# CITY OF SEBASTOPOL CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM REPORT FOR MEETING OF: May 20 2025

| ============= |   |
|---------------|---|
| То:           | Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers   |
| From:         | Acting City Manager Mary Gourley  |
| Subject:      | Direction to Staff: City Council Goals and Priorities/Strategies; Action Plan; Estimated  |
|               | Budget. For projects with associated budget estimates not already included in the         |
|               | proposed FY 25 26 City Budget, it is recommended that the Council direct staff to forward |
|               | these items to the Budget Committee for consideration in the upcoming fiscal year (FY)    |
|               | budget discussions, or provide alternative direction as appropriate.                      |

### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

Staff seeks direction from the City Council regarding the proposed action plans and associated budget estimates for the goals that received unanimous approval at the City Council meetings held on April 1 and April 15.

For projects with associated budget estimates that are not currently included in the proposed FY 2025–26 City Budget, staff recommends that the Council direct staff to forward these items to the Budget Committee for consideration as part of the upcoming fiscal year budget discussions. Alternatively, the Council may provide other direction as appropriate.

The purpose of this agenda item is to review and accept the proposed action plans. For items that do not yet have associated budget estimates, staff will continue to monitor and will provide updates to the Council as additional budget information becomes available.

It is anticipated that detailed agenda items related to the proposed matrix of action plans will be brought back to the Council for review and potential action throughout the upcoming fiscal year.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

The City Council held a Goal Setting Workshop on April 1, 2025, to establish strategic goals that support effective governance and align with community priorities. The final report and recommended next steps were presented and received by the City Council at its April 15, 2025 meeting. These goals are intended to guide City priorities and resource allocations (funding and/or staff time) through June 30, 2026.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

At the goals workshop, the Council, through public participation, input from City Councilmembers, and input from staff, identified goals that staff is to review to determine if they are feasible to be completed by June 30, 2026.

The Council established six goals:

- Community Vitality
- Public Safety
- Infrastructure
- High Performance Organization
- Long Term Financial Stability
- Economic Development

The Council identified specific strategies and projects to support the achievement of each goal, as outlined in the attached matrix. Some strategies and projects reflect ongoing practices—such as providing quarterly financial reports and enhancing emergency management capacity—while most represent new initiatives.

At the April 15, 2025, City Council Meeting, the Council received a report outlining the next steps staff would undertake to prepare this item for return at the May 20, 2025, Council Meeting as listed below:

- 1. Eliminate projects from the matrix that did not receive a unanimous vote.
- 2. Add projects recommended by staff that are not included on the matrix but were on the approved goals from September 2024 council meeting.
- 3. Develop cost estimates for projects with potential budget implications, and to the extent possible include them as items for the Council's consideration in the FY 25-26 budget. This will focus on items receiving unanimous Council support.
- 4. Estimate levels of staff time required for projects, focusing on those receiving unanimous Council support.
- 5. Develop an action plan for Council consideration, which may be completed in phases. The action plan will reflect direction provided by Council, staff recommendation, and budget and staff resources required for each project, In some cases, projects with less Council support may be recommended for action over other projects based on resources available.

## **DISCUSSION:**

The City Council is responsible for setting the overarching vision for the City, adopting guiding principles and goals that reflect community values and priorities. These goals provide a framework for decision-making across all levels of City government and help ensure alignment in policies and initiatives.

Through a structured goal-setting process held in April 2025, the Council established a unified direction for the City's future, building consensus around key projects and policy priorities that impact residents, businesses, and the broader community.

City management plays a critical role in implementing this vision. Guided by the Council's established goals and principles, City Management sets operational priorities, directs departmental work activities, and proposes allocations for both staffing and financial resources to support the City's strategic objectives.

Staff has prepared and attached a detailed matrix outlining the goals, priorities, and strategies that received unanimous support from the City Council as well as those items approved in September 2024 (items highlighted in blue in the attached matrix). For each item, staff has developed an action plan and, where possible, proposed budgets based on available cost estimates. Several initiatives have already been incorporated into the upcoming fiscal year (FY) budget. However, some projects will require additional staff analysis and development before budget proposals can be finalized for future consideration.

It is recommended that, for those projects with identified budget requirements not yet included in the proposed FY 25 26 budget, the Council direct staff to refer these items to the Budget Committee for inclusion in the upcoming FY budget discussions.

## Staff Analysis:

The attached table Attachment 1) provides a summary of each goal and its corresponding action plan, along with an indication of whether the item is proposed for inclusion in the FY 2025–26 Budget. A more detailed matrix (Attachment 2) with additional information on the work plan is also attached.

## COMMUNITY OUTREACH:

This item has been noticed in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and was available for public viewing and review at least 72 hours prior to schedule meeting date. The City has also used social media to promote and advertise the City Council Meeting Agenda Items.

As of the writing of this staff report, the City has not received any public comment. However, if staff receives public comment from interested parties following the publication and distribution of this staff report such comments will be provided to the City Council as supplemental materials before or at the meeting.

**FISCAL IMPACT:** Please see matrix below for estimated budgets allocated in the FY 25 26 Proposed General Fund and CIP budget. Total funding allocated for the upcoming FY 252 6 Budget is \$1,236,994.

| Goal<br>Number | Strategy/Project<br>Number<br>Action Plan | Description  | Scription FY25-26<br>Proposed<br>Budget Amount |  |  |  |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1              | 4   | Clean up of Graffiti   | 550  | 100-41-03-4330                                     |  |  |
| 2              | 2   | Pursue no additional costs and or low cost solutions to homelessness | 40,000   | 100-32-02-4210                                     |  |  |
| 2              | 3   | Revisit Infrastructure for back up of City emergency systems         | 20,000   | 103  |  |  |
| 2              | 3   | Revisit Infrastructure for back up of City emergency systems         | 150,000  | 103-32-02-4999                                     |  |  |
| 2              | 4   | Emergency Preparedness/Management                                    | 40,000   | 100-32-02-4210                                     |  |  |
| 2              | 4   | Emergency Preparedness/Management                                    | 10,000   | 100-22-02-4210                                     |  |  |
| 3              | 1   | Caltrans Grant/Staff time reimbursement                              | 24,444   |  |  |  |
| 3              | 2   | Potholes/Street Resurfacing  | 500,000  | 200-41-03-4999<br>103-41-03-4999<br>241-41-03-4999 |  |  |
| 3              | 3   | Wastewater Pipes/Infrastructure/Sewer master plan                    | 157,000  | 511-41-50-9902                                     |  |  |
| 3              | 10  | ADA Walkway in Ives Park   | 180,000  | 202-41-03-4999                                     |  |  |
| 3              | 11  | Water Master Plan  | 115,000  | 501-41-50-9902                                     |  |  |
| Total          |   |  | 1,236,994                                      |  |  |  |

## **RESTATED RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council accept the proposed action plans as provided; noting future agenda items related to the proposed matrix of action plans be brought back to the Council for review and potential action throughout the upcoming fiscal year; and

For projects with associated budget estimates that are not currently included in the proposed FY 2025–26 City Budget, staff recommends that the Council direct staff to forward these items to the Budget Committee for

consideration as part of the upcoming fiscal year budget discussions. Alternatively, the Council may provide other direction as appropriate.

#### CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS:

That the City Council not support the next steps as recommended and provide direction to staff.

## ATTACHMENTS:

Summary of Goals Detailed Goals Matrix/Action Plan

### APPROVALS:

 Department Head Approval:
 Approval Date: 5-11-2025

 CEQA Determination (Planning):
 Approval Date: 5-15-2025

 This action is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because it is not a project

 which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably

 foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines.

 Administrative Services (Financial):
 Approval Date: 5-15-2025

 Costs authorized in City Approved Budget:
 □ Yes □ No ☑ N/A

 Account Code (f applicable)
 Approval Date: 5-15-2025

 City Attorney Approval
 Approval Date: 5-15-2025

 City Manager Approval:
 Approval Date: 5-15-2025

| GOAL 1 | COMMUNITY VITALITY<br>Enhance Sebastopol as a great place to live that values community health   | ITEM IS PROPOSED WITHIN THE<br>FY 25 26 BUDGET   | ITEM IS NOT WITHIN THE FY 25 26<br>BUDGET (Estimated Costs Listed Below if  |
|--------|--|--|---|
|        | and well-being. Preserve Sebastopol's unique character.  |  | Known)  |
|        | Strategies/Priorities  |  |   |
| 1      | Restore or repair labyrinth teen memorial  |  | Estimate \$6,000 for boulders, plus 25 hours of PW staff labor.   |
| 2      | Support community events with city sponsorship and reduction of fees   | N/A – Can be completed within staff time   |   |
| 3      | Pomo and public art and updating city web site/land acknowledgement  |  | \$3000 for art mural and staff time   |
| 4      | Clean up of Graffiti   | Public Works currently spends<br>200 hours annually and \$550 for<br>materials This item is currently<br>in the proposed budget; Fund<br>100-4103-4330 |   |
| 5      | Festive Activities/Holiday Events  |  | Item is currently not budgeted and cost<br>estimates cannot be established until<br>the type and scope of event is<br>determined. |
| 6      | Grant Writer   |  | Funding for position or contract is not<br>within the current proposed budget.<br>Last contract for Consultant was<br>\$60,000    |
| GOAL 2 | PUBLIC SAFETY<br>Strengthen the public's safety and improve the quality of life. Includes<br>supporting our first responders (police, fire, public works), responding to<br>emergencies, protecting people, property, and businesses, and engaging<br>in emergency preparedness community outreach, public education and<br>prevention activities. | ITEM IS PROPOSED WITHIN THE<br>FY 25 26 BUDGET   | ITEM IS NOT WITHIN THE FY 25 26<br>BUDGET (Estimated Costs Listed Below if<br>Known)  |
|        | Strategies/Priorities  |  |   |
| 1      | Explore Ways to Address Homelessness   | \$40,000 allocated in General<br>Fund for WCCS contract  |   |
| 2      | Pursue no additional costs and or low cost solutions to homelessness   | N/A- within staff time budget  |   |
| 3      | Revisit Infrastructure for back up of City emergency systems   | \$20,000 In FY 25-26 CIP funded<br>in Fund 103; also FY 25 26  |   |

