELESS SHELTERS TO ONE SPACE PER EMPLOYEE. WHICH IS A REQUIREMENT OF STATE LAW. FIVE IS UPDATING THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT ORDINANCE TO ADDRESS MYRIAD CHANGES OF STATE LAW. THERE WERE SEVEN OR EIGHT BILLS THAT HAVE ALL PASSED SINCE THE LAST TIME WE LOOKED AT OUR SECOND DWELLING UNIT ORDINANCE. AND THE ASSOCIATE PLANNER AND I WORKED WITH STAFF OVER MULTIPLE MEETINGS TO MAKE ALL THE REQUIRED CHANGES TO THE ORDINANCE. SO WE HAVE MADE ALL THOSE CHANGES AND ARE RECOMMENDING THAT. THAT PART IS REQUIRED BY STATE LAW. THE SECOND PART OF THIS PROVISION IS THE ADOPTION OF AB1033 PROVISIONS. KNOWN AS AB1033 AND WHAT THAT MEANS IS ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS BE THE CITY COUNCIL CHOOSES TO ADOPT THIS. ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS COULD BE ALLOWED TO BE SOLD INDIVIDUALLY IF THEY ARE DEVELOPED AS CONDOMINIUMS OR SUBDIVIDED OFF AS CONDOMINIUMS UNDER THE LAW. THEY ARE ENABLING PROVISIONS UNDER STATE LAW. I CAN CERTAINLY ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. BUT JUST WANT TO GET TO EVERYTHING IN THE STAFF REPORT. SIX IS REFINING RELATED TO SURROUNDED LAND USES REQUIRED BY STATE LAW. AND LASTLY, REMOVING THE DISCRETIONARY REVIEW REQUIREMENT FOR QUALIFIED HOUSING PROJECTS BECAUSE STATE LAW REQUIRES MINISTERIAL APPROVAL FOR THOSE QUALIFIED PROJECTS. AND SO, NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THIS NEXT SLIDE SHOWS A LIST OF ALL THE CHANGES AND THE ONLY ONES THAT WE REALLY NEED TO HAVE DISCUSSION ON ARE, WE CAN OF COURSE DISCUSS ANY OF THEM AND I'M HERE TO ANSWER

QUESTIONS ON ANY OF THEM. BUT THE D, THE SECOND PART OF F WHICH IS RELATED TO ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS. THE PART ABOUT THE 1033 PROVISIONS. THIS IS JUST A SUMMARY SLIDE.

OKAY.

NEXT SLIDE PLEASE? SO I WILL JUMP INTO THE WORK FORCE HOUSING ZONE. NOT REQUIRED BY STATE LAW. THIS IS WHAT I CALL THE RED ZONE WHICH IS THE HIGHWAY 116 COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR. RUNNING FROM THE NORTH PART OF TOWN DOWN THROUGH THE SOUTH PART OF TOWN. AND THIS CONSISTS OF THE CO, THE CD, AND, CG, GENERAL COMMERCIAL, DOWNTOWN CORE. AND OFFICE COMMERCIAL ZONE DISTRICTS. WHERE, WE ARE PROPOSING PUTTING A WORKHORSE COMBINING ZONE ON THESE PARCELS. NONE OF THE PARCELS HAVE BEEN SELECTED YET. WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW IS RECOMMENDING THAT YOU ADOPT PROVISIONS FOR A WORK FORCE HOUSING COMBINING ZONE INTO THE ZONING CODE. NOTHING IS PROPOSED TO BE REZONED RIGHT NOW. WE ARE DOING A PDA, A PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREA. GRANT THAT WE ARE JUST STARTING, WE ARE MEETING WITH THE, I'M SORRY, THE ASSOCIATION BAY AREA GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVES LATER THIS WEEK. AND WE ARE GOING TO START MOVING IN TO HAVING THAT GRANT WRITTEN. A PART OF THIS GRANT WAS TO PUT THE WORK FORCE HOUSING COMBINING ZONE ON PARCELS IN THIS AREA. AND WE WERE GOING TO DO THIS AS PART OF THE GRANT. BUT THE GRANT FELL BEHIND. AND, THEY ARE AFTER US TO GET THIS DONE SO WE ARE RECOMMENDING AT THIS TIME YOU GO AHEAD AND ADOPT THE PROVISIONS FOR THE WORK FORCE COMBINING ZONE INTO THE CODE AND WE WILL FINE TUNE THAT AND PLACE IT ON PARCELS AS WE GET INTO THE GRANT PROCESS ITSELF. THIS WILL DEMONSTRATE WE ARE MAKING GOOD PROGRESS ON OUR HOUSING ELEMENT. OUTSIDE OF THE GRANT PROCESS, IF SOMEONE COMES IN THEY COULD DO THAT AND YOU WOULD HAVE FULL REVIEW OVER THAT. IT WOULD BE A REGULAR REZONING OF A PARCEL YOU WOULD WANT TO LOOK AT. SO NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. THAT IS THE GENERAL AREA. AND WE LOOKED AT DISTANCES THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO ALLOW US TO ESTABLISH THE ZONE. THE CIRCLES ARE 2500 FEET OF THE TRANSIT STOPS WITH THE ONE HOUR TIME BETWEEN BUSES. SO, HIGH FREQUENCY FOR US. THEN THE ORANGE AND RED CIRCLES ARE WHERE THE PARCELS ARE BIG ENOUGH TO GENERATE JOBS AND THEIR PROVISIONS IN THE CODE FOR HOW BIG A PARCEL HAS TO BE AND HOW FAR FROM TRANSIT A PARCEL NEEDS TO BE. YOU CAN SEE THAT JUST ABOUT ALL OF THE PARCELS THAT WOULD BE IN THIS 116 RED ZONE WOULD QUALIFY TO HAVE THE WORK FORCE HOUSING COMBINING ZONE ADDED TO THEM. IF I COULD TAKE JUST A MINUTE. IT ALLOWS, IT, COMBINING ZONE THAT GOES ON TOP OF EVERYTHING THAT IS ALREADY ALLOWED THERE. ALL OF THE EXISTING USES WOULD CONTINUE TO BE ALLOWED AND BE ALLOWED WITH A USE PERMIT OR NOT A USE PERMIT OR WHATEVER THEY REQUIRE IN ADDITION TO THAT. YOU CAN ALSO DO HOUSING. DIFFERENT TYPES OF HOUSING. AND YOU CAN DO THAT IN ADDITION TO AN EXISTING USE LINE OF SIGHT. AND YOU CAN REPLACE AN EXISTING USE LINE OF SIGHT. YOU CAN DO HOUSING OR YOU CAN DO BOTH. AND THE INTENT IS TO ALLOW MORE HOUSING IN AREAS THAT ARE CLOSE TO TRANSIT AND JOBS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE? I THINK MOST FOLKS HERE WANT TO TALK HACK ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS. WE MADE MANY CHANGES TO THE CODE. ONCE THE CITY COUNCIL HAS ADOPTED THAT CODE, WE DO HAVE TO UP LOAD THAT. THEY WILL TAKE ANYWHERE FROM 60 DAY TO ONE YEAR TO REVIEW THAT AND GET BACK TO US WITH OUR COMMENTS. THERE COULD BE THREE MORE PIECES OF LEGISLATION THAT PASS BETWEEN NOW AND THEIR COMMENTS. SO IN ADDITION TO ALL THOSE CHANGES, NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. IS THE PROVISIONS FOR A AB10 PROVISION TO ALLOW THE SEPARATE SALE OF ACCESSORY DWELLS UNITS FOR CONDOMINIUMS. LET'S SIGH SOMEONE ALREADY HAS AN ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT. THEY CAN CREATE A HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION WHICH SEEMS LIKE A PRETTY HEAVY BURDEN FOR A PROPERTY OWNER BUT THAT'S THE WAY THE LAW READS RIGHT NOW. THEY WOULD NEED TO CREATE A HOME OWN ASSOCIATION, DRAW THE PROPERTY LINES. FILE A LOT SPLIT AND THEN SELL THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS FROM THE MAIN HOME. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT IT BE OCCUPIED BY A FAMILY MEMBER. THE THEORY IS THESE ARE SMALLER THAN REGULAR HOMES AND THEY WOULD CERTAINLY BE ON SMALLER LOTS IN MOST CASES SO THE THEORY IS THEY WOULD PROVIDE A MORE AFFORDABLE FIRST TIME HOME BUYER TYPE OF HOUSING. SO THAT IS THE THEORY, WE PROBABLY HAVE QUITE A FEW PEOPLE WHO WANT TO TALK ABOUT THAT TODAY. WE ARE RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THAT AND I AM HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. THAT WILL BE MY STAFF REPORT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO, BRINGING IT BACK HERE FOR QUESTIONS. VICE MAYOR?

THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING, THE PLANNING COMMISSIONERS STRUCK SOME LANGUAGE. AT THE TIME YOU SAID YOU WERE OPPOSED AND YOU WOULD TALK ABOUT WHY. YOU WOULD TELL THE COUNCIL WHY YOU WERE OPPOSED BUT I DIDN'T SEE IT IN THE STAFF REPORT SO I WANT AN UPDATE FOR YOU. ARE YOU NOW OKAY WITH STRIKING THAT LANGUAGE?

THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT UP. I HAD IT IN MY NOTES AND THEN I DIDN'T TALK ABOUT IT. I JUST TODAY WAS ABLE TO CONSULT WITH OUR CITY ATTORNEY ON THAT. I AM OKAY WITH REMOVING THE LANGUAGE BECAUSE IT JUST MAKES THE ORDINANCE SILENT ON WHETHER OR NOT THE ISSUE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IS WHETHER OR NOT HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS DEVELOPED USING THE WORK FORCE HOUSING PROVISIONS IN THAT RED ZONE ALONG 116 COULD BE USED FOR TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY. SO AIRBNB AND VACATION RENTALS OR WHATEVER. AND STAFF RECOMMENDED THAT WE HAD A PROHIBITION IN THE CODE THAT SAYS IF YOU COME IN AND DEVELOP IT AS HOUSING, THAT IS WHAT YOU HAVE TO USE IT FOR, HOUSING, YOU CAN'T TURN AROUND AND USE IT FOR A VACATION RENTAL UNLESS YOU GO THROUGH. THE PLANNING COMMISSION DIDN'T LIKE THAT. THEY THOUGHT IT WAS AN ADDITIONAL REGULATORY BURDEN THAT DIDN'T NEED TO BE IN THERE AND THEY PREFER TO BE SILENT ON THAT. SO WE, I ARGUED ABOUT IT BECAUSE I WANTED TO BE CLEAR IF YOU COME IN AND SAY IT IS HOUSING IT HAS TO BE HOUSING. IF YOU REPRESENT IT AS HOUSING THAT IS HOW WE REGULATE IT AS HOUSING.

OUR ZONING CODE IS THE PERMISSIVE ZONING CODE. IN OUR CODE, WE SEPARATELY DEFINE TRANSIENT RESIDENTIAL USES FROM PERMIT. SOME ARE COMMITTED. OTHERS ARE NOT. SO, ALREADY UNDER OUR CODE, YOU WOULD NEED A CONDITIONAL UNIT TO DO A TRANSIENT USE IN THE ZONE. THIS SECTION DOESN'T PROHIBIT IT. IT ALREADY IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS ALLOWED UNDER OUR CODE WITHOUT FURTHER APPROVAL. IN GETTING THAT CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

OKAY, SURE, THE LAST THING I WOULD WANT TO SEE THE WOOD MARK BEING USED AS VACATION RENTALS SO I WANTED THE REASSURANCE THAT YOU WERE OKAY WITH STRIKING THAT LANGUAGE. AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION IT WAS A 3-2 VOTE.

YES. SO, IT IS UP TO THE COUNCIL. WHERE TRANSIENT USES ARE AND ARE NOT ALLOWED. I DON'T KNOW WHAT MARK OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. I HAVE TO GO LOOK UP THE ZONING BUT REMOVING THIS LANGUAGE DOESN'T CHANGE ANYTHING IN OUR CODE. ON WHERE SHORT TERM RENTALS AND TRANSIENT USES AND THAT SORT OF THING ARE ALLOWED.

SO YOU ARE OKAY WITH THE STRIKE? OKAY. THANK YOU.

I DIDN'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW WHO HAD THEIR HAND UP FIRST.

IF WE WANTED A LIVE WORK SITUATION WHERE A STORE ON THE BOTTOM. AND SOMETHING SOMEWHERE THEY HAD HOUSING ABOVE IT. WOULD THEY BE ABLE TO RESTRICT THAT TO YOU MUST BE THERE 30 DAYS? I WILL COMPLICATE YOUR QUESTION A LITTLE BIT. BECAUSE THIS WOULDN'T BE ALLOWED UNDER THE WORK FORCE HOUSING PROVISIONS, IT WOULDN'T BE ALLOWED IN THE BARLOW. THAT IS AN ISSUE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION LOOKED AT AND DID NOT RECOMMEND. BUT, YES. WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT, IF IT IS A RESIDENTIAL PROJECT AND LIVE WORK HAS A RESIDENTIAL COMPONENT, AND SOMEONE WANTS TO RENT IT OUT FOR PERIODS OF LESS THAN 30 DAYS THEY WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH USE PERMIT PROCESS. THEY WOULDN'T JUST SAY IT IS A RESIDENTIAL PROJECT AND RENT IT OUT AS TRANSIENT RESIDENTIAL. THEY WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE USE PERMIT PROCESS.

SO I HAVE LIKE A LITTLE BIT OF A RECAP I WANT TO RUN BY YOU. SO LIKE, THAT IS ANOTHER FLOOR. OKAY. RESTRICTING PARKING FOR CERTAIN PLACES WHICH IS FINE. THERE IS NO LIMIT ON PARKING. FOR HOMELESS SHELTER. BACKYARD COURTYARDS, IF WE DIDN'T WANT THEM TO BE TRANSIENT ALSO, WE COULD LATER ADD THAT TO THAT OR, AND THE OWNER OF THE CONDO, IF THEY WERE CONDOING IT, THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE OWNER OCCUPIED SO THE OWNER DOESN'T HAVE TO LIVE IN THE MAIN HOUSE OR THE ADU. RIGHT? OKAY. AND THEN, THERE IS ALSO LIKE A FASTER APPROVAL COMPONENT OF THIS. DO WE HAVE TO MANAGE THE PERMITS FOR AD USE IN A DIFFERENT WAY?

WE WOULD MANAGE PERMITS IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY WE DO NOW. IF IT IS NOT A CONDO MAP, IS JUST A SIGN OFF ON THE BUILDING PERMIT. IT IS TRULY MINISTERIAL. IF IT WAS PART OF A CONDO MAP, THAW WOULD HAVE TO GET A LOT SPLIT UNDER THE MAP ACT.

