

City Council

Mayor Stephen Zollman
Vice Mayor Jill McLewis
Phill Carter
Neysa Hinton
Sandra Maurer

**Agenda Item Number: 2****Acting City Manager****Assistant City Manager/****City Clerk, MMC**

Mary Gourley

mgourley@cityofsebastopol.gov

City of Sebastopol**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES****MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF JUNE 17, 2025**

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of July 1, 2025

Please note that minutes of meetings are not meant to be verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Zollman called the meeting to order 6:03 pm

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Stephen Zollman
Vice Mayor McLewis
Councilmember Phill Carter
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer

Absent: None

Staff: Acting City Manager/Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Gourley
City Attorney Alex Mog
Administrative Services Director Kwong
Building Official Brown
Fire Chief Dave Bray
Police Chief McDonagh

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Cub scouts Troop 128 led the salute to the flag.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 54953(f)). Assembly Bill 2302 (2024) ("AB 2302") revises rules for when members of local legislative bodies may participate in meetings remotely. Specifically, it amends the number of meetings that may be attended remotely for just cause and under emergency circumstances and clarifies the definition of the term "meeting," for purposes of remote attendance. AB 2302 caps the number of remote meetings a member can attend each year based on the frequency of a legislative body's meetings: Five meetings per year for those meeting twice a month. **There was no request.**

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/INTRODUCTIONS: NONE

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the das.

Vice Mayor McLewis comment she had a perceived conflict of interest with one item on the budget.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD): Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

Oliver
Kate
Dierdre
Helen; program in response/safe
Michael
Skip

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read aloud the title of each consent item (either full agenda title or a simplified version of the agenda title), and ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to two (2) minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an item or items removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor. Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor.

Mayor Zollman read the consent calendar. Mayor Zollman asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item. There was none.

Mayor Zollman opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment: None

Mayor Zollman called for a motion.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Carter seconded the motion to approve consent calendar items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 (*Number 8 was continued to a future meeting*).

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

1. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes- Regular Meeting of June 3, 2025

Responsible Department: Acting City Manager

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes- Regular Meeting of June 3, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-193

2. Approval of Agreement with The Sonoma County Community Development Commission (CDC) for the administration of Sebastopol's Mobile Home Rent Stabilization Program. This agreement is due to expire on June 30, 2025. This collaboration includes providing arbitration services for mobile home space rent disputes regarding the administration of City's rent stabilization program. The one-year extension will give both the City of Sebastopol and the CDC time to review the contract terms and bring it back to the Board of Supervisors and the City Council for a longer term.

Responsible Department: City Attorney

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved Agreement with The Sonoma County Community Development Commission (CDC) for the administration of Sebastopol's Mobile Home Rent Stabilization Program. This agreement is due to expire on June 30, 2025. This collaboration includes providing arbitration services for mobile home space rent disputes regarding the administration of City's rent stabilization program. The one-year extension will give both the City of Sebastopol and the CDC time to review the contract terms and bring it back to the Board of Supervisors and the City Council for a longer term.

Minute Order Number: 2025-194

3. Approval of Waiving of Second Reading; Adoption of Ordinance of Office of State Fire Marshal Fire Hazard Severity Map

Responsible Department: City Attorney

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved Waiving of Second Reading; Adoption of Ordinance of Office of State Fire Marshal Fire Hazard Severity Map

Minute Order Number: 2025-195

Ordinance Number: 1157

4. Receipt of Informational Item; Update from the June 3, 2025 CIRA Presentation; It should be noted that specific information on any claims related specifically to Sebastopol is confidential and cannot be released.

Requestor: Mayor Zollman

Responsible Department: Acting City Manager

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with receipt of the presentation. Of Note: CIRA premiums are included annually in the City's adopted budget. A significant increase in claims could result in future premium increases that may impact the General Fund.

City Council Action: Received Informational Item; Update from the June 3, 2025 CIRA Presentation; It should be noted that specific information on any claims related specifically to Sebastopol is confidential and cannot be released.

Minute Order Number: 2025-196

5. Approval of Letter of Support for the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) FY 2025 Supplemental Planning and/or Demonstration grant application and Authorizing the Acting City Manager to sign Letter.

Responsible Department: City Engineer/Public Works

Fiscal Impact: If awarded, local match of approximately \$20k will be due in FY 26-27.

Funding to be allocated from the General Fund.

City Council Action: Approved Letter of Support for the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) FY 2025 Supplemental Planning and/or Demonstration grant application and Authorizing the Acting City Manager to sign Letter.

Minute Order Number: 2025-197

6. Adoption of Resolution approving SB-1 (Senate Bill 1) List of Streets. Pursuant to the requirements of SB 1, the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, the City must adopt a resolution approving a list of projects proposed to be eligible for receiving fiscal year funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by SB 1. The projected revenue in Fiscal Year 2025-26 RMRA funding from SB 1 that the City would be eligible to receive is \$218,537.

(Responsible Department: City Engineer/Public Works)

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved and Adopted Resolution approving SB-1 (Senate Bill 1) List of Streets. Pursuant to the requirements of SB 1, the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017, the City must adopt a resolution approving a list of projects proposed to be eligible for receiving fiscal year funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by SB 1. The projected revenue in Fiscal Year 2025-26 RMRA funding from SB 1 that the City would be eligible to receive is \$218,537.

Minute Order Number: 2025-198

Resolution Number: 6672-2025

7. Approval of Letter of Intent and authorize the City Manager to sign the letter to the Sonoma County Agriculture and Open Space District ("District") for the AmeriCorps Trail Extension Project. The Sonoma County Ag and Open Space District is requesting that the City Execute a Letter of Intent that details the framework and acceptance of the Conservation Easement. The Letter of Intent is a non-binding agreement, meaning that it does not bind the parties to the terms in the Letter, but is intended to be a guidance for drafting the future Conservation Easement Agreement.

(Responsible Department: City Engineer/Public Works)

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact for approving the Letter of Intent.

City Council Action: Approved Letter of Intent and authorize the City Manager to sign the letter to the Sonoma County Agriculture and Open Space District ("District") for the AmeriCorps Trail Extension Project. The Sonoma County Ag and Open Space District is requesting that the City Execute a Letter of Intent that details the framework and acceptance of the Conservation Easement. The Letter of Intent is a non-binding agreement, meaning that it does not bind the parties to the terms in the Letter, but is intended to be a guidance for drafting the future Conservation Easement Agreement.

Minute Order Number: 2025-199

- ~~8. Approval of Ratification of Side Letter for Sebastopol Police Officer Association (SPOA); Side Letter is for approval to switch to a full Kelly Schedule. A Kelly schedule is a rotating shift pattern that typically uses a combination of on-duty and off-duty days, along with a "Kelly day" — a regularly scheduled day off — to keep hours worked within limits over a given work period. This arrangement is due to a significant shortage in staffing and will continue unless by mutual agreement, at a minimum until the beginning of the first pay period in 2026. It helps avoid paying overtime after the FLSA threshold (e.g., 171 hours in a 28-day cycle for law enforcement). This item will not be heard at this meeting and will be continued at future council meeting.~~

~~*(Responsible Department: Human Resources Consultant/Administrative Services/Police)*~~

~~*Fiscal Impact: For June, were the schedule to be in place, estimated overtime savings are \$18,800 (241 overtime hours). This translates to a 6 month savings of approximately \$113,00.*~~

City Council Action: None Taken; Item removed from Agenda.

Reference Order Number: 2025-200

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: *Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for*

the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

9. Informational Presentation on Status of Emergency Operations Plan; Emergency Operations Center (EOC) Assessment

Requestor: Mayor Zollman

Responsible Department: Police

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with receipt of the presentation. However, funding has been proposed in the FY 25 26 budget in the amount of \$150,000 for an emergency generator and \$40,000 for Assessment and Equipment for the Emergency Operations Center.

Police Chief McDonagh presented the informational item.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Skip
Robert

The Council received the report.

City Council Action: None required. Presentation received.

Reference Order Number: 2025-201

10. Informational Presentation on Fire Hazard Severity Map. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CalFire) recently published Fire Hazard Severity Zone (FHSZ) maps designating certain areas as falling within the Moderate, High, and Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones. Small portions of the City are designated as within the Moderate Fire Hazard Severity Zone

Requestor: Councilmember Maurer

Responsible Department: Fire

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with receipt of the presentation.

Fire Chief Bray presented the informational item.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Robert
Mary Cone

The Council received the report.

City Council Action: None Required. Council received the report.

Reference Order Number: 2025-202

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

11. Resolution Declaring Weeds a Public Nuisance

Responsible Department: Fire

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item; however, if the property owner fails to abate their lots, a contractor hired by the Fire Department will abate the lots and the property owner will be billed for the cost of abatement plus administrative costs.

Fire Chief Bray presented the agenda item.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. There were no questions.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment: None

Hearing no comments, Mayor Zollman closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

No further discussion.

MOTION:

Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve the Resolution Declaring Weeds a Public Nuisance.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Resolution Declaring Weeds a Public Nuisance.

Minute Order Number: 2025-203

Resolution Number: 6673-2025

12. Public Hearing – Continued - City Budget (May Need Resolution Authorizing Continuation of Current Fiscal Year Budget Into New Fiscal Year if FY 25 26 Budget is not adopted at this meeting). Item includes related actions to establish City’s Appropriation Limit and Expenditure Plan for Certain Law Enforcement Funding.

Responsible Department: Administrative Services Department

Fiscal Impact: The impact of the Proposed Budget is discussed in the budget message at the beginning of the budget document.

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the agenda item of the City Budget recommending the Council hear the presentation; ask questions, open the public hearing; receive public comment; close the

public hearing and either adopt the City Budget and associated resolutions or continue the budget into the new fiscal year.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Robert

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Zollman closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve the Resolution to continue the existing budget until July 1st.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Resolution Continuing City Budget

Minute Order Number: 2025-204

Resolution Number(s): 6674-2025

13. Public Hearing - Continued: Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and note changes to the CIP, if any, and adopt Resolution.

(Responsible Department: Public Works/Engineering)

Fiscal Impact: The proposed expenditures for the FY 2025-26 Capital Improvement

Projects totals \$10,130,200 in total for special funds (see Global Summary Report in the Draft CIP Budget document)

MOTION:

Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to continue the Capital Improvement Program to the July 1st City Council Meeting.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Continued Item to July 1st CC Meeting

Minute Order Number: 2025-205

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

~~14. Sebastopol Library Staffing and Facilities Ad Hoc Committee Report Out. The item tonight is to receive the Report from the Ad Hoc Committee and discuss the recommended actions in the report. Recommendations:~~

- ~~• Receipt of Report from current Ad Hoc Committee~~
- ~~• Dissolution of Current Ad Hoc Committee~~
- ~~• Creation of New Ad Hoc Committee Named: Ad Hoc Committee for Building the Commons (Period of Committee: July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026)~~
- ~~• Approval of Scope of Work of Committee~~

~~Requestor: Mayor Zollman; Council Liaison to Library~~

~~Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with receipt and approval of this item; however staff time will be required.~~

THIS ITEM WAS CONTINUED TO A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING BY COUNCIL CONSENSUS

City Council Action: None. Item Continued.

Minute Order Number: 2025-206

15. Adoption of a Budget Amendment Resolution for the Fire-Related Building Improvements Project at the Community Center (CIP#0713-24.09) and approval of Contract Change Order #1 (CO1) in the amount of \$12,153 for additional work. This Budget Amendment is needed to increase the project budget from \$70,000 to \$75,000 due to CO1 for additional drywall work at the community center. The original work installed drywall called densglass for fire resistance in the main hall. The community center then reinstalled fabric over the walls to make them look better. The fire department did a walk through at the completion of the original work and noted that the fabric is a fire risk and should be remove. For the walls to be painted they would need a skim coat to make them smoother and give the paint a suitable surface to adhere to. They would also install a trim piece to cover the transition between the drywall and the wood paneling on the upper part of the wall. The painting is not included in this work.

Responsible Department: City Engineer/Public Works

Fiscal Impact: \$5,000 additional appropriation from flood mitigation Fund 127.

Public Works Operations Supervisor Billing presented the agenda item recommending the City Council adopt the Budget Amendment Resolution for the Fire-Related Building Improvements Project at the Community Center (CIP#0713-24.09) and approval of Contract Change Order #1 (CO1) in the amount of \$12,153 for additional work. This Budget Amendment is needed to increase the project budget from \$70,000 to \$75,000 due to CO1 for additional drywall work at the community center.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment: NONE

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

No further discussion.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor McLewis moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to adopt the resolution approving the Budget Amendment Resolution for the Fire-Related Building Improvements Project at the Community Center (CIP#0713-24.09) and approval of Contract Change Order #1 (CO1) in the amount of \$12,153 for additional work. This Budget Amendment is needed to increase the project budget from \$70,000 to \$75,000 due to CO1 for additional drywall work at the community center.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Adopted resolution approving the Budget Amendment Resolution for the Fire-Related Building Improvements Project at the Community Center (CIP#0713-24.09) and approval of Contract Change Order #1 (CO1) in the amount of \$12,153 for additional work. This Budget Amendment is needed to increase the project budget from \$70,000 to \$75,000 due to CO1 for additional drywall work at the community center.

Minute Order Number: 2025-207

Resolution Number: 6675-2025

16. Approve and Adoption Resolution for Police Support Services Manager:

- a. Approve a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to Retitle and Revise Police Records and Support Services Manager to Police Support Services Manager and make future non-substantive changes to related documents, and
- b. Approve an increase to the associated pay range between 6.94% and 11.16% commensurate with the market data and the increase in duties. Consultant recommends 8%, which represents the increase in scope, effect, and contacts required for the revised position (pay range: \$7,292 to \$8,863).

(Responsible Department: Human Resources Consultant/Administrative Services)

Fiscal Impact: The FY 25-26 total Fiscal impact for this item is based on the Council selected pay range and is reflected at each range below and includes the FY 25-26 budget increased insurance premiums of:

8.92% for Workers' Compensation and

7.87% for General liability, and

are shown below, by option, as follows:

Market Median (no Sheriff) 6.94% \$9,892

Factor Analysis Result 8% \$11,395

SPOA Recommendation 10% \$14,235

Mkt Median with Sheriff 11.16% \$15,905

Human Resources Consultant Deborah Muchmore, Much more Than Consulting, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council:

- a. Approve a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to Retitle and Revise Police Records and Support Services Manager to Police Support Services Manager and make future non-substantive changes to related documents, and

- b. Approve an increase to the associated pay range between 6.94% and 11.16% commensurate with the market data and the increase in duties. Consultant recommends 8%, which represents the increase in scope, effect, and contacts required for the revised position (pay range: \$7,292 to \$8,863).

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of the presenter. Council asked various questions of staff and the presenter.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment: NONE

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council continued discussion of the item.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to:

- a. Approve a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to Retitle and Revise Police Records and Support Services Manager to Police Support Services Manager and make future non-substantive changes to related documents, and
- b. Approve an increase to the associated pay range 8%, which represents the increase in scope, effect, and contacts required for the revised position (pay range: \$7,292 to \$8,863).

Council Discussion: The Council discussed the pay range.

Mayor Zollman withdrew his second.

Motion withdrawn due to lack of second.

Councilmember Hinton moved and Vice Mayor McLewis seconded the motion to:

- a. Approve a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to Retitle and Revise Police Records and Support Services Manager to Police Support Services Manager and make future non-substantive changes to related documents, and
- b. Approve an increase to the associated pay range between 6.94% and 11.16% commensurate with the market data and the increase in duties. Consultant recommends 8%, which represents the increase in scope, effect, and contacts required for the revised position (pay range: \$7,292 to \$8,863).

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: Councilmember Maurer

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved:

- a. Resolution authorizing the City Manager to Retitle and Revise Police Records and Support Services Manager to Police Support Services Manager and make future non-substantive changes to related documents, and

- b. Increase to the associated pay range between 6.94% and 11.16% commensurate with the market data and the increase in duties. Consultant recommends 8%, which represents the increase in scope, effect, and contacts required for the revised position (pay range: \$7,292 to \$8,863).

Minute Order Number: 2025-208

Resolution Number: 6676-2025

17. Adopt a Resolution, Amending the Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees/Adopt a Resolution amending Resolution 6457-2022 for the Unrepresented Employees

- 3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025
- 2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

(Responsible Department: Human Resources Consultant/Acting City Manager)

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact associated with approving the proposed pay schedule. The salary increase of \$21,890 has already been incorporated into the FY 2025–26 proposed budget. Additionally, the projected future increases in insurance premiums, including an 8.92% increase in Workers' Compensation premiums (approximately \$2,556) and a 7.87% increase in General Liability premiums (approximately \$1,120).

Acting City Manager Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council:

- a. Approve Resolution, Amending the Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees/Adopt a Resolution amending Resolution 6457-2022 for the Unrepresented Employees

3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025

2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of the presenter. Council asked various questions of staff and the presenter.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment: NONE

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

No further discussion.

MOTION:

Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to:

- a. Approve Resolution, Amending the Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees/Adopt a Resolution amending Resolution 6457-2022 for the Unrepresented Employees

3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025

2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Resolution, Amending the Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees/Adopt a Resolution amending Resolution 6457-2022 for the Unrepresented Employees

3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025

2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

Minute Order Number: 2025-209

Resolution Number: 6677-2025

18. Amendment to City Pay Rates and Ranges: Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025

- 2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

(Responsible Department: Human Resources Consultant/Acting City Manager)

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact for approving a Public Pay Schedule. The cost of the increases and related insurance premiums has been presented in Item 18 of this agenda and built into the proposed FY 25 26 Budget

Acting City Manager Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council: Approve Amendment to City Pay Rates and Ranges: Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025 and 2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of the presenter. There were none.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment: NONE

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

No further discussion.

MOTION:

Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to:

Approve Amendment to City Pay Rates and Ranges: Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025 and 2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Amendment to City Pay Rates and Ranges: Compensation and Benefits Resolution for the Unrepresented Employees3% COLA upon adoption for three positions effective July 1, 2025 and 2% COLA effective January 1, 2026 for same positions.

Minute Order Number: 2025-210

Resolution Number: 6678-2025

**19. Discussion of Amendments to City Council Protocols — Agenda Review Committee Protocol
(Authority to Cancel City Council Meetings)**

Requestor: Councilmember Hinton

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

THIS ITEM WAS CONTINUED TO A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING BY COUNCIL CONSENSUS.

City Council Action: None. Item Continued.

Minute Order Number: 2025-211

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor's discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers. NONE

COUNCILMEMBER(S) REQUESTS FOR FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS: None

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

20. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

a. Departmental Reports

Reference Order Number: 2025-212

21. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

a. Council Liaison Elderberry Commons Update/Report Out

b. Ad Hoc Fire Committee Report Out

Reference Order Number: 2025-213

22. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

June 17, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the next Regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, July 1, 2025 at **6:00 pm**, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor Zollman adjourned the June 17th 2025 Regular City Council meeting at 10:25 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary C. Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment: Zoom Transcript

141 Pages

141 Pages

>> VERY GOOD JOB, AND THANK
YOU VERY MUCH FOR COMING. HOPEFULLY
YOU'LL HANG OUT WITH US,
BUT IT'S MORE THAN UNDERSTANDABLE
IF YOU WANT TO MOVE
ON TO SOMETHING PERHAPS MORE EXCITING. SO
WE ARE MOVING RIGHT ALONG TO
PROCLAMATIONS AND TONIGHT WE DO NOT
HAVE
ANY. AND THEN MOVING TO STATEMENTS
OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND LOOKING
TO MY COLLEAGUES TO MY RIGHT, VICE
MAYOR?
>> YEAH,
I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT I HAVE JUST
A
PERCEIVED CONFLICT WHEN WE GET TO
THE BUDGET WITH THE
BIG --LINE ITEM.
>> THANK YOU. >>
AND
LOOKING TO MY LEFT, ANY CONFLICTS? SEEING
NONE, WE'RE MOVING ON, PUBLIC COMMENT. AND AGAIN,
THIS IS
FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. SO MARY,
WOULD YOU
LEAD US THROUGH THAT.
>> THANK
YOU, MAYOR. SO AS YOU JUST STATED, PUBLIC
COMMENT FOR THE FIRST PERIOD
FOR ITEMS THAT ARE NOT LISTED ON TONIGHT'S
AGENDA, IT IS A TWO-MINUTE TIME LIMIT
PER
SPEAKER. THERE IS 20 MINUTES FOR THE
FIRST PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
AND THEN THERE WILL BE ADDITIONAL 20
MINUTES AT THE OPENED OF THE MEETING
FOR A SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IF
YOU WERE NOT ABLE TO SPEAK
DURING THE FIRST ONE. I WILL GO INTO
CHAMBERS
FIRST AND THEN GO TO ZOOM, OUT TO
CHAMBERS, BACK TO
ZOOM FOR
THE FIRST 20 MINUTES,
SO OLIVER?
>> ANY NEWS
ON --COLEMAN, ANYBODY HERE
FROM --THAT ACTUALLY WORKS THERE? ACTUALLY
INTERESTED TO KNOW WHAT THE COUNCIL
-- EXCUSE ME,
IF THE COUNCIL, SORRY, THE COUNTY
HAVE ACTUALLY GOT
BACK

TO ANY OF THE CITY. IN
TERMS OF REIMBURSEMENT FOR POLICE
TIME, WHETHER WE'VE GOT THEM ORGANIZED. I
BELIEVE THERE'S
SUPPOSED TO BE AN
AGENDA ITEM EVERY --I'D BE INTERESTED
TO HEAR FEEDBACK
FROM THE COUNTY. I UNDERSTAND THE
SUPERVISORS ARE TOO BUSINESSY TO RUN
SOMETHING LIKE THE
COMMONS BY THEMSELVES, BUT IT'S IMPORTANT
THAT THEIR STAFF
ACTUALLY RUN THE PLACE PROPERLY. SO
I'D LIKE TO HEAR
IF
ANYBODY FROM THE
COUNTY IS ACTUALLY ACTUALLY REPORTED
BACK ON HOW THEY'RE FIXING
THE ISSUES AND WHAT PLANS THERE ARE
TO REIMBURSE THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. THANK
YOU.

>>

THANK YOU. ALEX, IT'S OKAY IF I RESPOND
A LITTLE BIT, BECAUSE THE REGULAR
PROMISE OF HAVING SOMETHING ON THE
AGENDA
FOR EACH MEETING IS
NOW UNDER THE
REPORT

OUTS. SO THAT IS
UNDER 21 A, AND YES, THERE HAS BEEN
DISCOURSE AND ALL
OF THAT IS LISTED IN THAT PARTICULAR
REPORT OUT. SO I
THINK THAT'S ALL I CAN
SAY BY WAY OF RESPONDING. MARY?

>>

THANK YOU. SO NEXT
I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S
ANYONE IN ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS
NOT ON THE AGENDA. KATE, CAN YOU UNMUTE
YOURSELF,
PLEASE?

>> YES. >> THANK YOU, CAN
YOU
SEE THE TIMER?

>> YES. >> GREAT, GO
AHEAD WITH
YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

>> I

SUBMITTED A WRITTEN COMMENT REGARDING
THE PROCESS FOR MAKING THE WATER
AND SEWER ENTERPRISE FUNDS INTO A

SPECIAL DISTRICT THAT
WOULD BE UNDER THE CONTROL OF A
BOARD SOLELY FOCUSED ON WATER
AND SEWER. THIS IS NECESSARY FOR SEVERAL
REASONS,
THE FIRST IS THAT THE COMBINED REVENUE
FOR
WATER AND SEWER IS OVER \$8.4 MILLION
AND IS
THE LARGEST POOL
OF MONEY THAT THE CITY COLLECTS. IT
IS LARGER THAN PROPERTY
TAX AND LARGER THAN SALES TAX. IT
IS OVER TEN TIMES THE
AMOUNT COLLECTED FOR TOT. THERE'S
MINIMUM TRANSPARENCY AROUND
WHY BILLING AND LEGAL FEES ARE 10
TO 20
TIMES HIGHER THAN NEIGHBORING
DISTRICTS. THE COST ALLOCATION MODEL
CHARGES RATE
PAYERS FOR ADMINISTRATION
LIKE CITY CLERK, CITY COUNCIL, AND
CITY MANAGER WHICH ARE
NOT NECESSARY TO RUN A WATER AND SEWER
DISTRICT. THE WATER AND SEWER
INFRASTRUCTURE HAS GONE LARGELY UNATTENDED. PUBLIC WORKS
IS OVERWHELMED BY THE
VARIETY OF THEIR DUTIES FROM CLEANING
PUBLIC
SPACES TO REPAIRING ROADS TO SETTING
UP FOR HOLIDAY EVENTS. THE FORMATION
OF A
SEPARATE AND DISTINCT WATER AND SEWER
DISTRICT WOULD
PROVIDE FOCUS AND MANAGEMENT
ON THE WATER AND
SEWER SYSTEM, TOTAL FINANCIAL TRANSPARENCY,
BE PROP 218 COMPLIANT, AND ELIMINATE
UNNECESSARY EXPENSES TO
FREE UP MONEY FOR MORE
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FOR WATER AND
SEWER. ON
ANOTHER
NOTE, I WAS SHOCKED BY SUPERVISOR
HUCKINS TREATMENT OF THE CITY IN REGARDS
TO ELDERBERRY COMMONS. WHY IN THE
WORLD WOULD SHE CRITICIZE OUR
COUNCIL WHEN SHE SHOULD HAVE HAD A
MEETING
DAY ONE TO DISCUSS PROTOCOLS AND
PROBLEMS WITH ALL THE STAKEHOLDERS
INVOLVED? WHERE'S THE
APOLL JOY COUNCIL, TO OUR POLICE,

TO OUR
RESIDENTS TO OUR
SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS, TO
SEBASTOPOL TAXPAYERS. SHOULDN'T THE
SUPERVISOR SAY I'M
SORRY --
>>
KATE, THIS IS TWO
MINUTES.
>> --WHY ARE SEBASTOPOL TAXPAYERS
PAYING FOR --
>>
I THINK THAT IS TIME, THANK YOU.
>>
THANK
YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT
I WILL COME
BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE
IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO
MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM
NOT
ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. OKAY. I'LL COME
TO YOU.
>> WITHOUT CRYING, RIGHT? OKAY,
BURBANK HOUSING NEEDS TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE
AND ENFORCE LEASES. THIS IS IN REGARDS
TO ELDERBERRY COMMONS. MY NAME, I
HAVE SPOKEN AT A
PREVIOUS MEETING TWO WEEKS
AGO. I'M A RESIDENT AT
ELDERBERRY COMMONS. I HAVE A
ROOM COSTING
TAXPAYERS ALMOST \$1,800 A MONTH. BECAUSE
OF MY SAFETY
AND OTHER RESIDENT'S SAFETY, IT'S
NOT PROTECTED. I DO NOT FEEL
VALUED BY BURBANK HOUSING. I READ
THE LETTER ABOUT SOLUTIONS. IN
THE LETTER, MOST OF ALL THE BULLET
POINTS ALL ABOUT MEETINGS AND ONLY
METHODS GOING
FORWARD. NOTHING ADDRESSES THE CURRENT
SAFETY OF THE
CURRENT RESIDENTS. FIRST OF ALL, THE
ON-SITE PROPERTY MANAGER
HAS ABSOLUTELY NO EFFECT ON THE
OUTLANDISH BEHAVIOR SHOWN BY PEOPLE WHO
REGULARLY VIOLATE THE RULES. FOR EXAMPLE,
I SAW THE PROPERTY MANAGER RUN ACROSS
THE COURTYARD IN
AN ATTEMPT TO ENFORCE THE RULES. A
VIOLATOR
SLAMMED THE DOOR ON THE PROPERTY MANAGER'S
FACE AND THEY BASICALLY

JUST IGNORED HER. THE PROPERTY MANAGER COULD NOT KEEP THE PEACE AT THE PROPERTY. THE LEVEL OF CRIME RISES ABOVE THE ABILITY OF

THE PROPERTY MANAGER TO CONTAIN. I TALKED TO ALL THE SECURITY GUARDS. NONE OF THEM ARE ACTUALLY ENFORCING THE BASIC RULES. THEY HAVE ALL TOLD ME THAT THEY ARE AFRAID TO ASK PEOPLE TO SHOW THEIR IDs, BECAUSE THEY FEAR HARM ON THEMSELVES. IMAGINE HOW I FEEL. I AM NOT A SECURITY GUARD, I'M JUST A PERSON TRYING TO LIVE HERE. THE COPS CAN ONLY COME WHEN THERE IS A SERIOUS CRIME, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, AND TIMES I AND OTHER PEOPLE HAVE BEEN DIRECTLY THREATENED. THE COPS CANNOT BE THERE 24/7 TO PREVENT THIS. BURBANK HOUSING NEEDS TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE. ONE OF THE THINGS PROPOSED BY HOPKINS, DISTRICT FIVE SUPERVISOR, IS SHE PROPOSES TO BUILD A COMMUNITY GARDEN --

>>

YOU'VE GOT ABOUT 15 SECONDS.