|        |   | Budgeted Allocates \$150,000   |   |
|--------|---|--|---|
|        |   | under Fund 103   |   |
| 4      | Emergency Preparedness/Management   | FY 25 26 Budgeted Allocates<br>\$40,000 for EOC Assessment<br>and Plan and \$10,000 in Building<br>Department Budget for Update<br>of Hazard Mitigation Plan   |   |
| 5      | Community Emergency Response Team – County free offerings   | No cost for trainings  | SCNU requested:<br>Two 50-watt GMRS base stations \$210 x<br>2 \$420<br>Two AC/DC regulated power supplies<br>\$140 x 2 \$280<br>Two external mast-mounted antennas,<br>plus cable and fittings, estimated \$2,000<br>Total \$2,700 |
| 6      | Coalition of Housing/Keep County or review non-profit/change lead agencies  |  | Unknown if any costs to city at this time   |
| 7      | Keeping drugs out of town /drug enforcement/needle exchange   | Foot patrols as part of normal staff time budget   |   |
| GOAL 3 | INFRASTRUCTURE<br>Maintaining High Quality Infrastructure, Facilities and Services includes<br>repairing/replacing outdated city facilities, improving streets, stormwater<br>and wastewater infrastructure | ITEM IS PROPOSED WITHIN THE<br>FY 25 26 BUDGET   | ITEM IS NOT WITHIN THE FY 25 26<br>BUDGET (Estimated Costs Listed Below if<br>Known)  |
|        | Strategies/Priorities   |  |   |
| 1      | Caltrans grant – Sustainable transportation   | Main Street STG Planning Grant<br>Local Match for staff time of<br>\$27,622 to be paid from Traffic<br>Impact Fee Fund. FY24-25, local<br>match estimated expenses of<br>\$3,178 which leaves \$24,444 in<br>FY25-26 |   |
| 2      | Potholes/Street Resurfacing   | \$500,000 in CIP   |   |
| 3      | Wastewater Pipes/Infrastructure/Sewer master plan   | \$100,000 ; approved in Fiscal<br>Year 2024-25 ; \$157,000 in CIP  |   |
| 4      | Storm Drain Plan – Removal of silt  |  | \$200k for design/permitting, \$2M for<br>dredging work   |

| 5   | CUSP Grant Application for Phase I of Naturalization of Calder Creek and<br>Ives Park  |  | Costs unknown at this time; waiting on announcement of grant submittal  |
|---|--|--|---|
| 6   | Implementation of Rotary Centennial Plan (with revisioning of ives park)<br>Council review first   | None to City; Donations from<br>Rotary   |   |
| 7   | Well 4 (updates/funding)   |  | 0-\$400,000 for design (unknown at this time/waiting results of negotiations with litigant)   |
| 8   | SCTCA Funding Plan Cycle/Bodega Ave Bike Lanes/SCTCA Funding Plan<br>Cycle 2   |  | Unknown at this time; Local match (min.<br>15%) required for Go Sonoma grants;<br>call for projects: Fall 2025.   |
| 9   | EIFD   |  | Future costs unknown at this time-<br>Costs to be determined when item<br>returned to Council after County Board<br>of Supervisors holds their meeting on<br>EIFD fiscal analysis |
| 10  | ADA walk way in Ives Park  | \$180,000 plus staff time to<br>perform inspection in house – In<br>CIP Budget |   |
| 11*- this<br>can be<br>combined<br>with item<br>3 above | Items below from September 2025 Approved Goals list: Water / Sewer<br>Master Plan. City buildings  | \$115000 in CIP for Water Master<br>Plan                                       | Other costs unknown for assessment of city buildings  |
| <mark>GOAL 4</mark>                                     | HIGH PERFORMANCE ORGANIZATION  | ITEM IS PROPOSED WITHIN THE<br>FY 25 26 BUDGET                                 | ITEM IS NOT WITHIN THE FY 25 26<br>BUDGET (Estimated Costs Listed Below if<br>Known)  |
|   | Strategies/Priorities  |  |   |
| 1   | Achieving staffing/ Program targets that match best standards and<br>efficiency/operational staffing efficiencies/baseline budgets/performance<br>management |  | Budget not proposed at this time in the<br>upcoming budget; budget impacts will<br>be presented to Council upon proposed<br>implementation of recommendations;<br>ongoing         |
| 2   | City Council Protocols and Procedures Handbook   | N/A – Can be completed within staff time                                       |   |
| 3   | Management Review of Financial Practices   |  | Not budgeted/Not proposed for this<br>FY/Request to be removed  |

| 4      | RFP for HR Management  | No costs to conduct RFPs;         |  |
|--------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
|        |  | however responses could be        |  |
|        |  | more than budgeted for FY 25      |  |
|        |  | 26; Recommend all RFPs be         |  |
|        |  | considered for discussion in fall |  |
|        |  | 2025                              |  |
| 5      | Avoid builder remedy   | N/A – Can be completed within     |  |
| 5      |  | staff time                        |  |
| 6      | Housing Element Implementation/Association of Bay Area Government        | N/A – Can be completed within     |  |
|        | (ABAG) Priority Development Grant  | staff time/ABAG Grant             |  |
| 7      | Evaluate Replacement of SMART Gov permitting system                      |                                   | Not Budgeted; At this time, staff is not |
|        |  |                                   | recommending the replacement of any      |
|        |  |                                   | software.                                |
| 8      | Replace CIP Software   |                                   | Not Budgeted; At this time, staff is not |
|        |  |                                   | recommending the replacement of any      |
|        |  |                                   | software.                                |
| 9      | Restoring public trust   | N/A – Can be completed within     |  |
|        |  | staff time                        |  |
| 10     | IT Audit (approved during earlier Council Meeting)                       |                                   | Not Budgeted; waiting for results of RFP |
| 11     | Evaluation of Records Management System/This was a staff                 |                                   | Range from \$80,000- \$100,000 initial   |
|        | recommendation from the Sep 2025 Goals                                   |                                   | costs for software; yearly subscription; |
|        |  |                                   | Not Budgeted; At this time, staff is not |
|        |  |                                   | recommending this item.                  |
| 12     | Improve Public Communications  | N/A – Can be completed within     |  |
|        |  | staff time                        |  |
| 13     | Shared Services  | Would utilize savings from        |  |
|        |  | vacant position to fund.          |  |
| 14     | Cross Training of Administrative Staff/Actions from Class and Comp Study | Recommended in proposed           | \$10,000 for scanning is not proposed in |
|        | and Staffing Assessment  | budget for full time position in  | the FY 25 26 budget                      |
|        |  | building/planning with shared     |  |
|        |  | services to city administration   |  |
| GOAL 5 | LONG TERM FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY                                       | ITEM IS PROPOSED WITHIN THE       | ITEM IS NOT WITHIN THE FY 25 26          |
|        |  | FY 25 26 BUDGET                   | BUDGET (Estimated Costs Listed Below if  |
|        | Achieving Greater Fiscal Resilience and Long Term Fiscal Sustainability  |                                   | Known)                                   |
|        | Strategies/Priorities  |                                   |  |
| 1      | Balanced Budget  | N/A - can be completed within     |  |
|        |  | staff time budget                 |  |

| 2          | Quarterly Financial report/annual long term budget reductions   | N/A – can be completed within staff time budget  |   |
|------------|---|--|---|
| 3          | Measure U Priority Resolution reviewed during Budget Process  | N/A – can be completed within<br>staff time budget   |   |
| 4          | Publish Reports on Budget Illustrating Budget (Budget at a Glance)  |  | Not budgeted:<br>\$5,000 for a 12-Pager<br>\$7,000 for a 24-Pager   |
| 5          | Diversify City Revenue base   |  |   |
| 6          | Update Financial Policies/Ensure meets CIRA   | N/A – can be completed within staff time budget  |   |
| 7          | Increasing Revenue Base   |  |   |
| 8          | Update of Impact Fees and More Information  |  | Not budgeted: The cost range for an<br>update is between \$50K to \$70K,<br>depending on various impact fees and<br>growth factors. |
| 9          | Grants from Regional Bodies   | N/A – can be completed within staff time budget  |   |
| 10         | Review of Zoning Codes for streamlining of permitting   | Staff time and costs of zoning<br>code changes allocated in FY 25<br>26 budget.                            |   |
| GOAL       | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  | ITEM IS PROPOSED WITHIN THE<br>FY 25 26 BUDGET   | ITEM IS NOT WITHIN THE FY 25 26<br>BUDGET (Estimated Costs Listed Below if<br>Known)  |
|            | Strategies/Priorities   |  |   |
| 1          | Growing Businesses Together   |  |   |
| 2          | Presentation from new CDD on economic development/plans/strategy/<br>responsibility of who (what department) is keeping track of businesses?/<br>How we are working as part of economic development /working with<br>broker who are working with people who are seeking spaces/how<br>develop relationships |  | Unknown. City would need to issue an RFP and get quotes for needed Econ. Dev. Analytics.  |
| 3          | Finalize Completion of Permitting for Barlow Hotel  | N/A – developer has opened a<br>deposit account with the city<br>and reimburses the City for staff<br>time |   |
|            | MBER OF ACTION PLANS         TOTAL COSTS OF ITEMS IN THE PROPOSED FY  | 25 26 BUDGET   |   |
| Total Goal | s: 51 \$1,236,994   |  |   |