AND, IS THAT STANDARDIZED? SO IT IS NOT SUPER RIGOROUS FOR THE PERSON TRYING TO SELL IT? THAT IS SOMETHING WE REALLY STRUGGLED WITH AND WORKED WITH THE COALITION. PEOPLE WHO ARE SINGLE FAMILY HOMEOWNERS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN DOING THIS WOULD BE ABLE TO NAVIGATE THE PROCESS. ONE OF THE POLICY OPTIONS WAS DO WE TAKE ALL THE GLOOM RELATED TO HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATIONS AND PUT THAT IN THE CODE SO EVERYTHING WAS IN THE SAME PLACE OR DO WE PEEL THAT OFF AND DO THAT AS A SEPARATE DOCUMENT AND KEEP THE CODE AS CLEAN AS WE CAN SO IT IS NOT OVERWHELMING? FOR PEOPLE WHO WANT TO TRY THIS FOR THE FIRST TIME. AS LONG AS THE STATE REQUIRES THERE NEED TO BE A HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION, THERE WILL NOT BE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WANT TO TAKE THIS ON. BUT I DO THINK THAT THE STATE SOME DAY WILL REDUCE THAT REQUIREMENT. SO WHEN WE LOOK AT THIS, WE WANT TO LOOK AT IT AS IF THE STATE IS REDUCING THAT REQUIREMENT IN THE FUTURE. THE HOA REQUIREMENT. I DON'T THINK THERE WILL BE A LOT OF HOMEOWNERS WHO ARE PROPERTY DEVELOPERS WHO WILL WANT TO JUMP INTO THIS WITH AN HOA AND A CONDO. I HOPE THEY DO. AND I HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE HERE TO TALK ABOUT IT TODAY. SO HOPEFULLY, THEY WILL HAVE SOME INPUT ON THAT AS WELL. DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

YEAH.

SO ITEM FIVE HERE, THE HOMEOWNER WILL BE REQUIRED TO NOTIFY PROVIDERS SO IT IS NOT SAYING THEY HAVE TO PULL SEPARATE WATER, GAS, AND ELECTRICITY. THEY JUST HAVE TO NOTIFY THEM? SEEMS LIKE A WEIRD STATEMENT.

SO WE ARE NOTIFYING THEM. BUT THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN LIKE THE PREVIOUS RULES ABOUT LOT SPLITS WHERE YOU HAVE TO PULL SEPARATE?

THE RULES RIGHT NOW FOR ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS, WE DON'T, IF THE APPLICANT CHOOSES SO BE SEPARATELY METERED, WE GRANT A SEPARATE METER AND THEY HAVE TO PAY FOR THE METER REQUIREMENTS. IF THEY ARE GOING TO SUBDIVIDE IT, WE WANT IT TO BE SEPARATELY METERED AND SO THE CODE SAYS THAT THEY CAN HAVE SEPARATE METERS AS LONG AS IT IS APPROVED BY THE CITY ENGINEER. AS LONG AS THEY APPROVE THAT SEPARATE METER. IN THAT CASE, WE WANT TO SET IT UP THAT WAY. AND THEY WOULD NEED TO PAY THOSE FEES.

SO SOME CONDOMINIUMS WOULD SHARE METERS. YOU ARE SAYING IN THIS INSTANCE, THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A SEPARATE METER. OR THEY SHOULD SHARE THE METER STILL. THAT IS WHY WE ARE CREATING A HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION.

RIGHT NOW, UTILITY CONNECTIONS FOR AN ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT ARE NOT REQUIRED. IF YOU ARE GOING TO SELL THEM OFF SEPARATELY, I THINK WE PUT SOMETHING IN HERE THEY ARE REQUIRED TO DO IT. IT JUST SAYS NOTIFY. THAT IS WHAT I ASSUMED WAS WHY THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION.

I WAS CURIOUS HAVING THE PROCESS OF BUILDING MY OWN ADU. I'M CURIOUS IF THIS IS MAKING IT EASIER BECAUSE SEPARATE METER IS VERY EXPENSIVE.

IT IS VERY EXPENSIVE AND YOU HAVE TO PAY ALL THE FEES. AS THE ORDINANCE IS WRITTEN NOW, IT LOOKS LIKE IT IS NOT REQUIRED. IT IS ALLOWED IF APPROVED BUT NOT REQUIRED.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

OKAY, ANY OTHER? I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.

SURE.

I WILL JUST ASK A FEW QUESTIONS AND IF YOU DON'T MIND. I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS REGARDING JUST THE DIFFERENT FEES THAT WOULD BE PAID IF THEY DID CHOOSE TO DO THE SALE AND SPLIT THE PARCEL. COULD SOMEONE HELP ME TO UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE DIFFERENT FEES LIKE IMPACT FEES. I WANTED TO CLARIFY. I BELIEVE THEY WOULD BE PAYING THE FIRE PARCEL FEE. I WANT TO CLARIFY ALL THAT SO I UNDERSTAND. WHAT FEES ARE NOT COLLECTED THAT WOULD BE TYPICALLY COLLECTED WITH A NEW DEVELOPMENT, A NEW HOME? ARE THERE CERTAIN FEES THEY WOULD NOT BE PAYING IN CONTEXT?

SO THERE WOULD BE AN APPLICATION FEE TO COVER THE ESTIMATED STAFF TIME PROCESSING APPLICATION. THAT IS PAID BY ALL APPLICANTS. THEIR PARCEL TAX DOES CHANGE. THAT WOULD BE ADJUSTED. FOR THE NEXT PROPERTY TAX YEAR. YOU KNOW. GOLD RIDGE HAS A COUPLE OF PARCEL TAXES. SOME OF THEM ARE BASED ON SQUARE FEET. I DON'T RECALL THEM OFF OF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. IT IS PRETTY BROADER RANGE. THE MAIN PARCEL TAX, THAT IS BASED ON UNIT. SO THAT WOULD CHANGE.

WHAT DO YOU MEAN THAT WOULD CHANGE?

BECAUSE, YOU ARE INCREASING THE NUMBER OF UNITS.

I KNOW, SO WOULD THEY BE PAYING THE 265?

I BELIEVE THE FULL FARE.

IF IT IS ON A SEPARATE PARCEL. IF IT IS ON THE SAME PARCEL. SO THERE WOULD BE NO MORE DISCOUNT SO BOTH OF THEM WOULD PAY THE SAME.

WHAT OTHER FEES? WHAT I UNDERSTAND IS THAT, ADUs DON'T NECESSARILY PAY ALL THE FEES. IF THERE IS A DESIRE TO HAVE A CONNECTION FEE, THERE IS A NARROW RANGE OF CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH WE CAN REQUIRE SEPARATE CONNECTIONS. FOR CERTAIN LARGE ADUs THAT ARE BUILT DETACHED. USUALLY IN SEBASTOPOL, GIVEN THE SIZE OF LOTS, WE ARE NOT SEEING MANY OF THOSE. BUT IF SOMEONE ASKS FOR A SEPARATE CONNECTION, WE CAN REQUIRE THEM TO PAY THE WATER AND SEWER CONNECTION FEES. WE ARE PROHIBITED BY STATE LAW FOR ALL OF OUR IMPACT FEES FROM COLLECTING THOSE IMPACT FEES. FOR ADUs LESS THAN 750 SQUARE FEET. ABOVE 750 SQUARE FEET. WE CAN CHARGE THE IMPACT FEES BUT IT NEEDS TO BE PROPORTIONAL TO THE BURDEN OF THE ADU. BASED ON THE SIZE OF THE ADU COMPARED TO THE SIZE OF THE PRIMARY UNIT. IS HOW IN GENERAL THOSE FEES WORK. NORMALLY, THOSE ARE THE CLEAR RULES, THERE IS A LITTLE OPEN QUESTION AND WHETHER WE COULD CHARGE IMPACT FEES AS A CONDITION OF ALLOWING THE SEPARATE SALE. WE DON'T HAVE TO ALLOW. IT IS UP TO THE COUNCIL WHETHER OR NOT TO ALLOW THE SEPARATE SALE OF ADUs. STATE LAW IS A LITTLE AMBIGUOUS WHETHER WE COULD REQUIRE AS PART OF THAT SEPARATE SALE, THE PAYMENT OF IMPACT FEES. BUT FOR THE BULK OF ADUs THAT COME IN THAT ARE NOT PART OF ANY SEPARATE SALE, YOU KNOW, WE CAN REQUIRE THEM IF THEY ARE LESS THAN 700. I FEEL LIKE I AM GOING IN A CIRCLE. THIS IS NOT REAL QUICK FOR ME. SO YOU ARE SAYING WE COULD, BUT WE ARE NOT?

SO THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF ADUs. THE CITY ALLOWS ADUs NOW AS MANDATED BY STATE LAW. THE BULK OF THE CHANGES ARE JUST, WE ALREADY APPLY THAT LAW. WE ARE JUST UPDATING OUR ORDINANCE TO REFLECT THAT. SO FOR LOTS ADUs, NOT GOING TO BE SOLD SEPARATELY. JUST BUILT ON THE LOT AND RENTED OUT. WE CAN'T REQUIRE ANY IMPACT FEES IF THEY ARE LESS THAN 700 TOO SQUARE FEET. IF IT IS ABOVE 750 SQUARE FEET, IT HAS TO BE PROPORTIONAL TO THE SIZE OF THE PRIMARY UNIT. THEN THERE IS A CATEGORY OF THE ADUs THAT THE COUNCIL MAY. IT IS BEFORE YOU TONIGHT, CHOOSE WHETHER OR NOT TO ALLOW THEM TO BE SOLD SEPARATELY FROM THE PRIMARY UNIT. THE STATE LAW IS LESS CLEAR WHETHER WE CAN CHOOSE TO REQUIRE SORT OF THE FULL IMPACT FEES FOR LOTS UNITS.

SO YOU ARE SAYING WE DON'T HAVE A DEFINITIVE ANSWER ON WHETHER OR NOT WE CAN CHARGE THAT. MY REASONING WITH THIS IS I'M CONCERNED THAT YOU KNOW, WE BRING IN MORE UNITS, WE BRING IN MORE PEOPLE. WE HAVE ALL THESE INFRASTRUCTURES WE HAVE CHALLENGES WITH. WE HAVE A WELL THAT IS BAD. SO THAT IS WHERE I'M COMING FROM SO I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. YOU ARE SAYING WE MAY OR MAY NOT BE ABLE TO CHARGE IMPACT FEES AND HOW DO WE GET THAT CLARITY?

THEY HAVEN'T PROVIDED AN ANSWER. IF YOU WANT WE CAN PUT IN, IF THIS IS WHAT THE COUNCIL WANTS WE WILL ALLOW THEM IF ALLOWED BY STATE LAW AND CHARGE THEM UNTIL WE ARE TOLD IT IS NOT ALLOWED OR OTHERWISE. THE ARGUMENT FOR CHARGING THEM IS THIS IS SOMETHING WE ARE DOING VOLUNTARILY. AND WE COULD JUST CHOOSE NOT TO DO IF WE CAN'T COLLECT THE FEES WE CHOOSE NOT TO DO IT. SO THAT IS UP TO THE COUNCIL.

OKAY. GO AHEAD.

IT IS SOMETHING, DID I HEAR YOU ALSO SAY THE IMPACT FEE COULD BE PRETTY MUCH PROPORTIONAL?

SO THIS IS FOR ALL ADUs , EVEN WHEN WE ARE ALLOWED TO CHARGE IMPACT FEES FOR THOSE OVER 750 SQUARE FEET. IT HAS TO BE PROPORTIONAL BASED ON THE SIZE OF THE UNIT. COMPARED TO THE SIZE OF THE MAIN HOME. IF THE UNIT IS 800 SQUARE FEET AND THE MAIN HOME IS 1600 SQUARE FEET, THEY WOULD PAY NO MORE THAN 50% OF THE SINGLE FAMILY IMPACT FEE RATE.

THE OTHER QUESTION WAS ON A NEW BUILD. SAY I WAS SUBDIVIDING AN ACRE INTO SEVERAL DIFFERENT PLACES. AND I BUILT A COUPLE OF HOUSES AND PUT ADUs IN THE BACK. AND TRY TO SELL THEM AS SEPARATE UNITS. HOW DOES THAT ADVANTAGE OF DISADVANTAGE THE CITY FROM RAISING IMPACT FEES? OR SHOULD THERE BE ANOTHER HOUSE IMMEDIATELY?

LIKE IS AN AN ACTUAL ADU OR A NEW PLACE?

THAT IS A MATTER OF YOUR PERSPECTIVE. WE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO CHARGE IMPACT FEES UNLESS WE GET DIFFERENT DIRECTION FROM THE COUNCIL. IT IS YOUR PERSPECTIVE. THE A.EDU NEEDS TO MEET CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS. BUT, YOU KNOW, FULL SINGLE FAMILY HOME WOULD BE. THESE ARE LIKELY TO BE SMALL LOCKS ANYWAY.

JUST TO MAKE SURE THINGS HAPPEN. WE HAVE TO DO THE WORK FORCE FOR, CAN WE MUCH BACK LATER ABOUT THE IMPACT OF REDUCED MAYBE SQUARE FEET TO 500.

CAN YOU SAY THAT QUESTION AGAIN?

WHAT ARE WE REQUESTED TO DO TONIGHT? BECAUSE I FEEL LIKE WE ARE ON SOME KIND OF A SUB LIGATION TO.

IF THE COUNCIL IS NOT READY TO DEAL WITH THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE TO ALLOW SEPARATE SALE AS PART OF YOUR MOTION TO INTRODUCE THE ORDINANCE, WE COULD STRIKE THAT PART OUT. TO BE DEALT WITH AT A LATER DATE. NOT THESE MANDATORY CHANGES.

I'M ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT IT RIGHT? SO I WOULD LOVE THAT TO KEEP GOING FORWARD. BUT CAN WE DECIDE MAYBE A YEAR-AND-A-HALF DOWN THE ROAD, MAYBE WE SHOULD COLLECT A LITTLE BIT MORE IMPACT FEES.

DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE SAME THING. LY ASK ABOUT THE BUILDER'S REMEDIES.

ZACH, COULD I HAVE YOU PULL UP MY SLIDE PLEASE AND GO TO THE VERY LAST ONE PLEASE? I'M SORRY.  
YEAH, ONE SECOND.