>> OKAY. --THREATENED BY CRAZY RESIDENTS, AND LINDA HOPKINS IS TAKING ABOUT SOLVING THE PROBLEM BY BUILDING A GARDEN. WAKE UP. YOU DON'T NEED A COLLEGE DEGREE IN COMMUNITY HOUSING TO NOW LEASING NEEDS TO BE ENFORCED. BURBANK HOUSING NEEDS TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE.

>>

THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

>> --FOR

BEING THE ONE THAT'S BEEN CONSISTENTLY SUPPORTING.

>> THANK YOU FOR

YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM FIRST, AND THEN I'LL COME BACK. IS THERE ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? IF SO, PLEASE RAISE

YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA? SEEING

NONE,
I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.
>> MY NAME IS HELEN BALM,
I'M ON THE HEALTH ACTION COALITION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE. I WOULD
LIKE
TO PUT IN A
REQUEST FOR A PROGRAM TO --SIMILAR
AND
IN RESPONSE TO THEY HAVE IN
SANTA ROSA AND ROHNERT PARK TO
HELP DEAL WITH PEOPLE
THAT HAVE BEHAVIORAL ISSUES AND
COORDINATE -- AND
HAVE THERAPISTS COORDINATE WITH LAW
ENFORCEMENT. THOSE PROGRAMS ARE VERY
SUCCESSFUL IN THOSE
TWO CITIES AND WOULD PROBABLY -- AND
I DON'T HAVE FIGURES OFF THE TOP OF
MY HEAD, BUT
PROBABLY WOULD
BE COST EFFECTIVE IF ADEQUATELY RESEARCHED.
SO WE DEFINITELY COULD USE MORE HELP
UP WITH BEHAVIORAL ACTING OUT. WHICH
IS AN ISSUE EVERYWHERE. AND
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
>> THANK YOU,
HELEN, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT
I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE
ON ZOOM THAT
WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT
FOR
AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA,
PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING
NONE,
I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.
>> HEY, EVERYBODY,
MY NAME IS MICHAEL ZIEGLER. THIS IS
LUCIANA. SORRY, WE'RE
DRESSED DOWN, WE JUST GOT BACK FROM
SOFTBALL. SHE
PLAYS COMPETITIVE SOFTBALL FOR THE
WEST COUNTY WILDCATS AND
JUST MADE THE GOLD TEAM FOR SANTA
ROSA
UNITED FOR THE SECOND YEAR. SO SHE'S
THRIVING DESPITE
THE SCENARIO WE'VE BEEN PLACED INTO. AS
OF THURSDAY MORNING, ME AND
HER HAVE NOWHERE TO
GO. I HAVEN'T GOTTEN ANY ACCOUNTABILITY
FROM ANYBODY REGARDING
THE SITUATION. THE ONLY PEOPLE
THAT HAVE HELPED ME IS LAURA, THE

VICE MAYOR
RIGHT THERE, I'M SORRY, I DON'T KNOW
YOUR NAME. I APPRECIATE THE
KIND WORDS THE REST OF YOU GUYS
HAD LAST TIME. YOU GUYS SEEMED VERY CONCERNED. LINDA
HOPKINS, SINCE BEING MADE AWARE OF
THE SITUATION, WHICH I WAS TOLD
WAS LAST MONDAY, HAS BEEN
TREMENDOUSLY HELPFUL AND GONE OUT OF
HER WAY TO TALK TO ME
AND MY DAUGHTER. IT APPEARS AS IF
THERE IS A LONG-TERM PLAN IN
PLACE FOR ME
AND MY DAUGHTER. THANKS TO
LINDA HOPKINS. I HAVEN'T --I MEAN,
BURBANK HOUSING HASN'T EVEN,
LIKE, HAD THE NERVE TO, LIKE, APOLOGIZE. THERE
APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN JUST ZERO ACCOUNTABILITY
TO
THIS POINT. AS FAR AS MY KNOWLEDGE,
LET ME KNOW
IF I'M WRONG. HAS ANYBODY APOLOGIZED
FOR ANYTHING
THAT'S HAPPENED HERE BESIDES
ME? OR ACKNOWLEDGED ANY WRONG DOING? OR
AM I THE ONLY PERSON THAT
IS STANDING UP HERE AND DOING SO? AND
HOW COME THEY'RE WAITING UNTIL MIDNIGHT THE
NIGHT BEFORE A
HOTEL EXPIRES ON A WEEKLY BASIS FOR
THIS LITTLE GIRL TO KNOW WHERE SHE'S
GOING TO BE LIVING THE NEXT DAY. WHAT
DID SHE
OR I DO TO DESERVE THAT? I AM
YET TO GET ANY RESPONSES. NOBODY
FROM BURBANK HOUSING IS RETURNING
VOICEMAILS. I'M SPEAKING TO THEM IN
THE SAME
MANNER AS I AM RIGHT NOW.
>> MICHAEL,
YOU HAVE ABOUT 15
SECONDS.
>> THANK YOU GUYS SO MUCH. >>
THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT
I
WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. PLEASE RAISE
YOUR
HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE,
I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.
>> GOOD AFTERNOON. OH, MICROPHONE,
SKIP JARLS, JUST A COUPLE OF ITEMS
I'D LIKE TO BRING UP. LOCAL COUNTY
EMERGENCY
MANAGERS ARE SUGGESTING A SHIFT

FROM RESPONSE TO PREPAREDNESS AND RESIL-
LIENTS AND I JUST
WANT TO POINT THAT OUT. AND ALSO
FROM THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE
RECENTLY THERE'S BEEN A NOTE
THAT FOR EVERY
DOLLAR SPENT ON PREPAREDNESS, THERE'S
A SAVINGS OF BETWEEN
\$13 AND \$18 FOR RESPONSE AND RECOVERY,
WHICH IS
A PRETTY INTERESTING FIGURE, I THINK. AND THAT
ACTUALLY HAS
SHIFTED FROM BEING SOMETHING
CLOSE TO \$7 PREVIOUSLY. AND ALSO WANT
TO
POINT OUT THAT PREPAREDNESS SHOWS
UP
42 TIMES IN OUR DRAFT
EOP.

>> SORRY, SIR, ALEX, THIS IS
ON TOPIC RIGHT, BECAUSE WE'RE
GETTING INTO THE EOP, ALL OF THAT,
IS
IT ON TOPIC OR IS IT --

>>
IF IT'S ABOUT THE EOP, THAT
COULD WAIT. IT SEEMS LIKE IT'S ABOUT
A FEW DIFFERENT
THINGS.

>> OKAY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE
SURE THAT WE'RE NOT
COVERING WHAT'S
ACTUALLY ON THE AGENDA.

>> RIGHT. SO
ARE WE SAYING THAT
I AM?

>> IT DOES SEEM
LIKE, I MEAN, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG,
IT SEEMED LIKE THERE
WERE COMMENTS LEADING TOWARDS THE
EOP, THOSE SORT OF THINGS,
BUT IF YOUR COMMENTS ARE SOMETHING
THAT ARE
NOT ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CONTINUE.

>>
WELL, I'M
NOT COMMENTING ABOUT ANYTHING SPECIFICALLY
FROM THE EOP, BUT I AM
COMMENTING ABOUT ASPECTS OF THE EOP, SO
IS THAT
SOMETHING THEN THAT WOULD NEED TO
WAIT.

>>. >> WHY
DON'T YOU WAIT UNTIL THAT AGENDA ITEM.

>>
GOOD ENOUGH, ALL
RIGHT, THANK YOU.
>> THANK YOU, SKIP,
FOR
YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I'LL GO
BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF
THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD
LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE
YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING
NONE, I WILL COME BACK INTO
CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD
LIKE TO MAKE A
COMMENT ON SOMETHING NOT ON THE
AGENDA? SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT
IS CLOSED.
>> I'LL TRY TO READ
THEM AND BE ENTERTAINING ALL AT THE
SAME
TIME. CONCEPT ITEM NUMBER ONE, APPROVAL
OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF
THE REGULAR MEETING OF
JUNE 3, 2025. NO FISCAL IMPACT. NUMBER
TWO,
APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE SONOMA
COUNTY COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION FOR THE ADMINISTRATION
OF
SEBASTOPOL'S MOBILE HOME RENT STABILIZATION
PROGRAM. AND AS FAR
AS FISCAL IMPACT, THERE IS NO FISCAL
IMPACT WITH
THE APPROVAL
OF THIS ITEM. NUMBER THREE, APPROVAL
OF WAIVING OF SECOND READING,
ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE OF THE
OFFICE OF STATE FIRE MARSHAL FIRE
HAZARD SEVERITY MAP. AGAIN,
NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED. NUMBER
FOUR, RECEIPT OF INFORMATIONAL
ITEM UPDATED FROM
JUNE 3, 2025 OF THE PRESENTATION. IT
SHOULD
BE NOTED THAT SPECIFIC INFORMATION
ON ANY CLAIMS RELATED TO
SEBASTOPOL IS CONFIDENTIAL AND CAN
NOT
BE RELEASED. NO FISCAL IMPACT. OF
NOTE, THOUGH, PREMIUMS ARE
INCLUDED ANNUALLY IN THE CITY'S
BUDGET. A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN
CLAIMS COULD RESOLVE IN FUTURE IMPACTS
TO THE GENERAL FUND. NUMBER FIVE,

SAFE STREETS
AND ROADS FOR ALL,
FISCAL YEAR 2025, SUPPLEMENTAL PLANNING AND/OR
DEMONSTRATION GRANT APPLICATION
AND AUTHORIZING THE ACTING
CITY MANAGER TO SIGN
THE LETTER. THEIR FISCAL IMPACT, IF
AWARDED, LOCAL MATCH OF APPROXIMATELY
\$20,000 WILL BE DUE IN '26/'26,
NEEDING TO BE ALLOCATED FROM THE GENERAL
FUND. NUMBER SIX, ADOPTION
OF RESOLUTION APPROVING SB1 LIST OF
STREETS. AND
THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED
WITH THAT. NUMBER SEVEN, APPROVAL
OF LETTER OF INTENT AND
TO AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER
TO SIGN A LETTER TO THE
SONOMA COUNTY AGRICULTURE
AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT
FOR THE AMERICORPS TRAIL EXTENSION
PROJECT. AND
THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED
WITH THIS. AND MAYOR, I WAS GOING
TO ASK YOU ABOUT NUMBER EIGHT. IT
SAYS THAT THIS IS NOT
GOING TO BE CONSIDERED TONIGHT, SO I
DO NOT NEED
TO READ THAT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT. THAT
ITEM HAS BEEN PULLED --WILL BE
PULLED FROM THE AGENDA TO A
FUTURE MEETING.
>> GREAT. I BELIEVE THAT
IS ALL. I'M LOOKING TO
MY RIGHT TO SEE IF ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES
WOULD LIKE TO PULL
ANYTHING. AND I'M SEEING NO TO THE
LEFT. SEEING
NONE, MAYOR, CAN WE GO TO
PUBLIC COMMENT?
>> THIS IS FOR ITEMS
ONE THROUGH SEVEN. IF
YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC
COMMENT, PLEASE, I'LL GO TO CHAMBERS
FIRST. SEEING NONE, OUT TO ZOOM. IF
THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD
LIKE TO MAKE
A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ANY OF THE
CONCEPT CALENDAR ITEMS ONE THROUGH
SEVEN, PLEASE RAISE YOUR
HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, THERE
IS NO PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> THANK YOU,

AND I JUST
WANT TO SAY, THANK YOU
TO AMY CONLEY FROM SIERRA FOR BEING
HERE IN
CASE ANYONE WANTED
TO PULL THAT. SO IS THERE A
MOTION, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?
>> I'LL
MOVE THE
CONSENT CALENDAR AS YOU
READ IT EARLIER, ITEMS
ONE THROUGH SEVEN.
>> SO MOVED
TO APPROVE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS
ONE THROUGH SEVEN WITH NUMBER EIGHT
BEING CONTINUED
TO A FUTURE
MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?
>>
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? COUNCILMEMBER?
>>
AYE.
>>
MAYOR ZOLLMAN?
>> YES. >> MOTION PASSES
UNANIMOUSLY.
>> GREAT,
MOVING ON TO INFORMATIONAL ITEMS,
PRESENTATIONS NUMBER
NINE, INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION AND
THE STATUS
OF EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN, EMERGENCY
OPERATIONS CENTER ASSESSMENT. AS
FAR AS FISCAL IMPACT, THERE IS NO
FISCAL IMPACT
WITH THE RECEIPT OF THE PRESENTATION, HOWEVER,
FUNDING HAS
BEEN PROPOSED IN THE FISCAL YEAR
'5/'26 BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF
\$150,000 FOR AN EMERGENCY GENERATOR
AND
\$40,000 FOR ASSESS MANY. AND EQUIPMENT
FOR THE EMERGENCY OPERATION CENTER. AND I
UNDERSTAND
THE RESPONSIBLE
DEPARTMENT WOULD BE OUR POLICE CHIEF.
CHIEF? THANK YOU, CHIEF.
>> GOOD EVEN.
EVENING. HAVE
ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS ON TOP OF
THE
STAFF REPORT THAT I COULD ADDRESS?
>>
ANYTHING THAT

YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD AS SUPPLEMENTAL
TO WHAT'S IN THE STAFF
REPORT?
>> NOT IN PARTICULAR. I THINK
OUR ABILITY TO ADVANCE
THROUGH THE TIMEFRAMES THAT ARE PROPOSING
THAT
STAFF REPORT REALLY DEFEND ON COUNCIL
GOALS
FOR NEXT YEAR THAT I HAVE
PLACED UPON THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. STAFFING,
FUNDING, AT THE MOMENT, OUR ABILITY
TO
FOCUS ON
THAT PARTICULAR PROJECT IS QUITE RESTRICTED
JUST BECAUSE OF
STAFFING ISSUES. YOU KNOW, OUR CAPTAIN'S
ON RESTRICTED HOURS CURRENTLY,
AND WE'RE IN A TRANSITION PERIOD WITH
A PROMOTION IN PROCESS. AND
THAT WILL THEN OPEN UP ANOTHER SUPERVISOR
POSITION. SO THERE'LL
BE VACANCIES THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT
THAT
WE'RE ADDRESSING. AND THE DAY-TO-DAY
OPERATIONS OF THE POLICE
DEPARTMENT IMPACT OUR ABILITY TO FOCUS
SOLELY
ON OUR REVIEW OF THE
EOP AND THE BOC REVISIONS THAT NEED
TO BE MADE. BUT WE DO HAVE STEPS
IN PLACE, AND WE'VE GOT MEETINGS
WITH OTHER AGENCIES
THAT HAVE RECENTLY UPDATED THEIR
EOC, SEE WHAT THEY'VE DONE, INTEGRATIVE
TECHNOLOGY, THINGS
WE CAN DO TO STREAMLINE OUR PROCESS
AND SAVE SIGNIFICANT RESEARCH TIME,
SEE WHAT WORKS,
WHAT DOESN'T, WITH A VIEW TO STREAMLINING
AND SPEEDING UP
THAT END GOAL WE'RE LOOKING FOR. BECAUSE
WE
UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATIONS
OF NOT HAVING THAT EOP FINALIZED. I MEAN,
IT'S STILL SOMETHING THAT WE
HAVE --WE CAN ENACT THE
EOC TOMORROW, AND THAT
PLAN IS PURELY SOME FRAMEWORK THAT
WOULD RUN
BY. BY STAFFING, THINGS LIKE THAT
WILL DEFINITELY IMPACT OUR
ABILITY TO ADHERE TO CERTAIN THINGS
WITHIN

THAT, YOU KNOW, PLAN. SO IT'S REALLY
A
FLUID DOCUMENT IN MANY WAYS.
>> OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS
FROM MY
COLLEAGUES BEFORE I GO TO THE PUBLIC? LOOKING
TO MY
RIGHT, TO MY LEFT.
>> THANK YOU
FOR LOOKING AT THIS. I DO THINK WITH
FIRES AND EARTHQUAKES SO LIKELY,
LIKE, THIS IS A GREAT THING
TO LOOK AT. I'M WONDERING IF YOU COULD
COME BACK AT SOME POINT, LET US
KNOW WHAT SOME OF THE OTHER AREAS
ARE DOING AND WHO
YOU'RE WORKING WITH JUST SO WE'RE
KIND OF
KEPT ABREAST OF THE PROCESS.
>> ABSOLUTELY. SAN
RAFAEL, I CAN TELL YOU NOW, SAN RAFAEL
HAVE OFFERED UP THEIR RECENTLY REFURBISHED
EOC AS SOMETHING WE
CAN GO LOOK AT. MET THEM
AT A RECENT TRAINING WHERE WE NETWORK
WHEN WE'RE
THERE. THOSE RELATIONSHIPS ARE IMPORTANT. SO
ONE OF THEIR LIEUTENANTS BROUGHT US
DOWN TO
CHECK OUT WHAT THEY HAVE DONE AND
DISCUSS THE THINGS
THEY'RE HAPPY WITH, THE THINGS THEY
WOULDN'T
DO AGAIN. HOPEFULLY WE CAN BASE
OUR PROJECTIONS AND
PLANS FROM THAT BECAUSE THEY ARE SIMILAR. THEY'RE
SIMILAR
IN MANY WAYS.
>> YES, GO AHEAD. >>
THIS IS MORE OF A COMMENT,
BUT YEAH, I DON'T SEE REASON TO REINVENT
THE
WHEEL. AND SO I THINK LOOKING AT THEM
AND GETTING IT STRAIGHT FROM THEM
IS IS
FAIR THING TO DO AT FIRST.
>> AND
WE DEFINITELY
WORK WITH OUR LOCAL PARTNERS AS WELL. WE
HAVE REGULAR MEETINGS
EVERY MONTH WITH OTHER CHIEFS AND
THE SHERIFF. SO WE
--THAT'S A REGULAR, YOU KNOW, AGENDA
ITEM, SO TO SPEAK, WHERE WE NETWORK AND

BOUNCE IDEAS OFF
EACH OTHER WITH THAT SORT OF
THING. BUT TO ME IT SEEMS ADVANTAGEOUS
TO
OBTAIN WHATEVER INFORMATION WE CAN
FROM OTHER AGENCIES
AND COLLECT THAT ALL AND HOPEFULLY
DO WHAT'S
BEST FOR THE RESIDENTS OF SEBASTOPOL. BUT
WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE FIRE DANGER
IS ABSOLUTELY ON OUR DOORSTEP RIGHT
NOW AND
WE WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH THE
CHIEF AND HIS TEAM TO WORK COLLABORATIVELY
AND BE PREPARED FOR THAT. SO
REGARDLESS OF WHERE THE EOP IS AT
RIGHT THIS
EXACT MOMENT, IT'S ALREADY AN ESTABLISHED
DOCUMENT THAT'S
BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE
CITY. WE'RE READY FOR WHATEVER EVENT AWAITS.
>>

I APOLOGIZE IF
YOU MENTIONED THIS ALREADY AND I DIDN'T
HEAR IT, BUT I'M JUST LOOKING -- I
HEARD WHAT YOU WERE SAYING ABOUT
STAFFING BEING CHALLENGING AND I AGREE
WITH THE NOT
REINVENTING
THE WHEEL, BUT I'M JUST WONDERING
AS FAR AS PRIORITIES AND METRICS,
IS THIS LIST HERE OF THE,
YOU KNOW, DIFFERENT ITEMS THAT NEED
TO BE ADDRESSED, IS THERE A
PRIORITY LIST FOR THAT? OR HOW DO WE
FEEL LIKE WE'RE TAKING ONE
CHIP AT A TIME AT THIS SO WE FEEL
WE'RE ACCOMPLISHING IT
SOMEHOW, EVEN IF IT JUST IS LITTLE
BITS OF THE TIME.

>> WHAT
WE DID IS
WE PROVIDED THE EOP TO ANDREA STRAWMAN
THAT WORKED FOR THE COUNTY. SHE'S
JUST RECENTLY
LEFT, BUT AN EXTREMELY KNOWLEDGEABLE
LADY
INVOLVED IN
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT. SHE REVIEWED
THE PLAN AND GUIDED
US ON SOME THINGS WE MIGHT NEED TO
MAKE ADJUSTMENTS
TO MOVING FORWARD THAT MIGHT STREAMLINE
THE PROCESS FOR THE CITY

AS WELL IN ANY UPDATES THAT ARE MADE
TO THAT DOCUMENT
IN THE FUTURE TO MINIMIZE IMPACT ON
COUNCIL AND THAT DOCUMENT REVIEW. SO
CAPTAIN NELSON'S BEEN WORKING
ON THAT WHEN HE CAN AROUND DAILY OPERATIONS AT
THE
POLICE DEPARTMENT, GETTING BACK TO
THE STAFFING
ASPECT OF IT, IT'S THE DAILY OPERATIONS
IMPACT A LOT OF OUR TIME
TO BE ABLE TO SIT DOWN AND FOCUS SOLELY
ON A
REVIEW OF THAT PARTICULAR
DOCUMENT. BECAUSE IT'S VERY INVOLVED. IT'S
DETAILED. THERE'S A LOT OF OTHER REFERENCE
MATERIAL THAT
WE NEED TO
REFER TO WHILE WORKING
THROUGH THAT.
>> SO THE TIMELINE THAT YOU
LAID OUT, ARE YOU
SAYING THAT IS REALISTIC TO ACHIEVE
OR
IT'S NOT REALISTIC TO ACHIEVE?
>>
IT'S
OUR BEST GUESS, SIR. IT'S DIFFICULT
PUT AN EXACT TIMEFRAME ON
IT BECAUSE IT DEPENDS ON THE GOALS
WE
RECEIVE FROM THE COUNCIL. THINGS YOU
DEEM TO BE
IMPORTANT AND HOW THEY IMPACT OUR
LIMITED STAFFING AND TIME.
>>
OKAY, I'M GOING TO DIRECT THE QUESTION
TO MARY, THEN,
THE TIMELINE. BECAUSE I'VE HEARD THAT
THAT CAN
BE IMPACTED BY OTHER COUNCIL GOALS. THE
COUNCIL GOALS
ARE CLEAR ABOUT ONE THING, SAFETY. SO
GIVEN
WHAT I'VE BEEN HEARING,
ARE YOU CONFIDENT, GIVEN THE FACT
THE CHIEF REPORTS TO YOU,
OF KEEPING THIS DEADLINE?
>> I AM
CONFIDENT. THIS
PLAN HAS BEEN REVIEWED
BY THE COUNTY OES. WE ARE MAKING IT
CONSISTENT. I DID TALK TO
THE CAPTAIN WHO HAS BEEN WORKING ON

THIS. WITHIN THE NEXT
TWO TO FOUR
MONTHS, THERE ARE SOME DELIVERABLES THEY
WILL BE DELIVERING.
>> MY REQUEST
IS IF THERE IS
SOMETHING THAT WILL CAUSE A DELAY,
WILL YOU BRING IT
TO OUR ATTENTION?
>> YES, WE WILL. >> BRING
IT THROUGH ME OR
ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES.
>> WE COULD
DO IT AS A REPORT
OUT AS TO WHY WE'RE NOT
GOING TO MEET THE DELIVERABLES, BUT
I KNOW
WE'RE WORKING
WITH
THE COUNTY'S OES.
>> OKAY, GREAT,
SORRY, VICE MAYOR.
>> IS IT
SAFE TO
ASSUME WE HAVE
A CONTINGENCY PLAN? I KNOW HAVING
WORKED IN THE HOSPITAL AND HAVING
TO
DO THE DISASTER DRILLS AND ALL THAT
STUFF THAT
IF YOU DON'T HAVE OTHER CONTINGENCY
PLANS IN THE
WORKS, IT CAN
BECOME CHAOTIC. SO I JUST WONDERED.
>>
THE BOTTOM LINE IS THE PLAN
WE CURRENTLY HAVE IS THE PLAN
THAT'S BEEN -- BY COUNCIL.
>>
OKAY.
>> THAT'S WHAT WE'LL BE FOLLOWING
TO
THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY. IT'S
STAFFING DEPENDENT AND RESOURCE DEPENDENT. WE'LL
WORK WITH OUR STAKEHOLDERS IN THE
COUNTY DEPENDING ON WHAT THE EMERGENCY
IS. THERE'S A
MILLION DIFFERENT VARIABLES THAT MAY
BE
COMPETITION FOR RESOURCES THAT IMPACTS
OUR ABILITY TO FOLLOW CERTAIN STEPS INTO
IT. END
OF THE DAY WE'LL FOLLOW THE BEST WE
CAN AND DELIVER

THE BEST SERVICE WE CAN TO THE
CITY AND ITS RESIDENTS.
>> ANY OTHER
QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO
THE PUBLIC, LOOKING TO THE RIGHT,
LOOKING TO THE
LEFT, NO, MARY?
>> BEFORE I GO TO
PUBLIC
COMMENT, ONE NOTATION AS
WELL. AS STATED IN STAFF REPORT, WITH
THE MERGING OF THE VOLUNTEERS WITH
THE GOLD RIDGE FIRE
DISTRICT, OUR CODE
HAS TO BE AMENDED TO HAVE THE CHIEF
BE THE
POLICE CHIEF. WE NEED TO DO THAT
SOONER RATHER THAN LATER. WE'LL BRING
THAT BACK, MAKE
SURE THAT'S IN PLACE.
>> THANK YOU
FOR NOTING THAT,
CONSIDERING WE HAVE AN AGENDA REVIEW
MEETING NEXT TUESDAY. SO THANK
YOU.
>> THANK YOU, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
ON THE
PRESENTATION OF THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
PLAN. IF YOU WOULD LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT,
SIT A TWO-MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT. IF THERE'S
ANYONE
IN CHAMBERS, GO.
>> ALL RIGHT, WE'LL
TRY AGAIN. SO IT SEEMS LIKE A REALLY
GOOD
TIME TO BRING BACK UP THE OPPORTUNITY
THAT SEBASTOPOL HAS BY VIRTUE OF
HOW MUCH PREPAREDNESS WE'VE BEEN
WORKING ON OVER
THE YEARS. THAT COULD HAVE A DISTINCT
IMPACT ON
AN EMERGENCY THAT MIGHT HAPPEN, THEREBY
RELIEVING SOME OF
THE EFFECT THAT THE PD OR
THE FIRE DEPARTMENT MIGHT FEEL
DURING AN EMERGENCY. SO JUST
WANT TO BRING THAT BACK UP. SO
ONCE AGAIN, EVERY DOLLAR IN PREPAREDNESS,
\$13 TO \$18
MANY SAVINGS DURING THE TIME OF AN
EMERGENCY, THOSE ARE SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS. AND
WE DIDN'T MAKE THEM UP.
THEY COME FROM THE BUDGET --THE CONGRESSIONAL