| -                    |   |  |   |                                     |                   | -   |   | -  | -  | -   |   |
|----------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|
|                      | А   | В  | С   | D                                   | E                 | F   | G   | Н  | I  | J   | Р   |
| 1                    | ONE YEAR GO   | ALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 2                    | GOAL 1  | <b>COMMUNITY VITALITY</b><br>Enhance Sebastopol as a great place to live that values comm  | nunity health and we                              | ell-being. Preserve S               | ebastopol's uniqu | e character.  |   |  |  |   |   |
| 3                    |   | Title  | Number of Votes                                   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 4                    | Strategy/Proje  | ect  | -   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 5                    | UNANIMOUS   | SUPPORT  |   | 1                                   |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 6                    | 1   | Restore or repair labyrinth teen memorial (\$5000) (since the<br>goals meeting, discussions with PW indicates a figure of<br>\$6000/not \$5000 as previously stated)   | 5   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   | IS ITEM CURRENTLY BUDGETED<br>IN UPCOMING FY 25 26 CITY<br>BUDGET?    |
| 7                    | ACTION PLAN   |  |   | Status                              | Timeline          | Department Responsible  | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort                                  | Additional Notes  | Item is currently not budgeted  |
| -                    |   | consist of volunteers re-establishing the labyrinth and public w<br>iches and the sign, and public works ordering and paying for th  |   | Not started                         | Fall 2025         | Public Works/Engineering  |   | Estimate \$6,000 for<br>boulders, plus 25 hours of<br>PW staff labor.  | IMedium  | Public Works staff will assist in moving benches and the sign.<br>Volunteers will set the labyrinth.  |   |
| 9                    | 2   | Support community events with city sponsorship and reduction of fees   | 5   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 10                   | ACTION PLAN   |  |   | Status                              | Timeline          | Department Responsible  | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort                                  | Additional Notes  | Budget- N/A/Within staff time   |
|                      | Staff to contin<br>requests com   |  | ne; materials as the                              | Ongoing                             | Ongoing           | Public Works/Engineering  | Staff time to review permit/fee waiver request/prepare agenda item  | None other than loss of revenues   | Minimal  | Waivers are reviewed by Council on a case by case basis at a City<br>Council Meeting.   |   |
| 12                   | 3   | Pomo and public art and updating city web site/land<br>acknowledgement   | 5   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| L . –                |   |  |   |                                     |                   |   |   |  |  |   |   |
|                      | ACTION PLAN   |  |   | Status                              | Timeline          | Department Responsible  | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort                                  | Additional Notes  | Not proposed within the next FY budget                                |
| 13                   | Collaboration<br>secretary Mel<br>for FIGR. 707-<br>Council Chair<br>Angela Hardir                                      |  | kecutive Assistant<br>reg Sarris, Tribal          | Ongoing - Agenda                    |                   | Department Responsible<br>City Administration/City<br>Attorney/ CDD to Monitor<br>Future projects | Constraints<br>Research of Land Acknowledments<br>from other cities | Budget   |  | Additional Notes<br>Once completed, recommend that Public Arts Committee be<br>involved in any art work/projected related . Email sent to Tribe with<br>language ; once comments received agenda item will return to<br>Council. Once languge is adopted; CDD to Monitor as projects<br>come to the City consistent with state law. |   |
| 13                   | Collaboration<br>secretary Mel<br>for FIGR. 707-<br>Council Chair<br>Angela Hardir                                      | with Pomo representatives.City staff to meet with Graton Ranc<br>issa Elgin (707) 566-2288, ext 115 and Marianne Rebullida, Ex<br>566-2288, ext. 111, mrebullida@gratonrancheria.com ; and G<br>man: GSarris@gratonrancheria.com and   | kecutive Assistant<br>reg Sarris, Tribal          | Ongoing - Agenda                    |                   | City Administration/City<br>Attorney/ CDD to Monitor  | Research of Land Acknowledments                                     | Budget   |  | Additional Notes<br>Once completed, recommend that Public Arts Committee be<br>involved in any art work/projected related . Email sent to Tribe with<br>language ; once comments received agenda item will return to<br>Council. Once languge is adopted; CDD to Monitor as projects  | budget  |
| 13<br>14<br>15       | Collaboration<br>secretary Mel<br>for FIGR. 707-<br>Council Chair<br>Angela Hardir<br>4<br>4                            | with Pomo representatives.City staff to meet with Graton Rand<br>issa Elgin (707) 566-2288, ext 115 and Marianne Rebullida, Ex<br>566-2288, ext. 111, mrebullida@gratonrancheria.com ; and G<br>man: GSarris@gratonrancheria.com and<br>I, Tribal Council Executive Assistant: ahardin@gratonrancheria                         | kecutive Assistant<br>reg Sarris, Tribal<br>a.com | Ongoing - Agenda<br>Report in DRAFT |                   | City Administration/City<br>Attorney/ CDD to Monitor  | Research of Land Acknowledments<br>from other cities                | Budget<br>\$3000 for art mural and<br>City staff time<br>Potential Costs to City   | Medium   | Additional Notes Once completed, recommend that Public Arts Committee be involved in any art work/projected related . Email sent to Tribe with language ; once comments received agenda item will return to Council. Once languge is adopted; CDD to Monitor as projects come to the City consistent with state law.                |   |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16 | Collaboration<br>secretary Mel<br>for FIGR. 707-<br>Council Chair<br>Angela Hardir<br>4<br>ACTION PLAN<br>Clean up of D | with Pomo representatives.City staff to meet with Graton Rand<br>issa Elgin (707) 566-2288, ext 115 and Marianne Rebullida, Ex<br>566-2288, ext. 111, mrebullida@gratonrancheria.com ; and G<br>man: GSarris@gratonrancheria.com and<br>a, Tribal Council Executive Assistant: ahardin@gratonrancheria<br>Clean up of Graffiti | kecutive Assistant<br>reg Sarris, Tribal<br>a.com | Ongoing - Agenda<br>Report in DRAFT | Aug-25            | City Administration/City<br>Attorney/ CDD to Monitor<br>Future projects                           | Research of Land Acknowledments<br>from other cities<br>Constraints | Budget         \$3000 for art mural and         City staff time         Potential Costs to City         Budget         None         Redistribute staff         time./Other costs would | Medium          Level of Effort         moderate | Additional Notes Once completed, recommend that Public Arts Committee be involved in any art work/projected related . Email sent to Tribe with language ; once comments received agenda item will return to Council. Once languge is adopted; CDD to Monitor as projects come to the City consistent with state law.                | budget<br>This item is currently in the<br>proposed budget; Fund 100- |

|    |             | А              | В  | C              | D                      | E                      | F   | G   | Н  | I  | J   | Р |
|----|-------------|----------------|--|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1  | ONEY        | YEAR GOA       | LS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026   |                |                        |                        |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 19 |             | ACTION PLAN    |  | Status         | Timeline               | Department Responsible | Constraints                               | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | Item is currently not budgeted<br>and cost estimates cannot be<br>established until the type and<br>scope of event is determined.   |   |
| 20 | plans       |                | work with downtown businesses/Chamber of Commerce to r<br>tial holiday events.   | review/discuss | TBD                    | Dec-25                 | Public Works and<br>Community Development | Staffing; potential funding depending<br>on scope             | TBD pending specific<br>event scope and<br>programming discussion. | moderate to high   | Public Works for set up/permitting as needed. Community<br>Development involved as supportive of Economic Development<br>(where a Econ. Dev. Strategy allows for participation). CDD and<br>PW will work with the Chamber of Commerce, the Downtown<br>Association, and schools to see how they can participate. Options<br>for decorations will be reviewed. |   |
| 21 |             | <mark>6</mark> | Grant Writer   | 5              |                        |                        |   |   |  |  |   |   |
| 22 | ACTION PLAN |                | Status   | Timeline       | Department Responsible | Constraints            | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget         | Level of Effort   | Additional Notes   | Funding for position or contract<br>is not within the current<br>proposed budget |   |   |
| 23 | resea       |                | k with Sonoma State to ascertain if one or more students wou<br>grants; otherwise staff would prepare Request for Proposals to |                | placed to Sonoma       | As soon as<br>possible | City Administration                       | Costs for RFP/Contract or costs for<br>hiring of grant writer | Last grant writer was<br>\$60,000                                  |  | Staff continues to apply for grants.  |   |