SORRY GUYS. THIS WILL TAKE JUST A MINUTE. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAVE DONE AND THIS WOULD NEED TO BE IF YOU WANTED TO DO THIS, YOU'LL NEED TO CONSIDER IT AS PART OF YOUR FIRST READING. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I DID WAS GIVE YOU OPTIONAL LANGUAGE YOU COULD ADD TO A CERTAIN SUBSECTION OF THE ORDINANCE THAT WOULD ALLOW YOU. THIS WOULD KICK THE DECISION ON FEES DOWN THE ROAD. UNTIL WE HAVE MORE INFORMATION AND THE ABILITY TO LOOK AT ALL OF OUR FEES. WE ARE DOING AN IMPACT FEE STUDY THIS YEAR AND THEY HAVE TO BE RELATED TODAY THE SIZE OF THE HOUSE NOW. THERE WILL BE A LOT OF THINGS WE ARE DISCUSSING. SO THIS SECTION IS THE SECTION THAT ALLOWS THE SEPARATE SALE. THIS WOULD SAY THE ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS PROVIDED CONDOMINIUMS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THAT SUBSECTION AS MAYBE ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL TIME TO TIME. THEY ARE ADOPTED BY RESOLUTION. NOT PART OF AN ORDINANCE. SO YOU WOULD BE THE ONES THAT ARE ADOPTING IMPACT FEES AND YOU COULD CHOOSE TO OR NOT.

WE DON'T KNOW IF WE CAN DO IMPACT FEE. THAT IS THE UNDERLYING ISSUE.

THAT IS GREAT.

WE CAN SAY ALL THAT. BUT WE DON'T EVEN KNOW IF WE COULD DO THAT.

I JUST ADDED THIS AFTER DISCUSSION WITH THE CITY ATTORNEY.

IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE THESE OPTIONS SHARED WITH US. GO AHEAD.

I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE BUILDERS REMEDY. BECAUSE, THAT WAS A COUNCIL GOAL. WE VOTED UNANIMOUSLY THAT WE WANTED TO AVOID THE BUILDERS REMEDY. TO AVOID THE BUILDERS REMEDY YOU HAVE TO HAVE YOUR HOUSING CODE UP TO DATE. I'M ASSUMING THAT YOUR WORK IS GOING TO HELP US TO AVOID THE BUILDERS REMEDY S. THAT CORRECT?

YES, THAT IS EXACTLY CORRECT. THESE ARE THE HIGH PRIORITY ITEMS IDENTIFIED AND THIS WILL AVOID US GETTING THE FIRST LETTER THAT LEADS TO DESERTIFICATION OF THE HOUSING ELEMENTS. THAT WOULD AVOID THAT.

IS THERE MORE TO DO?

THERE WILL BE CONTINUED IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS AND THEN WE START ANOTHER HOUSING ELEMENT SO OVER THE NEXT FOUR YEARS WE WILL BE CONTINUING TO LOOK AT THINGS. ALL OF THE THINGS THEY TOLD US WE HAD TO LOOK AT AND THEY WOULD BE WATCHING US ON. THIS PACKAGE INCLUDES ALL OF THOSE THINGS. ADOPTING THIS PACKAGE WILL DEMONSTRATE WE ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH OUR HOUSING ELEMENT AND MAKING STRIDES TO GETTING IT DONE. IT IS ALL THEIR HIGH PRIORITY STUFF.

THANK YOU VERY, VERY MUCH.

ANYONE ELSE HAVE QUESTIONS? OKAY.

THANK YOU MAYOR. SO, THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE PUBLIC HEARS ON THE ZONING CODE AMENDMENTS. THIS IS A TWO-MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. I COME TO CHAMBER FIRST, GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, COME UP TO THE PODIUM AND I WILL JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR MCLEWIS. COUNCIL MEMBERS AND STAFF. I'M JEN CLOSE, SEBASTOPOL RESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF GENERATION HOUSING. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME IN SIX YEARS ON THE JOB WHERE I HAVE SEEN A COUNCIL WHO IS LOOKING AT A POLICY THAT COULD CHECK ALL THOSE BOXES MORE AND MORE AFFORDABLE AND MORE KIND WITHOUT POUNDING A NAIL AND WITH LIMITED IMPACT ON INFRASTRUCTURE. WE ARE SO EXCITED ABOUT WHAT AB1033 DOES. HERE ARE CONCERNS WE HEAR REGULARLY. THERE ARE NO STARTER HOMES. WITH THE AVERAGE HOME SALE IN SONOMA COUNTY 800,000. AND MORE THAN A MILLION IN SEBASTOPOL, THEY ARE JUST TRUE. THIS IS DOING EQUITY. THROUGH CREATING AFFORDABLE HOMEOWNER SHIP. THIS IS CLIMATE ACTION THROUGH HOUSING POIS. SEBASTOPOL HAS WAY MORE LOW WAGE JOBS THAN AFFORDABLE HOUSING OPTIONS. THIS GETS PEOPLE OFF THE ROAD BY GIVING THEM HOMES IN OTHER JOBS AND THIS IS RAISING MONEY. MONEY THAT ECLIPSES ONE TIME IMPACT FEES BECAUSE IT IS AN IN PERPETUITY REVENUE AND SALE TAX FOR PEOPLE ON HIGHWAY 12 GOING TO AND FROM WORK. THEY GET TO LIVE WHERE THEY WORK. I CAN'T THINK OF AN EASIER WAY TO WALK THE TALK OF OUR SHARED VALUES OF A MORE PROSPEROUS COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

I CAN.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES I CAN.

ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD PLEASE.

SO, I JUST WANTED TO FIRST CLARIFY SOME OF THE FRAMING. WHAT YOU HAVE TONIGHT ARE THINGS REQUIRED BY THE STATE FOR YOU TO APPROVE. AND, THERE ARE ALSO THINGS THAT ARE NOT REQUIRED FOR YOU TO APPROVE TONIGHT I HAVE A DEGRADATION OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. TWO CYCLES AGO THERE WAS ACTIVE PARTICIPATION AND FOLKS ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE HOUSING ELEMENT PROCESS. YOU HIRED AN OUTSIDE CONSULTANT WHO DID PUBLIC SURVEYS AND DID THINGS THAT WERE, I WOULD CONSIDER TO BE DISINGENUOUS IN TERMS OF ACTIVE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION. WHAT YOU HAVE IS AN OUT OF CYCLE YOU SAID DATE TO YOUR HOUSING ELEMENT. RATHER THAN JUST STICKING TO WHAT THE STATE REQUIREMENTS ARE TO MAKE SURE YOU ARE IN COMPLIANCE, YOU HAVE THE CITY STAFF PROVIDING YOU CAN ADDITIONAL OPTIONS THAT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME ARE BOTH PART OF THE LAST HOUSING ELEMENT CYCLE. WHILE I'M IN AGREEMENT AROUND THE SPIRIT AND IDEA PRESENTED, BASED ON THE NUMBER OF COUNCIL COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS WE HAVE HAD SO FAR, IT IS PRETTY CLEAR TO ME THAT THIS IS BEING THROWN TOGETHER VERY LAST MINUTE AND USING THIS ARTIFICIAL DEADLINE OF WE HAVE TO BE IN STATE COMPLIANCE TO DO THIS QUICK TO SHOVE THROUGH TWO ITEMS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN VETTED THROUGH A PUBLIC PROCESS WE NORMALLY HAVE THROUGH OUR NORMAL HOUSING ELEMENT CYCLE. SO, I WOULD SAY GREAT. LET'S PUT THESE INTO OUR NEXT HOUSING ELEMENT CYCLE AND LET'S GET THEM THROUGH WITH THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND THE PROCESS

WINDOW WE WOULD NORMALLY DO RATHER THAN HAVING TWO CITY STAFF GO TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND TRY TO PUSH THESE THROUGH WHILE HANDING THE STATE REQUIRED TIME LINE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

GOOD EVENING. I'M LEWIS BUCKNER, A SEBASTOPOL RESIDENT. I'M ALSO ON THE SCHOOL BOARD AND I SUPPORT EVERYTHING THAT JEN SAID BUT I WANT TO GIVE A DIFFERENT SLANT ON THIS WHICH IS SO WE HAVE A DECLINING DEMOGRAPHIC. FEWER AND FEWER FAMILIES WITH KIDS THAT CAN AFFORD TO LIVE HERE AND FEWER AND FEWER TEACHERS AND STAFF AT THE SCHOOL THAT CAN AFFORD TO LIVE HERE. SO THIS OPPORTUNITY THAT THE STATE IS BASICALLY GIVING US IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO TRY TO REMEDY THAT. RIGHT NOW, A THIRD OF THE STUDENTS IN THE HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT COME FROM ARE TRANSFERS IN. BECAUSE, THERE IS NOT ENOUGH FAMILY INS THE DISTRICT. IF WE DIDN'T HAVE THAT, WE WOULD SEE THE WHOLE SCHOOL SYSTEM SHRINKING DRAMATICALLY AND RUNNING OUT OF MONEY. SO ONE OF THE WAYS OF ADDRESSING THAT IS THINKING HOW DO WE CREATE HOUSING FOR FAMILIES WHO NEED TO AFFORD A HOUSE TO CREATE INTERGENERATIONAL WEALTH THAT COMES FROM THAT. TO HAVE A PLACE WHERE TEACHERS AND STAFF CAN LIVE IN THE TOWN WHERE THEY ARE WORKING. SO I THINK THIS IS AN AMAZING WONDERFUL OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS ONE OF THE BIGST ISSUES AROUND US WHICH IS AFFORDABLE HOUSING. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU LEWIS FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXTLY GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ROBERT CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? GO AHEAD PLEASE.

YEAH. I THINK A LOT OF THE FOCUS IS ON THAT. I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE IS A LARGE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN 700 SQUARE FEET WITH A FAMILY. AND CONSIDER THAT A GOOD CHOICE. BUT, I WILL LET OTHER PEOPLE THINK ABOUT THAT. THE QUESTION IS WHAT DOES WORK FORCE HOUSING ZONING MEAN? MAUERER BROUGHT UP WOOD MARK. DOES THAT MEAN WE WILL HAVE DEEPER LOW INCOME HOUSING, IN THOSE COMMERCIAL AREAS? AND DOES IT MEAN WE WILL CONVERT RETAIL TO RESIDENTIAL SOMEHOW? WE ALREADY HAVE COMBINED RESIDENTIAL AND RETAIL IN SEVERAL PLACES IN THE CITY. IT IS REALLY NICE. THIS IS BEING DONE DIFFERENTLY FROM OUR CURRENT REGULAR EURASIANS. IF IT IS CONVERTING RETAIL TO HOUSING IF YOU WILL TAKE OUT SALES TAX REVENUE, WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE? GIVEN THE REVENUE CRISIS GOING ON, THAT IS A QUESTION THAT SHOULD BE ANSWERED I THINK. IF IT IS WORK FORCE HOUSING WOODMARK PLAYS NO PROPERTY TAX TO THE CITY. THE BEST THING FOR THE CITY WOULD BE TO TURN IT INTO VACATION RENTALS. YOU WOULD HAVE TAX REVENUE FROM THAT PROPERTY. THAT IS MY COMMENTS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU ROBERT FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

YEAH. THANK YOU. I'M A RESIDENT OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. I WOULD LIKE TO VOICE MY SUPPORT FOR APPROVALLING AB10343. WE HAVE WATCHED AS OUR TOWNS AGED. PROFIT FROM PEOPLE WORKING IN AND OUT OF TOWN. AND AN ANEMIC DOWNTOWN ECONOMY DUE TO THE LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN OUR AREA. AB1033 IS AN IMPORTANT STEP TOWARD ADDRESSING THESE PROBLEMS AND MAKING SENSE AND IT MAKES SENSE ECONOMICALLY ON MANY FRONTS. TO SUPPORT THIS AND OTHER MEASURES THAT ADDRESS THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SAY HAVING LISTENED TO THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS THAT PEOPLE, INDIVIDUALS WHO WOULD CONSIDER DOING THIS, THOSE REQUIREMENTS BE KEPT TO A MINIMUM. AS MUCH AS WE POSSIBLY CAN. THE STATE. CHANGES IN STATE REQUIREMENTS. BUT I DEFINITELY ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO MOVE AS MANY OF THE OBSTACLES AS POSSIBLE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU RICK. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. SUNNY, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER IN.

YES I CAN.

GO AHEAD PLEASE.

I'M SUNNY, SEBASTOPOL RESIDENT. AND I SUPPORT THE COUNCIL ADOPTING AB1033 WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE AD Us TO BE SOLD AS SEPARATE PROPERTIES. AS A PARENT OF YOUNG ADULT CHILDREN, WHO ARE UNLIKELY TO BE ABLE TO AFFORD TO RAISE THEIR FAMILIES IN THE TOWN THEY GREW UP IN, I REALLY SUPPORT EVERYTHING THE COUNCIL CAN DO TO INCREASE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AS A TEACH I SEE TEACHERS IN MY DISTRICT IN THE TWIN HILLS DISTRICT NOT BEING ABLE TO AFFORD TO LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL. AS WELL AS FAMILIES. I HEAR WHAT A PREVIOUS SPEAKER SAID ABOUT FAMILIES NOT BEING ABLE TO AFFORD TO LIVE HERE

AND THAT REALLY IMPACTING OUR SCHOOLS, THE HEALTH OF OUR SCHOOLS. I DID RAISE MY TWO CHILDREN UNTIL THEY WERE NINE AND FIVE IN A 700 SQUARE FOOT HOME SO THAT IS DEFINITELY POSSIBLE AND IT WAS GREAT TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT. IT WAS A STARTER HOME AND THEN WE WERE ABLE TO MOVE INTO A SLIGHTLY BIGGER HOME. THIS WOULD BENEFIT OLDER RESIDENTS LOOKING TO DOWNSIZE. THERE IS A LOT OF DEMAND FOR IN TOWN SMALLER PROPERTIES AND THAT ALSO OPENS UP LARGER FAMILY SIZE HOMES FOR FAMILIES TO MOVE IN. SO, IT BENEFITS ALL AGES REALLY. AND JUST REALLY ENCOURAGE THE COUNCIL TO SUPPORT THIS. THANK YOU SO MUCH. THANK YOU SUNNY. NEXT I WILL GO BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

THANK YOU. I BOUGHT A HOUSE HERE ABOUT 13 YEARS AGO. MY FIRST AT A RIPE OLD AGE OF 48. AGAINST THE ODDS BECAUSE I AM SINGLE INCOME AND HAVE ALWAYS BEEN ON SORT OF THE LOWER END OF THE MIDDLE CLASS RANGE SO I FELT LUCKY TO BE ABLE TO DO THIS. HAD THIS BEEN IN PLACE A FEW YEARS AGO I WOULD HAVE TAKEN FURTHER ADVANTAGE OF THAT OPPORTUNITY BY DOING SOMETHING DIFFERENT IN MY BACKYARD AND LOOKING INTO WHETHER THIS WOULD WORK FOR ME OR NOT. I MADE SOME DECISIONS THEN THAT MAY NOT WORK FOR ME TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OUT ADVANTAGE BUT FOR PEOPLE LIKE ME IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO GET INTO THE HOUSING MARKET AND LEVERAGE WHAT IS FOR ME A BIG PART OF MY RETIREMENT PLAN. I'M IN GENERAL SUPPORT OF ANY ZONING THAT ENCOURAGING DEVELOPMENT. IT IS ONE OF THE MOST IMPACTFUL WAYS CITIES LIKE THIS CAN ADDRESS CLIMATE CHANGE. TRANSPORTATION RELATED EMISSIONS AND I HAVE HEARD NOTHING BUT POSITIVES ON THE ECONOMIC SIDE IN TERMS OF WHAT THE CITY CAN STAND TO GAIN WITH THE QUESTION AROUND THE IMPACT FEES. IF THERE IS AN ADU UNIT THERE THAT DOESN'T PAY IMPACT FEES I DON'T SEE HOW THAT IS A BIG DECISIVE FACTOR SO I DON'T SEE ANY REASON NOT TO MOVE AHEAD WITH THIS OPPORTUNITY THAT THE STATE HAS GIVEN US. AND ENCOURAGE YOUR YES VOTE ON THIS. THANKS.