BUDGET
OFFICE. AND THE OTHER ITEM THAT I'D
WANTED TO BRING UP, JUST
AS REFERENCE TO
WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE LOCALLY, PREPAREDNESS
SHOWS UP
42 TIMES IN
THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
PLAN. AND RESPONSE SHOWS UP 174 TIMES. SO JUST
TO USE
THAT AS A METRIC OF A MINIMAL METRIC,
I MEAN, THE IDEA OF
RESPONSE, AS
TALK ABOUT FROM SONOMA
COUNTY DEPARTMENT --PREPAREDNESS AND
MITIGATION AND THAT THOSE SHOULD BE
--THOSE
SHOULD BE THE PRIMARY AREAS THAT
FOCUS IS PAID. AND
MAYBE THE OPPORTUNITY THAT CHIEF McDONOGH IS OFFERING IS
FOR THE PUBLIC TO
BE ABLE TO HAVE SOME INPUT TO THAT
AND
FOR THE PUBLIC TO BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE
IN WHATEVER WAYS THAT WE CAN.
>>
SKIP, YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.
>>
THANKS. I KNOW THERE ARE A LOT OF
PEOPLE ASSOCIATED WITH
THE PROGRAM THAT ARE ALSO VERY INTERESTED
TO PARTICIPATE IN
ANY WAY THEY CAN. THANK YOU.
>> THANK
YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT
I
WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CHIEF, I'M GOING
TO ASK YOU TO SIT
FOR A FEW WHILE WE'RE DOING PUBLIC
COMMENT. THANK
YOU.
>> IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM
THAT WOULD LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. ROBERT,
CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF
PLEASE. THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE
TIMER?
>>
I
CAN.
>> GREAT, GO AHEAD, PLEASE. >>
OKAY. I FIND THIS PLAN COMPLETELY
UNREALISTIC. THERE ARE 19 POSITIONS
THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE FILLED IN THE

ORGANIZATION
CHART IN ORDER FOR THIS PLAN TO BE
ENACTED. I DON'T THINK THERE'S 19
PEOPLE
IN CITY STAFF THAT ARE LIKELY TO BE
HERE IN
ANY KIND OF AN EMERGENCY UNLESS IT'S
JUST FLAT OUT THEY COULDN'T GET
OUT OF TOWN AND THEY HAPPEN TO BE
HERE AT WORK. AND I'M NOT SURE
THERE'S 19 STAFF THAT MEET THE QUALIFICATIONS
THAT
YOU WOULD WANT TO HAVE
FILLING THESE POSITIONS. NOT DISPARAGING
PEOPLE, BUT THIS
ISN'T THEIR JOB. THERE'S 238 PAGES. I
LOVE THIS STUFF AND I HAVE A
HARD TIME READING THROUGH IT. I CAN'T
IMAGINE HOW PEOPLE WHO
ARE GOING TO IMPLEMENT THIS ARE GOING
TO
READ THROUGH ALL OF THIS, PARTICULARLY
IF THERE'S AN -- THERE'S
BEEN AN EARTHQUAKE OR IF LS A FIRE
MOVING INTO THE
AREA, WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE. IT JUST
SEEMS UNREALISTIC FOR
A FOUND OUR SIZE TO BE TRYING TO IMPLEMENT
SOMETHING THAT --YOU KNOW, SOME PLACE
LIKE
SAN RAFAEL COULD PROBABLY DO
IN THEIR SLEEP. I ALSO FEEL LIKE
THERE'S NOT ENOUGH IN THIS
PLAN ABOUT WHO AND HOW IS
THE CITY EMPLOYEES OR THE COUNTY, WHOMEVER'S
RESPONSIBLE FOR IT,
GOING TO GET TO RESIDENTS WHO MIGHT
BE --YOU
KNOW, IF IT'S AN EARTHQUAKE, MIGHT
BE TRAP
OR STRANDED. THERE'S REALLY NOTHING
IN THIS PLAN THAT TALKS
ABOUT GETTING CITY EMPLOYEES OUT HELPING
THE COMMUNITY
AS OPPOSED TO CITY EMPLOYEES MOVING
COMPUTER
TERMINALS TO THE EOC. WHERE ARE WE
GOING
TO --WHERE WILL SHELTERS
BE? WE HAVE A WELL. THEORETICALLY
WE
CAN PUMP WATER. WATER'S CRITICAL TO
KEEPING PEOPLE ALIVE. WHERE WILL PEOPLE
GO TO GET WATTER? WHERE WILL THEY

GO
TO GET WATER? HOW'S
THE CITY GOING TO COMMUNICATE WITH
RESIDENTS? WE'RE PROBABLY NOT GOING
TO HAVE CELLPHONE BECAUSE
PG&E SHUTS OFF THE POWER. YOU CAN
PROBABLY GET
A.M. RADIO, BUT WHICH STATION SHOULD
PEOPLE
TUNE TO? THE CITY SHOULD BE MAKING
ANNOUNCEMENTS SO THE CITY
CAN MAKE ANNOUNCEMENTS WHEN THEY CAN
AND MAKE --
>> ROBERT,
THAT'S TWO MINUTES.
>> THERE'S NOTHING
THAT SAYS HOW WE
COORDINATE WITH GOLD RIDGE. THAT'S
IT, THANKS.
>> THANK YOU. THANK
YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT
I WILL COME BACK
INTO CHAMBERS, IF THERE'S ANYONE IN
CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS PRESENTATION
ON THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS
PLAN. SEEING NONE, BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF
THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD
LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE
INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION ON THE
EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN,
PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.
SEEING NONE, PUBLIC
COMMENT IS CLOSED.
>> THANK YOU, MARY. THIS IS
JUST A RECEIVE
AND FILE, CORRECT?
>> THAT IS CORRECT. >>
MOVING ON TO
INFORMATIONAL ITEM NUMBER TEN. AND
THIS
IS INFORMATIONAL
PRESENTATION ON
FIRE HAZARD SPARETY MAP AND THE RESPONSIBLE
DEPARTMENT IS FIRE, SO CHIEF SOME
?
>> I HAVE AN ISSUE HERE WITH
THE
SHARING, BUT GIVE ME ONE MOMENT.
>> WHILE WE'RE PULLING UP THE VIDEO,
SO THE FIRE HAZARD SEVERITY MAPS,
THIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S PUT
OUT BY THE STATE.
>> CHIEF, CAN YOU

SPEAK A LITTLE CLOSER.
>> YEAH. SO
THIS MAP
IS PUT OUT BY THE
STATE. AS A LOCAL AGENCY WE REALLY
ONLY HAVE TWO
OPTIONS. ONE IS WE ACCEPT IT AS SHOWN, WHAT
THEY'VE PRODUCED,
AND THE OTHER IS MAKE THE
HAZARD WORSE. AND SO THEY ONLY HAVE
THREE
OPTIONS. IT'S MODERATE, HIGH, AND
VERY HIGH. THEY CLOSE THE SURROUNDING
AREA OF
SEBASTOPOL PROPER TO BE IN MODERATE. MODERATE
IS NO
CHANGES TO BUILDING CODE. NO CHANGES
TO
ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS. IT'S MORE
OF AN AWARENESS TOOL FOR THEM. THERE
ARE PARTS OF THE STATE, AS YOU WOULD
IMAGINE, THAT
FALL INTO THE VERY
HIGH CATEGORY AND HIGH, THOSE ONES HAVE MORE
STRINGENT FIRE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS WHEN
IT COMES TO BUILDING
AND PLANNING AND THINGS ALONG THOSE
LINES AND REGULATIONS. ON
THE VERY HIGH, THEY REQUIRE FIVE-FOOT
DEFENSIBLE SPACE COMPLETELY AROUND EVERY
BUILDING, ALL THE WAY DOWN
TO THE DIRT OR
ROCK, THAT'S ABOUT IT. LANDSCAPING,
EVERYTHING'S REMOVED. THAT IS NOT
ONE OF THE
REQUIREMENTS WHEN IT COMES TO MODERATE. SO
AGAIN,
JUST TO REINFORCE, WE ONLY HAVE TWO
OPTIONS. WE ARE REQUIRED
BY GOVERNMENT LAW, STATE LAW, TO APPROVE
THIS
MAP BY RESOLUTION AND THEN SUBMIT
THAT
BACK TO THE STATE. SO
THAT'S THAT IN A NUTSHELL. SORRY ABOUT
THE
PRESENTATION. OH,
I
CAN SHARE NOW. LOOK AT THAT. PERFECT.
AHA. ALL RIGHT.
ALL RIGHT. SO AS I WAS
SAYING, THE CALFIRE AND
THE STATE REQUIRED THESE MAPS. SO
SIGNIFICANT WILDFIRE

HAZARD IN VARIOUS PARTS OF THE STATE,
MODERATE, HIGH, AND
VERY HIGH. WE ONLY HAVE THOSE TWO OPTIONS. ADOPTING
THE MAP AS
SHOWN OR INCREASING OUR HAZARD SEVERITY
WITHIN/AROUND THE CITY OF
SEBASTOPOL. THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL IS
AN
URBAN ZONE, MINIMAL LANDSCAPING AND
WILDFIRE HAZARD WITHIN AN URBAN ZONE. IT'S
MORE ON THE PERIPHERY, AS THE MAP
SHOWS. ONE OF
THE QUESTIONS THAT CAME UP IS
WHY -- WHY THE CHANGES? WHAT HAVE
THEY USED
TO SUCH PORT THESE CHANGES? ESPECIALLY
THE JURISDICTIONS THAT FIND THEMSELVES
IN A VERY
HIGH ZONE WHERE THEY WEREN'T IN
THAT PREVIOUSLY. THEY BASE THAT OFF
OF NUMEROUS SCIENCE
STUDIES THAT THEY PRODUCED OVER THE
YEARS,
INCLUDING FIRE HISTORY, VEGETATION,
FLAME LENGTH, THE BLOWING OF
EMBERS, THE PROXIMITY TO WILD LAND,
TERRAIN,
AND WEATHER, VARIOUS CHANGES THAT
HAVE OCCURRED
OR WHAT THEY'VE ADDRESSED OVER NUMEROUS
YEARS. NEW UPDATES AS THE BURN
PROBABILITIES OF THE WILD LAND AREAS,
UPDATED
THE FIRE ENVIRONMENT FOOTPRINTS, UPDATED
THE
VEGETATION DENSITY FOR URBAN AREAS. THE
INCLUSION OF SLOPE,
TOPOGRAPHY PLAYS AN IMPORTANT PART
WHEN IT
COMES TO WILDFIRE RISK. LOCALIZED
FIRE
WEATHER, AND THE FIRE BRAND PRODUCTION. SO
THIS PLAYS INTO HOW THEY CAME UP WITH
THE MAPS AND THE SCIENCE
BEHIND WHAT THEY WERE USING. THEY
WERE USING
THE MINIMUM OF 200 ACRES OF BURN PROBABILITY
FROM THE YEARS
1991 TO 2020 AND THEN LOOKED UP
ASPECTS ON THE BOTTOM UNDER
FIRE INTENSITY. AND THE URBAN, MINIMUM
SIZE
BEING 20 ACRES OF ISOLATED ISLANDS OF VEGETATION, AND
YOU SEE

LIKE BASED ON THE SLIDE, THIS IS WHERE
SEBASTOPOL SITS
BY AND LARGE, THOUGH WE ARE SURROUNDED
IN
A RURAL ZONE, WE
ARE URBAN SEBASTOPOL PROPER IS. SO
AS I WAS STATING ABOUT
THE REGULATIONS, WHEN IT COMES TO
HIGH
AND VERY HIGH, THESE
MAPS DETERMINE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
NEW BUILDING AND EXISTING REMODELS
OF EXISTING BUILDINGS, DEFENSIBLE
SPACE REQUIREMENTS, DISCLOSURES
WHEN ET COMES TO REAL ESTATE. AND
SO THERE'S A
LOT MORE REGULATION WHEN IT COMES
TO
AN INCREASE IN OUR SEVERITY ZONE. THIS
SHOWS YOU WHAT THE INCREASES ARE. SRA
IS A
TERM THAT'S USED FOR STATE RESPONSIBILITY
AREA. THESE ARE
AREAS THAT DON'T FALL WITHIN A
LOCAL JURISDICTION. STATE HAS FULL
RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE
FIRES AND VEGETATION MANAGEMENT IN
THOSE AREAS, IN
THE CODE ENFORCEMENT. LRA IS EVERYBODY
ELSE, LOCAL
GOVERNMENT, SO LOCAL RESPONSIBILITY
AREA. YOU CAN SEE AS FAR AS WE
ARE CONCERNED, OUR
ONLY REQUIREMENT AND CHANGES TO WAY
WE CURRENTLY DO BUSINESS
IS TO ADOPT THIS MAP AS
SHOWN. IF WE UPGRADE IT TO HIGH, WE
FALL UNDER
A LITTLE BIT MORE REGULATION. AND
IF WE GO UP
TO VERY HIGH, A LOT MORE
REGULATION. BASED
ON THE HISTORICAL FACTORS OF SEBASTOPOL,
MODERATE'S VERY APPROPRIATE IN MY
OPINION. AND
IN THE STATE'S, FOR THAT MATTER. SO
THIS IS ONE OF THE BIG ITEMS THAT
COMES UP, WHAT'S IT GOING TO DO FOR
INSURANCE? MANY
PEOPLE HAVE BEEN IMPACTED BY CHANGES
OF INSURANCE
LATELY. NOT MANY PEOPLE ARE IMMUNE
TO IT, UNFORTUNATELY. IT IS
NOT SUPPOSED TO BE USED FOR

INSURANCE
RATING. THIS IS PURELY FOR FIRE AND
FIRE PROTECTION. YOU CAN
SEE THERE IS A SNIPPET HIGHLIGHTED THERE. A
CHANGE IN THE
DESIGNATION OF THE MAPS FOR SINGLE HOMEOWNER
IS UNLIKELY TO
AFFECT THEIR INSURANCE. THE REALITY
IS, IT'S
JUST A MORE ACCURATE RISK INFORMATION
THAT ENABLES HOMEOWNERS
AND COMMUNITIES TO REDUCE THE RISK. THIS
COMES
FROM THE DEPARTMENT
OF INSURANCE FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. AND
ACTUALLY, THEY DID THROW A LITTLE
BONUS
AT THE BOTTOM THERE. ONCE REGULATION
IS FULLY IMPLEMENTED,
IF A HOMEOWNER OR BUSINESS OWNER TAKES THOSE
MITIGATION ACTIONS, THEY'LL
BE ABLE TO SEE A DISCOUNT IN THEIR
INSURANCE
PREMIUM. AGAIN, THIS IS ON HIGHER
LEVELS,
NOT LOCAL. IF YOU'RE DOING DEFENSIBLE
SPACE, MOVING THE LIMBS OFF THE TOP
OF YOUR HOUSE, IF
YOU'RE PUTTING IN
SCREENING ON YOUR EAVES, CLOSING
YOUR VENTS, ALL THOSE THINGS COULD
HAVE
A BENEFICIAL IMPACT ON YOUR HOMEOWNER'S
RATES. YOU COMMUNICATE THAT
WITH YOUR INSURANCE, NOT WITH
THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. SO THE
YELLOW AREA IS THE MODERATE
RISK ON THAT MAP. THAT DOES
NOT MEAN THERE'S NO
RISK. PRACTICE GOOD VEGETATION MANAGEMENT
AROUND PROPERTIES. THE NEXT SLIDE
WILL ALLUDE
TO SOME OF THOSE THINGS. HOME HARDENING IS
REAL,
AND EVERYBODY REALLY SHOULD BE PRACTICING
THIS AS IT IS REGARDLESS
OF WHAT A MAP
SAYS. THIS INCLUDES HAVING ENOUGH
CLASS-A
FIRE RATED ROOF, SO
IF YOU'VE GOT WOOD, SHAKE, SHINGLE,
THOSE ACTUALLY AREN'T ALLOWED TO BE
PUT ON FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS, BUT
THERE'S STILL A LOT OF HOMES

THAT HAVE THEM. SO CHANGING THAT TO
A FIRE-GRADED ROOF. YOU
CAN SEE THE RECOMMENDATION OF A
FIVE-FOOT EMBER RESISTANT ZONE, THAT'S
THAT DEFENSIBLE SPACE
AROUND A HOUSE WHERE IT GOES TO EARTH
OR ROCK. NO
VEGETATION, THEY RECOMMEND THAT. EMBER-RESISTANT
VENTS, THAT'S
BEEN SCREENING ON THE BIRD BLOCKING,
ANYTHING THAT CAN BE
A PENETRATION INTO THE HOME THAT WOULD
PREVENT AN EMBER
TO ACTUALLY TRAVEL INTO AN
ENCLOSED SPACE, SMOLDER, AND CATCH
YOUR HOUSE ON FIRE. A NON-COMBUSTIBLE
SIX INCHES AT THE BOTTOM
OF EXTERIOR WALLS, THIS PLAYS INTO
THE STABILITY OF A SMALL FIRE
AT ANY LOCATION TO HAVE
AN EMBER GET BROADCAST
FURTHER DOWNSTREAM OR DOWNWIND, SMOLDER,
START TO
HOOK ALONG ON THE VEGETATION, CATCH UP
TO THE SIDING OF YOUR HOUSE, AND
THAT'S HOW YOU COULD LOSE A HOUSE. BY
REMOVING
SOME OF THESE VEGETATION THE
THE LOWER PARTS
OF YOUR WALLS, ELIMINATING ANYTHING
THAT
COULD
BE A POTENTIAL FIRE HAZARD ABOVE YOUR
HOUSE, TREE LIMBS AND WHATNOT. WINDOWS,
JUNE
GRADING THEM TO DUAL PAIN, SOMETHING
MORE
FIRE RATED. AND REMOVABLE OF COMBUSTIBLE
SHEDS
OR OUTBUILDINGS. IF YOU'VE GOT WOODEN
FENCES, DOING A FIRE BREAK BETWEEN
THE GATE AND
YOUR HOME. LOT
OF HOMES HAVE A WOODEN
FENCE, WOODEN GATE
LATCHES TO THEIR HOUSE. ADVICE IS
SWITCH THAT
WOODEN GATE TO A METAL GATE. IT CAN'T
BURN
LIKE
A FUSE TO YOUR HOUSE. SO THERE IS
--THESE MAPS
HAVE NO RELATION TO THE NEXT ITEM
THAT'LL

BE COMING UP HERE ABOUT SEBASTOPOL'S
WEED ABATEMENT ORDINANCE. ONE OF THE
QUESTIONS PRESENTED
TO US WAS WHAT IS SEBASTOPOL'S
GOAL IN THE WEED ABATEMENT ORDINANCE,
THEY'RE TWO AND
THE SAME? THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL
IS REFERENCED RIGHT THERE, MUNICIPAL
CODE CHAPTER 8.56, THAT IS
SOMETHING WE BRING EVERY YEAR FOR
APPROVAL. GOLD
RIDGE OPERATES IN THE COUNTY PRIMARILY,
BUT THIS
IS WHAT WE'LL USE HERE
INSIDE THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. GOLD
RIDGE, WHEN
WE --IT'S PRIMARILY COMPLAINT DRIVEN,
BUT WE DO LOCATE VARIOUS AREAS EVERY
YEAR AND
FOCUS ON VEGETATION MANAGEMENT IN
THOSE CORRIDORS. FIRE ENGINES,
COMPANIES WILL GO OUT THERE, INSPECT
PROPERTIES, FILL OUT A PIECE
OF PAPER, WORK WITH THE HOMEOWNER. THE
WHOLE IDEA IS EDUCATION AND ENCOURAGEMENT
VERSUS
HAVING SOME FORM OF FINE OR FEE APPLY
TO THESE. IT'S OFTEN JUST AN EDUCATION
PROBLEM. IF WE FIND THAT
THEY'RE OUT OF COMPLIANCE, WE PROVIDE
THEM THE
FORM. WE'LL DO A REINSPECTION WITHIN
30 DAYS FOLLOWED UP
BY 15 DAYS. IF STILL NO
COMPLIANCE, WE'LL SEND THAT TO
PERMIT SONOMA. HERE IN SEBASTOPOL,
WE'VE GOT THE ABILITY TO DO VERY SIMILAR
FASHIONS. LEADING ALL THE WAY UP TO
THE
ABILITY TO CHARGE THE HOMEOWNER FOR
THE VEGETATION MANAGEMENT THAT
WE AS A CITY WILL CONDUCT
TO CLEAN UP THE PROPERTY. HISTORICALLY
THAT'S NEVER BEEN
DONE.
>> CHIEF, I'M GOING
TO PAUSE. COUNCILMEMBER, DID YOU --
>> I'M JUST WONDERING
IF WE'VE MOVED
ON TO ANOTHER AGENDA ITEM. I APOLOGIZE.
>>
THIS
IS THE SAME ONE.
>> FEE ABATEMENT

IS
THE NEXT ONE.
>> YES, THAT IS THE
NEXT ONE. ONE OF
THE PUBLIC COMMENTS I RECEIVED WAS
IN REFERENCE TO THIS, SO I FELT
IT WAS IMPORTANT TO TIE IT IN. BUT
YES, THERE IS
A WEED ABATEMENT ONE COMING
UP.
>> SO THESE ARE ADDITIONAL SLIDES
FROM
WHAT? I'M LOOKING THROUGH THE PRESENTATION, AM I
MISSING --
>> IT WAS ALL INCLUDED IN THERE. >>
I DON'T SEE THAT IN
THERE. WE WERE JUST TRYING TO FIGURE
OUT HOW --TRYING TO
FOLLOW ALONG, BUT YEAH.
>> SURE, SURE,
AND I CAN
ALWAYS FOLLOW THIS UP LATER ON IF
FOR SOME REASON
YOU'RE UNABLE TO FIND IT,
I CAN RESEND
IT NO PROBLEM. ANOTHER QUESTION
THAT WAS ASKED WAS EVACUATION ZONES. KNOW
WHERE YOU ARE SO WHEN
THE CALLS COME OUT FOR DO WE EVACUATE,
DO
WE NOT, I SEE SMOKE, IS IT
DANGEROUS, IS IT NOT, THOSE EVACUATION
ZONES ARE LOCATED
ON THE SCREEN THERE. FOR THOSE NOT
IN CITY OF SEBASTOPOL
PROPER, YOU CAN PUNCH IN AT THAT ADDRESS
AND YOUR HOME
ADDRESS AND FIND OUT WHAT EVACUATION
ZONE
YOU ARE IN. THAT'S ONE
WAY TO STAY
AHEAD OF IT. THIS IS AN IMPORTANT POINT,
THOUGH, COMING UP. EVACUATION ROUTES WILL
BE IDENTIFIED
AND THOROUGHLY VETTED TO ENSURE
PUBLIC SAFETY AND EFFICIENT EMERGENCY
RESPONSE. FIRE
DEPARTMENT, WE HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY
OF ATTACKING THE
FIRE, SAVING LIVES AND PROPERTY. LAW
ENFORCEMENT HAS THE
SAME WAY BUT THEY HAVE AN ADDITIONAL
RESPONSIBILITY, AND THAT IS COORDINATING
THE EVACUATIONS SO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CAN

GET IN THERE
AND DO WHAT NEEDS
TO GET DONE. THESE EVACUATIONS
WILL BE COORDINATED
WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT. ONE OF THE
ITEMS
HERE IS
IMPORTANT NOTE, WARNINGS VERSUS ORDERS. LEAVE
EARLY, DON'T
WAIT. A WARNING IS JUST THAT, RIGHT? HAVE
YOUR GO BAG
READY. IF YOU THINK YOU NEED MORE
TIME TO GO AND YOU'RE CONCERNED, GET
ON THE ROAD. THE MINUTE THE ORDER
COMES OUT,
THE ROADS START TO CLOG UP. AND SO
IF YOU CAN GET
OUT EARLY AND YOU ANTICIPATE, AND
YOU'VE GOT PLACES TO GO,
BY ALL MEANS, DO THAT. LET THE HAZARD
SUBSIDE AND THEN COME BACK. EVACUATION
ORDER
IS YOU NEED TO GO. THERE IS NO TIME
TO
MESS AROUND. THERE IS NO TIME TO GO
OUT
AND RECHARGE YOUR CELLPHONE, YOU NEED
TO GET ON THE ROAD AND BE OUT. AND
SO THOSE ARE THE TWO. SO WHEN YOU
HEAR EVACUATION WARNING FOR THE AREA,
THE EVACUATION ZONE
THAT YOU LIVE IN, YOU SHOULD DEFINITELY
TAKE THAT SERIOUSLY AND RECOGNIZE
THAT
THERE IS A POTENTIAL HAZARD AND YOU
CAN MAKE
A DECISION AS TO WHETHER YOU
STICK AROUND FOR SAID HAZARD. WHEN
IT COMES
TO ORDER, YOU GO. NO IFS, ANDS, OR
BUTS ABOUT IT. SOME PEOPLE SAY
I DON'T WANT TO GO, LEAVE MY HOUSE,
I'VE
GOT HORSES, PETS. WHEN YOU GET THE
WARNINGS AND ACTUALLY EVEN BEFORE
THE WARNINGS, YOU SHOULD BE PLANNING
FOR IF THERE WERE AN
ISSUE WHAT WOULD I DO WITH MY LIVESTOCK? WHAT
WOULD
I DO WITH WHATEVER IT
IS IMPORTANT IN MY HOUSE? START PREPLANNING THAT,
START
STRATEGIZING THAT AHEAD OF TIME. IF
YOU REMAIN

BEHIND IN AN ORDER, YOU DO JEOPARDIZE
THE
LIFE LIVES OF FIREFIGHTERS AND POLICE
AS THEY TRY TO MAKE THESE
EVACUATIONS HAPPEN. RECENT FIRE, PEOPLE
WERE GETTING THEIR CARS BULLDOZED
OUT OF THE
ROAD BY A BULLDOZER, THESE ARE FOLKS
WHO WAITED
TOO LONG. THAT INCIDENT HAPPENED TO
BE EXCEPTIONAL, BUT IT PLACED
THE IMPORTANCE OF GET ON
THE ROAD, LEAVE
EARLY, DON'T WAIT. SO HOW DO
YOU GET INFORMED? THERE'S A NUMBER
OF OPTIONS
YOU CAN DO. SOCOALERT AND NIXLE REQUIRE
YOU TO REGISTER. ON THE RIGHT, VARIOUS
THINGS AVAILABLE TO
YOU. EMERGENCY ALERT SYSTEM,
WIRELESS EMERGENCY
ALERTS. THEY'RE MORE OF A MASS BLAST,
BUT IT'S A GREAT WAY
TO STAY INFORMED AND MAKE
THE APPROPRIATE DECISIONS WHEN EVACUATION ORDERS
ARE BEING
PLACED, YOU'LL BE INFORMED. THAT INFOGRAPHIC
COMES OFF
THE CITY OF
SEBASTOPOL'S WEBSITE. I HIGHLY RECOMMEND
FOLKS TO SIGN UP
IF YOU HAVE NOT DONE
SO. 12 WAYS TO PREPARE, THINK OF THESE
QUESTIONS. HOW WILL I RECEIVE MY ALERTS. WHAT IS
MY
SHELTER PLAN. IF YOU HAVE TO
LEAVE, WHERE ARE YOU
GOING? TRY TO ANTICIPATE, FAMILY YOU
CAN GO TO, SHELTERS
IN PLACE. SOME WILL BE LISTED, BUT
YOU'VE GOT
TO RECOGNIZE WITH A DYNAMIC SITUATION,
THE SHELTERS MAY BE
IN DOWNTOWN OR SANTA
ROSA. WHAT WILL THE EVACUATION ROUTE
LOOK LIKE? IN YOUR SUBDIVISIONS IN
YOUR AREAS, FIGURE OUT
WHAT WILL YOUR ROUTE LOOK
LIKE, WHAT'S YOUR FAMILY COMMUNICATION
PLAN. ONCE YOU LEAVE YOUR HOME, HOW
ARE
YOU GOING TO GET AHOLD
OF YOUR LOVED ONES, CHILDREN, PARENTS,
WHATEVER IT MAY BE,