|    | А             | В   | С               | D           | E        | F                                      | G                                | Н  |            |
|----|---------------|---|-----------------|-------------|----------|--|----------------------------------|--|------------|
| 1  | _             | OALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |                 |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 2  |               |   |                 |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 3  | GOAL 2        | <b>PUBLIC SAFETY</b><br>Strengthen the public's safety and improve the quality of life<br>property, and businesses, and engaging in emergency prepa |                 |             |          |  | emergencies, protecting people,  |  |            |
| 4  |               | Title   | Number of Votes |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 5  | Strategy/Proj | iect  |                 |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 6  | UNANIMOUS     | SUPPORT   |                 |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 7  | 1             | Explore Ways to Address Homelessness  | 5               |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 8  | ACTION PLA    | Ν   |                 | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                      | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of E |
| 9  |               | County-wide Lead Agency for Homelessness  |                 | Not started | Unknown  | City Manager/Council<br>Liaison        |                                  | Unknown at this time if<br>any costs                                       | Low        |
| 10 | 2             | Pursue no additional costs and or low cost solutions to homelessness  | 5               |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 11 | ACTION PLA    | Ν   |                 | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                      | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of E |
| 12 | buildings and | ss: Ordinance to restrict sleeping or sitting that blocks public<br>d on sidewalks  | access to       | Not started | Unknown  | Police/City Attorney                   | Attorney considerations          | Costs should be included<br>in existing City Attorney's<br>contracted work |            |
| 13 | ACTION PLA    | Ν   |                 | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                      | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of E |
| 14 |               | allocation of Homelessness Resources  |                 | Not started | Unknown  | City Manager/Council<br>Liaison/Police | Interagency collaboration delays | \$40000 allocated in<br>general fund for WCCS<br>contract                  | Low        |
| 15 | 3             | Revisit Infrastructure for back up of City emergency<br>systems   | 5               |             |          |  |                                  |  |            |
| 16 | ACTION PLA    | N   |                 | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                      | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of E |

| Ι            | J   | Р   | Q | R |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   | IS ITEM CURRENTLY<br>BUDGETED IN UPCOMING |   |   |
|              |   | FY 25 26 CITY BUDGET                      |   |   |
|              |   | Unknown at this time if                   |   |   |
| el of Effort | Additional Notes  | thee are any costs to city                |   |   |
|              | The Board of the Homelessness Coalition has the authority to  |   |   |   |
|              | replace the County as the Lead Agency for homelessness; the   |   |   |   |
|              | City has a seat on that Board. The Sonoma County Mayors and   |   |   |   |
|              | Councilmembers Board will be considering funding for homeless<br>as a priority regionally wide. Homeless Board also to consider |   |   |   |
|              | agenda from Council representative for review of HUD Funding  |   |   |   |
|              | and distribution to cities; to include review of Homeless Coalition   |   |   |   |
|              | role. An agenda item has been requested to at contracting out   |   |   |   |
|              | HMIS and doing an RFP for a new lead agency.  |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
| el of Effort | Additional Notes  | N/A - within staff time                   |   |   |
|              |   | budget'                                   |   |   |
|              | Driavity issue for nalice department  |   |   |   |
|              | Priority issue for police department.   |   |   |   |
|              |   | \$40,000 allocated in                     |   |   |
| el of Effort | Additional Notes  | General Fund for WCCS                     |   |   |
|              |   | contract                                  |   |   |
|              | Council rep to Homelessness Coalition could pursue information  |   |   |   |
|              | on allocation of resources/ County-wideHomeless Board also to<br>consider agenda from Council representative for review of HUD  |   |   |   |
|              | Funding and distribution to cities; to include review of Homeless   |   |   |   |
|              | Coalition role. An agenda item has been requested to at   |   |   |   |
|              | contracting out HMIS and doing an RFP for a new lead  |   |   |   |
|              | agency/Police to work with WCCS Outreach Coordinator to work  |   |   |   |
|              | with County on grant funding  |   |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |
|              |   | In FY 25-26 CIP funded in                 |   |   |
| el of Effort | Additional Notes  | Fund 103.                                 |   |   |
|              |   |   |   |   |

|    | А                 | В   | С                  | D           | E  | F                      | G  | Н  | I               | J  | Р  | Q | R |
|----|-------------------|---|--------------------|-------------|--|------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|---|---|
| 1  | ONE YEAR GOALS    | THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026   |                    |             |  |                        |  |  |                 |  |  |   |   |
|    | EOC remains oper  | dy will explore both short-term and long-term solutions, e<br>rational during power outages or emergencies. The final r<br>endations, and cost estimates. | -                  | Not started | Complete in FY<br>25/26  | Public Works/Police    |  | \$20,000 is for feasibility<br>for full building<br>assessment   | Moderate        | The feasibility study will include a site assessment, evaluation of<br>short-term and long-term power solution, regulatory review, cost<br>analysis, and a feasibility study report. The short term solution<br>would look at running the dispatch operations and setting up the<br>EOC in one room and determining additional portable generator<br>that might be needed to supplement the existing 40kW<br>generator. The long term solution would consider cost of<br>replacing the existing 40 KW generator with the needed amount<br>that would support the EOC operations. |  |   |   |
| 18 | ACTION PLAN       |   |                    | Status      | Timeline   | Department Responsible | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | FY 25 26 Budgeted<br>Allocates \$150,000 under<br>Fund 103   |   |   |
|    |                   | hen Feasibility Study is complete for long term power. Th<br>the Police Station to support the EOC.   | nis will require a |             | Unknown at this<br>time - will depend<br>on option<br>selected | Public Works/Police    |  | \$400000/\$150,000<br>currently funded in Fund<br>103.   | High            | The existing generator at the Police Station is a 40kW size<br>generator that can only supply power to the dispatch center. A<br>vendor quote of \$400k was obtained for a new 400kW generator<br>that can support the entire building. This does not include<br>electrical upgrades needed to support the larger generator. A<br>feasibility study will identify the optimum size of the generator<br>needed. Staff is also looking at immediate temporary generator<br>of \$105.000 - more details to come   |  |   |   |
| 20 | 4 Eme             | ergency Preparedness/Management   | 5                  |             |  |                        |  |  |                 |  |  |   |   |
| 21 | ACTION PLAN       |   |                    | Status      | Timeline   | Department Responsible | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | FY 25 26 Budgeted<br>Allocates \$40,000 for<br>EOC Assessment and<br>Plan and \$10,000 in<br>Building Department<br>Budget for Update of<br>Hazard Mitigation Plan |   |   |
| 22 | Improve Emergncy  | y Preparedness; Update Emergency Operations Plan  |                    | In progress | Will be on-going   | Police                 | Staff time - insufficient staff and time<br>to dedicate to the detailed review of<br>the plan that is required | \$40,000 budgeted in FY<br>25 26 for EOC<br>Assessment/equipment;<br>Police submitted<br>Emergency Operations<br>Plan Update to county<br>oes for review. Building's<br>budget (below line item)<br>has \$10k for mitigation<br>associated with<br>emergencys that can be<br>tied into this goal | High            | The timeline is dependent on the availability of time. We will<br>need consultant assistance to make significant progress. Scope<br>to include community preparedness and internal City<br>preparedness, including updating the Emergency Operations<br>Plan. Staff is also looking at the option of hiring part time<br>professional services recruitment to complete Plan.   |  |   |   |
| 23 | ACTION PLAN       |   |                    | Status      | Timeline   | Department Responsible | IConstraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | \$10,000 - See Above/is in<br>proposed City budget fy<br>FY 25 26  |   |   |
| 24 | Update Hazard Mit | tigation Plan   |                    | In FY25/26  | End of 2026  | Building               | N/A  | \$10,000 (tied to above<br>goal for EOC)   | High            | State Mandate; Deadline for next update is: December 2026  |  |   |   |
| 25 | ACTION PLAN       |   |                    | Status      | Timeline   | Department Responsible | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   |  |   |   |
| 26 | SAFE Program      |   |                    | In process  | Ongoing  | Council liaison/Police | N/A  | Seek to be county funded   | Low             | To monitor County activity   |  |   |   |
| 27 |                   | nmunity Emergency Response Team – County free<br>rings  | 5                  |             |  |                        |  |  |                 |  |  |   |   |

|    | A B  | С  | D           | E        | F                                      | G                              | Н                                 | I               | J   | Р                                      | Q | R |
|----|--|--|-------------|----------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|---|---|
| 1  | ONE YEAR GOALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026   |  |             |          |  |                                |                                   |                 |   |  |   |   |
| 28 | ACTION PLAN  |  | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                    | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort | Additional Notes  | Not proposed within FY<br>25 26 budget |   |   |
| 29 | Communciate with Chief Helfin and Dr. Nancy Brown on Free Trainings Offere   | ed for CERT                                      | Not started | Unknown  | City Manager/Council<br>Liaison/Police | Staff time to dedicate         | Staff time                        | Low             | Dr Nancy Brown is leading our county's emergency response<br>department. Her department received a grant and started<br>running CERT trainings, the first being in Petaluma last Fall. Her<br>thoughts are that all cities could encourage folks to become<br>CERTS so that they could be deployed throughout the county<br>when needs arise. This way no one city has to spend time on<br>trainings, managing certifications and incurring perceived<br>liabilities.Sebastopol Neighborhood Communications Unit<br>(SNCU)/For training purposes and emergency response:<br>Purchasing and installing two 50-watt GMRS base stations inside<br>the Sebastopol police station, one for use of the police<br>department and the other to allow SNCU net control volunteers<br>to communicate with radios throughout the City.Budget:<br>Two 50-watt GMRS base stations \$210 x 2 \$420<br>Two AC/DC regulated power supplies \$140 x 2 \$280<br>Two external mast-mounted antennas,<br>plus cable and fittings, estimated \$2,000<br>Total \$2,700<br>We will also ask for a working space within the Police<br>Department, perhaps some counter space in the room now used<br>for forensics. |  |   |   |
| 30 | 6<br>6<br>profit/change lead agencies  | 5  |             |          |  |                                |                                   |                 |   |  |   |   |
| 31 | ACTION PLAN  |  | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                    | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort | Additional Notes  | Staff time only as of now.             |   |   |
| 32 | This action plan is similar to goal above/consider combining this goal with goa<br>Pursue New County-wide Lead Agency for Homelessness   | al above.  | Not started | linknown | City Manager/Council<br>Liaison/Police | Ability to dedicate staff time | Staff time                        | Low             | The Board of the Homelessness Coalition has the authority to replace the County as the Lead Agency for homelessness; the City has a seat on that Board.   |  |   |   |
| 33 | 7<br>7<br>exchange   | 5  |             |          |  |                                |                                   |                 |   |  |   |   |
| 34 | ACTION PLAN  |  | Status      | Timeline | Department Responsible                 | Constraints                    | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort | Additional Notes  |  |   |   |
| 35 | Increased foot presence of police officers downtown/ TheCity also reviewed a harm reduction vending machine (also known as wellness supply vending mawoudld be dispensing naloxone (used to rescue people overdosing from opioi test strips, pregnancy & COVID tests, condoms, feminine hygiene products, dkits, personal hygiene kits (soap, shampoo, tissues, etc.), and socks. Council reviewed this item as a whole. | achine) that<br>ids), fentanyl<br>lental hygiene | Underway    | Ongoing  | Police                                 | Staffing levels & vacancies    | Only staff time                   | Low             | Direction issued to PD staff to perform foot patrols where<br>possible and this has been occurring. 166 foot patrols by patrol<br>officers in March and April, 2025. Police chief is also making<br>regular foot patrols of downtown, the Barlow district, local<br>businesses and stopping to engage with children and community<br>members. In addition, Public Works Director met with West<br>County Health for possible placement of harm reduction vending<br>machine targeting youth. There was no suitable site in<br>Sebastopol; West County Health is looking at other options.   | normat stan time budget                |   |   |