THANK YOU. NEXT OUT TO ZOOM. OMAR CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

GOOD EVENING. I'M THE POLICY COORDINATOR AT GENERATION HOUSING. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING THESE POLICIES. ESPECIALLY THE ADOPTION OF AB1033 ALLOWING THEM TO BE SOLD AS CONDOS CREATES FOR SALE HOMES THAT ARE NATURALLY MORE AFFORDABLE BECAUSE THEY ARE SMALLER AND BUILT ON EXISTING LOTS. AND DON'T REQUIRE NEW INFRASTRUCTURE. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR YOUNGER PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF. WHERE HOMEOWNER SHIP OF A TRADITIONAL UNIT FEELS OUT OF REACH. THIS IS PARTICULARLY RELEVANT IN SEBASTOPOL. IF YOU WANT STUDENTS, GRADUATES AND YOUNG PROFESSIONALS TO COME AND STAY IN OUR REGION, WE WANT TO MATCH INCOMES AND NEEDS. MANY YOUNG ADULTS LIKE MYSELF DON'T HAVE LARGE FAMILIES AND WOULD BENEFIT FROM SMALL AFFORDABLE FOR SALE UNITS. WE DESERVE HOUSING UNIT. THIS WOULD BENEFIT ALL FAMILY SIZES. GENERATIONAL HOUSING APPRECIATE IT IS CITY'S LEADERSHIP AND HOPE YOU MOVE THIS FORWARD TONIGHT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

THANK YOU OMAR. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

YEAH, HI, I'M CARLY. I AM A SEBASTOPOL RESIDENT. AND BY A SERIES OF SMALL MIRACLES I'M A HOMEOWNER. I'M 36 YEARS OLD. I HAVE FEEL LUCKY TO HAVE BOUGHT A HOME IN THIS TOWN BUT I HAVE A LOT OF FRIENDS THINKS OF LEAVING BECAUSE IT IS NOT AFFORDABLE FOR RENTALS OR TO OWN A HOME IN THIS TOWN AND THAT MAKES ME REALLY HAD. I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD BE SO HARD TO PURCHASE A HOUSE OR LIVE IN A TOWN LIKE OURS WITH SO MANY RESOURCES AVAILABLE. SO I WOULD URGE YOU TO ADOPT AB1033. I THINK IN SAN JOSE, THEY DID THIS A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO ALREADY AND HAVE SEEN SOME GREAT SUCCESS WITH THAT. I LOVED WHAT EVERYONE HAD TO SAY ABOUT SENIORS DOWNSIZING INTO SMALLER UNITS AND STARTER HOMES AS WELL. I DON'T SEE ANY DOWNSIDE TO THIS AND I ENCOURAGE YOU TO ADOPT IT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ROSE CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN SEE IT.

GO AHEAD PLEASE.

I JUST WANT TODAY SAY I'M EXCITED TO SEE THESE CHANGES MOVING FORWARD. ANYTHING THAT CAN BRING MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT VIEW OF GETTING PEOPLE CLOSER TO THEIR JOBS AND I HAVE LIVED NEAR SEBASTOPOL FOR TEN YEARS WORKING FULL TIME IN AGRICULTURE. AND I'M IN MY MID 30s AND I KNOW A LOT OF PEOPLE THROUGH PLAYING VOLLEYBALL. AND EVERYONE IN THEIR LATE 20s , MID

30s, THAT I PLAY WITH, NO ONE LIVES IN SEBASTOPOL. IT IS TOO EXPENSIVE. I WOULD LOVE TO. I GOT A ROOM THERE. IT IS ONE OF THE BEST VACATIONS I HAVE HAD. I HAVE PATRONIZED MORE SEBASTOPOL BUSINESSES, MOVIE THEATER THAN I HAVE IN THE TEN YEARS THAT I HAVE LIVED RIGHT OUTSIDE. I WOULD LOVE TO LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL. HAVE MORE OF MY RENT GO TO THE CITY AND MORE OF MY PAYCHECK SUPPORTING LOCAL BUSINESSES AND I THINK IT IS IN EVERYONE'S BEST INTEREST TO HAVE MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. GREATER VARIETY OF AGES AND INCOMES. LIVING NEAR TOWN TO MAKE IT MORE Viable.

I'M KAREN, I'M ALSO A SEBASTOPOL RESIDENT. I WANT TO START WITH SOME SHARED ASSUMPTIONS. WE ALL KNOW WE NEED MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN SEBASTOPOL. WE HAVE HEARD IT FROM EVERYONE HERE. IT IS A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE AND WE WANT YOUNG PEOPLE TO LIVE HERE. MY SON AND I WERE ON THE COUCH. HE WAS DESPONDENT. IT IS DAWNING ON HIM HE IS NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO STAY HERE. I THINK ADUS ARE A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO SOLVE THIS PROBLEM ONE WAY TO SOFT THIS PROBLEM. THIS IS REALLY UNIQUE. BECAUSE, HOMEOWNERSHIP IS A REAL WAY TO CHANGE PEOPLE'S LIVES. IT CHANGES YOUR SENSE OF WELL BEING AND YOUR LONG TERM OUTCOMES. SURE MY SON WOULD LIVE IN AN ADU AND RENT BUT HE WOULD NEVER START ON THAT PATH TO HOMEOWNERSHIP THAT GIVES US THE LIFE THAT WE ALL DESERVE. SO THIS IS SUCH A UNIQUE AND CREATIVE WAY TO MAKE A CHANGE THAT HAS VERY LITTLE NEGATIVE IMPACT I CAN SEE. SO I ENCOURAGE YOU TO ADOPT.

NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HI. MY NAME IS LEAH, I'M A RESIDENT OF SEBASTOPOL AND I RENT. I WOULD LIKE TO VOICE MY SUPPORT FOR AB1033. I AM ONE OF THE FRIENDS CARLY MENTIONED THAT IS LOOKING TO BUY A HOUSE AND I'M LOOKING TO MOVE OUT OF SEBASTOPOL BECAUSE IT IS TOO EXPENSIVE. I WOULD LOVE TO SAY. I'M ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE IMPACTED THROUGH HAVING MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSES TO PURCHASE SO I OPT THE COUNCIL TO OPT IN.

NEXTLY GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HI. I WANT TO THANK THE STAFF FOR BRINGING FORWARD THESE ELEMENTS FOR US TO DISCUSS. THERE ARE JUST SO MANY REASONS TO SUPPORT THESE IDEAS. THEY FEEL VERY LOW RISK TO ME. EVERY HAT I WEAR IS IN FAVOR OF PASSING THIS AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF. MY CLIMATE HAT AS MENTIONED BY OTHER SPEAKERS. MY EQUITY HAT. I HAVE TWO SONS. ONE OF WHOM HAS COME OUT OF THE ARMY, LOOKING TO BUILD LIVES ELSEWHERE. BECAUSE THIS IS NOT A PLACE THEY CAN ENVISION STARTING THEIR LIVES. I AM ALL HATS ON IN THIS PLACE. AS A HOMEOWNER WITH A LARGE LOT WALKABLE TO DOWNTOWN, I WOULD LOVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DOWNSIZE WITHOUT MOVING VERY FAR AND KEEP MY WALKABILITY AND LET SOMEONE ELSE LIVE IN MY HOUSE WHILE I MOVE INTO A SMALLER HOUSE AS I AGE OR TO KEEP MY HOUSE AND SELLING A PLACE TO PAY FOR MY EXPENSES. ALL OF THOSE THINGS TO KEEP THE MIDDLE CLASS STRONG HERE, BUILD UP THAT MISSING MIDDLE THAT WE REALLY, REALLY SEE THE EFFECTS OF. SO GRATEFUL THAT WE HAVE CITY STAFF. AND WE HAVE A COUNCIL WHO ARE REALLY LOOKING AT QUALITY OF LIFE AND HOW TO KEEP THIS A VIBRANT COMMUNITY. AND WILLING TO TAKE THIS RISK TO MOVE THIS FORWARD. THANK YOU.

NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE ZONING CODE AMENDMENT RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HELLO. I AM SELINA AND I'M ALSO A RESIDENT. A HAPPY RESIDENT. OF SEBASTOPOL. A COUPLE OF THINGS. FIRST, I JUST WANTED TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE ABOUT AGING AND THE SIZE OF HOUSES AND THE CHANCES TO DOWNSIZE. I LIVE ON WILTON AVENUE. THERE'S QUITE A FEW LARGER HOUSES THAT ARE RIGHT NOW HAVE JUST ONE RESIDENT IN THEM. GOOD SENSE TO HAVE ADDITIONAL HOUSES FOR PEOPLE WHO WANT TO DOWNSIZE. IT IS BROUGHT UP BY ONE OF THE OTHER COMMENTERS WAS WHAT KIND OF FAMILY WOULD WANT TO LIVE IN A 700 SQUARE FOOT HOUSE? AND THE ANSWER IS A LOT OF FAMILIES. WHEN I WAS LIVING IN A DIFFERENT PLACE. WE HAD AN ADU THAT WAS LESS THAN 600 SQUARE FEET. WE HAD THREE PEOPLE LIVING THERE. A LOT OF THE RESIDENTS, A LOT OF THE PEOPLE WHO COME TO SEBASTOPOL ARE SINGLE PARENTS.

THESE ARE PEOPLE THAT DON'T MAKE A LOT OF MONEY AND IT WOULD OFFER THEM A LOT MORE CHOICE. THE OTHER THING I WAS GOING TO SAY, FROM A PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, I GOT DIVORCED ABOUT EIGHT YEARS AGO. I RAISED BOTH OF MY CHILDREN IN SEBASTOPOL AND WHEN IT CAME TIME TO FIND HOUSING FOR US, IT WAS ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE. AT FIRST, I WANTED TO RENT. THERE WAS NOWHERE TO RENT WHATSOEVER. THERE WAS NO HOUSE I COULD AFFORD. SO, FOR FOLKS WHO WITH ALL TEENAGES IN THEIR LIFE.

YOU HAVE TEN SECONDS LEFT.

THANK YOU. I WILL JUST END ON, I AM HOPING YOU GUYS WILL PASS MOTION ON 1033. THANK YOU.

NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. CELESTE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES I CAN.

HI, I'M CELESTE. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, AND WE SPONSORED THIS BILL AB1033 TO CREATE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ATTAINABLE HOMEOWNERSHIP. THERE ARE NINE CITIES AND COUNTIES IN CALIFORNIA THAT HAVE OPTED IN. IT IS ONLY NEW TO CALIFORNIA. IT IS NOT NEW IN THE COUNTRY. SO I DO ENCOURAGE THE COUNCIL TO APPROVE THE OPT IN FOR THIS. THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING IT.

THANK YOU CELESTE FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HI. I AM AN AGING RESIDENT OF SEBASTOPOL. WE HAVE AN ADU. WHAT I'M REALLY INTERESTED IN IS THE IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY. IF THAT IS THE NEST EGG, IF YOU HAVE SOMEBODY BUILDING ADUs TO THE LEFT AND RIGHT OF THE PROPERTY, COULD HAVE A MAJOR IMPACT ON THE RESALE VALUE OF THEIR HOUSE. YOU HAVE FOUR HOUSES ON EIGHT EITHER SIDE INSTEAD OF TWO. SEBASTOPOL IS 1.7 SQUARE MILES. \$10MILLION BUDGET. VERY, VERY TIGHT IN TERMS OF THE ECONOMICS OF RUNNING THE CITY. WE HAVE AN ENORMOUS NUMBER OF LOW INCOME HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTS HERE WHO DON'T PAY PROPERTY TAX. THEY ARE PART OF THE COMMUNITY. I THINK THE CONCERN I HAVE IS NOT MY CONCERN WITH THE PROPERTY I OWN BUT THE CONCERN IS SOMEBODY MAY BE VERY, VERY UPSET. SOMEBODY BILLS AND ADU. OUR RENTAL UNIT, BASICALLY MADE LIFE HELL FOR THE PEOPLE AROUND US. AND THAT PERSON LEFT. IF PEOPLE ARE SELLING OFF TINY HOMES AND GARDENS, THAT COULD BE AN ABSOLUTE DISASTER FOR THE COMMUNITY. FINALLY, IN THE LAST 30 SECONDS I AGREE WITH WHAT KYLE SAID AGES AGO. THIS IS VERY, VERY HASTILY KIND OF COBBLED TOGETHER. FROM THE HOMEOWNER PERSPECTIVE IT SHOULD ALMOST A NEIGHBORHOOD GROUP TO BUILD A HOUSE ADJACENT TO THEIR PROPERTY. IT IS REALLY UNFAIR ON THOSE PEOPLE. SOMEBODY COULD BUILD AN ADU IN THEIR BACKYARD. LEAVE A TERRIBLE TRAIN WRECK FOR THE PEOPLE WHO.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE ZONING, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HI, THANK YOU. MY NAME IS ELIZABETH SAILER AND I HAVE BEEN A RESIDENT OF SEBASTOPOL OR AROUND SEBASTOPOL FOR THE LAST 30 YEARS. WHEN I WAS YOUNG AND HAD, HAD A NEW CAREER, I HAD ONE IN JACKSON AND I HAD ONE IN SEBASTOPOL. ONE OF THE REASONS I CHOSE TO COME TO SEBASTOPOL, EVERYONE TOLD ME I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO AFFORD A PLACE IN JACKSON HOLE. I DEFINITELY WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO BUY OR RENT ONE. AND IN THE 30 YEARS I HAVE BEEN IN SEBASTOPOL, I HAVE WATCHED THAT HAPPEN TO SEBASTOPOL. IT IS NOW BASICALLY JACKSON HOLE. PEOPLE WHO SUPPORT THE FIRST RESPONDERS AND THE PEOPLE WHO DO ALL THE WORK IN SEBASTOPOL CANNOT AFFORD TO LIVE HERE. I'M FORTUNATE TO OWN MY OWN HOME FOR THE LAST 13 YEARS AND I REALLY SUPPORT, I ASK YOU TO SUPPORT AB1033 BECAUSE I THINK THAT IT WOULD BRING SEBASTOPOL BACK TO A MORE VARIED AND VIBRANT COMMUNITY. I HAVE SEEN IT KIND OF HOMOGENIZED OVER THE YEARS IN A DIRECTION THAT I DON'T THINK IS GOOD FOR THE COUNTY OR GOOD FOR THE CITY. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU ELIZABETH. NEXT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE, THE PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BRINGING IT BACK TO THE DAIS. COMMENTS?