WHAT DOES THAT COMMUNICATION PLAN
LOOK LIKE? IF YOU'VE GOT KIDS
IN SCHOOL AND YOU NEED TO EVACUATE,
WHAT IS
THAT COMMUNICATION PLAN GOING TO LOOK
LIKE? IT'S HIGHLY PERSONAL TO
EACH AND EVERY ONE OF US. AND THEN
WHAT'S IN
YOUR GO BAG? I DON'T KNOW WHO HAS
GO BAGS IN THE ROOM, BUT IT'S
A VERY GOOD THING TO HAVE. INSIDE
THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THINGS RECOMMENDED
TO INCLUDE. NO ONE
SIZE FITS ALL FOR EVERYBODY. YOU'LL
HEAR A THREE
OF THIS DAY SUPPLY FOR
FOOD, THREE GALLONS OF
WATER PER PERSON. IF
YOU'RE A FIVE-PERSON HOUSEHOLD, THAT'S
A LOT OF WATER, RIGHT? THE BOTTOM
ONE IS SOMETHING
THAT'S PRETTY IMPORTANT. PET FOOD
AND WATER, COPIES
OF VACCINATIONS. IF THERE'S A SHELTER
THAT IS GOING TO BE --IF YOU'RE
GOING TO RESIDE IN A SHELTER, A PUBLIC
SHELTER, FOR SOME TIME
AND YOU HAVE PETS THAT NEED TO COME,
THEY'LL
MOST LIKELY WANT TO SEE
VACCINATIONS FOR YOUR PETS. SOMETHING
TO GET AHEAD OF
WHILE YOU'VE GOT TO OPPORTUNITY. MORE
ABOUT THESE MAPS CAN BE FOUND
AT THIS WEBSITE HERE. THERE'S A QR
CODE THERE, YOU CAN CLICK
ON THAT. THAT'LL TAKE YOU RIGHT
TO THEIR WEBSITE FROM THE STATE. I'LL
PAUSE FOR
ONE SECOND. I
SEE ONE OF OUR FOLKS --AND THERE'S
ADDITIONAL RESOURCES ON HERE AS WELL. SO
THERE'S
A NUMBER OF WEBSITES THAT ARE BOTH
LOCAL AND STATE AND
FEDERAL THAT CAN GIVE YOU GUYS A
LITTLE BIT
MORE GUIDANCE, INFORMATION.
>> THANK
YOU, CHIEF, QUESTIONS, VICE MAYOR?
>>
SO HAVING LIVED IN
AN AREA THAT WAS, YOU KNOW, HURRICANE
PRONE FOR NEARLY TWO DECADES, I'M

ACCUSTOMED TO WHEN YOU HAVE
ANY TYPE OF EVENTS LIKE THIS, ROADS
ARE TURNED ONE WAY, AND
EVERYBODY'S
HEADING OUT. DONE IT MANY TIMES. BUT
MY EXPERIENCE HERE, THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN. EVERYTHING
WAS GRIDLOCK. I LIVE ON
ONE OF THOSE STREETS THAT EVERYBODY
UTILIZES TO
LEAVE TOWN, WHICH THEN TOOK 45 MINUTES
JUST TO
GET OUT OF MY DRIVEWAY. SO I'M JUST
CURIOUS IN GETTING READY FOR EVACUATION,
WHAT PLAN IS IN
PLACE OR IS IT EVEN ADDRESSED AS FAR
AS ADDRESSING THE ROADS AND ACTUALLY
MAKING IT
EASIER FOR, YOU KNOW, MORE ORDERLY FOR
PEOPLE TO ACTUALLY GET OUT INSTEAD
OF FIGHTING ON THE ROAD? BECAUSE I
WITNESSED IT ALL. THE
HONKING, THE SCREAMING, AND I'M LIKE,
I LIVED IN A HUGE CITY OF 4 MILLION
PEOPLE AND I DIDN'T SEE THAT. SO I'M
JUST --
DO WE HAVE
A PLAN FOR THAT? BECAUSE I KNOW I'VE
BEEN ASKED ABOUT THAT
. HAS THAT ISSUE BEEN ADDRESSED, AND
IF
SO, HOW DO WE ALL KNOW?
>> THAT
IS USUALLY ADDRESSED THROUGH THE EOP.
>> MM-HMM. >>
IT
IS SOMETHING
THAT COULD BE DONE, COORDINATED WITH
LAW ENFORCEMENT, BUT
YEAH, THAT COULD BE DONE. IT'S A TOOL
THAT MAY NOT
BE USED EVERY TIME, IT'S RESOURCE
DEPENDENT,
BUT IT IS A VERY
SUCCESSFUL WAY TO
EXPEDITE THE EVACUATION PROCESS, BUT
I WOULD HAVE TO DEFER TO THE POLICE
CHIEF ON WHAT OUR EXACT PLAN WOULD
BE. BUT IT IS SOMETHING
THAT IS ABSOLUTELY USED ACROSS THE
COUNTRY. I'VE SEEN IT,
YEAH, IN FLORIDA AND IN TEXAS, IT
WORKS VERY WELL. WHEN CAN WE MAKE COMMENTS?
>>
YEAH, I

THINK AFTER WE COME BACK FROM PUBLIC COMMENT.

>>

SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WOULD NEED
TO BE

PART OF THE EOP AND WE COULD ADDRESS
IN THAT?

>> EVACUATION CONSIDERATIONS,
IT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION WITH
THAT. IT
WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD PROBABLY
HAPPEN ON A
LARGER SCALE, ABOVE COORDINATION
WITH THE COUNTY.

>> HOW DO WE REQUEST THAT
I WOULD LIKE
TO PUT THAT ON THE RADAR THAT THAT'S SOMETHING,
IN MY OPINION, I DON'T KNOW IF I HAVE
THE WILL
OF THE COUNCIL, BUT IN MY OPINION,
HAVING BEEN PART OF THAT, NUMEROUS
HURRICANES AND
WATCHING IT WORK, I DON'T SEE WHY
WE COULDN'T DO THAT AS A
CITY.

>> I COULD TELL YOU LOCALLY
ON THE SHORT-TERM LEVEL, IF
SOMETHING WERE TO HAPPEN IN THE AREA
THAT YOU RESIDE, FIRE COULD
ABSOLUTELY INSTITUTE THAT ON A VERY
SMALL SCALE. BECAUSE THE RESOURCES
REQUIRED TO DO THAT. BUT ONCE WE GET
INTO THE LARGER ARTERIALS, IT'S A
COORDINATED EFFORT THAT WOULD HAVE
TO COME INTO
PLACE. SO WE DO IT NOW WITH THE FIRE
ENGINES
THAT WE'RE ON, WE BELONG ROADS. WE,
YOU
KNOW, WE MAY BLOCK A LANE AND THEN
WE'RE
TRAFFIC CONTROL. BUT WE'RE TALKING
A REAL ESTATE AREA
OF PROBABLY A COUPLE HUNDRED YARDS,
OR MAYBE ONE
WHOLE BLOCK. WHEN IT COMES TO LARGER
SCALE INCIDENTS,
IT REQUIRES MORE RESOURCES AND MORE
COORDINATION.

>>

OKAY, BUT I GUESS THE BIGGEST QUESTION
I HAVE IS FROM
CITY MANAGER --

>> THERE'S A CONSIDERATION
THIS THE EOP FOR CONSIDERATION

OF THE EVACUATION ROUTE. SO THAT IS
A TOPIC THAT'S IN
THERE. COME BACK WHEN THEY'LL COORDINATE
WITH THE COUNTY TO
SEE WHAT THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS ARE. I
REALIZE
IT'S COMPLICATED, BUT IF THESE BIG
CITIES CAN DO IT, WE CAN FIGURE
IT OUT.
>> MY QUESTION IS, DIDN'T
I READ IN HERE SOMEWHERE THAT THE
BEST PRACTICE
IS TO NOT HAVE EVACUATION ROUTES?
>>
I HAVEN'T READ
THAT.
>> CAN I JUST JUMP
IN? THAT IS IN THE PREVIOUS AGENDA
ITEM WHERE
YOU DID READ THAT. CERTAIN CITIES
DID NOT RECOMMEND EVACUATION ROUTES. WHEN
WE'RE CONSIDERING THE EOP
WITH THE COUNTY, THAT WILL BE A CONVERSATION
AT
THAT TIME. THE RECOMMENDATION WOULD
COME BACK WHETHER WE
HAVE
SPECIFIED EVACUATION ROUTES OR
WHAT THE
RECOMMENDATIONS ARE.
>> THANK YOU. OKAY. ANY
OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE
WE GO TO PUBLIC
MEANT? COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?
>> AS
SOMEBODY WHO'S BEEN EVACUATED
FROM FIVE OR SIX
HURRICANES MYSELF, ON THE EAST COAST,
THEY'RE A
LITTLE BIT BROADER AND
THEY ENCOMPASS A GIANT AREA, SO EVERYBODY
KNOWS TO HEAD UP. BUT
FOR OUR AREA, BECAUSE IT'S SPORADIC, WE
KIND OF JUST GENERALLY NEED SOME GUIDANCE
LIKE ON WHAT'S LIKE A
RULE OR A PRINCIPLE. AND I WOULD LOVE
TO SEE, LIKE, MOST OF
THAT SLIDE DECK, LIKE A UX EXPERIENCE
LIKE ON
THE FRONT PAGE OF OUR CITY WEBSITE
AND THEN MAYBE EVEN PUSH IT
TOWARDS EVERYBODY THAT LIVES IN THE AREA
SO THAT WE ALL ARE
ON THE SAME PAGE SO THAT WHEN WE GET

THESE
THINGS, YOU KNOW, EVERYBODY'S AT
LEAST SEEN ONE. MAYBE THERE'S LIKE
A BOP CHAMPION OR SOMETHING, BECAUSE
THESE
THINGS YOU HAVE MASS CHAOS. THANK YOU.
>>
ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE
GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT, COUNCILMEMBER
MOWER?
>> I
WANT TO SECOND THAT SUGGESTION AND
THANK YOU
FOR YOUR INFORMATIVE
INFORMATION HERE. I THINK ALSO THAT
IT WOULD BE GREAT IF IT COULD
BE HIGHLIGHTED ON THE WEBSITE BUT
ALSO IF IT COULD BE IN
THE WATER BILL, IN THE NEWS LETTER
AND WATER BILL,
AND IT COULD BE --YOU COULD HAVE A
LINK TO THIS INFORMATION. I THINK
IT'S
REALLY IMPORTANT FOR THE PUBLIC. THANK
YOU.
>> ANY
OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO
TO PUBLIC COMMENT, SEEING NONE, MARY?
>>
THIS
IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE FIRE
HAZARD SEVERITY MAP. IT IS A TWO-MINUTE
PUBLIC COMMENT. I GO
TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN GO OUT
TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE
IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO
MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE,
I'LL GO TO ZOOM. ROBERT, UNMUTE YOURSELF
PLEASE. THANK YOU, CAN YOU
STILL SEE THE TIMER?
>> I CAN, THANK
YOU.
>> GO
AHEAD, PLEASE.
>> THANK YOU TO THE
VICE MAYOR FOR
BRINGING UP THE EVACUATION ISSUE. IT
SOUNDS LIKE --I KNOW OUR POLICE
CHIEF HASN'T HEARD ABOUT IT YET, BUT
IT SOUNDS LIKE OUR FIRE
CHIEF WASN'T AWARE OF OUR ISSUES HERE. BUT
IT WAS SO
BAD THE ISSUE WAS THE WEST COUNTY
EVACUATE

BEFORE WE DID. BY THE TIME THEY ANNOUNCED
WE
HAD TO LEAVE,
WEST COUNTY
HAD BASICALLY POPULATED
OUR CITY WITH PARKED CARS. TWO TRAFFIC
LIGHTS IN THE CITY,
EVERYBODY HAD TO CROSS EACH OTHER. THE
TRAFFIC LIGHTS WERE
OUT BECAUSE PG&E CUT OFF THE
POWER. IT GOT WORSE AT OCCIDENTAL
ROAD WHERE YOU COULD HAVE
MADE A
TURN AND GONE AROUND SEBASTOPOL TOWARDS
SANTA ROSA, WHICH WASN'T
IN ANY GREAT DANGER AT THAT TIME IN
THAT
FIRE. WE HAD A POLICEMAN FROM ANOTHER
CITY
THERE WHO DIDN'T HAVE COMMUNICATION WITH
ANYBODY, AND HE WAS
BLOCKING THAT AND FORCING EVERYBODY
TO GO
INTO THE CHOKED INTERSECTIONS? DOWNTOWN
SEBASTOPOL. SO WE'VE ALL SEEN IT HAPPEN, NOW
WE JUST LIKE TO SEE A PLAN TO
MAKE SURE IT DOESN'T HAPPEN A
SECOND TIME. A COUPLE OTHER THOUGHTS. I
ALREADY MENTIONED THE TRAFFIC
LIGHTS, SHOULD WE HAVE BACKUP WHEN
PG&E CUTS THE POWER RANDOMLY? COMMUNICATION,
LAST
TIME WE HAD NO CELL SERVICE. WE HAD
TO LEAVE IN THE MIDDLE
OF THE NIGHT BECAUSE WE COULDN'T GET
INFORMATION ANYMORE. AND I
THINK IT'S BECAUSE THE CELL TOWERS
DON'T HAVE
BACKUP POWER, DIDN'T AT THE TIME. SUPPOSEDLY
THAT WAS MANDATED TO
BE DONE, BUT I DON'T KNOW IF IT
WAS EVER DONE. HOMELESS SWEEPS, THE
PROBLEM SEEMS TO BE --THE BIGGEST
PROBLEM SEEMS TO BE THE LAGUNA, THE
NORTHEAST WIND CAN BE
A BIG PROBLEM. WE HAVE FIRES IN THE
LAGUNA
DUE TO THE HOMELESS. IF WE GIVE CONSIDERATION
TO DOING HOMELESS
SWEEPS BEFORE RED FLAG WARNINGS TO
MAKE SURE THERE'S NOBODY IN THERE
THAT'S
GOING TO LIGHT A FIRE. THAT'S IT,
THANK

YOU.

>> THANK YOU, ROBERT, FOR YOUR
PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I
WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE IN
CHAMBERS THAT
WOULD

LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> CHIEF, CAN WE DO PUBLIC COMMENT
FIRST, THEN
WE CAN RESPOND TO THAT REALLY QUICK? LET
ME GO THROUGH ALL THE PUBLIC COMMENT
FIRST, PLEASE. MARY, CAN YOU UNMUTE
YOURSELF, PLEASE?

>>

YEP.

>> THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR
PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> YES, THANKS. I'M
ALSO

CONCERNED ABOUT THE EVACUATION ROUTES. EVERYTHING
THAT ROBERT SAID I EXPERIENCED TOO. SAME THING
WITH OUR VICE MAYOR. THANK YOU,
JILL, FOR BRINGING THAT
UP. AND WITH RESPECT TO THE WEED ABATEMENT,
I'M

AFRAID THAT BY THE TIME WE WAIT FOR
THE FIREMEN TO GO AROUND AND
THEN LET EVERYBODY KNOW AND THEN WAIT
A

MONTH AND THEN ANOTHER HALF OF A
MONTH FIRE SEASON WILL BE OVER. SO
I'M WONDERING IF
THAT COULD BE DONE
A LITTLE QUICKER. THAT'S MY PUBLIC
COMMENT. THANK YOU.

>> THANK

YOU, MARY, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT
I WILL
COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, IF THERE'S ANYONE
IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD
LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING
NONE, I WILL
GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE
ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC

COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING
NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

>>

ALEX, CAN YOU
REFRESH MY MEMORY, ARE WE ALLOWED
TO PROVIDE COMMENTS OR
COULD WE PROVIDE DIRECTION AS WELL.

>>

THERE'S NO

DIRECTION BEING PROVIDED. HERE YOU CAN MAKE COMMENTS, AND IF THERE'S SOMETHING YOU WANT TO PROVIDE DIRECTION ON, THAT COULD BE AT THE END OF THE MEETING YOU COULD REQUEST THE FUTURE AGENDA ITEM.

>> GOT IT. VICE MAYOR?

>> I JUST WANT TO COMMENT THAT WHEN THESE MAPS, THE TOPIC CAME OUT LAST YEAR AT THE LEDGE COMMITTEE, THE LEGISLATORS WERE THERE, AND I ASKED THE QUESTION REGARDING INSURANCE AND THE IMPACT THAT THIS COULD HAVE. AND THEY SAID, WELL, IT SHOULDN'T HAVE AN IMPACT BUT THE FACT IS IS THE LACK OF TRANSPARENCY AND HOW INSURANCE COMPANIES ACTUALLY FIGURE OUT THE RATES IS PROBLEMATIC, SO WE CAN'T TELL YOU THAT IT WON'T IMPACT YOUR RATES. SO I ASKED THEM DIRECTLY ABOUT THIS BECAUSE IT'S BEEN A CONCERN OF NINE. SO I JUST WANTED TO SHARE THAT. THAT WAS DIRECTLY WITH OUR LEGISLATORS WHO ARE IN SACRAMENTO. THAT WAS THEIR COMMENT, SO.

>> THANK YOU, I'M GOING TO JUMP IN AS WELL. MY MEANT IS THAT, YES, I UNDERSTAND THIS EOP IS LONG, IT IS VERY COMPLICATED. I ACTUALLY FINISHED THE COUNTY'S CERT PROGRAM AND DON'T UNDERSTAND IT MYSELF. WE NEED TO HAVE EVACUATION ROUTES SORTED SOONER RATHER THAN LATER. SO IF I NEED TO DO THAT BY THE END OF THE AGENDA TO ASK FOR AN AGENDA ITEM, I WILL, BUT THAT IS MY COMMENT. SO ANY OTHER COMMENTS? OH, I'M SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I'M SORRY.

>> YEAH, I'D LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE CHIEF BEFORE I MAKE ANY COMMENTS,

I LIKE TO
HEAR WHAT HE CAN CONTRIBUTE. THANKS.
>>
YEAH, HAVING LIVED THROUGH THE 2020
EVACUATION, I WILL COME OUT
AND SAY THAT
IT WAS VERY POORLY EXECUTED. BUT THE
IMPORTANT THING FOR EVERYBODY TO UNDERSTAND
SO THEY
CAN SLEEP A LITTLE BETTER TONIGHT
IS THAT SINCE THEN
THERE HAS BEEN A GREAT EFFORT THROUGHOUT
THE COUNTY
TO HAVE THESE ZONES, RIGHT, THAT WE
DIDN'T
PREVIOUSLY HAVE. SO THAT WAS A
MASSIVE EVACUATION, I THINK AT THE TIME
IT MAY HAVE BEEN
THE LARGE NEST CALIFORNIA'S HISTORY. OVER
100,000 PEOPLE
WERE TOLD TO LEAVE. SO IT
WAS BAD. DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE DON'T
HAVE ISSUES STILL IN
THE EVENT OF A MAJOR EVACUATION, BUT
THE STAGGERED
APPROACH AND ALL THESE ZONES ALLOW
US TO
BE MORE METHODOICAL ABOUT IT AND COORDINATE
IT
SO THAT IT'S NOT A MASSIVE EVERYBODY
BETWEEN RIVER ROAD
AND HIGHWAY 12 LEAVE RIGHT NOW. THAT'S WHAT
HAPPENED
THAT NIGHT,
AND IT WAS POORLY EXECUTED. ADMITTEDLY. BUT
THE COUNTY WENT THROUGH
A LOT OF EFFORT TO HAVE ZONES THAT
ARE
NOW MORE STRATEGIC IN
THEIR APPROACH. SINCE THEN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT
HAS
LEARNED MORE WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT. IN THAT
CASE
IT WAS THE KINCADE FIRE. YOU
KNOW,
MANY, MANY MILES FROM HERE. FIRE UNCHECKED
COULD TRAVEL ALL THE
WAY TO SEBASTOPOL. SO THE
MANAGEMENT TEAM, THE INCIDENT MANAGEMENT
TEAM,
CHOSE TO MAKE THAT
EVACUATION ORDER. AND AGAIN, VERY
POORLY EXECUTED. I'D LIKE TO
SAY IT WOULD NEVER HAPPEN AGAIN, AND

I THINK A LOT
OF IT HAS BEEN ADDRESSED. AND THEN
IT'S ALL
VALID, ALL THE COMMENTS TODAY ARE
IMPORTANT THAT AT THE END OF THE DAY
PEOPLE
NEED TO HAVE A PLAN. THEY UNFORTUNATELY,
IF IT'S GOING TO TAKE
YOU A LONG TIME TO EVACUATE, YOU NEED
TO PROBABLY LEAVE
AT THAT WARNING
RATHER
THAN THE ORDER. SO IF YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY
MOVING, HAVE PETS YOU NEED
TO TAKE, YOU NEED TO PLAN FURTHER
AHEAD. TO THE
POINT OF THERE'S NOT NECESSARILY A
DETAILED EVENT OF A HURRICANE. IT'S
EASY TO SAY THE
HURRICANE IS APPROACHING FROM THE
SOUTH, EVERYBODY GO
NORTH. ALL TRAFFIC FLOWS THAT DIRECTION. MAYBE
IT'S
NOT THAT SIMPLE, BUT
THERE'S MORE
PREDICTABILITY TO HURRICANE EVENTS. FIRE
EVENTS, IT'S DICTATED BY WHERE THE
FIRE IS AND WHERE THE
WEATHER'S COMING FROM. TYPICALLY THESE
LARGE FIRE
EVENTS ARE
UNDER A LARGE NORTH-NORTHEASTERN WIND
EVENT. WE'RE LIKELY
GOING TO GO SOUTH AND WEST, WHICH
RESPECT IDEAL CORRIDORS TO TRAVEL
ON, ADMITTEDLY. SO I THINK
THE POINT IS
THERE HAS BEEN TREMENDOUS IMPROVEMENT. IT'S
NOT PERFECT. AND THEN IT'S ALSO
GOING TO TAKE SOME RESPONSIBILITY
OF THE INDIVIDUAL TO
RECOGNIZE WHAT'S GOING ON AROUND
THEM AND, AGAIN, REITERATE BEING AWARE
OF EVACUATION ORDERS,
BEING TUNED INTO THE RADIO, BEING
TUNED INTO
RESOURCES THAT WILL TELL YOU WHEN
THOSE WARNINGS ARE
PRESENT AND ULTIMATELY WHEN THE ORDER
IS GIVEN. SO I
JUST --I DIDN'T WANT PEOPLE TO LEAVE
HERE GOING, OH, THERE'S BEEN NOTHING
IMPROVED SINCE THAT EVACUATION ORDER. THERE
HAS BEEN A

LOT OF IMPROVEMENT, BUT IT'S STILL
WORK IN PROGRESS. SO THAT
WAS ALL THE CONTEXT I WANTED TO
ADD TO THAT.
>> THANK YOU, CHIEF. SORRY,
BACK TO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DID
YOU?
>> NO, THANK YOU. >> ANY OTHER
COMMENTS BEFORE
WE RECEIVE AND FILE AND MOVE TO THE
NEXT ONE? LOOKING TO THE
RIGHT.
>> SURE. ONE MORE QUESTION
THAT WAS POSED TO
ME VIA EMAIL IN COMMENTS WAS IN
REFERENCE TO RED FLAG WARNING AND
PURPLE FLAG WARNING. I DON'T
KNOW THEY NECESSARILY CALL IT PURPLE
FLAG WARNING. SO THE RED FLAG WARNING
--WAS
THAT MY FILLINGS --RED FLAG COMES
FROM NOAA, THE
NATIONAL ATMOSPHERE AND OCEANIC ASSOCIATION,
AND SOMETHING THAT'S
RELATIVELY NEW IS YOU MAY SEE A
PURPLE DESIGNATION, WHICH STANDS FOR
PARTICULARLY
DANGEROUS SITUATION. THIS IS A --
IT HELPS TO
BE HARD OF HEARING. IT IS THE HIGHEST
LEVEL
WARNING. IT'S OUR HIGHER --THE MOST
DANGEROUS WEATHER THAT'S OUT
THERE. WHAT WE SAW WITH THE PALISADES
AND EATON
FIRES MOST RECENTLY WAS THE PURPLE
WARNING. THEY'RE EXCEPTIONAL,
RARELY THERE BUT SOMETHING TO PAY
ATTENTION TO. SOMEBODY HAD ASKED
IN PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT THAT DESIGNATION
AND WHAT THAT ACTUALLY
MEANS. SO PURPLE IS A DANGEROUS ONE. ONE
THING THAT
WE
DO DO IN REGARDS TO FIRE,
IN REGARDS TO RED FLAG WARNING,
WE
STAFF ADDITIONAL PIECES OF EQUIPMENT,
IN
SOME CASES MOBILIZE FROM FAR AWAY. AND
THEN ONE
OF THE OTHER
COMMENTS WAS WEED ABATEMENT TIMELINES. I
WOULD JUST, IN REGARDS TO

SPEEDING UP TO THIS TIMELINE, I WOULD CAUTION DOING SUCH. USUALLY THESE FOLKS, THE PROPERTIES THAT ARE IN QUESTION, THEY'RE PRIVATELY OWNED. AND IN SOME CASES THERE IS A MONETARY IMPACT TO THESE INDIVIDUALS TO HAVE TO CLEAN UP A PROPERTY IN A VERY SHORT ORDER. DOESN'T MEAN IT'S NOT DANGEROUS, BUT THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION.

>> GREAT, ANYTHING ELSE BEFORE WE RECEIVE AND FILE? SEEING NONE, WE ARE MOVING ALONG TO OUR FIRST PUBLIC HEARING, WHICH IS RESOLUTION DECLARING WEEDS AS A PUBLIC NUISANCE. JEE, COINCIDENTAL THOSE TWO WOULD BE NEXT TO EACH OTHER. AND AS FAR AS FISCAL IMPACT, THERE IS NO US CAL IMPACT. THE APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM, HOWEVER, THE PROPERTY OWNER, WHICH THE CHIEF JUST SAID, FAILS TO ABATE THEIR LOTS A CONTRACTOR HIRED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WILL ABATE THE LOTS AND THE PROPERTY OWNER WILL BE BILLED FOR THE COST OF THE ABATEMENT PLUS ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS. BUT VICE MAYOR, DID I SEE --

>> I'M NOT HARD OF HEARING, AND I STILL KEEP HEARING THAT HIGH PITCHED NOISE, SO I'M JUST WONDERING IF -- IT'S COMING IN AND OUT, BUT I HAVE VERY GOOD HEARING, AND IT'S VERY DISTRACTING. IT'S STOPPED RIGHT NOW, BUT IT GOES IN AND OUT LIKE WHEN WE'RE TALKING. SORRY.

>>

NO, NO, NO.