|    | ·  | r   |                                     | 1   |  | ·                             |   | 1   | 1               |  |   |
|----|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|--|---|
|    | A  | В   | С                                   | D   | E  | F                             | G   | Н   | I               | J  | Р   |
| 1  | ONE YEAR GO  | DALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |                                     |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  |   |
| 2  |  | INFRASTRUCTURE<br>Maintaining High Quality Infrastructure, Facilities and Services  | s includes repairing                | /replacing outdated   | city facilities, impr  | oving streets, stormwater and | l wastewater infrastructure.                        |   |                 |  |   |
| 3  |  | Title   | Number of Votes                     |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  |   |
| 4  | Strategy/Proje                                     | ect   |                                     |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  |   |
| 5  | UNANIMOUS  | SUPPORT   |                                     |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  |   |
| 6  | 1  | Caltrans grant – Sustainable transportation   | 5                                   |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  | IS ITEM CURRENTLY BUDGETED<br>IN UPCOMINGFY 25 26 CITY<br>BUDGET                  |
| 7  | ACTION PLAN  | 1   |                                     | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible        | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget   | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | This is a budgeted item under<br>Community Development<br>Staffing Reimbursements |
| 8  |  |   |                                     | In Progress   | Dec., 2025   | Community Development         | Staffing  | Main Street STG Planning<br>Grant Local Match for<br>staff time of \$27,622 to be<br>paid from Traffic Impact<br>Fee Fund. FY24-25, local<br>match estimated<br>expenses of \$3,178 which<br>leaves \$24,444 in FY25-26 | Medium          | No additional costs; part of STA grant; In Kind staff support costs =<br>\$24,444  |   |
| 9  | 2  | Potholes/Street Resurfacing   | 5                                   |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  |   |
| 10 | ACTION PLAN  | l   |                                     | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible        | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget   | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | This is budgeted within the FY 25<br>26 CIP                                       |
|    | City's approve<br>limited by bud<br>impacted stree | ual pavement repairs and repaving program where needed, as i<br>ed Pavement Management Program Budget Report. Resurfacing<br>dget. The first year of the program will focus on digouts and rep<br>sets. Staff to create a list of areas for limited area digout and res | g projects are<br>pairs of severely | On going  | Monthly Reporting<br>through the<br>Departmental<br>Reports to Council | Public Works                  | Budget limits any significant resurfacing projects. | \$500,000   | High            | Staff will come back to City Council for pavement prioritization.  |   |
| 12 | 3  | Wastewater Pipes/Infrastructure/Sewer master plan   | 5                                   |   |  |                               |   |   |                 |  |   |
| 13 | ACTION PLAN  | 1   |                                     | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible        | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget   | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | This is a budgeted item from<br>Account Code:.                                    |
| 14 | Flood Resilien                                     | icy Master Plan   |                                     | Approved in FY<br>2024-25 and will<br>continue to 2025-<br>26 | 2026   | Public Works                  |   | \$100,000   | High            | This project was approved in Fiscal Year 2024-25. RFP for<br>engineering consultant will be issued in May/June 2025. Updates to<br>be provided by PW department. |   |
| 15 | ACTION PLAN  | 1   |                                     | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible        | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget   | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | In the FY 2025-26 CIP budget  |
| 16 | Sewer Master I                                     | Plan  |                                     | In the FY 25/26<br>CIP  | 2027   | Public Works                  |   | \$157,000   | High            | The RFP process will start August 2025 after CIP budget is approved. Updates to be provided by PW Department   |   |

|    | А             | В   | С                                     | D  | E                                 | F   | G   | Н  | I                | J   | Р   |
|----|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------|---|---|
| 1  | ONE YEAR G    | OALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |                                       |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |
| 17 | 4             | Storm Drain Plan – Removal of silt from Calder Creek Pipeline   | 5                                     |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |
| 18 | ACTION PLA    |   |                                       | Status   | Timeline                          | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                          | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | This is not a budgeted item.                                  |
| 19 |               | This project will be addressed in the Flood Resiliency Plan that is<br>underway. The work is for removal of silt in the Calder Creek pipe<br>order of work is to prepare plans, specification, estimate, and pe<br>cost of the silt dredging is very high and staff will need to pursue | eline and the first<br>ermitting. The | In the 5-year CIP<br>and proposed to<br>start with design<br>and permitting in<br>FY 26/27 | 2026 - begin<br>preconstruc. Work | Public Works                              | High cost of what should be an on going<br>maintenance item; \$200k for<br>design/permitting, \$2M for dredging<br>work.                            | \$2,200,000  | High             | Contractor quote was obtained nearly 10 years ago with a cost of<br>\$1.2M. This did not include engineering and permitting. Escalated<br>cost is \$2M but will be refined in the upcoming Flood Resiliency<br>Master Plan. |   |
| 20 | 5             | CUSP Grant Application for Phase I of Naturalization of<br>Calder Creek and Ives Park   | 5                                     |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |
| 21 | ACTION PLAI   | N   |                                       | Status   | Timeline                          | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                          | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | This is not a budgeted item.                                  |
|    | approved gra  | f to work cooperatively with CUSP pending granting agencies' responses to Council<br>roved grant applications. Staff to create a list of projects that can be done that follows th<br>ter plan and not nterfere with the grant.   |                                       | Ongoing  | TBD                               | Community Development<br>and Public Works | Staffing and Subject to Grant awards  |  | Moderate to High | Two reciprocal matching grant application resolutions approved by<br>Council on 4.15.25. Next steps depend on status of grant award.  |   |
| 23 | 6             | Implementation of Rotary Centennial Plan (with revisioning of ives park) Council review first   | 5                                     |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |
| 24 | ACTION PLAI   | Ν   |                                       | Status   | Timeline                          | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                          | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | This is not a budgeted item.                                  |
| 25 | Staff to work | with Rotary on Plan Review/Item to be returned to Council for con   | sideration                            | Unknown  |                                   | Community Development/<br>Public Works    | Staffing  | None   | Low              | Construction work is by Rotary. Public Work will request plans and review them.   |   |
| 26 | 7             | Well 4 (updates/funding)  | 5                                     |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |
| 27 | ACTION PLAI   | Ν   |                                       | Status   | Timeline                          | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                          | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | Attorneys and staff in<br>negotiations with litigants         |
| 28 | Issue RFP for | sue RFP for engineering services to develop standard plans & specifications.  |                                       | In FY 25/26  | FY 25/26                          | Public Works/Engineering                  | Construction costs  | 0-\$400,000 for design                                     | High             | Working with attorney. Status update for Council in Q1 of FY 25/26  |   |
| 29 | 8             | SCTCA Funding Plan Cycle/Bodega Ave Bike Lanes. SCTCA<br>Funding Plan Cycle 2   | 5                                     |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |
| 30 | ACTION PLAI   | N   |                                       | Status   | Timeline                          | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                          | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | Local match is not budgeted yet.<br>Project(s) to be defined. |
|    | grant funding | engage with SCTA TAC and represent the City's interests in pursuit<br>g. Staff has provided a list of transportation projects as part of the<br>lext Call for Projects is estimated to occur in June 2025.  |                                       | In progess   | Summer 2025                       | Public Works/Engineering                  | Larger grant projects for Go Sonoma<br>projects are listed in the Move Sonoma<br>2050 plan and based on federal grant<br>funding available to SCTA. | Local match (min. 15%)<br>required for Go Sonoma<br>grants | Moderate         | This is an ongoing task as part of the SCTA TAC that public works<br>staff attends. Staff can provide as needed updates as part of the<br>monthly department reports.Next round of call for projects<br>expected June 2025. |   |
| 32 | 9             | EIFD  | 5                                     |  |                                   |   |   |  |                  |   |   |