I'M FULLY IN FAVOR OF EVERYTHING SO I WILL MAKE THE MOTION. THOUGH I DON'T WANT THAT TO BE PERCEIVED AS CUTTING OFF DISCUSSION. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO FOLLOW STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR THIS ITEM.

I'LL SECOND THAT.

SO, JUST, TO CLARIFY, THERE IS, I WANT TO MAKE SURE URN WING THAT WAS REFERENCED IN THE STAFF REPORT A CHANGING IN .020 THAT CHANGED FROM 1300 SQUARE FEET TO 2500 SQUARE FEET. EXCUSE ME. FEET. DISTANCE FOR THE WORK FIRST HOUSING TO APPLY FOR TRANSIT STOPS. CAN YOU CONFIRM IF THAT IS PART OF THE MOTION?

YES.

SO BASED ON WHERE WE ARE AT, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING WE HAVE TO TAKE A VOTE ON THIS? YOU CAN STILL COMMENT.

SO GO AHEAD.

YEAH. I REALLY APPRECIATE YOU BEING ABLE TO MAKE COMMENTS BEFORE WE JUMP INTO MOTIONS. I AM 100% IN SUPPORT OF THIS. MANY PEOPLE KNOW I'M BUILDING AN ADU MYSELF. THE SPEAKER WHO SAID ABOUT THE NEIGHBORS, IT IS MY PROPERTY. I BELIEVE IN PROPERTY RIGHTS AND I HAVE LIVED THERE 30 YEARS SO I HAVE THE RIGHT TO BUILD AN ADU AND I KNOW HOW EXPENSIVE IT CAN BE. I DID SOME QUICK INTERNET RESEARCH HERE AND IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE THE OTHER COMMUNITIES WHO HAVE PASSED THIS ARE CHANGING THE IMPACT FEES. I'M IN NO WAY IN FAVOR OF LOOKING AT ADDITIONAL FEES. HAVING TWO YOUNG CHILDREN THAT WERE RAISED HERE AND THEY ARE STRUGGLING TO BUY A HOUSE. WITH INTEREST RATES THE WAY THEY ARE, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT. SO IF THIS HELPS OPEN UP HOMEOWNERSHIP TO OUR COMMUNITY, AND KEEPS PEOPLE HERE SPENDING THEIR MONEY HERE, PAYING THEIR TAX DOLLARS, SHOPPING AT OUR GROCERY STORES AND EATING AT OUR RESTAURANTS, I'M ALL FOR IT. SO THAT IS MY COMMENT.

SELFISHLY, I REALLY WANT THIS SO I HOPE IT PASSES. I'M FINE WITH NO IMPACT FEES. THAT IS REASONABLE. AND JUST SOME OF THE COMMENTS ABOUT LIKE IT MIGHT HURT PROPERTY RENTALS. PROPERTY VALUES. I FEEL LIKE THEY MIGHT GO WAY UP ACTUALLY. NOW YOU HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR THAT PROPERTY. I'M RELATIVELY SURE. ONE THING I WAS WORRIED ABOUT, YOU HAVE CITIES LIKE CHICAGO. THESE BIG CITIES LIKE CHICAGO HOW DID THEY EVER HAPPEN? THEY CAN'T HAPPEN UNLESS YOU SUBDIVIDE OR START ADDING MORE PEOPLE INSIDE THE CITY. SO AT SOME POINT YOU HAVE TO EVOLVE THAT KIND OF SYSTEM. YOU DON'T HAVE TO EVOLVE IT TO THE DENSITY THAT IT BECOMES A BURDEN ON YOUR COMMUNITY AND CULTURE BUT YOU CAN ADD PEOPLE TO YOUR CITY. MAKE SURE THERE ARE PEOPLE THAT MAKE US A Viable CITY. FOR ALL THE THINGS THAT THE SPEAKERS DID SAY, PLUS THAT. I'M FOR IT.

OKAY. DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT? I WANT TO MAKE MY COMMENTS BUT IF YOU ARE, OKAY. IT'S A QUESTION. ON THE MAP IT SHOWS WORK FIRST HOUSING IN THE LAGUNA. BUT IT SAID THE PLANNING COMMISSION DID NOT RECOMMENDED SO I WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHAT I SECONDED WAS NOT TO ALLOW HOUSING IN THE LAGUNA.

I CAN CON FIRM THAT. THAT IS JUST A CIRCLE ON A MAP SHOWING DISTANCE FROM A WORK, A PLACE THAT QUALIFIES FOR WORKPLACE. WE ARE NOT MAKING THAT CHANGE.

THANK YOU, I WILL BRING A DIFFERENT ANGLE. TWO YEARS AGO WE HAD A FISCAL EMERGENCY DECLARED. WE HAVE A WELL THAT IS ON ITS LAST LEG AND CRUMBLING INFRASTRUCTURE. WE RAISED OUR TAXES TO THE POINT WHERE WE CAN'T RAISE THEM ANYMORE. I MEAN, WE ALSO BROUGHT IN AN ADDITIONAL TAX TO ALL OF OUR RESIDENTS FOR FIRE TO ENSURE WE HAD THAT SUSTAINABLE FIRE PROTECTION HERE. AND, THE CONCERN I HAVE, I'M SURPRISED TO HEAR WE HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THE IMPACT FEES ARE. I UNDERSTAND THE HOUSING ISSUE AND I COULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF A LOT OF THIS BUT BRINGING SOMETHING FORTH LIKE 1033, BRINGING IT TO HERE, IT IS IN OTHER CITIES BUT WE HAVE NO IDEA IF IMPACT FEE CANS BE CHARGED PERSONALLY? WE HAVE TO ASK YOURSELVES AT SOME POINT, WHO IS PAYING FOR ALL OF THIS INFRASTRUCTURE? WE HAVE INCREASED OUR PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING AND WE HAVE A NUMBER MORE THAN ANY PER CAPITA IN SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, HOMELESS HOUSING. ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES THAT DO NOT PAY INTO OUR SYSTEM. YET WE KNOW WE HAVE SOME OF THE WORST ROADS. INFRASTRUCTURE CRUMBLING

EVERYWHERE. FOR ME PERSONALLY, IT SEEMS IRRESPONSIBLE TO VOTE FOR SOMETHING LIKE THIS. IT FEELS HASTILY PUT TOGETHER. OTHER CITIES HAVE ADOPTED THIS. WHY DON'T WE KNOW WHAT THE IMPACT FEES ARE? I PERSONALLY HAVE NEVER BEEN SUPPORTIVE OF HAVING ADUs THAT DIDN'T PAY IMPACT FEES BECAUSE EVERYONE WHO LIVES HERE HAS AN IMPACT ON OUR WATER SEWER. ALL THE THINGS WE HAVE TO HAVE IN ORDER TO LIVE HERE. SO IF WE CONTINUE TO PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE TO COME HERE I KNOW WE NEED AFFORDABLE HOUSING. I HAVE AN 18-YEAR-OLD IN COLLEGE. AT THE SAME TIME, WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO UNDERSTAND HOW IS ALL OF THIS GOING TO BE PAID FOR AND WHO IS GOING TO PAY FOR THE STEW, THE EVERYTHING WE HAVE? SO, BASED ON THAT, I'M NOT WILLING TO SUPPORT THIS WITHOUT ANY OF THAT CLARITY BECAUSE I FEEL LIKE FOR ME IT WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE TO VOTE FOR SOMETHING WE DON'T EVEN HAVE CLARITY ON SO I'M A NO. WE CAN MOVE ON FROM THERE IF YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD. I DON'T WANT TO HAVE A BACK AND FORTH ABOUT IT. IF WE WANT TO TAKE THE VOTE. ANYONE ELSE? I CAN BE HELPFUL.

NO. I FEEL LIKE, I HAVE VETTED THIS OUT NUMEROUS TIMES AND I STILL DON'T HAVE THAT CLARITY SO I'M NOT. SO WE CAN GO AHEAD AND GO.

THANK YOU. EXCUSE ME, THANK YOU. SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR MAURER. SECTION 17.14.020. I WILL ASK YOU TO CLARIFY THE 1300 FEET.

CHANGE THE REFERENCE IN SECTION 17.41.002.

SO MOVED AS AMENDED FROM 1300 TO 1300 FEET TO 2500 FEET FROM TRANSIT. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER? YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN?

YES.

VICE MAYOR MAUER?

YES.

MAYOR MCLEWIS?

NO.

IT PASSES.

ALL RIGHT, WE TRY NOT TO HAVE ANY CLAPPING IN THE CHAMBER. SO WE WILL MOVE ONTO NUMBER 13. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF 2026 CITY COUNCIL AND CITY STAFF COMMITTEE AND LIAISON APPOINTMENTS AND I GUESS THAT IS ME. I GUESS THE QUESTION I HAVE IS HOW WOULD MY COLLEAGUES LIKE TO GO THROUGH THIS? DO WE WANT TO GO THROUGH IT BY EXCEPTION OR DO YOU WANT TO GO LINE BY LOON BY LINE?

IT IS MORE EXPEDIENT JUST TO MAKE SOME MAYOR ADJUSTMENTS THAT WOULD BE COOL.

I WOULD WEIGH IN WITH MINOR ADJUSTMENTS. I CAN MAKE SOME MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TOO. BUT FIRST, THANK YOU MAYOR FOR GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS. I LIKE THIS PROTOCOL WE STOLE FROM THE LIBRARY COMMISSION FOR EACH OF US TAKING THE TIME TO WRITE OUT THE REASON WE WANT THINGS AND HAVING A CHANCE TO REFLECT ON THAT. A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. I DO NOT WANT THE APPOINTMENT OF MOSQUITO VECTOR. I FEEL LIKE IT IS OUR CITY AND CUTOTTI. WE CAN ACTUALLY DO A CITIZEN APPOINTMENT SO I WOULD ENCOURAGE BASED UPON MY LIMITED AMOUNT OF EXPERIENCE, COUNCILMEMBER CARTER YOU MAY ALSO HAVE FEELINGS ABOUT THAT. BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR I'M NOT ACCEPTING THAT APPOINTMENT. MOSQUITO VECTOR. AS FAR AS SONOMA CLEAN POWER, I READ COUNCILMEMBER CARTER'S CONVINCING ARGUMENTS ABOUT WHY HE WOULD LIKE THAT WILL BE PRIMARY AND I'M FINE FLIPPING IT BACK TO ALTERNATE. YOU DIP IN, YOU DIP OUT. IT IS A GOOD CHANGE OF VOICE I FEEL. SO I'M FINE WITH HIM BEING PRIMARY. I READ HIS COMMENTS. ME BACKING OUT. AS FAR AS MAINTAINING THE PROTOCOL, I WOULD REALLY ENCOURAGE US TO CONTINUE THAT POSITION ESPECIALLY BECAUSE WHAT WE JUST PASSED AT THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING FOR THE HEART PROGRAM WITH THE COUNTY, THAT IS A 90-DAY PILOT PROGRAM. AND, NO IDEA HOW IT WILL SHAKE OUT. BUT BEING ON THE HOUSING COALITION BOARD, IF I'M ALLOWED TO STAY ON,

THERE ARE MANY OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS ABOUT HOW LAW ENFORCEMENT CAN EFFECTIVELY WORK WITH MENTAL HEALTH. TO REDUCE STRESS ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND HAVE BETTER RESULTS FOR EVERYONE. SO THOSE ARE MY PRELIMINARY COMMENTS.

YOU SAID YOU ARE WILLING? OKAY. AND WHAT WAS THE LAST ONE?

I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP. YES. SO THANK YOU.

CAN I JUST SAY, WHEN I WENT THROUGH THIS, WHEN I WENT THROUGH WITH THE ASSIGNMENTS AND EVERYTHING AND I READ ALL OF YOUR COMMENTS. I WAS JUST TRYING TO HONOR. I KNOW HOW HARD IT IS. YOU GET ON THESE COMMITTEES AND IT TAKES TIME TO GET INVOLVED AND MOVE UP. YOU MOVE UP AND HOPE YOU BECOME A CHAIR. SO I DID IT ALL IN THE SPIRIT OF TRYING TO HONOR EVERYONE'S HISTORY THERE. I FELT REALLY TORN ABOUT THE ARGUMENTS YOU HAVE. SO I WAS LIKE WHAT DO I DO. I WAS TRYING TO HONOR EVERYONE SO I'M GLAD TO HEAR YOU ARE WILLING TO DO THAT. IT MAKES ME FEEL MORE RELIEVED. I WANTED YOU TO UNDERSTAND WHAT I WENT THROUGH, WHAT MY MINDSET WAS. SO GO AHEAD.

THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN FOR OFFERING UP THE SEP TO OUR COUNCILMEMBER CARTER BECAUSE I KNOW THAT MEANS A LOT TO HIM. SO THANK YOU FOR THAT. I WANTED TO THANK YOU FOR THE ALTERNATE POSITION ON THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE. I HAVE WANTED TO BE ON THAT COMMITTEE A LONG TIME. SO I APPRECIATE YOU GAVE THAT TO ME. THE FIRST YEAR THAT WAS CREATED IT WAS THE MAYOR, THE VICE MAYOR. LAST YEAR, THAT WAS THE MAYOR AND THE VICE MAYOR. THIS YEAR. SO, THIS YEAR, YOU ARE STILL BOTH ON THE POLICY. SO MY QUESTION WAS SHOULD THAT BE A VICE MAYOR MAYOR POSITION OR WHOEVER WANTED TO BE ON THAT POSITION? I HAD MADE AN ASSUMPTION. AND I THINK THAT IS BECAUSE THAT IS THE WAY IT WAS HISTORICALLY.