>> IT'S VERY UNNERVING. >> NEED TO BE ABLE TO PAY ATTENTION. SO TECH, IS THAT SOMETHING YOU'RE HEARING ON YOUR END OR?

>> IT'S GOING IN AND OUT.

>> OKAY. >> MARY, WERE YOU GOING TO SAY

SOMETHING?
>> I WAS GOING TO ASK,
IS THERE
A REASON WE NEED IT ON?
LET'S JUST MOVE ON. OKAY.
>> OKAY,
WE'LL MOVE FORWARD. IF IT BECOMES AN
ISSUE, WE MAY HAVE TO SHUT
IT OFF.
>> OKAY, THANK YOU. SO YES,
I HAVE READ THE
TITLE FOR PUBLIC HEARING NUMBER 11
AND THE FISCAL IMPACT. AND SO THE
RESPONSIBLE
PARTY
IS FIRE, SO CHIEF. YES, THANK YOU.
>>
IT IS ON, THERE'S
NO LIGHT.
>> IT'S ON. >> GOT YA. SO
THIS IS JUST
THE APPROVAL OF OUR ANNUAL REQUIREMENT
FOR WEED ABATEMENT. IT ALLOWS
US TO GO OUT AND TALK TO THE PROPERTIES
IN QUESTION THAT
HAVE A HISTORICAL ISSUE AS WELL AS
ANY OF THOSE
THAT ARE INSIDE SEBASTOPOL PROPER
TO ALLOW US TO GO OUT
AND INSPECT VISUALLY FROM THE STREET
ON
DRIVE-BYS AND ADDRESS ANY CONCERNS
WITH A FIRST WARNING AND RECOMMENDATION
TO
PLEASE TAKE CARE OF YOUR WEEDS, EXPRESS
THE IMPORTANCE OF DOING SUCH. HISTORICALLY,
THERE IS THAT LAST
PART TO THAT, WHICH THERE IS
A COST TO THE HOMEOWNER. MY KNOWLEDGE
OF SEBASTOPOL AND TALKING WITH THE FOLKS
WHO
HAVE BEEN AROUND 40 PLUS YEARS HAVE
SAID IT'S NEVER LED TO THAT. SO EVERYBODY
TENDS TO WANT TO DO THE RIGHT THING. SOMETIMES THEY
NEED A LITTLE BIT OF ENCOURAGEMENT,
BUT
USUALLY IT'S CORRECTED WITH SOME
POSSIBLY REPEAT VISIT.
>> GREAT. THANK YOU, ARE
THERE QUESTIONS THE FOR CHIEF BEFORE
WE GO
TO PUBLIC COMMENT? LOOKING TO MY RIGHT,
LOOKING TO
MY LEFT, NO, MARY?

>> THIS IS FOR
THE PUBLIC HEARING
ON THE WEED ABATEMENT, IF YOU WOULD
LIKE TO MAKE
A PUBLIC COMMENT, SIT
A TWO-MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT. I GO
TO
CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN TO ZOOM. SEEING
NONE, ANYONE ON ZOOM WOULD LIKE TO
MAKE
A COMMENT ON THE WEED ABATEMENT, PLEASE
RAISE
YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE,
PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED FOR
THE PUBLIC HEARING.
>> THANK YOU,
MARY. SO WITH THIS IS THERE
ANYTHING ADDITIONAL WE NEED TO DO BEFORE
WE MOVE TO THE NEXT ONE?
>> YES, YOU
NEED TO
MAKE A MOTION TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION.
>>
GREAT, IS THERE A RESOLUTION? IF NOT,
I'LL
MOVE.
>> I'LL SECOND. >> ROLL CALL? >>
SO MOVED BY
MAYOR ZOLLMAN
AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?
>> YES. >>
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?
>> AYE. >>
COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER?
>> YES. >> VICE MAYOR
RICK LEWIS?
>> YES. >> MAYOR
STEPHEN ZOLLMAN?
>> YES. MOVING ON
TO
NUMBER 12. THIS MAY NEED A RESOLUTION
AUTHORIZING THE
CURRENT FISCAL YEAR
BUDGET INTO
NEW FISCAL YEAR '25/'26
BUDGET. ITEM INCLUDES RELATED ACTIONS
TO INCLUDE
CITY'S LIMIT AND EXPENDITURE PLAN
FOR
CERTAIN LAW ENFORCEMENT FINDING. RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT
IS ADMINISTRATIVE
SERVICES, AND AS FAR AS FISCAL IMPACT,

THE IMPACT
OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET IS DISCUSSED
IN THE BUDGET MESSAGE AT THE
BEGINNING OF THE BUDGET DOCUMENT. SO
TURNING IT OVER
TO ANNA.
>> THANK YOU,
MR. MAYOR. SO I PROPOSE THAT I
GO
QUICKLY THROUGH THE POWERPOINT PRESENTATION
TONIGHT. AND THEN WE CAN BACK UP,
BECAUSE I
ANTICIPATE THIS IS GOING TO BE A LOT
OF QUESTIONS REGARDING
THE BUDGET. SO IS THAT --INSTEAD OF
STOPPING
BETWEEN SLIDE AND ASK QUESTIONS, DOES
THAT SOUND LIKE A
GOOD IDEA?
>> TO MY COLLEAGUES, IS
THAT ALL RIGHT? LOOKING TO THE RIGHT,
LOOKING TO THE
LEFT, YES, DEFINITELY TO THE LEFT. I
WANT TO MAKE
SURE IT'S OKAY WITH THEM. SO APPARENTLY
IT
IS OKAY. THANK YOU.
>> DOES THE BUDGET
COMMITTEE HAVE ANYTHING TO SAY
BEFORE I JUST GO AND YOU'LL HAVE SOMETHING
TO SAY LATER?
>>
WELL, I WAS GOING TO WAIT UNTIL YOU
INTRODUCED THE BUDGET
AND THEN MAYBE WE COULD
THROW IN OUR COMMENTS THEN.
>>
LET ME GET THE SCREEN UP.
>> OKAY, SO HERE WE ARE. THIS IS
THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL BUDGET FOR
JULY 1, 2020 TO JUNE 30,
2026. THIS IS THE FIRST MEETING. IT'S
A CONTINUATION MEETING FROM JUNE 3rd. HERE'S
THE PRESENTATION
TO THE COUNCIL REGARDING THIS BUDGET. SO
THERE'S SOME CHANGES
TO THE BUDGET
FROM JUNE 3rd TO JUNE 17th,
AND SINCE JUNE 3rd MEASURE U REVENUE
WAS REVIEW
AND REVISE AND
THE AMOUNT WAS
INCREASED BY \$152,000. EXPENSES,
WHICH IS ALSO FROM

THE TRANSFER ALSO INCREASED BY \$75,000,
WHICH IS
THE 40% OF THE POLICY. THAT'S IMPROVED
THE
BOTTOM LINE BY \$77,000
WITH A NEW
SURPLUS OF \$148,000. I KNOW THAT THAT'S

--
WAS JUST FOUR BULLET POINTS TO SUMMARIZE
WHAT HAD
CHANGED FROM THE 3rd TO THE 17th,
SO THIS
IS MORE OF A
CHANGE RECAP IN A TABLE FORMAT. SO AS
YOU CAN SEE
HERE, THIS IS ALSO THE
REINFORCEMENT OF THE UPDATED MEASURE
U. FIGURES FROM
A TABLE-TYPE FORMAT FROM JUNE
3rd TO JUNE 17th SIDE BY SIDE AS A
RESULT. AND AS YOU CAN
SEE, IT'S IMPROVING WITH THE SURPLUS.
SO THIS IS A LIST OF TOPICS THAT
WE WILL COVER TONIGHT. IT INCLUDES
REVENUE,
EXPENSES, FINANCIAL FORECAST,
COST ALLOCATION PLAN, OTHER FUNDS
OVERVIEW, THOSE
FUNDS ARE SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS, AND
THE ENTERPRISE FUND, WATER
AND SEWER, AND INCLUDING INSURANCE. THE
BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBERS
ARE COUNCILMEMBERS MAUER AND CARTER. SUPPORTING
STAFF ARE
MYSELF AND THE
ACTING CITY MANAGER MARY
GOURLEY. SO \$32 MILLION REVENUE
FROM TAXES, FEES, AND GRANTS. \$29.1 MILLION
FOR PUBLIC SERVICES, INCLUDING POLICE
AND FIRE AND PARKS
AND INFRASTRUCTURE. SO WE'RE BRINGING
TO THE
COUNCIL THE BALANCED BUDGET MAINTAINING
STAFFING INFRASTRUCTURE
INVESTMENT AND PREPARING FOR
ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY. BUDGET INCLUDES
THE NEW \$1.1 MILLION
ANNUAL PAYMENT TO GOLD RIDGE
FIRE DISTRICT. THESE ARE
SOME KEY HIGHLIGHTS. STABLE RESERVES,
MODEST GROWTH IN CERTAIN
ASSUMPTION
BEING MADE FROM
MEASURE U. PENSION COSTS BASED

ON CALPERS. EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION
IS BUILT IN --IS BASED ON
THE JANUARY
7th OF COUNCIL ACTION. HOTEL BARLOW
FEE
IMPACTS PROJECTED IN THIS AS WELL. AND
A FUTURE REPAYMENT OF THE WASTEWATER
LOAN IS ALSO MENTIONED
HERE. AND THE REASON THAT IT'S HERE
IS BECAUSE IT WAS BUILT IN THE
FORECAST
THAT IT WAS GOING TO GET REPAID STARTING
IN FY '28. AND THEN THE
SHARE COST ALLOCATION PLAN IS THE
ALLOCATED
SHARE COST AND
RESOURCES TO ENTERPRISE FUND. SO THIS
IS
LISTING THE SIX COUNCIL
GOALS, INCLUDING COMMUNITY VITALITY,
PUBLIC
SAFETY, INFRASTRUCTURE, HIGH PERFORMANCE
ORGANIZATION,
LONG-TERM FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY, AND ECONOMIC
SO IF
YOU RECALL, BOB
WEILAND WAS HERE ON JUNE 3rd. IT WAS
REQUESTED HE COME BACK WITH ADDITIONAL
SLIDES THAT TALK ABOUT
THE FORECAST. SO THIS FIRST SLIDE
IS PREDICTING --
THIS IS THE BASELINE FORECAST, AND
IT IS PREDICT FUND BALANCE DECLINE,
STRUCTURE
SHORTFALL, AND THE NEED FOR \$500,000
NEW REVENUE AND CUTS STARTING
IN
2027. THIS IS
JUST THE BASELINE. HE HAS ALTERNATIVE
NUMBER ONE, WHICH IS
MEASURE U CONTINUES TO BE
AT 0.5% WITH NO HOTEL
AND ELIMINATE THE SHORTFALL AND BUILD
IN
PHYSICAL CAPACITY BUT STILL DIP DOWN
BELOW THE RESERVE LEVEL
OF 15%. ALTERNATIVE NUMBER TWO,
WHICH IS THE QUARTER --MAINTAIN
THE MEASURE U .25% RATE
PLUS THE HOTEL OPENED
IN 2027. THE SHORTFALL ELIMINATED,
RESERVES STAY
ABOVE THE GOAL. THIS IS THE BEST
SCENARIO, WHICH IS ALTERNATIVE

NUMBER THREE. WITH
HAVING
A 0.5% RATE PLUS THE HOTEL,
STRONG FISCAL OUTPUT WITH
SURPLUS IS GROWING. THIS SLIDE SHOWS
THE SHARE OF
COST RECOVERY ACROSS DEPARTMENT
FOR UTILITY RELATED SUPPORT. AND THIS
IS BASED ON INDUSTRIAL STANDARD AND
I KNOW
THAT THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERN AND
STAFF
WILL
REVIEW THIS ALLOCATION PLAN IN 2025/26.
THIS
BREAKDOWN, THE PROPOSED 2025/26 REVENUE
AND EXPENDITURES FUND. AS YOU CAN
SEE HERE, GENERAL
FUND IS
THE LARGEST IN THIS
SIDEWAYS GRAPH. THIS IS GENERAL
FUND REVENUE SOURCES
BY PERCENTAGE, LISTING
MAJOR REVENUE CATEGORIES. SALES TAX
TOOK
38%, NEXT, OTHERS AT 27%, PROPERTY
TAX AT 24%, AND SO ON AND
SO FORTH. YOU CAN FIND THE DETAILS
OF
THE GENERAL FUND REVENUE SOURCES ON
PAGE 39 SUMMARY AND
THEN PAGE 40 AS
THE DETAILS IN YOUR
BUDGET BOOK. SO
THIS IS MEASURE U FOR
'24/'25 MEASURE U. SO MEASURE U WAS
PASSED
BY VOTERS IN NOVEMBER OF 2024 AND
WENT INTO
EFFECT ON APRIL 1, 2025. THE
CITY WILL RECEIVE THE LAST QUARTER,
APRIL THROUGH JUNE,
AND THAT
IS PROJECTED AT \$375,000. THERE'S
A
40% POLICY THAT PUT INTO BUILDING
FACILITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND THAT'S
\$150,000. THE
REMAINING OF THAT, WHICH IS
\$225,000, CURRENTLY IS
IN
THE GENERAL
FUND. MOVING FORWARD TO
'25/'26

MEASURE U, WE'RE PROJECTING \$1.125
MILLION FOR MEASURE
U. 40% OF THE POLICY IS \$450,000. THAT'S
ALSO PUT INTO THE BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE,
FACILITY INSTRUCT,
AND THE REMAINING
OF
\$675,000 IS IN
OPERATION. OR FOR OPERATION. THIS
SLIDE IS THE EXPENDITURE BY DEPARTMENT. IT
STARTS OUT WITH POLICE, PUBLIC
WORKS, AND THEN SO ON AND SO FORTH. I
DID
HAVE SOMETHING HERE THAT SHOWS \$1.2
MILLION FOR FIRE. \$1.1 MILLION IS
FOR CONTRACT. AND THE
BALANCE IS PENSION COST RELATED
AND
FIRE INSPECTION FEE PASS THROUGH. THIS
SLIDE IS THE
CITY PERSONNEL. WE HAVE 55 FULL-TIME
EQUIVALENT POSITION. JUST TO BE
CLEAR, 55 AUTHORIZED POSITION. TWO
REMAIN FROZEN. THERE'S
NO PLAN FOR
LAYOFF OR FURLOUGH PROPOSED FOR
2025/26. THIS
IS WATER FUND REVENUE PROPOSED
BUDGET. IT LISTS OUT
SINGLE FAMILY, TAKE 51%, COMMERCIAL,
43%, THE WATER TOWER
TAKES 2%, AND THEN
THE OTHERS, OTHERS INCLUDING INTEREST
INCOME, NEW
ACCOUNT SETUP FEE, AND
PENALTIES. AND YOU CAN FIND WATER
FUND REVENUES IN
YOUR PAGE 178
FOR MORE DETAILS. THIS IS WATER FUND
EXPENSES
AND IT'S BROKEN UP OUT
BY CATEGORY, SALARY AND BENEFIT, PROFESSIONAL
SERVICES, UTILITY COSTS, COST ALLOCATION
PLAN IS
PART OF
THIS, AND ALL OTHERS. THIS
--MOVING
ON TO WASTEWATER FUND
REVENUE, SINGLE FAMILY MAKES
UP 49%, COMMERCIAL,
50%, AND OTHERS. WASTEWATER FUND EXPENDITURE. THE
BIGGEST
EXPENSES OUT OF THIS IS
SUBREGIONAL, WHICH IS THE COST OF

SANTA
ROSA SEWER PLANT
PROCESSING ON BEHALF OF
THE CITY. SALARIES AND BENEFITS, PROFESSIONAL SERVICES,
COST PLAN IS
PART OF THIS, AND THEN ALL OTHERS. MOVING
ON TO SPECIAL REVENUE FUND. THIS IS
RESTRICTED,
AS YOU HEARD ON JUNE 3rd, CAPITAL
PROJECTS ARE
BEING PAID OUT
OF THESE SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS. SO IT'S
MAINLY
FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS AND THE CITY
MAINTAINED 25 DIFFERENT FUNDS AND
WE FOLLOW THESE
RULES. AND YOU CAN FIND ALL THIS
IN --STARTING ON PAGE 139. AND THIS
IS
INSURANCE FUND. AND THE CITY IS
PART OF THE INSURANCE POOL. AND THAT
IS THE JOINT VENTURE AUTHORITY RISK
POOL. AND THE
INSURANCE IS ON PAGE 174/175. AND
IT LISTS OUT --
THIS FILE LISTS OUT THE PREMIUM, THE PREMIUMS
ARE BEING PAID OUT
OF HERE, AND THEN IT'S BEING ALLOCATED
TO ALL THE DEPARTMENT. AND THAT CONCLUDED
THIS SHORT
PRESENTATION AND I'M HAPPY TO GO BACK
TO EACH OF
THE SLIDES AS THE COUNCIL HAS
QUESTIONS.
>> AND SHOULD I
TURN IT TO
THE HARDWORKING BUDGET COMMITTEE
MEMBER, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.
>> I'D
LIKE TO
APPRECIATE OUR STAFF. THANKS FOR MARY
GOURLEY FOR STEPPING INTO THE ACTING CITY
MANAGER
ROLE, AND ALL YOU HAD TO DO TO CATCH
UP
WITH ALL
THE BUDGET ISSUES. AND ANA KWONG FOR
ALL THE WORK,
ALL THE CHANGES THAT YOU'VE HAD TO
MAKE. AND IT'S BEEN A MONTHS LONG
PROCESS. AND I WANT
TO THANK ALL OF THE STAFF MEMBERS
WHO
CAME TO THESE MEETINGS. IT'S JUST

A REAL PLEASURE AND JOY TO WORK WITH
YOU ALL. I'M SO GRATEFUL TO HAVE PARTICIPATED
IN
THE BUDGET COMMITTEE
AND I'M SO GRATEFUL TO
BE PRESENTING TO YOU TONIGHT A BALANCED
BUDGET. IN FACT, IT'S
A LITTLE BIT IN THE POSITIVE. SO
THAT FEELS REALLY, REALLY GOOD
TO
HAVE THAT. THANKS IN PART TO MEASURE
M,
EXCUSE ME, MEASURE U. I
WANT TO THANK THE RESIDENTS FOR PASSING
THAT, BECAUSE IT'S
MAKING A REALLY BIG DIFFERENCE FOR
THIS CITY. AND
ALSO WE HAD SOME ONE-TIME BUILDING
FEES THAT WERE HELPING
THIS PARTICULAR YEAR'S BUDGET. SO
I'M FEELING
REALLY HOPEFUL ABOUT
HOW MUCH PROGRESS WE'VE MADE AND THERE
ARE A
COUPLE THINGS THAT I'M GOING TO MENTION. I
THINK THE PUBLIC WORKS --THE ISSUE
OF
THE PUBLIC WORKS POSITION IS SOMETHING
WE DID DISCUSS
QUITE A LOT ABOUT WHETHER WE WOULD
GO
WITH MAYBE HAVING A CONTRACTOR OR
HAVING
A HALF-TIME POSITION. SO THAT'S
SOMETHING WE CAN DISCUSS LATER IN
ORDER TO
KEEP OUR CITY BEAUTIFUL, BECAUSE THAT'S
REALLY IMPORTANT. AND
THERE WAS ALSO AN ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATION
FROM
THE PUBLIC
ARTS COMMITTEE FOR SOME
MONEY FOR SOME SIGNAGE. OTHERWISE,
I'M FEELING REALLY
APPRECIATIVE AND PROUD AND GRATEFUL. WANT TO
THANK MY
COUNCILMEMBER PHILL CARTER FOR HIS
WORK ON THIS. IT'S BEEN A
REAL PLEASURE TOO. SO THANK YOU ALL.
>>
THANK
YOU COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.
>>
SO IT'S MY TURN TO SAY LIKE A

SMALL LITTLE JOKE HERE. MY GOAL
WAS TO
MAKE THE
BUDGET BORING AGAIN. OR MBBA. ANYWAY, I
REALLY, REALLY APPRECIATE ALL
THE PEOPLE THAT HELPED WORK ON THE
BUDGET. IT WAS A REALLY SMOOTH
PROCESS. I'M SUPER GRATEFUL. BUT MOST
OF ALL, I ENJOY
THIS KIND OF THING. AND TO ME, THE
BUDGET
TELLS A STORY. AND WHEN I LOOK INTO
THE NUMBERS, I GET TO KNOW SEBASTOPOL
A LITTLE BIT BETTER. AND
AS I DIG DEEPER AND DEEPER AND FIGURE
OUT
WHAT ALL THE NUMBERS MEAN AND
HOW REAL THEY ARE AND HOW WE CAN,
YOU KNOW, MAKE
OUR BUDGET A LITTLE BIT BETTER IS
FUN FOR
ME. SO I ENJOY DIGGING INTO IT. IT
WAS
A GREAT PROCESS, AND I'M GRATEFUL. AND
I THINK THAT
WE DID A GOOD JOB. SO THIS IS, AGAIN,
THIS IS STEP
ONE OF GETTING BACK TO A MUCH
BETTER PLACE. AND BECAUSE WE'RE AT
SUCH FIRM FOOTING, I THINK,
WITH THIS BUDGET, OR AT LEAST MY UNDERSTANDING
OF WHAT OUR NUMBERS ARE LIKE,
THEN ALL YEARS GOING FORWARD, I THINK
I WILL HAVE A LITTLE
BIT BETTER OF AN IDEA
AND IT'LL
BE AN
EVEN SMOOTHER PROCESS GOING FORWARD. SO
I HOPE YOU
ENJOY THE PRESENT.
>> WELL, THANK
YOU,
AND ARE WE NOW AT QUESTIONS, SO MARY?
>>
WE'RE AT QUESTIONS, BUT I WANT TO
JUMP
IN REALLY QUICK. WE HAVE OUR
CONSULTANT TERRY MADSEN. I KNOW THE
COST ALLOCATION PLAN HAS HAD A LOT
OF QUESTIONS. AS WE'RE GOING THROUGH QUESTIONS,
I WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT HE IS
ON
THE ZOOM AND ABLE TO ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS
REGARDING THE COST

ALLOCATION PLAN IF YOU HAVE
SOME.
>> OKAY. SO NOW
IT IS TIME FOR QUESTIONS? QUESTION. COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON
OR VICE MAYOR?
>> I JUST HAD A QUESTION
ABOUT PROCESS BEFORE
WE START.
>> SURE. >> ARE WE JUST
ASKING QUESTIONS BASED ON
THIS SLIDE PRESENTATION AND THEN MOVING
ON
TO DEPARTMENTS OR --I JUST WANT
TO UNDERSTAND WHERE IT'S APPROPRIATE
TO --
>> MARY, WE'RE SEEKING YOUR ADVICE,
AS ALWAYS.
>> SO
I THINK IF WE HAVE --IF THERE'S
SPECIFIC QUESTIONS REGARDING THE SLIDE
PRESENTATION, WE SHOULD ADDRESS THEM. BUT
MY RECOMMENDATION IS IF THERE'S QUESTIONS
ABOUT THE DEPARTMENTS AS WE'RE GOING
THROUGH THE DEPARTMENTS, WE SHOULD
TALK
ABOUT THE DEPARTMENTS ONE BY ONE AND
ADDRESS IT AT THAT
POINT. THEN THE REVENUE FUNDS, IF
YOU WANTED TO ADDRESS
ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THE SPECIAL
REVENUE, MEASURE U, THINGS LIKE
THAT. SO I DON'T WANT TO BE JUMPING
AROUND. I
THINK IF WE CAN JUST GO THROUGH -- I
DON'T WANT TO
DO LINE BY LINE, BUT IF WE COULD GO
THROUGH THE BOOK THE WAY THE DEPARTMENTS
ARE SET UP.
>> SO WE'LL START WITH THE
SLIDES AND MOVE ON TO THE
BOOK.
>> I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT. >>
IS THAT OKAY? IT
SOUNDS LIKE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAS
ALREADY
WEIGHED IN AND
OKAY. THEY'RE HAPPY. COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON, ARE YOU HAPPY OR?
>> WELL,
I MEAN, I JUST FEEL LIKE I HAVE TO
SAY WE LEFT AT 10:15 TWO WEEKS AGO
WITH ERRORS THAT WE
HAVE NOW --IT'S LIKE WE'RE DOING A DO OVER

TO
THE PUBLIC. SO I'D LIKE TO EXPLAIN
THE
DO OVER FIRST
OF ALL AND ADDRESS THAT BECAUSE
I FEEL LIKE WE REALLY MADE A
MISSTEP IN OUR CALCULATIONS, AND I'D LIKE
TO MAKE THAT REALLY CLEAR
TO THE PUBLIC WHY WE DID THAT AND
WHY WE'RE
BACK TODAY AND START THERE AND THEN
GO THROUGH BECAUSE
WE FOUND SOME MORE FUNDING. I STILL
HAVE SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE COST
ALLOCATION AND FOR THE
--HOW
WE'RE LOOKING AT IT ALL. CONCERNED
WE MIGHT BE
COMING IN A LITTLE TOO CONSERVATIVE WITH A
LITTLE TOO BIG
OF A RESERVE. BUT I THOUGHT MAYBE
WE COULD
START THERE BEFORE WE JUST
DIVE RIGHT INTO PAGE BY PAGE, DEPARTMENT
BY
DEPARTMENT. I DON'T KNOW, DOES ANYBODY
ELSE FEEL THAT WAY? BUT AT 10:15 AT NIGHT IS
WHEN WE
GOT TO IT,
AND THEN WE REALIZED, SOMETHING'S
WRONG.
>> VICE MAYOR, WHAT ARE
YOUR THOUGHTS?
>> I AGREE, I THINK
WE OWE IT TO THE
PUBLIC TO EXPLAIN THE CHANGES. I MEAN,
NOW WE HAVE THREE CHARTS AND ALL THESE
DIFFERENT THINGS, SO I
THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME SORT
OF --
>>
OKAY, AND I'M GOOD WITH THAT TOO.
>>
WE CAN
HAVE THE DIRECTOR ADDRESS WHAT THE
CHANGES WERE
AND THE REASONING FOR THOSE CHANGES.
>>
OKAY, THAT SOUNDS LIKE
IT'S THE WILL OF THE BODY.
>>
LET ME
FIND MY POWERPOINT PRESENTATION AGAIN. AT THAT
SO I'M GOING

TO GO BACK TO THIS TABLE. I THINK THIS
TABLE
WILL SPEAK FOR ITSELF. I UNDERSTAND
THAT WE
LEFT HERE AT 10:15 ON JUNE 3rd AND
THERE
WAS A LOT OF CONFUSION
ON THE MEASURE U PART. MANY THE
BUDGET
ON JUNE 3rd IT HAS \$973,000. AND
\$973,000 IS BASED ON
A FULL
YEAR OF MEASURE
U AT 0.25%. IN
ADDITION TO THAT, THERE
WAS \$223,000 THAT I'M NOT QUITE --
I'M NOT QUITE
SURE HOW IT GOT IN THERE, BUT IT WAS
IN THERE, AND IT WAS
AN ASSUMPTION THAT THAT WAS THE AMOUNT
THAT WE'RE
GOING TO GET FROM THE COUNTY. AND
FURTHER REVIEW,
THERE WAS NO \$222,000 FROM THE COUNTY
TO MAKE UP
THE REST OF THE
MEASURE U. SO AS WE SHARPEN
OUR PENCILS AFTER JUNE
3rd, I'VE LEARNED THAT MEASURE
U, THE
GUARANTEE FROM THE
STATE IS 0.25%. REGARDLESS HOW
YOU LICENSE IT, IT'S 0.25%. BUT THE
COUNTY WILL
MAKE UP THE OTHER QUARTER, CORRECT
ME
IF I'M WRONG, ALEX. THE COUNTY WILL
MAKE UP THE OTHER
QUARTER. SO IF YOU START FROM
THE BEGINNING OF MEASURE
U, APRIL THROUGH JUNE OF 2025, WHICH
IS
THE LAST QUARTER IN
THE FISCAL YEAR OF 2024/5, WE ONLY
GET A QUARTER FROM THE STATE. THAT'S
ALL WE'RE GOING TO GET. THAT'S WHAT
THEY'RE GOING
TO REMIT IT TO THE CITY. THE
OTHER QUARTER
IS COMING FROM
THE COUNTY. MOVING ON TO THE MAYOR.
>>
OKAY, IT
LOOKED LIKE THERE WERE SOME

