

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Mary Gourley](#)
Subject: Public comment on draft EOP document
Date: Tuesday, December 16, 2025 11:10:00 AM

Ms. Gourley,

I would like to comment on the document that was posted last Thursday regarding Agenda Item 8 in tonight's City Council meeting.

In trying to read through the document, I can certainly see why the original EOP needed to be revised. It was time-consuming to read through, difficult to understand, and impossible to remember all the details. In the event of an emergency, a lot of time would have been wasted by city personnel having to go back through the EOP to put the appropriate procedures in place. I don't have enough knowledge of City operations to be able to make any suggestions on changes to the draft EOP revision, but I would like to thank those who worked on the initial draft and continue to work on finalizing it.

This doesn't need to be part of the public comment, just a suggestion on format: It was almost impossible to figure out exactly what was in this 251-page document. The only indication was a two-line section on Attachments, on page 5 of the agenda item, from which I was able to determine that pages 6 through 232 of the document are the old EOP, and pp. 233 through 251 are the draft revision. I would suggest including header pages with titles for attachments in the future, to make it clearer where one stops and the next one starts.

Thanks,

Kathleen Clifford

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Virus-free. www.avg.com