I HAD INQUIRED ABOUT THAT. BECAUSE NO ONE EXPRESSED INTEREST. I WAS TOLD IT DIDN'T. YOU KNOW. IT WASN'T SPECIFIC THAT IT HAD TO BE THAT. I DIDN'T SEE ANYONE WANTING IT SO I DON'T KNOW IF WE WANT TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION. DOES ANYONE HAVE A PREFERENCE?

IF THE VICE MAYOR IS HAPPY WITH IT WE SHOULD GO WITH THAT PROTOCOL.

I'M HAPPY WITH THAT.

ARE YOU GETTING ALL THIS DOWN MARY? I'M WRITING NOTES. ANY OTHER EXCEPTIONS? THIS IS GOOD. I'M STRESSED OVER THIS. OKAY. OKAY. ALL RIGHT SO I GUESS WE WILL GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT. MARY?

THANK YOU MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE COMMITTEE'S LIST. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO OUT TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN ZOOM. SEEING NONE IN CHAMBERS I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. ROBERT CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

YES.

THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

SURE.

GREAT, GO AHEAD PLEASE.

I THINK, THIS IS TANGENTIAL. BUT BRINGS UP AN INTERESTING, I THINK IT IS GREAT THAT COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN HAS BEEN ABLE TO USE HIS ROLE IN DEALING WITH THE COUNTY'S HOMELESS INFRASTRUCTURE TO BRING RESOURCES TO THE CITY. I HOPE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON CAN DO THE SAME THING EFFECTIVELY. IT WAS POINTED OUT SEBASTOPOL HAS THE WORST ROAD SCORE IN THE ENTIRE COUNTY AND HAS HAD MANY YEARS. IT HAS BEEN NEGLECTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL MANY YEARS. MOST RECENTLY, THE CITY SPENT SOME MONEY ON A STUDY OF THE ROADS. I THINK IT CAME UP AS A CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM. ON THAT, THERE WERE THREE STRATEGIES. OR FOUR THAT WERE OPTIONS THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED BY THE COUNCIL. BUT BECAUSE IT WAS DONE IN CONSENT CALENDAR, THAT NEVER GOT ADDRESSED SO THE CITY NEVER HAS ANY DIRECTION FROM THE CITY COUNCIL IN TERMS OF WHAT SHOULD BE DONE. WHAT STRATEGIES SHOULD BE DONE. HOW SHOULD WE INVEST OUR MONEY. I KNOW WE GOT TWO-AND-A-HALF MILLION DOLLARS FROM THE COUNTY. FOR BODEGA HIGHWAY. IT IS NOT GOING TO CHANGE THE SCORE OR FIX THE MANY, MANY, MANY ROADS IN FRONT OF MANY HOUSES IN THE CITY. THAT ARE JUST HORRIBLE. SO WE NEED A MORE PROACTIVE APPROACH BY THE COUNCIL. AND HOPEFULLY, THAT WILL HAPPEN. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU ROBERT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE. LY GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

WE WILL BRING IT BACK HERE. DOES ANYONE HAVE COMMENTS BECAUSE I HAVE ONE QUESTION. I WAS WONDERING, DID YOU ADDRESS IF COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN DOESN'T WANT THE MOSQUITO VECTOR. DID YOU SAY WHAT THE SOLUTION WAS THAT?

I WAS GOING TO GO THROUGH THEM SO WE ARE CLEAR ON WHO IS ON WHAT COMMITTEE. WE WILL SEND OUT APPLICATIONS AND OPEN IT FOR A FEW WEEKS AND MAKE INTERVIEWS IF WE GET ANY APPLICATIONS FOR IT.

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE BEFORE WE GOT INTO THE FINAL THING THAT WE ARE ON THE SAME PAGE. AND I BELIEVE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON HAS HER HAND RAISED.

I WANTED TO ADDRESS BOTH THE SPEAKER WHO POINTED OUT THE ROADS AND THE LETTER WE GOT FROM FORMER COUNCILMEMBER MICHAEL KORNACKI ABOUT THE SHOP REPORT. I REVIEWED WITH JAMES CAMERON, OUR SEBASTOPOL IN OUR POSITION WITH TRYING TO GET MORE MONEY FOR ROADS SPECIFICALLY JUST TO ADDRESS THE LETTER FROM THE PUBLIC. I ACTUALLY DID DO A CALTRANS MEETING WITH OUR SUPERVISOR HOPKINS FOR WEST COUNTY AND WE GOT CALTRANS TO TURN ON THE HAWK ON BATTERY POWER SIX MONTHS EARLIER THAN IT WAS SCHEDULED TO BE ON WHICH IS THE CROSSWALK BY I THINK OVIST FROM THE MOVIE THEATER FROM THE SQUARE TO THE STREET. AND THAT WAS BY ADVOCATING WITH CALTRANS WHICH IS NOT EASY TO DO. THE MID BLOCK WAS NEVER GOING TO HAPPEN. THERE WAS A POSSIBILITY WHEN HOTEL SEBASTOPOL WAS ABOUT TO BE BUILT THAT THEY DID INQUIRE ABOUT IT BUT WHEN THAT HOTEL OBVIOUSLY HASN'T BEEN BUILT, THEN THE HAWK WAS PUT IN ITS PLACE. ALSO, REFERENCED IS WE ARE UP FOR SOME ADA COMPLIANCE UPGRADES AND SAC WILL MAKE SOME COMMENTS FOR US. THE SHOP REPORT YOU CAN MAKE COMMENTS. IT COMES UP EVERY TWO YEARS SO I WISH SOMEHOW WITH OUR SMALL JURISDICTION WE COULD GET MORE MONEY FOR ROADS. WE HAVE NOT BEEN PASSED UP IN MANY YEARS WHEN ROAD ALLOCATION MONEY HAS COME UP SO IT IS A CONSTANT MEETING ON AS A MEMBER OF SCTA, THEY DO MEET WITH CALTRANS MONTHLY AND FOLLOW ALL THE PROJECTS THAT ARE COMING UP WHICH TAKE YEARS TO COME FORWARD SO I WANTED TO ADDRESS IT SINCE A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC BROUGHT IT UP AND WE RECEIVED A LETTER THAT BACK FOR THE COUNCIL.

AND THEY HAVE IMPROVED THE SIGNALS. CALTRANS.

CAME OUT AND ADJUSTED THAT. AND I HAVE INQUIRED ABOUT THE LAST MILE BIKE PROGRAM. WE ARE NOT ANYWHERE CLOSE TO A UNIVERSITY OR A SMART TRAIN. BUT LIKE CITY OF SONOMA, SEBASTOPOL HAS ACQUIRED TO TRY TO GET THAT PILOT PROGRAM FOR OUR TRAILS AND IT IS SOMETHING THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED NEXT YEAR.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING? DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING? I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE.

I'M JUST GOING TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE BUT I THINK IT IS GOING IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION WHERE YOU ARE GOING TO READ THEM RIGHT?

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION AND A SECOND I WILL READ THE CHANGES.

I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE.

I WILL SECOND.

SO MOVED BY VICE MAYOR MAURER AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN. SONOMA MOSQUITO VECTOR APPOINTMENT, WE WILL ADVERTISE FOR CITIZEN APPOINTMENT. FIEF COUNCILMEMBER CARTER AND COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, SAFE, I JUST WANT TO ASSUME THAT COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN WILL SOON BE ON THAT AND PROTOCOLS IS MAYOR MCLEWIS AND VICE MAYOR MAURER. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN?

YES.

VICE MAYOR MAURER?

YES.

MAYOR MCLEWIS?

YES.

IT PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

MOVING TO ITEM NUMBER 14. DISCUSSION OF DIRECTION TO CITY ATTORNEY TO PREPARE AN ORDINANCE ON MAGAZINE USE AND CITY RIGHT OF WAY LOCATIONS ACCOUNTABILITY. I KNOW YOU WILL GO THROUGH THAT AND I HOPE I WILL MAKE SOME COMMENTS COMMENTS.

WE CAN'T PROHIBIT NEWS RACKS WE CAN DEVELOP REGULATIONS WHERE THEY CAN BE LOCATED. AND WE CAN ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR OUR REMOVING THOSE THAT DON'T COMPLY. SO THAT IS A HIGH LEVEL OF WHAT OUR GENERAL AUTHORITY IS. WITH THAT I WILL TURN IT OVER TO THE MAYOR.

MARY, ARE YOU ABLE? WHEN I WENT ON MY QUEST TO TAKE PHOTOS OF OUR TRASH CANS MANY MONTHS AGO DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS, I ALSO STARTED NOTICING ALL THE DIFFERENT BOXES THAT WE HAVE THROUGHOUT OUR MAIN STREET. AND HOW IN DISREPAIR THEY ARE. WE HAVE YELLOW PAGE BOXES. WE HAVE BOX THAT'S HAVE NEWSPAPERS. THESE I TOOK THE OTHER DAY. I TOOK PHOTOS SIX MONTHS AGO AND TOOK THEM AGAIN AND REPLACED THEM ALL THIS PAST WEEKEND. SO THAT ON THE LEFT, THAT NEWSPAPER IS FROM 2023. MANY OF THE BOXES ARE FULL OF WATER. AS I WALKED THE MAIN CORRIDOR ON MAIN STREET, I BECAME AWARE WE HAVE A NUMBER OF REPETITIVE BOXES AND A NUMBER OF BOXES ON DISREPAIR SO WHEN I WAS ON THAT QUEST LOOKING FOR THE TRASH CANS I CANNED IF ANYONE KNEW WHO WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THEM OR IF THEY COULD BE REMOVED. THEY ARE OBVIOUSLY NOT BEING TAKEN CARE OF AND I LEARNED OTHER CITIES DO HAVE JUST BASIC ORDINANCES THAT ENCOMPASS THE MAINTENANCE AND LOCATION. SO I WANTED TO BRING THIS FOURTH A NUMBER OF MONTHS AGO. JUST BASED ON THE AGENDAS, THAT JUST KEPT GETTING PUSHED BACK SO I HOPE THAT YOU MIGHT AGREE WITH ME THAT AS PART OF OUR GOALS WE SAID WE WANTED TO BEAUTIFY DOWNTOWN. THIS IS PART OF THAT. I'M NOT LOOKING FOR US TO OVERREGULATE. BUT TO HOLD PEOPLE ACCOUNTABLE WHO PUT THESE THINGS ON OUR MAIN STREET HERE AND ENSURE THEY ARE MAINTAINING THE BOXES. THOSE IN THE MIDDLE PICTURE, THOSE ARE DOWN BY THE BUS STOP. THEY ARE JUST REALLY NASTY AND THERE IS SOME YUCKY STUFF INSIDE IT. THAT WAS THE GOAL. WAS FOR US TO HAVE THE ORDINANCE TO BEAUTIFY DOWNTOWN. I DON'T NOW IF ANYONE HAS QUESTIONS ABOUT IT.

THE NEWSPAPER BOXES, AND, MARY JUST CONFIRMED EARLIER TODAY THAT THE MICAH'S HUGS TRYING TO CONVERT ONE OF THE NEWSPAPER BOXES INTO A NARCAN DISPENSARY. THAT WILL BE ON OUR COUNCIL AGENDA COMING UP. MAYBE THE NEXT ONE. SO WITH THAT IN MIND, IF WE DO AUTHORIZE ALEX TO RESEARCH HOW TO MAKE ALL OF THAT LOOK LESS HORRIBLE, THAT WOULD ALSO ENCOMPASS. IF WE DID ASK YOU TO AUTHORIZE THAT, YOU WOULD TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION PLACEMENT OF OTHER ENTITIES LIKE IF MICAH'S HUGS WANTED TO TAKE AN OLD PRESS DEMOCRAT BOX AND PLACE IT IN FRONT OF BREANNA CHRISTIANSON'S PLACE, WHATEVER YOU COME UP WITH WOULD ENCOMPASS THE RISKS, THE MAINTENANCE, ALL OF THAT FOR SIMILAR ENTITIES AND STRUCTURES. IS THAT WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT?

NO. SO, THIS, WE DON'T OWE THESE NEWSPAPER BOXES. WE CAN'T ALLOW A PRIVATE ENTITY TO USE THEM. THE PRESS DEMOCRAT MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO THAT. BUT WE CAN'T. THESE WOULD BE THE STANDS FOR NEWSPAPER BOXES. IF SOMEBODY WANTED TO PLACE A MICAH'S BOX IN THE PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY, THEY WOULD NEED APPROVAL FROM THE CITY AND WE WOULD COME WITH THAT APPROVAL, THAT IS A DISCRETIONARY APPROVAL UNRELATED TODAY THE FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS THESE BOXES OBTAIN. AND WE WOULD CREATE STARTS FOR GRANTS THAT APPROVAL AT THAT TIME.

SO, TWO TOTALLY DIFFERENT ISSUES.

CORRECT.

I'M HEARING LIKE THOSE ARE HORRIBLE. BUT I'M ALSO HEARING LOCAL NON-PROFITS SAYING WE WANT SOMETHING IN THIS SPACE OF AN OLD NEWSPAPER STAND SO TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WORK WE WILL HAVE YOU DO IF WE HAVE YOU DO WORK. SO OKAY. THANKS.

AND I JUST WANTED TO STATE I'M NOT TARGETING LIKE JUST NEWSPAPERS T IT IS ALL OF THOSE PAMPHLET THINGS AND EVERYTHING. IT IS JUST ALL OF IT. IT IS VERY MESSY. WITH NO ONE PAYING ATTENTION TO IT. SO GO AHEAD.

SO AS PART OF THIS ORDINANCE, MY INTEREST HAVE IN THE THE IDEA OF PROCEDURE FOR REMOVAL, AND BECAUSE I THINK A LOT OF THEM ARE METAL. I WOULD LIKE TO BE SURE THEY GET OFFERED UP TO, SO THAT, I GUESS, THEY WOULD BE RECYCLED. OR, THEY COULD BE OFFERED UP TO SOMEBODY LIKE SOMEBODY IN COMMUNITY WHO DOES ART WITH THINGS LIKE THIS. IF IT IS METAL AND A MAGNET STICKS TO IT THEN PATRICK EMIO CAN USE IT. OR TRASH LAND IT. IT IS JUST THE IDEA IT WILL NOT BE TRASHED. IT WILL BE DONE RESPONSIBLY. THANK YOU.