COMMENTS. IS IT ALEX THAT WANTS TO
PROVIDE
A COMMENT OR MARY OR BOTH?
?
>> SO --
SO WHAT WAS THE QUESTION? I'M SORRY.
>>
ALEX?
>>
SO JUST TO
CLARIFY, I WAS CONFERRING. SO THAT
\$1,12500,
THAT'S ASSUMING A QUARTER CENT FROM
THE STATE THROUGH
THE TAX AND THEN A QUARTER CENT
FROM OUR AGREEMENT WITH
THE COUNTY FOR SIX MONTHS. SO IT IS
STILL TAKING A
CONSERVATIVE APPROACH TO THAT, THAT \$1,125,000
IS
ONLY ASSUMING WE GET THE QUARTER PERCENT
FOR
SIX MONTHS.
>> PLEASE, GO AHEAD. >>
IF WE GOT IT FOR THE
FULL YEAR, THAT
WOULD BE \$1.5 MILLION.
>> AND THAT'S
THE HALF
CENT FOR THE FIRST SIX MONTHS PLUS
THE QUARTER CENT FOR THE REMAINING
SIX MONTHS.
>> CORRECT. >> OKAY. >>
ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OVER
THAT
PART? LOOKING TO THE RIGHT, NO? OKAY. MARY? OR
I'M HEARING SOMEBODY
LEAD IN, NO,
OKAY, BACK TO DIRECTOR
KWONG.
>> SO BASED ON THAT,
JUNE 3rd HAS \$973,000,
WHICH
I EXPLAINED. JUNE 17 HAS \$1.125,000. THE 40%
POLICY, THE
JUNE 3 ARD
AMOUNT WAS \$375,000. NOW THE REVENUE
IS \$1,125,000,
SO
THE POLICY IS NOW FOR 50. DO YOU FOLLOW
ME SO FAR?
>> WHAT
DO --NO.
>> COUNCILMEMBER CARTER? >>

OKAY. SO WHO WANTS
TO ANSWER THIS. I SEE COUNCILMEMBER
CARTER'S HAND, AND THEN
WE'LL GO OVER TO STAFF.
>> I WANT
TO HEAR
FROM THE STAFF, PERSONALLY.
>> OKAY,
SO APPARENTLY THEY
WANT TO HEAR FROM STAFF. SO STAFF?
>>
IF YOU
TAKE THE
CORRECT FIGURE OF THE \$1.125 MILLION,
THE FIRST TWO MONTHS OF THE
HALF CENT BE THE SECOND SIX MONTHS
OF THE QUARTER. FOR THE COUNCIL'S
RESOLUTION, 40% WOULD GO TO
INFRASTRUCTURE, ALL THAT. IN
THE PREVIOUS REPORT
IT'S \$375,000. WE BASED IT ON
THE CORRECT
FIGURE OF THE \$1.125 MILLION. \$450,000
FOR THE UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR, 40%.
>>
I UNDERSTAND THAT. I HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT
THAT, BUT WE CAN GO AHEAD.
>> COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON?
>> WELL,
SINCE WE WENT THROUGH THE INFRASTRUCTURE,
DOES THAT MEAN NOW THAT WE
HAVE MORE MONEY WE'RE GOING TO REVISIT
THAT
PART OF THE BUDGET TONIGHT I ASSUME,
SO.
>> THAT'S MY QUESTION. THAT'S
PART OF THIS
YEAR, WHERE IS THAT ALLOCATED? HAS
IT BEEN ALLOCATED IN
THIS BUDGET? OR IS IT ADDITIONAL WE
HAVE TO SPEND
FOR NEXT YEAR? BECAUSE I DON'T RECALL
US ALLOCATING
--SEEING WHERE THAT WAS ALLOCATED.
>>
I DON'T SEE IT ALLOCATED EITHER.
>>
THOSE ARE GOOD
QUESTIONS, WHO WANTS TO ADDRESS THOSE?
>>
I JUST WANT TO
MAKE SURE WE'RE TALKING THIS CURRENT
FISCAL YEAR, APRIL

THROUGH JUNE, WHAT IT WAS ALLOCATED
TOWARDS.

>>

YEAH.

>> AND NEXT YEAR, I MEAN, IF THAT'S
WHERE
MORE MONEY FALLS.

>> IT WAS PUT
IN THE BUILDING FACILITY INFRASTRUCTURE
FUND.

>>

BUT WHERE HAS
IT BEEN USED?

>> IT HASN'T. >> OH. >>

IT DEPENDS

UPON WHETHER MYCOLLEAGUES TO MY RIGHT
WANT TO ADDRESS
THIS TO STAFF OR TO THEIR
OTHER COLLEAGUES TO THE
LEFT. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, FURTHER
QUESTIONS?

>> YEAH, I MEAN, IF IT'S
ADDITIONAL MONEY AND OUR PROMISE IS
TO THE PUBLIC WE'RE SPENDING IT, I
THINK WE NEED TO ADDRESS IT TONIGHT. THAT'S MY
ONLY COMMENT. WE CAN
FIGURE THAT OUT.

>> SURE, COUNCILMEMBER
MAURER.

>> THERE'S A
LOT OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
THAT THE MONEY IS
GOING TOWARD. SO THAT, I MEAN, HASN'T
BEEN SPENT YET,
BUT THAT'S WHAT THE PLAN IS, TO SPEND
THEM ON CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS,
INCLUDING
\$500,000 ON ROADS, INCLUDING AN ADA
PATHWAY AND QUITE
A FEW THINGS THAT THE
MONEY IS GOING TOWARD.

>> OKAY. OTHER
QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT
AS FAR AS WHERE
WE ARE. VICE MAYOR?

>>

WELL, I SEE --

I SEE \$450,000 FOR % FOR INFRASTRUCTURE,
AND THEN I SEE
THAT \$50,000. SO THAT DOESN'T EQUAL
\$500,000. AM

I LOOKING AT THE NUMBERS INCORRECTLY?
?

>> SO THE

\$450,000 --SO THE \$500,000 THAT'S
COMING OUT OF ROADS,
IT'S A COMBINATION OF BUDGETS. SO IT'S
NOT
SPECIFICALLY JUST THE MEASURE U. BUT
THERE
IS INFRASTRUCTURE --AS COUNCILMEMBER
MAURER STATED, \$500,000
IN ROADS. THAT IS A USE OF MEASURE
U FUNDS. THERE'S ALSO --
AND I BELIEVE IN THE NEW VERSION YOU
SEE \$200,000
OF THAT
IS GOING TO PAVING PROJECTS, \$150,000
IS GOING
TO THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS, AND THEN THERE'S
ALSO THE FUELLING STATION DOWN AT
PUBLIC WORKS. SO THAT'S WHERE THE
\$450,000 FIGURE IS ALLOCATED
FOR FOR THIS YEAR.
>> OKAY. ADDITIONAL
QUESTIONS AS TO
WHERE --
>> I JUST WANT TO COMMENT. I
JUST WANT TO MAKE
SURE THAT WHATEVER WE'RE DOING, YOU
KNOW, I'M THE ONE WHO FOUGHT SO HARD
TO
HAVE THIS POLICY IN ORDER TO ACTUALLY,
YOU KNOW, BE
OKAY WITH PUTTING THIS ON THE BALLOT. SO
WHATEVER WE DO, I
WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S CLEAR TO THE
PUBLIC HOW
WE'RE USING THESE MEASURE U FUNDS. OTHERWISE
I WAS NOT
PERSONALLY, MY OWN THING, I WAS NOT
A BIG FAN OF IT. SO I
JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
WHATEVER WE'RE DOING, IT'S COMPLETELY
TRANSPARENT ON WHERE
EXACTLY THESE MONEYS ARE
USE, SO.
>> OKAY, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON,
ANYTHING BEFORE WE MOVE ON?
>> WELL
YEAH, I JUST WOULD LIKE TO MAYBE ADDRESS
THIS, BECAUSE I THINK THE \$75,000
SAYS REMAINING FUNDS
TO BE DETERMINED AND POSSIBLY THE
\$25,000.
>> I'M SORRY, I'M HAVING
A BIT --
>>

THE \$75,000 ON THIS GRAPH PLUS
\$25,000 THAT'S BEING
USED AS A FUELLING --PARTIALLY FUNDED
FUELLING STATION. I'M NOT SURE IN
MY MIND THAT FULFILLS THE PROMISE
WE MADE.
>> OKAY. >> I THINK THAT
EQUALS
\$100,000 WE MIGHT WANT TO REVISIT.
>>
OKAY, WHAT
ARE STAFF'S THOUGHTS ON THAT?
>> I
THINK AS WE'RE GOING THROUGH
THE BUDGET, WE WILL REVISIT ALL THIS
AS THEY COME
UP. WE HAVE THAT NOTED, SO I WOULD
RECOMMEND, AS
WE KEEP MOVING FORWARD WHEN WE'RE
LOOKING AT THOSE PROJECTS --
>> OKAY. >> --
WHAT ACCOUNT YOU
MAY WANT IT TO COME FROM. YOU MAY
NOT WANT
TO GO AGAINST MEASURE U. YOU MAY WANT IT
TO COME OUT OF
THE RESERVE FUND ITSELF AND NOT HAVE
IT ALLOCATED. I DO
WANT TO SAY THE CITY WILL BE TRACKING
THE MEASURE U FUNDS,
AS TO HOW THEY ARE BEING ALLOCATED
SO THAT IT
IS CLEAR AND TRANSPARENT WHAT THOSE
40% IS GOING TO BE
USED FOR.
>> OKAY. TO KIND OF
STREAMLINE THIS PROCESS, SO SOMEONE'S
KEEPING TRACK
OF THE SYSTEM, RIGHT? THIS ISSUE THAT
SOMEBODY JUST RAISED, SOMEBODY WROTE
THAT DOWN SO WHEN WE LOOP BACK AROUND
WE'RE NOT DISCUSSING THE SAME ISSUE,
IS THAT WHAT'S
HAPPENING? OKAY, SO ARE WE OKAY
WITH MOVING FURTHER. COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON IS SAYING YES. VICE MAYOR,
ARE YOU OKAY AT THIS POINT?
>>
YEAH. FOR THIS SECOND.
>> FOR THIS
SECOND,
ALL
RIGHT. SO
HOW DO WE PROCEED NOW? SURE. COUNCILMEMBER

MAURER?

>> I WANTED
TO MAKE A COMMENT OF CLARIFICATION
AND ASK OUR
ACTING CITY MANAGER TO
ALSO CLARIFY THIS. WE CANNOT
TREAT THESE FUNDS FOR MEASURE U AS
IF THEY
WERE SPECIAL FUNDS, BECAUSE I THOUGHT
IT WOULD BE
GREAT TO HAVE A SPECIAL FUND, SET
UP MEASURE U SPECIAL FUNDS SO YOU
CAN SEE THE MONEY COMING IN, COMING
OUT. IT
MAKES SENSE. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT THE
PUBLIC VOTED ON. THEY DID NOT VOTE
ON
UP A SPECIAL TAX, SO WE CAN'T TREAT
IT LIKE THAT. AND
THAT'S WHY IT'S MAYBE NOT SO CLEAR
ABOUT
WHERE THE MONEY'S COMING, YOU KNOW,
GOING TO.
>> OKAY. >> THAT IS CORRECT,
AND AS
WE STATED DURING THE BUDGET COMMITTEE
AND AS NOW WE WILL
BE HAVING A
REPORT OUT THAT SHOWS WHAT THOSE
ARE GOING TO BE USED FOR
. SO WE WILL BE TRANSPARENT THOUGH
TO
MAKE SURE THE COMMUNITY IS AWARE WHAT
THEY VOTED ON AND WHAT
IT'S GOING TO BE SPENT ON.
>> YEAH,
AND I
UNDERSTAND THAT, I MEAN, I'M THE ONE
THAT BROUGHT THE POLICY FORTH
AND WORKED WITH THE ATTORNEYS TO FIGURE
HOW
TO GET TO YES, I'M VERY AWARE OF THAT. THAT
DOESN'T PRECLUDE US FROM BEING TRANSPARENT. I
WANT TO MAKE THAT
STATEMENT. I SPEND A LOT OF TIME ON
PUSHING FOR THIS POLICY SO THAT THE
COMMUNITY COULD FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE
WITH WHAT WE'RE DOING. ANYWAY.
>>
WHAT
ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS DO FOLKS HAVE,
MY COLLEAGUES TO MY RIGHT, ABOUT
WHERE WE ARE IN THE PROCESS
NOW?

>> LET'S SEE. >> I THINK WE DECIDED
WE WERE GOING TO STICK WITH THE
SLIDES. DID WE SAY WE'RE GOING TO
GO THROUGH THE SLIDES AND THEN GO
BACK DEPARTMENT BY DEPARTMENT, IS
THAT WHAT
YOU RECOMMEND, MARY?
>> CAN I MAKE
A SUGGESTION? NOW
THAT WE HAVE TERRY ON THE CALL, I THINK
IF WE CAN
ADDRESS THE COST ALLOCATION QUESTIONS,
I THINK THOSE ARE REALLY IMPORTANT
TO THE
COMMUNITY AS WELL. I THINK WE HAVE
QUESTIONS, TERRY IS ON THE LINE,
I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO ADDRESS
THOSE QUESTIONS.
>>
SO DO WE HAVE A PRESENTATION FROM
HIM? OR ARE WE JUST ASKING QUESTIONS?
>>
NO, WE HAVE THE SLIDES HE
PRESENTED FROM THE LAST MEETING. WE
HAVE THE SLIDE HERE. SO I THINK THE
QUESTIONS
SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO HIM. AND THEN WE
CAN DO --
>>
BEFORE WE DO THAT, I JUST WANTED TO
PLAY OFF THE --
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON'S QUESTIONS ABOUT,
YOU KNOW, WE HAD QUESTIONS ABOUT THESE
SLIDES, SO I WANTED TO MAKE SURE
THAT ALL OF THAT WAS ALSO ADDRESSED
AND ALSO
WE HAVE ALL THESE DIFFERENT ALTERNATIVES. SO
WHEN YOU WERE DOING ALL
OF THIS, YOU BASED --I WANT TO JUST
MAKE
SURE I UNDERSTAND --YOU BASED THE
BUDGET
OFF OF WHICH ONE OF THESE ALTERNATIVES? SINCE
I
DIDN'T --I COULDN'T FIND THE SLIDE
PRESENTATION IN OUR PACKET,
SO THAT'S
WHY I'M LOOKING
AT IT NOW.
>> WAS IT NOT IN OUR PACKET,
WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT?
>> I DID
NOT SEE IT. THAT'S A BIGGER ISSUE,
HAS

THIS BEEN PUBLISHED ON
TO THE SITE?
>> OKAY. >> BUT
NOT IN OUR PACKET.
>> ALL RIGHT, SO
WE'D LIKE TO FOLLOW MARY'S SUGGESTION ABOUT
USING THE EXPERT THAT WE HAVE
ON THE LINE. IS THAT WHAT THE SUGGESTION
WAS FOR?
>> IF
WE COULD ADDRESS THE QUESTION FIRST
ABOUT WHICH
CHART WE USED.
>> UH-HUH. >> THE BUDGET
IS NOT --ARE WE
ASKING ABOUT THE BUDGET?
>>
THE BUDGET, WHICH PROJECTION
IS IT --BASELINE?
>> THE BASELINE,
YES.
>> OKAY,
NOW THAT WE HAVE THAT --
>> NOW THAT
WE
HAVE THAT AND QUESTIONS ON COST ALLOCATION,
THIS WOULD BE THE PERFECT OPPORTUNITY
TO TALK TO --TO
ASK QUESTIONS OF THE CONSULTANT, TERRY.
>>
SO
WAS A LOT LAST MEETING, SO DID WE
ACTUALLY HEAR A PRESENTATION
FROM HIM, OR ARE WE --
>> NO, WE
DID NOT. WE STATED WE WOULD PUT IT INTO
THE SLIDE SHOW, THE
ONE BASE, AND THEN ALSO HAVE HIM ON
CALL TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS
THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE.
>> ALL RIGHT,
SO WE SHOULD JUST
TURN IT OVER TO THE PRESENTER.
>>
I
WOULD ASK QUESTIONS, YEAH.
>> --THE
SLIDE IS
CALLED ON OUR SITE.
>> YEAH, AND I'M
SENDING
IT RIGHT NOW AS WELL.
>> OKAY.
>> CAN'T EVEN READ IT. >>

SO THERE IS NO PRESENTATION. AS I
SAID,
WE DECIDE AT THE
LAST MEETING WE WERE GOING TO

.
>> JUMP
IN VICE MAYOR. THESE QUESTIONS
ARE COMING FROM THE PUBLIC WHO APPROACHED
ME
ABOUT WHY
WE HAVE ATTORNEY FEES SO HIGH --AND I
THINK THE
COMMENTS TOO -- WHY THE CITY FEES
ARE SO HIGH,
WHY THE CITY MANAGER FEES ARE SO
HIGH. SO MANY DIFFERENT ALLOCATIONS
HERE. I UNDERSTAND THERE'S A FORMULA, BUT IS
THAT
NECESSARILY WHAT WE HAVE TO FOLLOW? AND
I'M JUST WONDERING,
YOU KNOW, THE COMMUNITY NEEDS TO UNDERSTAND
WHY
THIS IS COSTING SO MUCH AND IS IT
POSSIBLE THAT IT
COULD BE LOWER AND IS THAT SOMETHING
THAT
WE COULD DO NOW, YOU KNOW, BECAUSE
THIS
HAS BEEN AN ONGOING ISSUE FOR THE
LAST
SEVERAL YEARS OVER THE ALLOCATION,
YOU KNOW. SO THAT'S THE FIRST
QUESTION I HAVE IS, I UNDERSTAND WE
HAD
A FORMULA, BUT DO WE NECESSARILY HAVE
TO
FOLLOW THAT FORMULA BASED ON ACTUAL
COSTS THAT WE
MIGHT BE ABLE
TO PROJECT.

>>
DIRECTOR KWONG?
>> TERRY? >> SURE. WELL,
GOOD
EVENING. CAN YOU ALL HEAR ME?
>> YES. >>
WELL, THANK YOU FOR
ALLOWING ME TO PARTICIPATE IN
THIS TOPIC THIS
EVENING. AS MENTIONED, I'M TERRY MADSEN,
HERE FROM CLEARSOURCE. I
MET WITH THE CITY COUNCIL LAST YEAR
IN FEBRUARY 2024
TO WALK THROUGH THE IDEA OF AN UPDATE

TO WHAT WE CALL YOUR COST ALLOCATION
PLAN OR AN OVERHEAD COST
ALLOCATION PLAN. AND IN FEBRUARY 2024,
THE
COUNCIL HAD, YOU KNOW, SIGNIFICANT
DELIBERATIONS AND RECEIVED A LOT
OF REPORTING ABOUT
AN UPDATE TO ITS PLAN. AND PART OF
THE
UPDATE TO THE PLAN PROVIDED THIS OVERVIEW
OF
HOW CITIES TREAT
THIS IDEA OF OVERHEAD OR SUPPORT
SERVICES THAT ARE PROVIDED
BY THE GENERAL FUND
TO NONGENERAL FUND DEPARTMENTS AND
GENERAL
FUND DEPARTMENTS. SO JUST AS
A REMINDER, THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL
PRIMARILY EXISTS TO PROVIDE
DIRECT SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITY. PUBLIC
SAFETY LIKE POLICE
AND FIRE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT,
PLANNING AND BUILDING, PARKS SERVICES
AND SO
FORTH. THAT IS WHY YOU EXIST IS TO
HELP FACILITATE
THE PROVISION OF THOSE SERVICES TO
THE COMMUNITY
BUT BEHIND THE SCENES IN ORDER FOR
YOU TO PROVIDE THOSE DIRECT
SERVICES YOU HAVE WHAT WE
CONSIDER INDIRECT COSTS, LIKE
HUMAN RESOURCES, FINANCE, CITY CLERK
SERVICES, CITY
ATTORNEY SUPPORT, AND
SO FORTH. A COST ALLOCATION PLAN IDENTIFIES
THOSE INDIRECT COSTS AND ATTEMPTS
TO
SPREAD THEM OR ALLOCATE THEM AMONGST
THE DIRECT SERVICE DEPARTMENTS OF
THE CITY --
>>
I'M GOING TO JUMP IN HERE,
TERRY. I THINK WE GET THE BASICS. THE QUESTION
IS CAN
WE GO OVER THE FORMULA AND CAN YOU
SAY
IF WITH CAN STICK WITH THE FORMULA
OR IF THERE'S SOME
--IS THAT THE QUESTION, MAYOR?
>>
WE UNDERSTAND THAT. WE UNDERSTAND
HOW THIS HAPPENS. MY QUESTION IS WHAT

THE MAYOR
SAID. I MEAN, JUST FOR TIME PURPOSES,
AND JUST OUT OF
RESPECT FOR WHAT WE DO KNOW.
>> YEAH. SO
I WOULD SAY THIS, I WOULD
SAY THE COST ALLOCATION PLAN
INFORMS A MAXIMUM CHARGE FOR SERVICE
TO THE ENTERPRISES. IF THE CITY COUNCIL
WANTED TO ADOPT LOWER
THAN THE MAXIMUM, IT COULD DO
THAT, BUT YOU'D ESSENTIALLY BE
TELLING YOUR COMMUNITY THAT I'M GOING
TO
USE GENERAL FUND DOLLARS AND
INSTEAD OF PROVIDING PUBLIC SAFETY
SERVICES, I'M
GOING TO USE THOSE GENERAL
FUND DOLLARS TO OFFSET A COST OF ADMINISTRATIVE
SERVICES THAT ARE
BEING PROVIDED TO THE UTILITIES. AND SO
I WOULD
SAY YOU WOULD BE
INCONSISTENT WITH OTHER CALIFORNIA
COMMUNITIES. AND THE METHODOLOGY YOU
ADOPTED IN OF 24 IS
CONSISTENT WITH WHAT YOU
SO IN OTHER COMMUNITIES. IF YOU HAVE
QUESTIONS ABOUT CITY
MANAGER COSTS OR CITY ATTORNEY COSTS, YOU COULD MONITOR
THOSE FROM TIME TO TIME
AND SAY IS THERE A
REASON SEBASTOPOL HAS THIS LEVEL OF
CITY ATTORNEY COST AND
IS THERE A WAY WE COULD REDUCE THOSE
OR THIS LEVEL
OF CITY MANAGER COSTS. AND IS THERE
A WAY WE
COULD REDUCE THOSE, BUT THE
ALLOCATION OF THOSE COSTS
IS REALLY A RATIONAL, REASONABLE ALLOCATION
BASED ON INDUSTRY STANDARDS. SO IT IS
AT YOUR DISCRETION WHETHER YOU WANT
TO
RECOVER THOSE COSTS OR NOT. BUT WHEN
YOU DON'T RECOVER
THEM, IT DOESN'T MEAN YOU DON'T INCUR
THEM. IT JUST MEANS YOU'RE CHOOSING
TO PAY FOR THEM FROM
SOME OTHER CITY SOURCE. AND THAT'S
GOING TO BE YOUR GENERAL
FUND, WHICH IS COMPETING FOR OTHER
PRIORITY. SO I THINK
WHAT STAFF HAS RECOMMENDED IN

THE BUDGET IS THAT, AGAIN, IF YOU
FEEL A
SENSE OF DISCONTENT WITH
THE COST ALLOCATION PLAN, YOU
COULD ADOPT THE BUDGET AS PROPOSED,
AND AS PART OF YOUR FISCAL
OPERATING PLAN, YOU COULD REEVALUATE,
CONSIDER MIDYEAR BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS
IF YOU FEEL THEY'RE
NECESSARY, BUT I WOULD SAY THAT MODIFYING THIS
WOULD
HAVE A, I WOULD THINK, A RADICAL RIPPLE EFFECT
ON
THE REST OF YOUR BUDGET.
>> OKAY,
THANK
YOU, ALEX, YOU WERE LEANING IN?
>>
TERRY ANSWERED IT THERE, BUT
I GUESS
I WOULD SAY THREE THINGS. ONE, STAFF
MENTIONED THAT THIS IS
SOMETHING THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE EVALUATING
THIS COMING YEAR
TO SEE
IF THIS FORMULA STILL WORKS. THESE
ARE INDUSTRY STANDARD, SO THERE MAY
BE COSTS IN HERE THAT ARE TOO HIGH
FOR
THE CITY IF YOU
DUG DOWN SPECIFICALLY. THERE ALSO
COULD BE
COSTS THAT ARE TOO LOW, DEPENDING
ON WHERE YOU'RE
LOOKING. SO STAFF SAYS WE'RE GOING
TO REEVALUATE THIS YEAR. LIKE TERRY
SAID, IF YOU FEEL THIS
NUMBER'S TOO HIGH, YOU CAN LOWER IT,
IT JUST MEANS THE
GENERAL FUND IS GOING TO BE PICKING
UP
THE DIFFERENCE, WHICH IS, YOU KNOW, AT
THE DISCRETION OF THE
COUNCIL IF
THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT TO DO. IT'S
WITHIN YOUR
AUTHORITIES.
>> BASED ON THESE NUMBERS,
CAN YOU TELL ME
THE PERCENTAGE OF THE
FEES WE INCUR FOR LEGAL FEES FOR THE
CITY
VERSUS WATER. IT SEEMS OUT OF BALANCE. ALSO
FOR THE

CITY MANAGER ALLOCATION. THOSE ARE
TWO WHO WOULD BE ABLE
TO ANSWER THAT. BASED ON WHAT THE SALARY
IS
BASED ON WHAT WE'RE CHARGING HERE,
IT
SEEMS VERY HIGH.
>> ALSO, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? >>
I WOULD ADD
THE CITY COUNCIL. I'M
THINKING WE'RE IN THERE AT
49, BASICALLY 50K.
>> WE MAKE \$300
A MONTH.
>> WELL, THAT
JUST SEEMS KIND OF HIGH. SO I
HAD THOSE QUESTIONS. I UNDERSTAND ALLOCATIONS
AND MAYBE WE CAN'T CHANGE
IT RIGHT NOW ON THE
FLY. I WOULD APPRECIATE STAFF, WHATEVER
WE DECIDE,
FOR SURE COMING
BACK THIS YEAR.
>>
YES. VICE MAYOR'S
COUNTING.
>> I'M COUNTING WHAT WE
ALL
CUMULATIVELY RECEIVE IN
STIPENDS. WE RECEIVE \$3,600 A YEAR.
>>
AGREED.
>> BEFORE TAX. >> SO DO WE
HAVE
ANYMORE QUESTIONS FOR THE COST ALLOCATION
OR HOW DO
WE WANT TO PROCEED? I'M LOOKING TO
MY
RIGHT,
MY COLLEAGUES HERE. MY COLLEAGUES
TO MY LEFT
ALREADY KNOW THE ANSWERS. DO WE WANT
TO HEAR FROM COUNCILMEMBERS OR STAFF? I'M
TRYING TO EXPEDITE THIS, AND MY FEELING
IS I WANT
TO HEAR FROM STAFF. NOT LIKE I DON'T
BELIEVE MY COUNCILMEMBERS,
BUT I REALLY WANT TO EXPEDITE THIS
PROCESS, AND I WANT TO HEAR
FROM STAFF. BUT I'M GOING
TO PAUSE, COUNCILMEMBER CARTER, GO
AHEAD.
>> SO I'M HOPING THAT COST
ALLOCATION

PLAN PERSON, I FORGOT HIS NAME.