|    | A        | A B C  | D  | E          | F   | G   | Н  | 1                | J  | Р  |
|----|----------|--|--|------------|---|---|--|------------------|--|--|
| 1  | ONE YE   | EAR GOALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |  |            |   |   |  |                  |  |  |
| 33 | ACTION   | N PLAN   | Status                                       | Timeline   | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | Costs to be determined when<br>item returned to Council after<br>County Board of Supervisors<br>holds their meeting on EIFD<br>fiscal analysis |
|    |          | n hold until June 2025; when we hope to know more about the likelihood of our obtaining<br>l ½ cent from Measure U.                          | In process                                   | TBD        | City Manager                              | Staffing  | Future costs unknown at<br>this time.  |                  | The EIFD Ad Hoc and staff met with the County and Kosmont in early<br>April. Kosmont has completed initial work on the impact of an EIFD<br>on the General Fund. With our projections showing years of deficits<br>unless other significant revenues materialize, we agreed to put this<br>on hold until June, when we hope to know more about the likelihood<br>of our obtaining the full ½ cent from Measure U. We are now on<br>pause until that all shakes out. In the meanwhile, Kosmont is<br>coordinating with Supervisor Hopkin's office on some additional<br>analysis in unincorporated area and a potential briefing to the full<br>board on this work this summer. |  |
| 35 | 10       | .0 ADA walk way in Ives Park 5   |  |            |   |   |  |                  |  |  |
| 36 | ACTION   | N PLAN   | Status                                       | Timeline   | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | In the FY 2025-26 CIP budget   |
|    |          | e plans for Ives Park Segment 2 ADA path from restroom to parking lot and prepare<br>ications and updated costs. This project is in the CIP. | Ongoing                                      | Fall 2025  | Community Development<br>and Public Works | Funding   | \$180,000 plus staff time  | Moderate to High | The plans are 80% complete and would need to be finalized, specs<br>prepared and then bid. Cost is estimated at \$180,000 for final<br>design and construction. Funded with Measure M Parks.   |  |
| 38 | 11       | Items below added from September 2025 Approved Goals   |  |            |   |   |  |                  |  |  |
| 39 | ACTION   | N PLAN   | Status                                       | Timeline   | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | In the current budget 501 Water<br>Capital Fund  |
| 40 | Water M  | Master Plan  | Underway                                     | Early 2026 | Public Works                              |   | \$115,000  | High             | This project was approved in FY 2024-25 and is already underway .<br>Council can be provided project updates in the monthly Department<br>updates.   |  |
| 41 | ACTION   | N PLAN   | Status                                       | Timeline   | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | N/A - to be completed within staff time  |
| 42 | Pursue   | e Trench Cut ordinance so contractors working on City streets pay for repairs  | Not started                                  | FY 25/26   | Public Works                              |   | Minimal  | Medium           | Develop ordiance using existing neighboring cities as an example.<br>Prepare City Council agenda item.   |  |
| 43 | ACTION   | N PLAN   | Status                                       | Timeline   | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | Not currently in the budget  |
| 44 |          | op schedule for replacing major components of buildings, and determine amount of<br>g needed and to be set aside each year.                  | Not started                                  | FY 25/26   | Public Works                              | Extensive assessment of city facilities needs to be done first.                                       | Unknown  | High             | This is a best practice in financial management, typically prepared by consultant w/input from City staff.   |  |
| 45 | ACTION   | N PLAN   | Status                                       | Timeline   | Department Responsible                    | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | This item is not currently in the budget   |
| 46 | Fleet: D | Develop Replacement Plan & Electrification of City Fleet and State Air Board compliance  | Some funding in<br>FY 25/26 PW Ops<br>budget |            | Public Works                              | PG&E sponsored grant to make<br>electrical improvements needed to<br>accommodate electrical chargers. | Initial project costs for<br>enginering support is<br>estimated to be \$40 to<br>\$50k | High             | There will be two applications for both city sites, which include the<br>Police Department and the Corporation Yard. We can likely lease<br>instead of purchase some vehicles which may be more cost-<br>effective. State electrification mandate likely infeasible.<br>Implementation costs are about \$150,000 to \$200,000 for each<br>site. Staff will reach out to Sonoma Clean Power to see if they can<br>participate through a grant.  |  |

| 2 0<br>3 Stra   | GOAL 4                        | B<br>ALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026<br>HIGH PERFORMANCE ORGANIZATION  | С                | D                        | E                       | F  | G                    | Н                                 |              |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 2 0<br>3 Stra   | GOAL 4                        |  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 2 3<br>3 Stra   |                               | HIGH PERFORMANCE ORGANIZATION  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 4 Stra          |                               |  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
|                 |                               | Title  | Number of Votes  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 5 UNA           | ategy/Proje                   |  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
|                 |                               | SUPPORT  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 6               | 1                             | Achieving staffing/ Program targets that match best<br>standards and efficiency/operational staffing<br>efficiencies/baseline budgets/performance management | 5                |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| <b>аст</b><br>7 | TION PLAN                     |  |                  | Status                   | Timeline                | Department Responsible                                     | Constraints          | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Eff |
|                 | view staffing<br>asonal trenc | g assessment study and work to match staffing levels with ser<br>is.   | rvice demand and | Ongoing                  | completed;<br>others in | Human<br>Resources/Administrative<br>Services/City Manager | Funding for Staffing | Dependent Upon position           | High         |
| 9               | 2                             | City Council Protocols and Procedures  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 10 <b>АСТ</b>   | TION PLAN                     |  |                  | Status                   |                         | Department Responsible                                     | IConstraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Eff |
| 11              |                               |  |                  | In Process               | CINGOING                | City Management/Ad Hoc<br>Committee                        |                      |                                   | High         |
| 12              | 3                             | Management Review of Financial Practices   |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 13 <b>АСТ</b>   | TION PLAN                     |  |                  |                          | Timeline                | Department Responsible                                     | IConstraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Eff |
| 14              |                               |  |                  | Consultant<br>identified | TBD                     | All Departments  |                      |                                   | High         |
| 15              | 4                             | RFP for HR Management  |                  |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 16 <b>АСТ</b>   | TION PLAN                     |  |                  | Status                   | Timeline                | Department Responsible                                     | IConstraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Eff |
| 17              |                               |  |                  |                          |                         | Administrative<br>Services/City Manager                    |                      |                                   | Medium       |
| 18              | 5                             | Avoid builder remedy   | 5                |                          |                         |  |                      |                                   |              |
| 19 <b>АСТ</b>   | TION PLAN                     |  |                  | Status                   | Timeline                | Department Responsible                                     | IConstraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Eff |

| Ι           | J   | Р   |
|-------------|---|---|
|             |   |   |
|             |   |   |
|             |   |   |
|             |   | IS ITEM CURRENTLY BUDGETED IN<br>UPCOMINGFY 25 26 CITY BUDGET   |
| l of Effort | Additional Notes  | Budget not proposed at this time in the<br>upcoming budget; budget impacts will<br>be presented to Council upon proposed<br>implementation of recommendations;<br>ongoing |
|             | The classification study job specifications will be presented to the<br>Council by July 1, 2025, for those without fiscal impacts.<br>Meanwhile, work will continue with the HR Advisor and City<br>Manager to address additional classification changes and equity<br>adjustments during the FY25-26 budget process. The HR Advisor<br>and City staff are also working on implementing staffing<br>recommendations from the recently amended staffing<br>assessment study, aligning them with business needs. The City<br>will return to the Council as opportunities arise. |   |
| l of Effort | Additional Notes  | N/A - within staff time   |
|             | City Council review policies on an ongoing basis during City<br>Council Meetings.   |   |
|             |   | Not recommended to be conducted   |
| l of Effort | Additional Notes  | Not recommended to be conducted -<br>removed from budget.   |
|             | Review of roles, processes, practices to improve efficiency, reduce errors, improve cost recovery, etc.   |   |
|             |   | Not recommanded to be conducted   |
| l of Effort | Additional Notes  | Not recommended to be conducted -<br>removed from budget.   |
| um          |   |   |
|             |   |   |
| l of Effort | Additional Notes  | N/A Within Staff Time   |

|    |                        | А  | В  | С                | D       | E  | F   | G           | Н  | 1                | J   | Р   |
|----|------------------------|--|--|------------------|---------|--|---|-------------|--|------------------|---|---|
| 1  | ONE                    | YEAR GOALS                                     | S THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |                  |         |  |   |             |  |                  |   |   |
| 20 | Staff                  | f is actively pro                              | ocessing Housing element updates   |                  | Ongoing | Varies; to be<br>completed in<br>Housing Element<br>cycle period | Community Development   | Staff time  | None   | Moderate to High | This potential issue is much less of an issue for Sebastopol than<br>other County jurisdictions but we are being proactive about<br>remaining in compliance with our Housing Element program.   |   |
| 21 |                        | 6  | using Element Implementation/Association of Bay Area<br>vernment (ABAG) Priority Development Grant   |                  |         |  |   |             |  |                  |   |   |
| 22 | ACTI                   | ION PLAN                                       |  |                  | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | ABAG Grant /\$190,000   |
|    | subc                   | committee to i                                 | ocessing Housing element updates; Planning Commissic<br>review required HE updated on 4.22.25; Emi solicited Na<br>f to offer free staff assistance to move project forward.                         |                  | Ongoing | Varies; to be<br>completed in<br>Housing Element<br>cycle period | Community Development   | Staff time  | None/ABAG Grant of<br>\$190,000 ; \$40,000 for<br>City Administrative Staff<br>Time; \$150,000 ABAG to<br>retain for consultant<br>costs | Moderate to High | This potential issue is much less of an issue for Sebastopol than<br>other County jurisdictions but we are being proactive about<br>remaining in compliance with our Housing Element program. City<br>Attorney Mog has provided a memo for information on the<br>Builder's Remedy /Partially Implements Housing Element<br>Program; ABAG hiring consultant to start in September. |   |
| 24 |                        | 7 Eval   | luate Replacement of SMART Gov permitting system   |                  |         |  |   |             |  |                  |   |   |
|    |                        | ION PLAN                                       |  | 1                | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | At this time, staff is not recommending<br>the replacement of any software.<br>Instead, it is advised that staff engage<br>with SmartGoy to receive appropriate |
| 26 |                        |  |  |                  |         |  | Community<br>Development/Building/Ad<br>min Services/Public Works |             |  |                  | Our current system is difficult to work with, contributes to inefficient processes, slows the review of projects.   |   |
| 27 |                        | 8 Rep  | olace CIP Software   |                  |         |  |   |             |  |                  |   |   |
| 28 |                        | ION PLAN                                       |  |                  | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | Not recommended to be replaced at this time.  |
| 29 |                        |  |  | _                |         |  | Public Works/Admin<br>Services                                    |             |  | High             | CIP budget uses two software packages (Breeze and Springbrook)<br>that do not communicate with each other. Identify a single CIP<br>budget preparation and project budget tracking software so that<br>the communication process is mechanized and not performed<br>manually.   |   |
| 30 |                        | 9 Res  | toring public trust  | 5                |         |  |   |             |  |                  |   |   |
| 31 | АСТІ                   | ION PLAN                                       |  |                  | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes  | N/A - within staff time   |
| 32 | langu<br>overs<br>demo | uage in comm<br>sight/respond<br>onstrate them | Address Past Issues/openly share decisions, data, and r<br>nunication/Set measurable goals and report progress/cre<br>I to feedback visibly and respectfully/include goals in age<br>n in decisions. | eate independent |         | Ongoing  | All Departments   |             |  | High             | Ongoing   |   |
| 33 |                        | 10 IT A  | udit (approved during earlier Council Meeting)   | 5                |         |  |   |             |  |                  |   |   |