IS THIS SOMETHING WE WOULD DISCUSS IF YOU BROUGHT IT BACK?

YEAH. THE DRAFT ORDINANCE WILL COME BACK. AND THIS, THE ORDINANCE WILL DISCUSS REMOVAL OF IT. I DON'T THINK THE ORDINANCE WILL DISCUSS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THEY ARE REMOVED. I THINK MARY CAN TALK TO THE NORMAL PROCEDURES OR HOW THAT WOULD BE HANDLED AND MAKING THOSE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC OR WHATNOT AS IS PART OF OUR NORMAL PROCESS UNRELATE TODAY THIS SPECIFICS OF THAT NEWSPAPER BONGS.

OKAY. ARE THERE ANY OTHER? I GUESS WE CAN GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT?

THANK YOU MAYOR. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DIRECTION TO THE CITY ATTORNEY REGARDING NEWSPAPER AND PAMPHLET BOXES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, IT IS A TWO MINUTE TIME LIMIT.

I APPROVE OF IT. BUT DOESN'T SOMEONE ACTUALLY OWN THOSE THINGS? IF YOU GAVE THE METAL BOXES TO PATRICK AND SOMEONE SAYS YOU HAVE STOLEN OUR NEWSPAPER BOX? I AM ASSUMING SOME TIME LAST CENTURY, SOMEBODY GOT A PERMIT OR SOMETHING TO PUT A NEWSPAPER BOX IN THE STREET IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. WHAT IS THE PROCESS OF GETTING RID OF THEM MAY BE THE REVERSE OF THAT. YOU HAVE TWO MONTHS TO TAKE IT AWAY OR IT WILL BE RECYCLED. BUT OTHER THAN THAT, IT'S A GREAT IDEA TO CLEAN IT BECAUSE IT IS LAST CENTURY. NOBODY USES THOSE THINGS ANYMORE. EXCEPT TO PUT CANDY WRAPPERS IN AND THINGS. THAT'S MY COMMENT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU OLIVER. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DIRECTION TO THE CITY ATTORNEY. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. SO, JUST BRINGING IT BACK TO THE DAIS. DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY COMMENTS? JUST A QUESTION.

SURE.

SO THIS IS JUST TO GIVE THE DIRECTION TO THE ATTORNEY AND THE DETAILS WILL GET WORKED OUT. I KNOW THERE ARE LOCAL PUBLISHERS LIKE THE GAZETTE, THEY DO USE ONE OF THOSE BOXES. THEN THERE IS A THIRD ONE.

THE TWO I SAW THAT SEEM REGULAR, REGULARLY USED WAS THE BOHEMIAN.

AS LONG AS THEY ARE MAINTAINED AND THEY HAVE THE NAME OF THE PERIODICAL I WOULD SUPPORT KEEPING THEM. BUT SO THOSE DETAILS ARE WORKED OUT.

YES. SO THE DRAFT WILL COME BACK TO YOU WITH BASIC STANDARDS. THEY WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET THEIR BOX IN COMPLIANCE. IF THEY DON'T, YOU KNOW, THE ORDINANCE WILL LAY OUT AND WHATEVER THE NOTICE WILL BE. 60 DAYS NOTICE TO DO THIS. IF NOT, THE CITY WILL REMOVE IT AND MAYBE THE CITY HOLDS IT FOR 30 DAYS, FOR YOU TO PICK UP AND IF NOT, THE CITY WILL DISCARD OF IT. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

AND IT IS MY HOPE WE WOULD HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHERE WE WOULD LIKE THEM TO BE. THEY ARE SCATTER SHOT EVERYWHERE. THAT IS MY HOPE. SO GO AHEAD.

IS THERE ANOTHER SAMPLE ORDINANCE?

MANY CITIES HAVE THEM.

DO WE HAVE A MOTION OR ANYONE WANT TO MAKE THE MOTION OR I CAN? I WILL MAKE A MOTION THAT WE DIRECT THE CITY ATTORNEY. TO PREPARE AN ORDINANCE REGARDING THE NEWSPAPER BOXES.

SO MOVED BY MAYOR MCLEWIS AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN TO APPROVE DIRECTION TO THE CITY ATTORNEY TO PREPARE AN ORDINANCE. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. LET'S SEE. WE HAD NUMBER, AM I LOOKING AT THIS RIGHT? THE UPDATED OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICE VOLUNTEER PROGRAM AND THE POLICE CITIZEN ACADEMY?

YEP. THAT WOULD BE THE ONE.

THE POLICE CHIEF IS HERE IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO HEAR REPORT. OR IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS.

I PULLED IT JUST TO ASK THE CHIEF A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS AND JUST TO HAVE A DISCUSSION. BECAUSE I TOO READ PUBLIC COMMENT AND HAVE MY OWN THOUGHTS ON THE ISSUE. SO CHIEF I READ YOUR REPORT. MY TAKE AWAY IS YOU ARE OVERWHELMED AND YOU WANT TO FOCUS ON CORE THINGS. SO MY QUESTION TO YOU IS WHAT CAN WE DO TO HELP YOU SO THAT WE CAN MOVE FROM HAVING YOU NOT JUST DO CORE WORK WHICH IS IMPORTANT. BUT, ALSO SUPPORTING THE VOLUNTEERS IN THIS COMMUNITY. BECAUSE WE ARE THE THIRD OLDEST COMMUNITY AND I HAVE HEARD FROM COUNTLESS PEOPLE THAT THEY WANT BOTH OF THESE AND THEY WANTED THEM YESTERDAY. I MYSELF PERSONALLY, MY PARTNER, MY NEIGHBORS, THEY ALL WANT TO HELP. SO MY QUESTION IS HOW CAN WE HELP YOU MOVE BEYOND CORE TO HAVING THE TIME DEDICATE TODAY THIS? WHAT CAN WE DO?

I APPRECIATE THE IMPORTANCE OF THESE PROGRAMS. THEY ARE IMPORTANT TO ME AND I KNOW THEY ARE IMPORTANT TO THE CITY. SO I WANT TO PREFACE MY RESPONSE IS UNDERSTANDING AND ACKNOWLEDGING THEIR IMPORTANCE. AS FAR AS ANY ASSISTANCE WE COULD GET, THE SUPPORT OF THE COUNCIL IS VERY APPRECIATED AND STAFFING HAS BEEN OUR PRIMARY FOCUS. ONE OF THE DECIDING FACTORS FOR MY EMPLOYMENT IN THE CITY WAS MY ABILITY TO RECRUIT. AND WE ARE LOOKING AT BEING FULLY STAFFED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN YEARS AS I UNDERSTAND IT. THROUGH A REAL FOCUSED EFFORT TO RECRUIT GOOD PEOPLE. SO WE HAVE OUR REMAINING THREE OPEN VACANCIES. THE THREE OPEN PATROL POSITIONS ARE ALMOST HOPEFULLY FULL. WE ARE PUSHING PEOPLE INTO BACKGROUNDS SOON FOR THAT. WE RECENTLY HAD DISPATCH POSITIONS OPEN WHICH REALLY THREW US IN REVERSE A LITTLE. WE HAVE STAFF WORKING UP TO 288 HOURS A MONTH. WHICH IS EXCESSIVE. CREATES FATIGUE. AND CONCERN FOR ME AS THE CHIEF. SO, THEY ARE REALLY THE PRIMARY FOCUSES FOR ME. HAVING YOUR SUPPORT IN FILLING THOSE POSITIONS IS PARAMOUNT. THE SHORTAGE THOSE POSITIONS CREATE HAS AN IMPACT ON THE EXISTING STAFF. THEY ARE WORKING MORE HOURS AND DAYS AND THEY ACCRUE MORE COURT REPORTS AND INVESTIGATIONS AND MORE FOLLOW-UP WORK THEY HAVE TO DO WHILE WORKING ADDITIONAL SHIFTS AND IT BECOMES THIS KNOW BALL EFFECT THAT IMPACTS OUR EIGHTY TO HAVE ANCILLARY TASKS AND DUTIES WE WOULD LIKE TO ASSIGN TO OUR STAFF IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING THEIR JOBS INTERESTING AND PROGRESSING THE CITY. SO, I DON'T KNOW THERE IS A GREAT DEAL YOU CAN REALLY DO AT THE MOMENT OTHER THAN SUPPORT US IN THE PROCESS WE ARE UNDERSTOOD TAKING AT THE MOMENT TO BE FULLY STAFFED AND GET THOSE PEOPLE TRAINED SO THEY CAN GO THROUGHOUT THEIR DAILY DUTIES I HOPE THAT ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION.

THANK YOU. AND IF I COULD, ONE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION.

SURE.

WHAT I'M ALSO NOT OKAY WITH IS JUST LEAVING THIS OPEN ENDED. I'M NOT. THE FACT WE WILL GET BACK TO YOU WHEN WE CAN. I'M NOT ALL RIGHT WITH THAT. SO WHAT I HEARD WAS ONCE WE GET FULLY STAFFED.

LET'S ASSUME YOU GET FULLY STAFFED BY APRIL. SO IF YOU ARE FULLY STAFFED IN APRIL, WHEN CAN WE GET A STATUS REPORT?

I'M HAPPY TO GIVE YOU ONE WHEN EVER YOU WOULD LIKE ONE. THAT IS NOT A PROBLEM WHATSOEVER. I APOLOGIZE IF I GAVE YOU THE IMPRESSION THIS WAS A NEVER ENDING SAGA. IT IS DIFFICULT FOR ME TO PUT A FIRM TIME LINE ON IT BECAUSE THERE ARE SO MANY STEP INS THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS JUST THE ACTUAL STEPS OF THE INITIAL RECRUITMENT BUT ALSO BACKGROUND PROCESS THAT CAN LINGER ON DEPENDING ON HOW RESPONSIVE THE APPLICANT IS. THAT CAN BLOW OUT TO AN UNKNOWN PERIOD OF TIME. TRAINING IS MUCH THE SAME. IT DEPENDS ON THE ABILITIES OF THE PARTICULAR, HOW WE CAN FINALIZE THEIR TRAINING AN EVEN AFTER TRAINING THERE IS STILL THAT SHADOW PHASE OF A DISPATCHER OR PATROL OFFICER WHERE WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE OKAY AN ABLE TO DO THEIR JOB AND IT VARIES. IT'S AN INDIVIDUAL THING. THE BOTTOM LINE IS I CAN'T GIVE YOU A FIRM BY THIS DATE WE WILL ABSOLUTELY HAVE AN ANSWER FOR YOU ON THAT BIT. BUT I'M HAPPY TO COME BACK ANY TIME. IN THE EVENT WE SEE AN OPENING, MYSELF, THE ADMINISTRATION TEAM AND THE DEPARTMENT WHICH ARE REALTIVELY NEW. IF WE SEE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADVANCE THOSE PROGRAMS WE WILL BE DOING IT BECAUSE WE UNDERSTAND THEIR IMPORTANCE.

VICE MAYOR MAURER.

WE HAVE AN UPDATE LIKE IN SIX MONTHS?

I WILL PRESERVE MY COMMENTS BUT I WOULD LIKE IT MUCH EARLIER THAN SIX MONTHS.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

SO, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE WHEN YOU COME BACK A VARIETY OF PROGRAMS YOU RECOMMEND. THESE ARE NOT THE ONLY TWO POLICEMANS WE USED TO HAVE. THERE USED TO BE PIZZA AND BASKETBALL WITH THE JUNIOR HIGH WITH THE COP. THAT WAS VERY EFFECTIVE TO US THAT HAD CHILDREN IN SCHOOL AND I KNOW THAT SERVED AT RISK YOUTH. AND, MADE PEOPLE NOT AFRAID OF POLICE OFFICERS IN OUR COMMUNITY. I KNOW THERE WAS EXPLORER PROGRAMS FOR PEOPLE THAT WANTED TO ENTER LAW ENFORCEMENT SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE WHEN YOU BRING IT BACK, YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WHAT YOU CAN IMPLEMENT. I KNOW A LOT OF THESE PROGRAMS IT TAKES SOMEBODY THAT LOVES IT RIGHT? THAT IS LIKE I'M SO EXCITED ABOUT THAT. AND THEY ARE KIND OF THE LEADER SO NOT KNOWING YOUR PERSONNEL AND THE WHOLE THING I REALLY THINK I LIKE A FULL REPORT BACK ABOUT WHAT YOU RECOMMEND AND WHAT KIND OF PROGRAMS YOU COULD MANAGE. BECAUSE I KNOW AN ACADEMY IS A BIGGER PROGRAM AND MAYBE WE COULD START WITH SMALLER PROGRAMS AS WE RAMP UP OUR FORCE. THAT IS JUST MY FEEDBACK FROM MY PERSPECTIVE.

YOU ARE 100% RIGHT. WE HAVE STAFF RIGHT NOW THAT HAVE ALREADY SPOKEN TO AND EXPRESSED INTEREST IN A CADET PROGRAM, I HAVE MET WITH THE SCHOOL WITH RESPECT TO CREATING A SYSTEM WHERE THERE ARE COLLEGE CREDITS APPLIED TO A CADET PROGRAM. SO THERE IS HUGE INTEREST. I THINK THE BASKETBALL ONE YOU REFERRED TO, I THINK WE ACTUALLY HAD AN OFFICER INJURED IN THAT. THEY SHOULDN'T BE PLAYING BASKETBALL.

I LOVE THE IDEA. I HOPE YOU NOTICE I'M VERY COMMUNITY MINDED. I LOVE TO SPEND TIME IN THE COMMUNITY SO THESE PROGRAMS ARE VERY IMPORTANT.

JUST TO ADD ONE MORE THING. I REMEMBER A RUMOR ABOUT HORSES SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS A PROGRAM TOO. WHAT ARE THE PROGRAM OPTIONS SO WE COULD LOOK ON IT?

ON THAT PROGRAM, THE CITY MANAGER GAVE ME SOME DIRECTION TO MOVE ON THAT TOO. BUT THEY ARE ALSO VERY TIME ONEROUS.

HERE IS A FOLLOW-UP. THANK YOU COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. AS SOON AS I HEARD THE REFERENCE TO THE HORSE THING. I KNOW BECAUSE I'M THE SIERRA REP. I APPRECIATE YOUR WILLINGNESS TO COME BACK. BUT WAR OPTIONS YOU COME BACK WITH THROUGH INTEREST OF YOUR STAFF, I WOULD LIKE THEM PREVIOUSLY VETTED THROUGH SIERRA ABOUT WHAT IS POSSIBLE.