>> TERRY. >>

TERRY

MIGHT ANSWER THE REASON WHY IT'S PROPORTIONATELY
SO DISSIMILAR THAN, YOU KNOW, IT SEEMS
LIKE, YOU KNOW,
WHEN OUR PAY IS SO LOW WHY ARE ALL
THESE OTHER
COSTS ATTRIBUTED TO THE COUNCIL? LIKE
OUR WHOLE BUDGET
SEEMS LIKE THE ALLOCATIONS ARE SO
EXPENSIVE, BECAUSE --AND I'LL LET
YOU ANSWER SO I DON'T ANSWER THE QUESTION.

>>

YEAH, I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER. SO IT SOUNDS
LIKE THERE'S SOME
COST CENTERS THAT ARE CATCHING
THE COUNCIL'S ATTENTION, SPECIFICALLY CITY
ATTORNEY, CITY MANAGER, AND CITY COUNCIL. AND
WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS, HEY, WHEN I
LOOK AT THIS CHART,
IT FEELS LIKE THERE'S A LOT OF
CITY MANAGER COSTS GOING TO THE UTILITIES,
THERE'S A LOT OF
CITY ATTORNEY COSTS GOING TO THE UTILITIES,
AND THERE'S
A LOT OF EVEN CITY COUNCIL COSTS GOING
TO THE UTILITIES AND. YOU SAY, WE'RE
COUNCILMEMBERS, WE
MAKE ALMOST ZERO DOLLARS, WE'RE ESSENTIALLY
ALMOST VOLUNTEER POSITIONS, SO WHY
IS THERE THE EQUIVALENT OF \$100,000
IN CITY COUNCIL COSTS GOING OUT
TO THESE UTILITIES? WHAT I WOULD SAY
TO YOU
IS, I'M JUST DOING A VERY QUICK
LOOK, SO PLEASE TREAT IT AS A QUICK
LOOK. BUT
IT IS
INTENDED TO GIVE YOU
A SENSE OF PROPORTIONALITY. THE ADOPTED BUDGET
FOR THE CITY
COUNCIL UNIT, WHICH I SEE
AS LIKE FUND 100,
DEPARTMENT 10, IS
A \$200,000 BUDGET. THE
CITY MANAGER UNIT IS A \$700,000 BUDGET. THE
CITY
ATTORNEY UNIT IS ESSENTIALLY
A \$650,000 BUDGET, SO A FRACTION OF
THOSE
BUDGETS ARE BEING ALLOCATED
OUT TO WATER AND WASTEWATER. AND THAT
FRACTION IS

CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE SEE IN OTHER COMMUNITIES, AND
I WILL REMIND
THE COUNCIL IT IS SIGNIFICANTLY LESS THAN
WHAT WAS ALLOCATED PREVIOUS TO YOUR
UPDATE FROM LAST YEAR. SO THIS PLAN REDUCES THE
ALLOCATION
TO WATER AND SEWER BY \$68,000 FROM WHAT USED TO BE ALLOCATED TO WATER AND SEWER. SO
WHEN THE COUNCIL TOOK ACTION LAST YEAR IT SAID,
HEY, I WANT TO REDUCE THE ALLOCATIONS TO WATER AND SEWER BASED ON
THE RESULTS OF THE COST ALLOCATION PLAN. THIS PLAN IS SIMILAR TO THAT ONE, AND
IT SAYS WE CONTINUE THAT REDUCTION TO THE TUNE OF \$680,000 LESS THAN
YOU
USED TO CHARGE THEM FOR SERVICES.
>>
THANK
YOU, COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, YOU
WANTED TO ADD SOMETHING?
>> I'M JUST
WONDERING IF WE LOOKED AT THE ATTORNEY BUDGET AND WE TAKE
OUT THE EXPENSES FOR THE ACLU COST AND THEN APPLY THE PERCENTAGE
TO THAT
HOW IT MIGHT
CHANGE THE COST ALLOCATION. BECAUSE
I'M WONDERING IF IT'S HIGHER
BECAUSE OF THE, YOU KNOW, ALL THE COSTS FOR THE
ATTORNEY ARE HIGHER BECAUSE OF THE ACLU LAWSUIT.
>>
WHAT STAFF WANTS TO ADDRESS THAT?
>>
SO I'D LIKE TO TAKE OUT THE CITY ATTORNEY
FOR
A SECOND, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. CAN
WE JUST DO COUNCIL? I THINK THAT'S
EASIER, BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF
DIFFERENT ON THE CITY ATTORNEY. SO
TERRY, I JUST
WANT TO UNDERSTAND REALLY QUICK. YOU STATED BASED

ON
THE BUDGET IT WAS A \$200,000, ABOUT, FOR
THAT --FOR THE CITY COUNCIL. BUT YET
IN THE
COST ALLOCATION STUDY THAT'S IN THE BUDGET
RIGHT NOW, IT LOOKS LIKE
BETWEEN WATER
AND SEWER IT'S \$104,000.
>> MM-HMM. [CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING]
>>
YEAH, GIVE ME JUST
A MOMENT. IN
YOUR PRIOR
--LIKE IN THE 2024 ADOPTED CITY COST
ALLOCATION
PLAN, WE BROKE THE CITY COUNCIL
INTO THREE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY
AREAS PROVIDING LIKE
ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT , GENERAL
FISCAL
OVERSIGHT, AND CAPITAL FISCAL OVERSIGHT
. THAT IS WHAT
YOU ARE IN AN OVERSIGHT
FUNCTION AND THESE ARE THREE BROAD AREAS
. SOME
OF THOSE , LIKE THE ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT
IS LINKED TO EMPLOYEE COUNTS
. FISCAL OVERSIGHT IS LINKED TO EXPENSES
, AND CAPITAL OVERSIGHT
IS LINKED TO THE ASSETS
THAT YOU OVERSEE.
SO THAT'S THE WAY THOSE COSTS
OF CITY COUNCIL ARE SPREAD
TO DIRECT SERVICE DEPARTMENTS. THEY
ARE LINKED TO EMPLOYEE COUNTS
, EXPENSES , AND
ASSET INFRASTRUCTURE THAT
YOU MAINTAIN AS A CITY ON BEHALF OF
THOSE OF DEPARTMENTS AND ON BEHALF
OF THE COMMUNITY.
>> OKAY. WE
ARE THERE.
WE'VE BEEN AT THIS FOR 2 HOURS. CAN
WE TAKE A BREAK BEFORE WE CONTINUE
WITH THIS COST
ALLOCATION PART?
>> YES.
SO WHAT IS THE
WILL? 10 MINUTES? FIVE MINUTES.
FIVE MINUTES.
WE WILL BE BACK HERE AT
8:10.
>> [COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE TAKING A SHORT 5-MINUTE BREAK T APPROXIMATELY 8:10
P.M.]

>> [CAPTIONER STANDING BY]
>> OKAY.
WE ARE WAITING A FEW MINUTES FOR ALEX.
FOR THOSE FOLLOWING ALONG AT HOME,
WE ARE
WAITING FOR CITY ATTORNEY TO COME
BACK, AND THEN WE WILL TURN TO THE
INTERIM CITY
MANAGER TO HELP LEAD US THROUGH THE
REST OF THIS. SO THE
CITY ATTORNEY HAS RETURNED , AND SO
PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR GUIDANCE
ABOUT YOUR RECOMMENDATION ABOUT HOW TO
MOVE THROUGH THIS.
>> THANK YOU. AS
YOU HEARD FROM OUR CONSULTANT AND
CITY STAFF , THE COST ALLOCATION PLAN
WAS ADOPTED , I BELIEVE,
NOT TO HOLD WITH THE BUDGET THAT WE
SHOULD GO AHEAD AND CONTINUE WITH
THE COST ALLOCATION PLAN WITH THE CAVEAT
THAT WE WILL BRING THIS BACK BY MIDYEAR
IF THERE'S ANY
TYPE OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REDUCING --
LOOKING AT THE ALLOCATION
SPECIFICALLY LIKE THE CITY CLERK,
CITY ATTORNEY, THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION.
TERRY, ARE
YOU OKAY
MOVING IN THAT DIRECTION?
>> I THINK
IT IS WISE.
>>
I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WHEN
THAT HAPPENS
, THAT WE ARE LOOKING
AT STANDARD OF PRACTICE COSTS NOT TO
--IF WE ARE DOING FRACTIONS OF COSTS
AND IT NEEDS TO BE BASED ON THE DAILY STANDARD
, WE KNOW WE'VE BEEN IN THIS LEGAL
PROBLEM FOR
A WHILE AND EXPENDING A LOT OF MONEY.
IF THIS DOES NOT
SEEM RIGHT TO CUT YOU
KNOW, TO BE CHARGING OUR RESIDENTS
BASED ON THE INFLATED NUMBER.
I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S LOOKED AT.
>> THAT'S CORRECT. WE WILL
LOOK AT THESE ALLOCATIONS AGAINST
SPECIFIC DEPARTMENTS AND, AGAIN,
COUNSEL, CITY
MANAGER, CITY ATTORNEY, AND HOW THOSE CAN
BE LOOKED AT GOING FORWARD. REGARDING
THE ALLOCATIONS. WE WILL BE BRINGING

THAT BACK
AT THE MIDYEAR BUDGET. I THINK FOR
THE CLASS
ALLOCATIONS WE ARE DONE.
>> OKAY.
>> THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. I REALLY
APPRECIATE IT AND WE WILL BE IN TOUCH
.
>> WONDERFUL. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
ATTENTION AND ADVICE.
>> WE ARE MOVING AWAY FROM COST ALLOCATION.
WHAT'S YOUR RECOMMENDATION ABOUT HOW
TO PROCEED
FROM HERE?
>> YOU'VE SEEN ALL THE REVENUES,
USING
THE FIGURES , YOU'VE SEEN THE CHANGES
AND I RECOMMEND GOING THROUGH
THE DEPARTMENTAL BUDGETS NOW AND THEN
GOING THROUGH THE RESERVE SPECIAL
REVENUE FUNDS AFTER THAT . AND
IF
WE WANT TO COME BACK TO ADDRESS ANY
CHANGES, I RECOMMENDED AT THAT TIME.
>>
SO
WHAT PAGE?
>> IN YOUR
BUDGET BUT, I WOULD RECOMMEND STARTING AT
PAGE 44,
WHICH IS THE CITY COUNCIL BUDGET.
AND I WILL
DIRECTED BACK TO THE DIRECTOR AS FAR
AS ANY
OUTSTANDING ITEMS OR IF THERE
ARE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE COUNCIL BUDGET.
>> OKAY.
>> SO STARTING
ON PAGE 44.
THIS IS THE COUNCIL BUDGET
PROPOSED FOR NEXT YEAR. THE BOTTOM
LINE IS
183,000 AND THAT'S REDUCTION
FROM THE ADOPTED OR ADJUSTED BUDGET OF
2024 AND 2025.
17,000 OR
8.5 IN REDUCTION.
IF COUNSEL HAS ANY QUESTIONS
REGARDING COUNCIL BUDGET SPECIFICALLY,
I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER THOSE.
>> WHICH ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES TO MY RIGHT
WANTS TO DIVE IN WITH QUESTIONS ABOUT
OUR BUDGET? CITY COUNCIL?
>> I'M SORRY. I GUESS I MISSED IT.

WHAT PAGE WE ON?
>> 44.>>
IN YOUR BUDGET BOOK PAGE 44
UNDER TAB C.
>> THAT PAGES NOT CHANGED? >> IT IS
NOT CHANGED.
WERE GOING BY THE PAGES IN THE BUDGET
BOOK BECAUSE LAST
TIME WE
DID A
>> THAT IS USEFUL. I DID NOT
HAVE MY PAGES REPLACED BECAUSE
I WAS OUT OF TOWN SOME TRYING TO MAKE
SURE --
>>
IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS FROM CITY
COUNCIL, I DO HAVE A STATEMENT AFTER
THE QUESTIONS.
>> I THINK WE DIDN'T
GET TO QUESTIONS.
COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON,
DID YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT OUR BUDGET?
>>
I WAS CURIOUS.
I THINK IT IS OTHER COMMUNITY SUPPORT.
IS THAT WHEN WE
APPROVED SPECIAL FEES FOR COMMUNITY
GROUPS?
>> NO,
THOSE ARE FOR --IF YOU LIKE PAGE 46.
THOSE A
FOR THE CITY CLERK'S
PAID TO THE SHUTTLE, THE BUS,
THE FREE FARE , AND THE
COMMUNITY FIRST CREDIT UNION COVID
LOAN THERE WAS
A DEFAULT AND THESE ARE THOSE LOANS
THAT
WERE GIVEN BACK BY COVID SO THE CITY
IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THOSE. WE PUT THOSE
IN THEIR
ON THE CHANCE THERE IS ONE FOR THIS YEAR.
>> GOT IT.
OKAY.
VICE MAYOR?
THOUGHTS?
>> WELL,
I DID HAVE ONE QUESTION.
WHAT IS A VENMO ANNUAL FEE?
>>
THAT'S THE MIO.
IT'S A LIVE STREAM.
THEY HAVE A NEW NAME.
>> I OBVIOUSLY NEED MY READERS.

>> WE WILL
HIGHLIGHT THAT. SPOKE ANY
ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?
>> I'M JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT.
I SEE
THE CONFERENCES, THE TOTAL
IS 3250.
THAT IS 650 PER PERSON?
>>
INTO THE POLICY THAT SOMEBODY PUT
FORTH.
>>
IN THE LAST AGENDA ITEM
--AT THE LAST JUNE 3rd MEETING AS
PART OF THE
STAFF REPORT THERE WAS A POLICY
REGARDING TAKING THE TOTAL AND DIVIDED
IT BY FIVE AND THAT WOULD BE
THE ALLOWED USE FOR THE CITY COUNCIL. AS
YOU SEE IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET, WE
ALLOCATED ALL
FIVE COUNCIL MEMBERS TO ATTENDING
A CONFERENCE ,
SO THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE REGISTRATION FEES
IN THE HOTEL OR MILEAGE
OR ANY RELATED EXPENSES TO THAT. IT
WOULD BE THE TOTAL
AND THEN THE PROPOSED POLICY, WE WILL
BRING IT BACK IN FUTURE ITEM
IF COUNSEL --AND WE WOULD LIKE DIRECTION
, BUT TO TAKE THE TOTAL AMOUNT, DIVIDED
BY THE NUMBER OF COUNCIL MEMBERS AND
THAT'S THE ALLOCATED AND IT WILL BE
UP TO THE COUNCIL TO
CHOOSE WHICH CONFERENCE THEY WANT
TO GO TO AND WHAT MEETINGS AND IF
IT'S USED, IT'S DONE BY
THAT POINT.
>> JUST FOR THE POINT OF INFORMATION.
BASICALLY
LIKE IF WE ARE ATTENDING
, PAYS FOR THE CONFERENCE FEE AND
NOT
ANY HOTELS.
>> THERE ARE A FEW SEPARATE
LINE ITEMS.
ONE IS THE CONFERENCE FREE. TYPICALLY
CONFERENCE FEES
ARE ABOUT \$650.
AND YOU DO HAVE ANOTHER LINE ITEM
FOR THE HOTELS OR AIRFARE
>> I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTOOD IT.
>> AND AS I STATED AT THE
LAST MEETING THERE WAS A POLICY THAT

TALKED ABOUT THE EQUAL DISTRIBUTION
OF
FUNDS. SO
THAT'S A DIRECTION THAT COUNCIL WOULD
LIKE TO GO WE
CAN BRING THE POLICY BACK FOR DISCUSSION
AT ONE OF THE FUTURE MEETINGS.
>> CAN WE GET THOUGHTS ABOUT
THAT POLICY? NOW I WILL LOOK TO MY LEFT.
DO
YOU HAVE THOUGHTS ABOUT THE PROPOSED POLICY?
>>
WE REVIEWED THIS BUDGET AND APPROVED IT.
>> TO MY RIGHT,
COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.
>>
YEAH , I MEAN , I THINK
EQUITY IS IMPORTANT
, BUT I ALSO THINK IF YOU DIDN'T ATTEND
ANY MEETINGS FOR WHATEVER PERSONAL
--YOU COULDN'T LAST YEAR , THAT YOU
MIGHT BE ABLE
TO COME TO THE COUNCIL AND SAY ,
HEY, I'D LIKE TO ATTEND THIS YEAR
HAVE MY EXPENSES COVERED
AS EXPENSES WERE
COVERED FOR OTHERS THE PREVIOUS YEAR.
I'D STILL LIKE A LITTLE BIT
OF FLEXIBILITY BUT I THINK EQUITY
IS
ALSO GOOD.
JUST BECAUSE WE WORK HARD AND WE
VOLUNTEER A LOT OF TIME. IF WE HAVE
--I THINK WE
SHOULD LEAVE --
I'M NOT ALL ABOUT FIRM POLICIES, I
GUESS. I LIKE TO LEAVE A
LITTLE BIT TO DISCUSSION.
>> I DID
ONE OTHER QUESTION.
IT SPECIFICALLY SAYS FOR
CAL CITIES, BUT
IF THERE ARE OTHER CONFERENCES WITH
THE BE FLEXIBILITY?
>> THAT WILL BE CHANGED. IT WILL BE
FOR ANY CONFERENCE OR
ANY MEETING.
>> I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT
THEY WOULD BE. I'VE NEVER
ATTENDED ANY OTHERS, BUT JUST FOR FLEXIBILITY.
>> YES. WE WILL AMENDED SO IT'S NOT
SO STRICT. BUT IT'S
TO ATTEND ANY CONFERENCE THAT FALLS WITHIN
THE BOUNDARY. AS FAR AS

THE JURISDICTION THAT FALL WITHIN
THE CITY
>> CAN WE CARRY MONEY OVER?
I MEAN, IF WE HAVE LEFTOVER IN HERE
>> IT'S
A BUDGET. AT THE
END OF THE FISCAL YEAR GOES BACK INTO
THE GENERAL FUND.
>> IT SEEMS
THE COLLEAGUES TO MY
LEFT ARE
GOOD
WITH THE POLICY. IS EVERYBODY TO MY
RIGHT GOOD WITH THE POLICY, OR WHAT DIRECTION
ARE WE --
>> WE ARE DECIDING
A POLICY. WERE JUST APPROVING THE BUDGET.
BUT
THE POLICY IS NOT BEING ADOPTED TODAY,
BUT WE WOULD BE BRINGING A DRAFT POLICY
BACK BASED ON YOUR DIRECTION.
RIGHT NOW IT SOUNDS LIKE
A MAJORITY IS IN FAVOR OF THAT POLICY.
SO THE POLICY BASED ON THAT DIRECTION
WOULD COME BACK AT A LATER DATE FOR
FURTHER DISCUSSION. BUT THE ONLY QUESTION
I HAVE
SINCE IS THIS IS PART OF THE BUDGET,
I WAS
JUST THINKING , YOU KNOW, I'M NOT
--WHAT I WANT TO SAY. IF WE HAVE LEFTOVER
MONEY HERE
AND THERE WAS SOMEONE WHO WANTED TO
ATTEND MORE THIS YEAR
AND LESS NEXT YEAR, IS THERE ANY FLEXIBILITY
TO DO THE SAME THING?
SOMEONE WANTS TO ATTEND MORE THIS
YEAR AND NOT NEXT YEAR , OR SOMEBODY WANTS
TO
ATTEND LESS THIS YEAR AND MORE NEXT
YEAR, IS OF FLEXIBILITY BOTH WAYS?
>> THE
COUNCIL CAN MAKE WHATEVER POLICY IT WANTS.
I THINK THE EASIEST WAY MIGHT BE,
YOU KNOW , IF YOU HAVE NOT ATTENDED
AT THE END OF THE YEAR AND THERE'S
MONEY LEFT IN THAT
BUDGET, NEXT YEAR AT THIS TIME IT
COULD BE BROUGHT UP
. LET'S PUSH THAT INTO NEXT YEAR
AND MAKE NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET A LITTLE
BIGGER
SO THAT THE COUNCILMEMBER CAN GO TO
TWO CONFERENCES.

>> IT SEEMS LIKE WE HAVE
GENERAL ENOUGH
INFORMATION BY WAY OF DIRECTION TO
THE STAFF ON THAT POLICY.
>> WE WILL
BRING IT BACK
FOR FURTHER ACTION.
>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS
ON CITY COUNCIL BUDGET?
SEEING NONE , THE NEXT
PAGE.
>> NUMBER 48
IS THE CITY MANAGER BUDGET.
>> THE CITY
MANAGER BUDGET IS A BIG REDUCTION
IS THAT
THE GARBAGE FRANCHISE THAT WAS
A CONSULTANT FOR
THE CURRENT BUDGET CURRENTLY IT'S
NOT HAPPENING NEXT YEAR ANYMORE SO
THERE'S HUNDRED \$93,000 REDUCTION.
IT'S A 25 PERCENT REDUCTION
COMPARED TO THE ADJUSTED BUDGET.
>> QUESTIONS ON THIS DEPARTMENT BUDGET?
VICE MAYOR?
>> WE'VE CALCULATED FOR
THE FULL --THE FULL BUDGET HERE ,
BUT I'M
JUST WONDERING --I'M JUST LOOKING AT
--YOU KNOW, WILL BE LOOKED AT THE
POSITIONS HERE
AND WE HAD A NUMBER OF POSITIONS THAT
ARE NOT FILLED
, AND I KNOW WE USED 3% ACROSS-THE-BOARD SAVINGS.
WHAT IS
THAT TOTAL AMOUNT FOR THE 3%? AM I
REMEMBERING THAT CORRECTLY?
WAS IT NINE POSITIONS
IN THE CITY MANAGER LISTED THERE UNDER 3% ACROSS-THE-
SAVINGS FOR THE
ENTIRE DAY
>> WHAT THAT IS , IS THERE
WAS A RECOMMENDATION
THAT BECAUSE THE CITY
HAD VACANCIES THERE SHOULD BE SOME
SORT OF A BUILT-IN VACANCY RATE AND
THE 3%
WAS ACROSS-THE-BOARD AND IT WAS BASED
ON
ALL DEPARTMENTS
WITH ALL OF THEIR SALARY AND BENEFITS
TOGETHER TAKE 3% OFF THE TOP
AND BUILD INTO EACH OF THESE BUDGETS.
>> AND WHAT

IS THAT TOTAL?
>> 209
--JUST THE GENERAL FUND PORTION.
>>
209 ACROSS THE EMPLOYEES.
I'M
JUST WONDERING WITH THE CITY MANAGER
BEING SUCH A
HIGHER SALARY, I DID THE MATH AND
IT'S LIKE
A SAVINGS OF ALMOST 27,000 PER MONTH.
I'M WONDERING, HAS THAT BEEN --
>> NO. >> IS ANOTHER
ALLOCATION ISSUE. WE
ARE SEEING 209 TOTAL BUT I'M LOOKING AT WHAT A CITY MONTH AND IT'S
20 7K.
THERE'S EXTRA FUNDS IN THEIR
I'M TRYING TO DO THE MATH HERE.
IF WE HAVE 209,000
--LET ME
LOOK HERE.
>> JUST TO MAKE
IT CLEAR.
IN THE BUDGET FOR THE FORECAST
THERE'S A 3% SAVINGS ACROSS THE BOARD
FOR VACANT POSITIONS. WE NEVER KNOW
WHAT DEPARTMENT IS GOING
TO COME THROUGH AND THAT'S WHY IT'S
ACROSS ALL
DEPARTMENTS. SO
WITH THE FORECAST EACH YEAR WE PROPOSE
A 3% REDUCTION.
IN THE GENERAL FUND FOR THE UPCOMING
FISCAL
YEAR THE REDUCTION IS --THE SAVINGS
IS 209,000
BASED UPON VACANCIES.
I THINK WITH THE
VICE MAYOR IS COMING TO IS THERE CERTAIN
VACANCIES THAT ARE HAPPENING RIGHT
NOW. WE HAVE ABOUT
SIX VACANCIES. WE HAVE THE CITY MANAGER,
A POLICE OFFICER,
WE HAVE A RECORDS SUPERVISOR.
AND ASSOCIATE PLANNER
AND TO ADMIN TEXT.
EVEN THOUGH THE 3% IS ACROSS THE BOARD FOR
LIKE JULY
, ESTIMATED I THINK WE LOOKED AT IS
PROBABLY LIKE AN \$80,000 THAT WE WILL
BE SAVING IF THOSE POSITIONS ARE NOT
FILLED
UNTIL AUGUST BECAUSE THAT'S
OUR ANTICIPATION BECAUSE RECRUITMENTS

WILL PROBABLY END IN JUNE AND BY THE
TIME THEY GO TO
THE BACKGROUND IS AUGUST. SO
IF YOU TAKE THAT 80,000
--IF YOU TAKE THAT 209,000 AND DIVIDED
BY 12, IT'S ABOUT 17,000 A
MONTH THAT'S BEEN BUILT INTO THE VACANCIES. IF
YOU TAKE IT JUST FOR
JULY, THE 80,000 OF SAVINGS MINUS
THE 70,000 BUILT IN FOR JULY ARE LOOKING
AT ABOUT \$60,000 SAVINGS.
BUT I WANT TO CAVEAT THERE COULD BE
TEMPORARY HELP THAT WE WOULD USE AGAINST
THOSE SAVINGS FOR THOSE VACANCIES.
SO
MAYBE 40,000. I BELIEVE THAT'S WHERE
YOU'RE COMING TO CUT VICE MAYOR.
I WANTED TO SHOW OVERALL
THE YEAR IS IT 209,000
DIVIDED BY 12 AT ABOUT 17,000 PER MONTH
THAT WE AVERAGE FOR THE SAVINGS FOR
VACANCIES BUT BECAUSE
WE HAVE HIGH RATE RIGHT NOW , I ANTICIPATE
MORE SAVINGS FOR THIS MONTH AND YOU JUST
MINUS WHAT WE'VE ALREADY ALLOCATED,
WHICH
IS 17,000
SO YOU COME DOWN WITH ABOUT \$50,000
SAVINGS THAT IS NOT BEEN BUILT INTO
THIS BUDGET.
>>
THANK YOU.
>> ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS
FOR
THIS DEPARTMENT? BEFORE WE MOVE TO
THE
NEXT ONE. SEEING NONE
, NEXT APARTMENT.
>> BEFORE WE MOVE
ON I THINK WE NEED
TO ADD --I KNOW THE DIRECTOR
TALKED ABOUT R-3 BUT
NEED TO BRING THAT IN.
THEIR CONTRACT GOES OCTOBER FOR THE TRANSITION
OF
GARBAGE SERVICES AND WE'VE ALLOCATED
IT INSECURITY OVER
AND WE NEED TO CARRY THAT OVER SO
WE NEED TO
MAKE SURE --
>> THAT'S BEEN ANNOTATED
SO WE DON'T HAVE
TO GO THROUGH THAT AGAIN.
>> CAN I

