From: Charles Glynn [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, November 15, 2026 4:56 PM
To: Public Comment Received For City Council <citycouncil@cityofsebastopol.gov>
Subject: Potential rescinding of adoption of AB 1033

To: City Council Members

As a supporter of all types of affordable housing in Sebastopol, I was very encouraged by the City's adoption of AB 1033 less than a year ago. Now, I'm quite confused and disappointed about this rather abrupt u-turn, apparently brought about by resistance to the ADU component on one project, 8100 Valentine.

In my view, AB 1033 provides the City with one more tool to be able to better provide affordable "for sale" housing. Why is the City now considering taking away a promising way to help establish truly affordable housing which would likely be able to be purchased by many Sebastopol workers who now commute from outlying areas? How then can we complain about traffic ?

Who speaks for these would-be Sebastopol homeowners ?

Also, what of older Sebastopol homeowners who would like to downsize? What of those who would like to age-in-place but need to make use of tied up equity? Sebastopol seems to want to encourage "workforce housing" with a new zoning overlay in the 116 corridor. Why then discourage what will likely be naturally affordable, smaller "for sale" units in walkable, in-fill locations?

Please reject this idea of rescinding the adoption of AB 1033.

Kind regards,
Charlie Glynn

--
Charles W. Glynn, MAI
Real Estate Appraisal & Consulting
Sebastopol, CA 95472
[redacted]
[redacted] (cell)