|          | А  | В   | С   | D  | E        | F   | G                   | Н  | I               | J  | Р   |
|----------|--|---|---|--|----------|---|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|---|
| 1        | ONE YEAR GOALS   | THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026   |   |  |          |   |                     |  |                 |  |   |
| 34       | ACTION PLAN  |   |   | Status   | Timeline | Department Responsible                            | Constraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | Item is currently not budgeted; waiting<br>for results of RFP   |
|          | (RFP) for IT support<br>hardware, software<br>effectiveness of the | nudit to assess the city's IT needs before going out to a Re<br>rt services. The audit would focus on various aspects suc<br>e, security, internal and external IT standards, and the eff<br>e IT system.The goal is to ensure that the IT infrastructure<br>ne city's objectives.                  | h as system<br>ficiency and<br>e is robust, secure, | DRAFT RFP has<br>been created; will<br>be distributed to<br>determine<br>proposed costs. | Dec-25   | Administrative<br>Services/Acting City<br>Manager | None at this time   | Costs of Audit will be<br>known once RFPs are<br>returmed to the city.   | High            | Working on a cost/proposal for City wide IT audit first (then RFP for<br>IT Services). Should include determining replacement schedule<br>and funding for IT equipment, and assessment of current software<br>and systems. This could include CIP, Permitting, Records<br>Management System, and/or Finance software listed elsewhere. |   |
| 26       |  | uation of Records Management System/This was a staff  |   |  |          |   |                     |  |                 |  |   |
| 36<br>37 | ACTION PLAN  | ommendation from the Sep 2025 Goals   |   | Status   | Timeline | Department Responsible                            | Constraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | Item is currently not budgeted;   |
| 38       |  |   |   | On Hold  | None     | City Clerk  | Funding; Staff time | \$80,000 - \$100,000<br>initially then yearly<br>subscription  |                 | Due to costs of program; it is not in the City's best interest to consider this at this time. City continues to meet PRA and records retention   |   |
| 39       | 12 Impr  | rove Public Communications  | 5   |  |          |   |                     |  |                 |  |   |
| 40       | ACTION PLAN  |   |   | Status   | Timeline | Department Responsible                            | Constraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | N/A - within staff time   |
|          | City and our comm<br>goals for awarenes<br>jargon, acronyms, a     | eating a communications plan to help develop a bond of f<br>nunity/Align messages with organizational values and put<br>ss, understanding, trust, and participation/use plain lang<br>and bureaucratic tone/focus on transparency, empathy,<br>nefits and impacts, not just procedures or policies. | olic interests/Set<br>uage—avoid                    | Ongoing  | Ongoing  | All Departments                                   |                     |  | High            | Ongoing  |   |
| 42       | 13 Shar  | red Services  | 5   |  |          |   |                     |  |                 |  |   |
| 43       | ACTION PLAN  |   |   | Status   | Timeline | Department Responsible                            | Constraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | N/A - within staff time   |
|          | Communicate with shared services                                   | n other governmental agencies to determine if there are o   | pporunities for                                     | Ongoing  |          | All Departments                                   |                     | Would utilize savings<br>from vacant position to<br>fund.  |                 | Staff has reached out to other cities and as of the writing of this report, there were no known opportunities for shared services.   |   |
| 45       | 1/   | ss Training of Administrative Staff/Actions from Class<br>Comp Study and Staffing Assessment  | 5   |  |          |   |                     |  |                 |  |   |
| 46       | ACTION PLAN  |   |   | Status   | Timeline | Department Responsible                            | Constraints         | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes   | Recommended in proposed budget for<br>full time position./\$10,000 for scanning<br>is not proposed in the FY 25 26 budget |
|          | That will allow anot   | ng in the we anticipate Building moving to City hall in the<br>ther admin staff (1 FTE) to move to City Hall and cross tra<br>Positions; Reclassification/recruitment for positions   |   | on-going   | 30 days  | Building/ACM                                      | None                | \$10,000 - this will be<br>used for scanning and<br>storage of documents for<br>space saving (This is<br>within the FY 24 25<br>budget | High            | This is the first step of streamlining City government and create cross training for city employees  |   |

|    | А  | В  | С                 | D        | E         | F  | G  | Н                                 | I  | J  | Р   |
|----|--|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 1  | ONE YEAR GO  | DALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026   |                   |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |
| 2  | GOAL 5   | LONG TERM FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY<br>Achieving Greater Fiscal Resilience and Long Term Fiscal Su  | stainability      |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |
| 3  |  | Title  | Number of Votes   |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |
| 4  | Strategy/Proje   | ect  |                   |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |
| 5  | UNANIMOUS  |  |                   |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |
| 6  | 1  | Balanced Budget  | 5                 |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  | IS ITEM CURRENTLY<br>BUDGETED IN<br>UPCOMINGFY 25 26 CITY<br>BUDGET |
| 7  | ACTION PLAN  |  |                   | Status   | Timeline  | Department Responsible   | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | N/A within staff time   |
| 8  | new revenue  | palanced budget, review the current financial status and set c<br>sources and reduce non-essential spending. Regularly monit<br>long-term, and update financial policies. This ensures financi | or and adjust the | On-Going | Annually  | Lead: City<br>Administration/Administra<br>tive Services<br>Contributing: Al L | Achieving a balanced budget can be<br>difficult due to revenue shortfalls,<br>increased expenditures, and<br>economic downturns. Policy changes<br>and unforeseen events like natural<br>disasters can also disrupt budget<br>plans. Deferred maintenance leads to<br>higher future costs. Inadequate<br>financial planning further contributes<br>to budget imbalances. |                                   | Achieving a balanced<br>budget demands<br>substantial effort and<br>collaboration from all<br>departments. It involves<br>continuous monitoring<br>and adjustments to<br>ensure we live within our<br>means, while<br>implementing cost-<br>saving measures when<br>necessary. | Treating public funds with the same care and responsibility as one<br>would theirs own personal money. This means not spending more<br>than what is incoming and making thoughtful, prudent decisions<br>to ensure financial stability. By regularly monitoring expenditures<br>and making necessary adjustments. This approach ensures that<br>public resources are used efficiently and effectively, benefiting<br>the entire community. |   |
| 9  | 2  | Quarterly Financial report/annual long term budget reductions  | 5                 |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |
| 10 | ACTION PLAN  | I  |                   | Status   | Timeline  | Department Responsible   | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | N/A within staff time   |
|    | General Fund: A quarterly update is an essential tool for monitoring and managing the financial health of an organization. It provides a snapshot of the financial performance ov the past three months, allowing City Council to assess progress, identify trends, and make   |  |                   | On-Going | Quarterly | Lead: Administrative<br>Services<br>Contributing: ALL<br>DEPARTMENT            | None   | Staff Time                        | Moderate   | Routine reports  |   |
| 12 |  |  |                   | Status   | Timeline  | Department Responsible   | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | N/A within staff time   |
| 13 | <b>Enterprise Fund</b> : A quarterly update is an essential tool for monitoring and managing the financial health of an organization. It provides a snapshot of the financial performance of the past three months comparing with the water rate study model, allowing City Council assess progress, identify trends, and make informed decisions. |  |                   | On-Going | Quarterly | Lead: Administrative<br>Services<br>Contributing: Public<br>Works/Engineering  | None   | Staff Time                        | Moderate   | Routine reports  |   |
| 14 |  |  |                   | Status   | Timeline  | Department Responsible   | Constraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | N/A within staff time   |
| 15 | TRUST FUND   | - 115  |                   | On-Going | Yearly    | Administratie Services   | None   | Staff Time                        | Moderate   | Yearly Update on Pension trust   |   |
| 16 | 3  | Measure U Priority Resolution reviewed during Budget Process   | 5                 |          |           |  |  |                                   |  |  |   |