ABSOLUTELY.

AND TIME FEASIBILITY RIGHT? DO WE NEED TO TAKE A BREAK?

TO GO TO THE RESTROOM.

OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO, DOES ANYONE ELSE HAVE QUESTIONS? OTHERWISE, WE CAN GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU MAYOR. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE RECEIPT OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICE VOLUNTEER PROGRAM AND UPDATED THE STATUS OF THE CITIZEN ACADEMY. AGAIN, AS A TWO MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. LY COME INTO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE,LY GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CITIZENS ACADEMY UPDATE AND VOLUNTEER PROGRAM, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL BRING IT BACK TO THE COUNCIL. I JUST WANT TO ADD A LITTLE BIT. I THINK WITH THE STAFF GETTING UP TO DATE. WE COULD PROBABLY COME BACK WITH AN UPDATE IN A FEW MONTHS JUST WITH THE STAFFING. NOT ALL THE PROGRAMS BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE BECAUSE SOME OF THESE PROGRAMS SIERRA HAS CONCERNS ABOUT. WHICH IS WHY WE HAVE NOT MOVED FORWARD ON THEM. BUT I JUST WANTED TO GET THAT INFORMATION.

WITH THAT, I WILL MOVE WITH MARY'S RECOMMENDATION OF HAVING A STATUS REPORT BACK. WHAT IS YOUR DEFINITION OF A COUPLE OF MONTHS?

PROBABLY MARCH?

OKAY.

LIKE MIDDLE MARCH, TOWARD APRIL.

THAT WILL WORK. ALL RIGHT.

I WILL SECOND.

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR MAURER WITH A REPORT BACK IN THE MARCH APRIL TIME FRAME REGARDING THE TIME FRAMES. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER? HINTON?

I HAVE A QUESTION, DID WE DO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS?

I DID.

NEVER MIND. THEN YES. FOR SURE. YES.

VICE MAYOR MAURER?

YES.

MAYOR MCLEWIS?

YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

ALL RIGHT. SO. OH MY GOSH, I THOUGHT WE WERE GOING TO BE HERE UNTIL ALL HOURS. SO, I THINK WE ARE AT THE POINT WHERE, LET ME GET. SORRY. LET ME GOAT TO THE NEXT PAGE. ARE WE AT ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

WE ARE GOING GO TO DO ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. AND THEN AFTER THIS, WE DO HAVE A REQUEST FOR A RECONSIDERATION OF AN ITEM. SO, RIGHT NOW.

OKAY.

SO, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE SECOND PERIOD. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT.

I THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL FOR SOMEBODY FROM THE CITY TO ACTUALLY PUT TOGETHER A TRUE COSTER OF BUILDING AND HOW MUCH THE UTILITIES ARE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON KNOWS ABOUT THIS. THE ROOM OF FULL OF PEOPLE. YOU SEEM TO THINK YOU CAN PUT UP A SHADE IN A GARDEN AND YOU KNOW, PEOPLE COULD COME LIVE HERE AND EVERYTHING WOULD BE WONDERFUL. THAT IS A VERY, VERY DIFFERENT SITUATION. SO I THINK IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO HAVE A BASELINE OF HOW MUCH IT COSTS TO BUILD A HOUSE HERE. WHAT THE PLANNING PROCESS IS. AND BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE NOT WELL INFORMED ANT ANY OF THIS STUFF IT WOULD SAVE A LOT OF TIME FOR THE COUNCIL I THINK ULTIMATELY. THAT IS MY COMMENT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU OLIVER FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT AND DIDN'T SPEAK DURING THE FIRST PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD, LAZE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED FOR THE SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. NOW WE ARE BACK TO ANY WHETHER OR NOT ANY COUNCIL MEMBERS HAVE REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.

SO, WE GO TO THAT. WE HAVE A REQUEST FOR A RECONSIDERATION FOR CLARIFY ON AN AGENDA ITEM WE APPROVED EARLIER.

OH.

SO WE WOULD NEED A MOTION AND SECOND TO RECONSIDER THE ITEM. AND THEN WE CAN DISCUSS THE ITEM.

I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE WE RECONSIDER THE ITEM WHICH WAS TITLED NUMBER SEVEN ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. I'M REQUESTING RECONSIDERATION FOR CLARITY AND DISCUSSION.

WE NEED A SECOND TO RECONSIDER. ANYONE?

SO, CAN WE. I WAS JUST GOING TO CLARIFY. IT WAS UNCLEAR IN THE REPORT THAT THE SECOND MEETING IN AUGUST WAS CANCELED. SO, THAT WAS THE REQUEST FOR THE CLARIFICATION. IS THAT CORRECT?

BUT ISN'T IT WRITTEN, IT IS NOT IN THE CALENDAR BUT IN THE WRITE UP SO IT IS UNCLEAR. SO WE ARE GOING TO ASK FOR CLARIFY THAT IT IS CLEAR THAT THE INTENT OF THAT AGENDA ITEM ON THE CONSENT WAS TO COUNCIL THE SECOND MEETING IN AUGUST. SO SO FOR US TO RECONSIDER IT IF WE COULD HAVE A SECOND. WE COULD RECONSIDER THE ITEM. JUST TO MAKE THAT CLARITY.

I WILL SECOND TO RECONSIDER.

THANK YOU. SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN. CAN YOU RECONSIDER ITEM NUMBER 7 WHICH IS A CITY COUNT TILL MEETING DATES FOR CLARIFICATION.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN?

YES.

VICE MAYOR MAURER?

YES.

MAYOR MCLEWIS.

YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. SO THE REQUEST FOR THE RECONSIDERATION UNLESS YOU HAVE AN ADDITIONAL ITEM. WISHES FOR THE CLARIFICATION OF THE CANCELLATION.

YES. CAN I SPEAK TO WHY I'M CONFUSED ABOUT THAT? WHEN I LOOKED AT THE ITEM CLOSELY, IT WAS SCHEDULED ON THE AUGUST ATTACHMENT. THE SECOND MEETING AND ALSO, WHEN YOU READ THE SECTION THAT SAYS NOW I HAVE TO PULL IT UP. SORRY. THIS WAS NUMBER SEVEN. IT SAID COULD BE CANCELED RIGHT HERE. THE COUNCIL HAS ROUTINELY CANCELED THE SECOND REGULAR MEETING. IS SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY, AUGUST 18th. THAT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE IT IS STILL SCHEDULED AND WHEN YOU GO DOWN TO THE AUGUST CALENDAR, IT IS LISTED ON THE 18th. SO I ASSUMED IT WAS CANCELED WHEN I VOTED FOR THIS ITEM. BUT WHEN IT IS LISTED AND WHEN IT SAYS THAT IT DOES NOT LOOK LIKE IT HAS BEEN CANCELED SO FOR CLARITY, I WOULD MOVE TO CANCEL IT AS WE HAVE TYPICALLY DONE WHEN WE APPROVE THIS CALENDAR. IT DOES NOT SEEM TO BE CANCELED AT THIS MOMENT IN TIME. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE BECAUSE I TRY TO MAKE PLANS A WAYS OUT AND THAT IS TYPICALLY A TIME THAT A LOT OF US GO ON VACATION. SO IF THE COUNCIL IS OKAY WITH THAT, I WOULD SUGGEST WE CANCEL THAT 18th NOW.

ANY QUESTION?

WHAT WOULD YOU SUGGEST BY WAY OF A MOTION?

RIGHT NOW, WE WOULD GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM AND THEN A MOTION TO CANCEL THE AUGUST 18, TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THE AUGUST 1, 2026 COUNCIL MEETING HAS BEEN CANCELED.

GOT IT.

SO RIGHT NOW, AS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE REQUEST REGARDING THE CANCELLATION OF THE AUGUST 18th, 2026 CITY COUNCIL MEETING IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM, I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE

CANCELLATION OF THE AUGUST 18th 2026 CITY COUNCIL MEETING PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.  
SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

ALL RIGHT, SO BRINGING IT BACK.

I WILL GO AHEAD AND MOVE TO MAKE SURE IT IS CLEAR WE ARE CANCELING THE SECOND COUNCIL DATE IN AUGUST.

I WILL SECOND THAT.

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR MAURER TO APPROVE THE CANCELLATION OF THE AUGUST 18, 2026 CITY COUNCIL MEETING AND APPROVE THE REMAINING OF THE DATES. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNT ZOLLMAN?

YES.

VICE MAYOR MAURER?

YES.

MAYOR MCLEWIS?

YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. SO NOW, WE ARE BACK TO I THINK, I JUST WANT TO GO BACK TO IT. THE REQUEST FOR FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS. DID WE FINISH THAT? OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO THEN WE WILL GO ONTO CITY STAFF REPORTS OR CITY MANAGER. GO AHEAD.

I DID WANT TO ASK. I HAD ASKED INTERIM CITY MANAGER TODAY. WE DID RECEIVE SOME LETTERS FROM THE PUBLIC ABOUT OUR CAMERA POLICY. SORRY, I CAN'T EVEN PRONOUNCE IT. AND I UNDERSTOOD WE ARE GETTING CLARIFICATION FROM OUR CITY ATTORNEY ON THAT AS IT IMPACTS CITY HALL. BUT I ALSO THINK WE SHOULD GET SOME CLARIFICATION ABOUT HOW OUR BUSINESSES COULD USE CAMERAS SO OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT CAN INVESTIGATE CRIMES AND I WOULD WILLING TO SPONSOR THAT COMING BACK SO WE ARE FULLY INFORMED ABOUT WHAT THE RULES ARE AND HOW IF WE NEED TO MAKE A CHANGE TO OUR EXISTING ORDINANCES. SO MAYBE THIS IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO BRING IT UP.

I WILL MENTION THAT IS ALREADY IN THE WORKS. SEPARATE FROM THAT, YOU MAY RECALL YOU ADOPTED SOMETHING ON CONSENT A FEW MONTHS AGO FOR SOMETHING TO CON ON AS AN ORDINANCE IN FUTURE. THAT WILL ALSO PROVIDE SOME CLARIFY ON THIS ISSUE.

SO IT IS COMING FORTH ALL RIGHT. GREAT. THANK YOU.

OKAY. ALL RIGHT, SO STAFF REPORTS?

I HAVE NO REPORTS TO RIGHT.

ALL RIGHT, SO WE WILL GO TO CITY COUNCIL REPORTS IF ANYONE WANTS TO GO AHEAD.

ONE MAJOR ONE IS THAT THE ENTERPRISE FUND HAS MET. ONE OF MY GOAL IS TO HAVE THE ENTERPRISE FUNDS LOOKED AT SO THEY ARE MORE TRANSPARENT. WE HAD OUR FIRST MEETING AND WE WERE GOING TO GET GOING IN JANUARY I ASSUME WITH COMMITTEES AND WE HAVE THE COMMENTS THAT ARE ALSO PROGRESSING NICELY. WE HAVE SEVERAL COMMITTEES THAT ARE DOING SOME WORK.

ALL RIGHT.

TO FOLLOW UP ON THAT, YES, I'M EXCITED FOR THE COUNCIL TO SEE OUR FIRST QUARTERLY REPORT FROM BUILDING THE COMMENTS. I SERVE CHAIR OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND WE TAPPED INTO A LOCAL PROFESSOR WHO HAS DONE MOST OF THE DATA RESEARCH THROUGH WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY HEALTH REQUIRED COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENTS SO I'M EXCITED ABOUT THAT. A LOT OF TIME HAS BEEN INVESTED IN WORK OF THE HOMELESS COALITION BOARD WITH THE FEDERAL ADMINISTRATIONS GOING BACK AND FORTH ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING. THERE WERE 250 OF OUR MOST VULNERABLE COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN THE COUNTY AT RISK OF RECEIVING EVICTION NOTICES. TO WHICH ONE

OF OUR ENTITIES, PROVIDERS DID ISSUE EVICTION NOTICES ALREADY. THAT IS WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DEALING WITH TRYING TO KEEP UP WITH THE FEDERAL ADMINISTRATION'S BACK AND FORTH. HOW THAT AFFECTS OUR HOUSING. I HAVE BEEN INVITED TO JOIN THE PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROVIDERS TO INCLUDE ONE WE ARE A PARTNER WITH TO TALK ABOUT PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING STANDARDS TO MAKE SURE WHATEVER UNVEILS THEMSELVES IN THE CITY, WE ALL HAVE A BETTER IDEA OF WHAT IS GOING TO HALF MOVING FORWARD. THAT IS A BRIEF REPORT.

GO AHEAD VICE MAYOR MAURER. SORRY.

I MET WITH THE WATERSHED ASSOCIATION. AT THE END OF DECEMBER. THEY DID A REPORT OUT. THERE IS A NATIONAL TAKE BACK DAY. A DRUG THING. I WAS HOPING TO SPEAK TO THE POLICE CHIEF ABOUT HAVING SEBASTOPOL DO THIS. I BELIEVE IT IS COMING UP IN MARCH AND APRIL AND THEY HAVE SOMETHING CALLED THE LITTER CRITTERS. IT IS A REALLY CUTE CAMPAIGN TO CURB LITTER SO I WILL TALK TO PUBLIC WORKS ABOUT THAT. YEAH. THAT IS ABOUT IT.

I ACTUALLY NOW THAT I HAVE BEEN GETTING OUT JUST A LITTLE BIT, MY FIRST OUTING WAS JUST RECENTLY. I ATTENDED. I CAN NEVER SAY HIS NAME RIGHT. THIS IS THROUGH OUR JAPANESE COMMUNITY AND ALSO, STEVE, THEY ASKED ME TO COME AND REPRESENT. WE DO THIS EVERY YEAR. THEY HAVE A GATHERING. I WAS THERE AND REPRESENTED SEBASTOPOL WITH THE DEPUTY COUNCIL GENERAL. IN SAN FRANCISCO FOR JAPAN. IT IS A LOVELY EVENT THEY HAVE THAT BRINGS ALL THE COMMUNITY TOGETHER SO I REPRESENTED THERE JUST ABOUT A WEEK AGO. SO, I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYONE SAW THE EMAIL. I BELIEVE IT IS JANUARY 31st THERE. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE. I WILL BE SPEAKING THIS AS WELL. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE EVERYONE KNOWS THEY ARE INVITED TO ATTEND THAT. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING?

OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

WE ARE MOVE ALONG FASTER THAN I THOUGHT WE WOULD SO I THINK WE ARE DONE. WOW! THANKS FOR YOUR PATIENCE EVERYONE AS I GET USED TO THIS. BUT YEAH. MEETING ADJOURNED! [Event Concluded]