|    | А  | В  | С                                  | D          | E        | F                                | G   | Н   |               |
|----|--|--|------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------|
| 1  | ONE YEAR GO  | ALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |                                    |            |          |                                  |   |   |               |
| 17 | ACTION PLAN  |  |                                    | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible           | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effo |
| 18 | This process is  | s already incorporated in the FY25-26 budget discussion  |                                    | On-Going   | TBD      | Lead: Adminsitrative<br>Services | None  | Staff Time  | High          |
| 19 |  | Publish Reports on Budget Illustrating Budget (Budget at a Glance)   | 5                                  |            |          |                                  |   |   |               |
| 20 | ACTION PLAN  |  |                                    | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible           | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effo |
| 21 | financial metr   | Glance" provides a concise overview of the financial plan. It ics and summaries, making it easier for the public to underst ents without delving into detailed documents.  |                                    | On-Going   | FY26-27  | Adminstrative Services           | Current staff is lack of specialized<br>skills in financial design and<br>presentation. Crafting a clear,<br>concise, and visually appealing<br>budget summary requires expertise in<br>graphic design, which is not be readily<br>available with current staff | \$5,000 for a 12-Pager<br>\$7,000 for a 24-Pager              | High          |
| 22 | 5  | Diversify City Revenue base  | 5                                  |            |          |                                  |   |   |               |
| 23 | ACTION PLAN  |  |                                    | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible           | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effo |
| 24 | Support small streamlining.  | business growth and entrepreneurship through grants, and r   |                                    | In process | On-Going | Community Development            | Lack of brick and mortor shops with the advent of Amazon Etc  | Set aside amount would<br>need to be determined by<br>council | Medium        |
| 25 | 6  | Update Financial Policies/Ensure meets CIRA  | 5                                  |            |          |                                  |   |   |               |
| 26 | ACTION PLAN  |  |                                    | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible           | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effo |
| 27 | Staff would ne<br>policy and req   | ed to consult with CIRA Team and provide them with the City<br>uest a review   | current financial                  | On-Going   | TBD      | Administrative Services          | Staff would need to devote time to<br>ensure the approach updating the<br>financial policy not only meets CIRA<br>standard also need to meet<br>governmental accounting standard<br>board (GASB) as well for local<br>government                                | Staff Time to Coordinate with CIRA                            | High          |
| 28 | 7  | Increasing Revenue Base  | 5                                  |            |          |                                  |   |   |               |
| 29 | ACTION PLAN  |  |                                    | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible           | Constraints   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effo |
| 30 | introducing ne<br>development  <br>partnerships a                        | e city's revenue base, consider implementing fee increases f<br>ew fees or taxes, and applying for grants. Encouraging new co<br>projects can boost property tax revenues. Engaging in public-<br>and optimizing operational efficiency are also effective strate; | nstruction and<br>private<br>gies. | On-Going   | TDB      | ALL DEPARTMENT                   | Economic downturns can impact the<br>effectiveness of revenue-generating<br>strategies. For example, during a<br>recession, new construction projects<br>may slow down, and residents may be<br>less willing to accept fee increases                            | Unknown   | High          |
| 31 | 1         8         Update of Impact Fees and More Information         5 |  |                                    |            |          |                                  |   |   |               |
| 32 | ACTION PLAN  |  |                                    | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible           | IConstraints  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget                             | Level of Effo |

| I     | J  | Р  |
|-------|--|--|
|       |  |  |
| ffort | Additional Notes   | N/A within staff time                    |
|       | Resolution utiized for review of use of Measure U funds/City staff<br>keeping track of expenditures from Measure U fund; will provide<br>information as part of quarterly reports  |  |
|       |  |  |
| ffort | Additional Notes   | Not within proposed budget               |
|       | Creating a professional and polished "Budget at a Glance" is<br>challenging due to the lack of resources and specialized skills<br>required for producing graphic visual summaries. Our team does<br>not have the expertise needed to design and implement these<br>visual elements effectively. Staff could review current practices<br>to create clear graphs (such as pie charts) for easier read and<br>transparency |  |
|       |  |  |
| ffort | Additional Notes   | Not budgeted at this time                |
|       | Staff to reconsider reinstituting the façade improvement program   |  |
|       |  |  |
| ffort | Additional Notes   | N/A - Within staff time                  |
|       |  |  |
|       |  |  |
| ffort | Additional Notes   | Staff time to work on revenue strategies |
|       | We have adopted Resolution 6592-2024 which raised permit fees<br>for construction by about 18-20 percen. We also passed through<br>Council in November 2024, a resolution to raise building<br>valuations, which in-directly raises permit fees.   |  |
|       |  |  |
| ffort | Additional Notes   | Not currently in Budget.                 |

|    | А  | В   | С                 | D          | E        | F                                   | G   | Н                                    | I                | J  | Р                             |
|----|--|---|-------------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1  | ONE YEAR   | R GOALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026   |                   |            |          |                                     |   |                                      |                  |  |                               |
|    |  | will undertake a comprehensive review of the 2021 impact fee stuc<br>he fee requires an update or if a complete new study should be cor   |                   | on-going   |          | Building / Community<br>Development | Staffing                                      | \$80,000                             | Medium           | The cost range for an update is between \$50K to \$70K, depending<br>on various impact fees and growth factors. Conducting a full fee<br>study will likely cost more, and the timeline for completing such a<br>study—from issuing an RFP to selecting a new consultant and<br>initiating the study—ranges from 9 months to a year. Last Study<br>conducted 2019 |                               |
| 34 | 9  | Grants from Regional Bodies   | 5                 |            |          |                                     |   |                                      |                  |  |                               |
| 35 | ACTION P   | PLAN  |                   | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible              | Constraints                                   | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget    | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | N/A Within staff time         |
|    |  | lentify Relevant Regional Funding Sources/Partner with neighboring municipalities,<br>onprofits, on joint proposals/Engage external grant consultants if resources are limited. |                   |            | on-going | All Departments                     | Staff time/lack of grant writer<br>experience |                                      | Medium           | City staff represenatives and alterates to regional bodies will<br>provide monthly updates to council of upcoming grant<br>opportunities from regional boards.   |                               |
| 37 | 10   | Review of Zoning Codes for streamlining of permitting   | 5                 |            |          |                                     |   |                                      |                  |  |                               |
| 38 | ACTION P   |   |                   | Status     | Timeline | Department Responsible              | IConstraints                                  | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget    | Level of Effort  | Additional Notes   | Budgeted within CDD<br>budget |
|    | In process; Planning Commission has appointed a subcommittee to evaluate Housing rela<br>Zoning Code updates |   | e Housing related | In process | TBD      | Community Development               | Staffing; Funding                             | Zoning Code codification<br>expenses | Moderate to High | None.  |                               |

|    | А                              | В   | С               | D       | E          | F   | G                 | Н  | 1               | J   | Р   |
|----|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------|------------|---|-------------------|--|-----------------|---|---|
| 1  | ONE YEAR GO                    | DALS THROUGH JUNE 30, 2026  |                 |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 2  | GOAL 6                         | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  | ·               |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 3  |                                | Title   | Number of Votes |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 4  |                                |   |                 |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 5  |                                |   |                 |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 6  | 1                              | Growing Businesses Together /"Requested to be Retitled to:<br>Economic Gardening Program"   | 5               |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   | IS ITEM CURRENTLY BUDGETED<br>IN UPCOMING FY 25 26 CITY<br>BUDGET |
| 7  | 7 ACTION PLAN                  |   |                 | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints       | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes  | Not within proposed budget  |
|    | program that §<br>boutique man | ork withto create a town hall or business event.Launch an Ec<br>grows home-grown businesses—especially artisan food, farn<br>nufacturers—by boosting retention, expansion, and high-valu<br>inimal City staff time and cost.  | n-to-table, and | TBD     | TBD        | Community Development   | Staffing; Funding | \$3000/20 hours staff<br>time  | High            | This includes an event and on-going support to promote local<br>businesses using an approach tried in other communities.<br>Business 2 Business Support program could be a potential<br>initiative if/when an Economic Development Strategy project is<br>developed.• Higher local sales, more visitors stopping, stronger<br>brand as a "foodie/artisan hub."<br>• Tob and wage growth with low public cost (far cheaper than<br>recruitment incentives).<br>• Durable public-private communication channel, reducing<br>friction on permits and policy. |   |
| 9  | 2                              | Presentation from new CDD on economic<br>development/plans/strategy/ responsibility of who (what<br>department) is keeping track of businesses?/ How we are<br>working as part of economic development /working with<br>brokers who are working with people who are seeking<br>spaces/how develop relationships | 5               |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 10 | ACTION PLAN                    | I   |                 | Status  | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints       | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes  | Not in current Budget.  |
| 11 | Develop Ecor                   | lop Economic Development Strategy   |                 | TBD     | TBD        | Community Development   | Staffing; Funding | Unknown. Would need to<br>issue an RFP and get<br>quotes for needed Econ.<br>Dev. Analytics. | High            |   |   |
| 12 | 3                              | Finalize Completion of Permitting for Barlow Hotel  |                 |         |            |   |                   |  |                 |   |   |
| 13 | ACTION PLAN                    | ON PLAN   |                 |         | Timeline   | Department Responsible  | Constraints       | Potential Costs to City<br>Budget  | Level of Effort | Additional Notes  | N/A Within staff time   |
| 14 |                                |   |                 | Ongoing | Dec., 2025 | Community<br>Development/City<br>Manager/Building/Public<br>Works |                   |  |                 | Current focus of economic development efforts. (Development<br>Agreement Ordinance 2nd reading to go to City Council<br>Tentatively scheduled for May 6, 2025).   |   |