ASK ANOTHER QUESTION.
I JUST NOTICED THAT
THE HEALTH INSURANCE FOR CITY MANAGER
JUMPED BY 59%.
I HAVE IT HIGHLIGHTED .
WE ALLOCATED 17,000 IN
2024 AND THE 35,000 IN
2025
AND 2026. THAT THE SIGNIFICANT -- UNLESS
--LET
ME LOOK.
>> THE PROPOSED BUDGET YOU TZIMMES
A
FAMILY PLAN. THE CURRENT PLAN
IS ONLY THROUGH 10 MONTHS.
NONFAMILY.
IT'S A DIFFERENT
CATEGORY. THAT'S WHITE JUMPED SO MUCH.
>> IS THAT WHAT WE
DO ACROSS-THE-BOARD
>> WITH THE VACANT POSITION WE JUST
DON'T KNOW IF WE
HAVE A SINGLE PERSON OR FAMILY AND
WE DO BUDGET ON THE HIGHER SIDE.
BUT I THINK SHE MEANS TO WE ALWAYS
PAY FOR FAMILY PLANS FOR
ALL CITY EMPLOYEES OR MANAGEMENT STAFF?
>>
IN EACH BARGAINING UNIT
THE CITY PAYS THE FULL COST
.
>> OKAY. SO WE PAY FOR FAMILY PLANS
FOR ALL CITY EMPLOYEES.
BOOKS OF THE PREVIOUS YEARS LIKE IN
2024
AND 2025 INSURANCE IS GONE UP THAT MUCH?
>> YES.
>> ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR THIS DEPARTMENT?
SEEING NONE , THE NEXT PAGE.
>>
THE NEXT ONE
IS
PAGE 52 WHICH IS THE CITY ATTORNEY BUDGET.
BUT
THE CITY ATTORNEY BUDGET IS GOING
UP
BY INFLATION. WERE
STILL KEEPING THE SAME AMOUNT WITH
INFLATION FOR THE ATTORNEY BUDGET.
BUT BECAUSE WE OUTSOURCE THE CITY ATTORNEY,
WE NO
LONGER GET AN ALLOCATION FOR THE INSURANCE
FOR THE CITY ATTORNEY BUDGET, SO THAT'S
WHY YOU

SEE REDUCTION. AS FAR AS THE LINE
ITEM IT DOES GO UP
BY CPI.
BUT IS BOTH GENERAL AND SPECIAL GOES
UP
BY CPI?
>> I'M HAVING
SOME TROUBLE HERE.
>> BECAUSE WE HAVE
TWO CITY ATTORNEYS THAT HANDLE DIFFERENT SPECIALTIES.
SPIKE YES. AND THEY ARE BOTH
BASED ON CPI AND THE CONTRACT IS GOING
UP AND THAT'S THE ONLY DIFFERENCE.
>>
FOR THE CITY
ATTORNEY CONTRACT.
>> YEAH, I'M STILL
HAVING
DIFFICULTY HEARING . CAN WE MOVE THE
MICROPHONE CLOSER. VICE MAYOR.
BUT THAT WAS MY ONLY QUESTION.
>>
I'M WONDERING. IT LOOKS LIKE WE
ARE BUDGETING ABOVE WHAT WE JUST SPENT,
WHICH WAS ACLU
AND ALL THAT SO WE ARE ANTICIPATING
HAVING THE SAME
LEGAL COSTS IN ADDITION TO THE INFLATION?
EVEN THOUGH
--
YOU KNOW,
IS THAT --I JUST WANT
TO UNDERSTAND.
>>
I THINK THE STAFF PUT TOGETHER BASED
ON LAST YEAR A
CONSERVATIVE BUDGET. WE WOULD ANTICIPATE
THE ACLU COST WOULD BE SIGNIFICANTLY
LESS THIS COMING YEAR AND LAST
YEAR WE HAD THE BRIEFING IN
THE HEARING FOR THE
PO. IN THE COMING YEAR
THERE WILL ONLY BE THE HEARING
AT THE END OF THIS YEAR IN NOVEMBER
AND DECEMBER
TIME FRAME. SO WE DO ANTICIPATE
THE ACLU COST BEING SIGNIFICANTLY
LOWER. BUT THIS IS A
CONSERVATIVE NUMBER.
>> WE ALSO WITHOUT
REACHING CONFIDENTIALITY HAVE OTHER
LAWSUITS THAT
ARE ONGOING AND PERSONAL MATTERS AND THAT
WILL FALL

UNDER THIS.
>> I WONDER BECAUSE IT'S
ABOUT THE
SAME NUMBER AND WE
ARE FINALLY FEELING LIKE WERE ON THE
OTHER SIDE BABY A LITTLE BIT. BUT
STILL PAYING
THE SAME.
>> ANY QUESTIONS
ON THIS DEPARTMENT? MOVING TO THE
NEXT ONE.
>>
MOVING TO PAGE 58.
THE ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER
.
>> THIS BUDGET IS GOING
DOWN BY 1.4%
. \$6600.
THE BIGGEST DECREASE IN THE CITY CODE BUDGET
IS THE ELECTION COST.
LAST
YEAR THERE WERE ELECTION COSTS FOR
THE BUDGET IN THIS COMING YEAR WE
DON'T HAVE
ANY ELECTION THAT I'M AWARE OF
>> QUESTIONS?
>> I JUST WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT.
I
KNOW YOU'RE FOCUSED ON US BUT THE
BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE
, I KNOW WHEN I WAS ON THAT, I DID
NOT ALWAYS AGREE
WITH MY OTHER PERSON AND SO I JUST
WANTED TO
MAKE SURE --I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR
FROM THEM IF
THERE'S SOMETHING THAT COMES UP IN
THE STANDARD STUFF FOR WE GET INTO
IT A LITTLE
BIT MORE.
>> OKAY. JUST AS A PREEMPTIVE STRIKE,
I UNDERSTAND
THERE WILL BE
MONIES THAT ARE NOT SPENT ON
PURPOSE BECAUSE I FEEL CONSERVATIVE
MOVE IS
GOOD, AND THEN WE HAVE A LOT
OF THINGS COMING MIDYEAR WHERE WE
CAN LOOK AT
THE OTHER ISSUES
THAT WE MIGHT HAVE PROJECTS AND EACH
ONE
OF US MAY WANT TO ADD.
>> ARE IT.

I LOOKED TO
MY RIGHT AND MY LEFT. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS
ON THIS DEPARTMENT? SEEING NONE ,
THE NEXT APARTMENT.
>> THE NEXT PAGES 62.
ADMINISTRATIVE
SERVICES DEPARTMENT.
>>
THIS BUDGET IS PRETTY MUCH ROUTINE.
THERE WERE SOME PROJECTS
THAT WAS CURRENTLY HAPPENING LIKE
THE
COMP STUDY THAT'S NOT OCCURRING IN
THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.
EVEN THOUGH IT SHOWS A SLIGHT INCREASE
OF 1.2%, WHICH IS \$18,000.
BUT IT'S OFFSET WITH OTHER INCREASES.
DECREASES
SET UP WITH OTHER INCREASES. IT'S A
MODERATE INCREASE BY 1.3%.
>> QUESTIONS?
TO MY RIGHT TO MY LEFT.
>> THANK YOU. MOVING TO THE COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT PLANNING DEPARTMENT ON
PAGE 68.
FOR THIS DEPARTMENT
IT GOES UP BY 9.6%, WHICH
IS \$61,000.
AND THE REASON
IT WENT UP IS THE CURRENT YEAR
, EVEN
THOUGH THE PLAY DIRECTOR POSITION
WAS RE-CLASSED
TO BE THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR, THE
BUDGET WAS NEVER CHANGED. WAS BASED
ON THE PLANNING DIRECTOR
LEVEL, SO IN THE NEW
2025 2026 IT'S THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
JOB CLASS
AND PAY RATE AND RANGES. THEREFORE,
THE COST INCREASE.
BECAUSE
THIS IS COMPARING THE PROPOSED BUDGET
TO THE ADOPTED BUDGET FOR THE ADJUSTED
BUDGET. DOES THAT
MAKE SENSE?
>> SO THAT'S WHY THE BENEFITS
ARE AT 39% INCREASE?
>> IN
THE BENEFIT BECAUSE THE POSITION
OF VACANT
, THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE SEPARATED FROM
THE CITY, THERE A
DIFFERENT PLAN

BECAUSE IT'S VACANT AND WE DON'T
KNOW WHO WE
ARE GOING TO GET. IT'S BUDGETED AT THE
FAMILY PLAN.
>> IS THAT 3000 UNDER THE GROWING
BUSINESS TOGETHER WORKSHOP, IS THAT
THE ONE THE
COUNCILMEMBER CARTER --
>> THAT IS.
THAT'S ONE
OF THE GOALS.
>>
ANYMORE QUESTIONS IN THIS DEPARTMENT?
NEXT ONE.
BUT NEXT ONE IS THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.
PAGE 72.
THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT WENT UP
QUITE A BIT. 43% .
ALMOST 44%. AND THIS IS THE
REASON BECAUSE THE ADMIN POSITION
IN THIS DEPARTMENT IN THE
CURRENT YEAR IS ONLY BUDGETED
HALF BECAUSE IT WAS SHARED WITH THE
FIRE DEPARTMENT
AND DUE TO CONSOLIDATION THAT
POSITION IS NOW A FULL POSITION IN
THE
BUILDING BUDGET.
SO IN THE CURRENT YEAR IT WAS ONLY
HALF AND NOW IT IS A FULL. THAT'S
WHY
IT WENT UP AS MUCH AS IT DID.
>> IS THAT
BASED ON OUR STAFFING STUDY?
DO WE ACTUALLY, IN FACT, NEED
A FULL-TIME BUILDING PERSON, OR WILL
THIS CHANGE SINCE
YOU ARE DOING THE CROSS-TRAINING?
>> WE DEFINITELY NEED
A FULL-TIME.
THIS IS GOING TO ARE CROSS-TRAINING
EXACTLY WHERE WE WILL NOW HAVE A
PERMIT TECHNICIAN AND TWO ADMINISTRATIVE
TECH AND
THEY WILL BE CROSS TRAINED.
>> SO IT WILL
JUST LIVE HERE
NOW?
>> SO IF YOU REMEMBER WAY
BACK WHEN WE DISCUSSED COMBINING
PLANNING AND BUILDING TOGETHER.
WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TOGETHER
OR WHETHER THEY STAY SEPARATELY IT
WOULD STILL BE THE SAME ALLOCATION.

IS
JUST BECOMING A FULL TIME POSITION
RATHER THAN A HALF-TIME POSITION
WHERE CURRENTLY US.
>> OKAY.
>> CAN I JUST FOLLOW UP ON THAT. I
UNDERSTAND
WHAT CROSS-TRAINING --BUT YOU JUST SAID
THAT THEY WOULD BE PARTLY IN PLANNING
AND PARTLY BUILDING BUT BUILDING IS
CURING THE WHOLE LOAD OF THE
EXPENSE OF THE PERSON. WHY DO WE HAVE IT
BUDGETED THAT WAY? BUT BECAUSE ORIGINALLY
IT STILL --
BOTH DEPARTMENTS WOULD BE COMBINED TOGETHER
BECAUSE PART OF THAT STAFFING WE WANTED TWO
FULL-TIME POSITIONS.
SO WE ARE NOT COMBINED YET
EMERGED TOGETHER WITH THOSE DEPARTMENTS
SO THAT'S WHY
ONE IS IN BUILDING AND ONE IS IN PLANNING.
>>
SO WE ARE JUST UNDERSTANDING THAT
BUILDING REALLY ONLY NEEDS THIS HALF-TIME
PERSON AND THEY WILL BE
SPENDING THEIR TIME IN PLANNING. BUT
THEY WILL BE WORKING WITH BOTH. WITH
BUILDING
AND PLANNING. WITH THE AMOUNT
OF WORK THAT THEY WILL BE COVERING
, THEY WILL ALSO BE WORKING WITH CITY
STAFF
AND STUDENT AND CITY HALL. IT'S NOT
JUST THE BUILDING.
BACKUP TO THE CITY'S OFFICE TO THE
FINANCE OFFICE, WHICH IS WHAT
ALL OF THESE DEPARTMENTS -- THE ADMIN
TEXT WILL BE
DOING.
>> SOMETHING HAPPENED TO YOUR LIGHT.
>> SORRY.
>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON THIS DEPARTMENT?
>> I
JUST WANT TO JUMP IN WITH BUILDING.
ONE
OR THE OTHER REASONS IT WENT UP IS
BECAUSE WE ARE DOING AN IMPACT STUDY
AND THAT'S
A REQUIREMENT. THAT'S A
\$70,000 STUDY.
>> AND THE PHILLIPS
AND
SEABROOK CONTRACT.
>> YEAH.

THE NEXT ONE WOULD BE THE
FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND THIS IS THE 1.1 MILLION.

>>

WEB PAGES THAT?

>> PAGE 77.

>> THE ONLY THING COMING OUT OF
THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS \$1.1 MILLION
THAT WE ARE TO PAY AND THEN ALSO
THERE IS SOME PAST-DUE COSTS
THAT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CONTINUE
TO DO THE FIRE INSPECTION FOR THE
CITY SO WHATEVER MONEY WE COLLECT
FROM THOSE IS GOING TO PASS RIGHT
THROUGH THE BUDGET AND
TRANSFER IT. AND THEN
THERE ARE SOME PAST OBLIGATION FOR
PENSION COSTS THAT
THE CITY IS OBLIGATED TO PAY
, SO IT'S COMING OUT OF THIS DEPARTMENT
AS WELL.

>> QUESTIONS?

NOT SEEING ANY.

BUT THE NEXT ONE IS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

PAGE 81.

>> THE POLICE DEPARTMENT

IS GOING UP BY 4.3%.

\$232,000.

THESE ARE LIKE MODERATE INCREASE IN
BENEFIT COSTS FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

THE DEPARTMENT

WITH ONE POSITION BEING FROZEN SO

IT'S NOT PART OF

THIS BUDGET.

>> QUESTIONS? >> SO I HAVE

SOME COMMENTS THAT I WANT TO MAKE

JUST BASED ON CURRENT EVENTS.

WE HAVE HAD THE HOMELESS

OUTREACH SUPPORT BUDGETED

AT 40,000.

COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES INFORMED

US

THEY LOST FEDERAL FUNDING FOR THAT
ROLE AND WE ARE PAYING A PORTION OF
A POSITION

AND THEY ALSO LOST 18%

OF FUNDING FROM THE COUNTY, WHICH

WAS JUST IN

THE PAPER TODAY, AND ALSO

, AND JUST

IN THE COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE POLICE CHIEF

WHEN THEY WERE GIVING THEIR REPORT

ON HOW THEY

COVER THINGS NOW, THEY SAID THAT THEY

COVER THE GREATER

SEBASTOPOL AREA, WHICH IS 300 SQUARE
MILES. AND THAT THEY ARE BACKING EACH
OTHER UP AND IN ADDITION I GOT A REPORT
FOR THE LAST
COUPLE OF MONTHS OF WHAT
THEY HAD ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISHED AND
THAT
WAS ENROLLING
TWO PEOPLE, AND THIS IS --I'VE BEEN
LOOKING AT
ALL THE REPORTS OF THIS IS STANDARD
THAT THEY'VE HELP TWO PEOPLE
ENROLL AND COORDINATED ENTRY AND THEY
HAD
JUST LIKE THREE PEOPLE ASSISTED
WITH THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE. SO I JUST WANTED
TO SORT OF PUT OUT
THERE THAT I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A
DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT WE
SHOULD BE
PUTTING THAT 40,000 TOWARD WEST COUNTY
BECAUSE IT'S
MY UNDERSTANDING
AFTER HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH THE
POLICE CHIEF, AND
HE SENT ME DESCRIPTIONS OF DIFFERENT
TRAININGS THAT CAN HAPPEN WITH THE
POLICE OFFICERS, THAT YOU MIGHT BE
ABLE TO EXPLAIN
AND ACTUALLY ASKED THE CHIEF IF THAT'S OKAY.
I WOULD LIKE TO ADVOCATE FOR KEEPING
THAT MONEY IN HOUSE AND UTILIZING IT
TO BETTER TRAIN OUR POLICE OFFICERS
TO RESPOND
TO THESE SITUATIONS.
I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S THE RIGHT TIME,
BUT
I WANTED TO BRING THAT UP.
>>
WE ARE KEEPING
A LIST. ARE WE DOING ALL DEPARTMENTS
IN THE COMING BACK WITH ITEMS WE NEED
TO FULLY HAVE
A DISCUSSION ON? WOULD YOU RECOMMEND?
>>
THAT IS WHAT I WOULD RECOMMEND. IF
WE COULD BRING
THAT BACK CUT THAT WOULD BE --
>>
NO.
>> YES. THIS WOULD BE AN
ITEM WE CAN COME BACK HAVE A FULL
DISCUSSION ON.
>> YES.

I WOULD SECOND THAT
ABOUT MAKING SURE WE HAVE ENOUGH TIME
TO GET INTO THAT.
COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.
--COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.
>> WE'LL
ALSO
HAD A CONTRACT
WITH PARK VILLAGE. WEARS THAT IN THE BUDGET?
I'M SURE IT'S NOT IN
THE POLICE BUDGET.
>> I HAVE ALL THE NUMBERS.
>>
IN PUBLIC WORKS.
>>
IS ON PAGE 103.
IT'S A TOTAL OF ABOUT
163,000.
-- 123,000 ,
I'M SORRY, PLUS 40,000.
PAGE 103. DOWN LIKE
4210 AND 4213
THERE ARE THREE DIFFERENT LINE ITEMS
THAT ARE RELATED TO THAT, IF THAT
HELPS AT
ALL.
>> SO WE HAVE
COMING BACK ON
THE WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES.
ARE THERE ANY OTHER ITEMS IN THE POLICE
DEPARTMENT THAT YOU HAVE
ANY QUESTIONS ON?
>> I DON'T.
>> SINCE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ALL
OF
THIS I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE FROZEN
POSITIONS. JUST AT LEAST BRING IT UP
AND ADDRESS IT.
>> ANYTHING ELSE IN THIS DEPARTMENT?
SEEING NONE,.
>> MOVING ON
TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT ON
PAGE 90.
BUT
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT HAS AN INCREASE
OF
6.2% FOR 146,000.
THERE
ARE INCREASED DECREASES THROUGHOUT
THE PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET. THERE ARE
SOME TREE REMOVALS --
>> CAN YOU
SPEAK A LITTLE CLOSER?
>> I'M SORRY.

BUT THE PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET
WAS UP BY 6.2%
TO 146,000. THERE ARE A VARIETY OF INCREASED
DECREASES
THROUGHOUT THE BUDGET. THERE WILL BE

--

IN THIS PROPOSED BUDGET CUTS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
SOME TREES ARE
PROPOSED TO BE REMOVED. SO THAT IS
IN THE PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET.

>> CAN I DRESS TREE REMOVAL?

THIS IS A PRETTY EXPENSIVE ITEM TO
HAVE THESE TREES REMOVED
AND IT'S COULD BE HEARTBREAKING BECAUSE
THESE ARE TWO TREES THEY
ARE WILLOW TREES AND THEY'VE GOTTEN
TWO ESTIMATES ON THE HEALTH OF
THE TREES AND ONE WAS THAT THEY NEED TO
BE

REMOVED AND THE SECOND ONE WAS
SOME OF THE BRANCHES COULD BE REMOVED.
BUT ULTIMATELY THEY
BOTH NEEDED TO BE REMOVED. MAYBE NOT
THE FIRST YEAR BUT THE
NEXT YEAR.

>> THANK YOU.

>> ARE WE JUST GOING WITH
ANYTHING IN PUBLIC WORKS
OR ARE WE GOING PAGE BY PAGE BY PAGE?

>>

I HAVE THIS IN PUBLIC WORKS.

>> THE PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET
HAS MULTIPLE DIVISIONS.

>> THAT'S
WHAT

I MEAN.

>> WHAT I JUST GAVE
YOU WAS JUST THE OVERALL AS A CONSOLIDATED
AS PUBLIC WORKS. SO
IT COST INCREASE, THERE ARE MULTIPLE
THINGS. THERE OTHER DECREASES IN THE
PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET AS WELL BUT
THE MOST TO MENTION IS THE TREE THAT THEY
PLAN FOR NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

>>

WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE
TIME TO BRING UP CERTAIN THINGS THAT
I WANT HAVE A PARTICULAR ITEM TO COME
BACK?

>> YOU
CAN DO THAT NOW.

>> I
WANTED TO AT LEAST HAVE A DISCUSSION
BASED ON OUR GOALS AND PRIORITIES

BECAUSE EVERYTHING I'M TALKING ABOUT
IT TIED
IT TO THE GOALS AND PRIORITIES AND
HAVE IT ALL PUT TOGETHER IS I KNOW
WE HAVE
A FROZEN POSITION
FOR PUBLIC WORKS. I WANT TO DISCUSS
MORE OF A ONE TIME COST
TO HELP CLEAN UP THE DOWNTOWN. SO
THAT
IS ONE ITEM. AND I ALSO WANTED TO
BRING UP
THE POSSIBLE ADDITIONAL FUNDS NEEDED
TO FIX THE TRASH
CANS DOWNTOWN. AND THEN ALSO
A COUPLE OF OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AS
FAR AS CLEANING
UP DOWNTOWN AND TIED
TO THE GOALS AND PRIORITIES THAT WE
ALL AGREED ON.
>> RIGHT NOW THERE IS A POSITION FROZEN
THE PUBLIC
WORKS DEPARTMENT AND WE HAD DISCUSSED THIS
WEATHER COULD
BE A
CONTRACT POSITION AND IF IT WAS A
CONTRACT POSITION, THEY COULD
BE DEDICATED TO, FOR EXAMPLE, TAKING
CARE OF
THE PARKS, AND THEN, THAT WOULD FREE
UP PUBLIC WORKS TIME FOR
WORKING ON OTHER ISSUES IN THE CITY
FOR BEAUTIFICATION IN THE CITY, WHICH
IS IMPORTANT TO THE
FULL COUNCIL. SO I THINK THAT
IS SOMETHING --I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT
TO DISCUSS THAT AND I WANT TO ADD
\$700 THAT
THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE HAS REQUESTED
FOR SIGNAGE ON --
I THINK , THREE OF THEIR
PUBLIC SCULPTURES.
>>> LIKE TO ADD THAT. AND I THINK
IT WOULD GO IN
THIS BUDGET, BUT I'M NOT SURE.
>> WHO
IS KEEPING TRACK OF OUR
TICKLER SYSTEM?
>> I
HAVE IT.
>> THAT'S A LOT
TO PUT ON ONE PERSON. BUT ANYWAYS
, IF I SPARE, DID YOU
HAVE YOURS ABOUT THE

BEAUTIFICATION DOWNTOWN SO WE HAVE
THAT IS A TICKLER ITEM.
>> I HAVE A
LITTLE
LIST HERE. 'S BUT I
HAVE OTHER CONSIDERATIONS THAT WE
CAN COME BACK TO.
AND I HAVE THE \$700 FOR THE PUBLIC
ARTS SIGNAGE.
>> IN THE CONTRACT.
>>
AND LANDSCAPING. BUT THE LANDSCAPING
FOR DOWNTOWN.
>>
EITHER THE HALFTIME POSITION OF THE CONTRACT
FOR PUBLIC WORKS.
>> AND I WANT TO DISCUSS A ONE TIME
KIND OF THING THAT WOULD NOT NECESSARILY
MEAN WE HIRE
SOMEONE BUT DO A COMMITMENT LIKE THAT
, BUT WE CAN DISCUSS THAT WHEN IT
COMES TO IT.
>> COUNCIL
MEMBER HINTON, --
>> NO. SO WE ARE GOING THROUGH
PAGE BY PAGE FOR PUBLIC WORKS?
>> THEY WANT US TO GIVE THEM
--
>> DIVISIONS.
>> I THINK WE ARE COMING BACK TO PARK VILLAGE.
>> AND I HAVE
THAT ON THE TICKLER TO DISCUSS AND
BRING BACK.
>> CAN YOU JUST GUIDE
US THROUGH THE PAGES YOU WANT US TO
FOCUS ON? OR VICE MAYOR?
>> I HAD JUST ONE OTHER THING. I
THINK IT'S PART OF THIS.
OR
DISPUTER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AS FAR
AS JUST CONSIDERING, YOU KNOW
, THE POTHOLES AND STREET RESURFACING
AND
ALLOCATING FUNDS FOR THAT
AND MORE FUNDS FOR THAT. WOULD THAT
BE
UNDER THIS?
>> THAT WOULD BE UNDER
THE CIP.
>> WITH THE PARK FENCE
BE UNDER THIS?
>> THAT WOULD BE UNDER
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT.
SO LET ME ADD .

>> GOOD.
I WANT TO MAKE SURE MARY
--
>> I
DON'T HAVE A LOT MORE ON THE PUBLIC
WORKS DEPARTMENT OTHER THAN THOSE
FEW THAT WE
JUST DISCUSSED.
>> AS FAR AS PUBLIC
WORKS, SO
, I THINK , THE
COMMUNITY CENTER
THAT'S ONE OF THEM THAT'S UNDER PUBLIC
WORKS, RIGHT?
>> THAT'S CORRECT.
>> I WANT TO HAVE A
TICKLER SYSTEM TO DISCUSS
WHAT EXPENSES WE ARE CONTINUING TO
AUTHORIZE, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE THE
COMMUNITY
CENTER AD HOC REPORT IS COMING OUT SOON
I WANT HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT
ANYTHING ELSE FOR PUBLIC WORKS? TO
MY RIGHT INTO MY LEFT? I'M NOT
SEEING ANYTHING. NEXT DEPARTMENT?
>> OKAY.
THE
NEXT ONE WOULD BE , I DON'T KNOW
THERE WAS A SENIOR CENTER ON PAGE
1 TO
6, BUT THAT FALLS UNDER THE PUBLIC
WORKS DEPARTMENT. THERE IS SOME GENERAL LANDSCAPING
AND RAIN GUTTER REPLACING AND
THAT'S IN LIGHTING AND ELECTRICAL.
COMMUNITY CENTER I HAVE SET ON A TICKLER
THAT WE CAN
COME BACK AND DISCUSS.
>> I'M SORRY
THE
COMMUNITY CENTER IS ON PAGE 110.
SO THERE ARE SOME ITEMS IN THERE
AND WE CAN DISCUSS THEM AS WE COME BACK.
PAGE 112
IS THERE ANY SIGNIFICANT AREA
THAT NEEDS TO BE ADJUSTED?
>> THE ONLY CAPITAL ITEM
IS THE
RAIN GUTTER AND THE BUILDING
FOR
THE BEAM AND
SIDING.
>>
I WAS JUST WONDERING IS THAT
BASED ON QUOTES THAT WE RECEIVED

OUR I JUST KNOW THAT OFTENTIMES THESE
THINGS COME UP MUCH HIGHER. DO KNOW
THAT CAUSED, IS IT BASED ON
?
>> THAT'S BASED ON THE QUOTE
YOU RECEIVE.
>> YOU KNOW HOW IT IS.
YOU COME BACK AND THEY ARE TWICE AS MUCH.
I ALSO WANT TO KNOW , A DECREASE
IN THE UTILITIES AND I THINK
THAT'S BECAUSE
IT WAS OVER BUDGETED LAST YEAR SO
WE REDUCED IT
BASED ON WHAT THE CURRENT --
>>
THAT IS IT FOR THE DEPARTMENT BUDGETS.
I
DO HAVE A TICKLER THAT WE CAN COME
BACK TO, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO
GO THROUGH THE NON-DEPARTMENTAL IF
YOU DO THAT.
REALLY QUICKLY.
>> LET'S DO THAT. >> THAT'S ON PAGE
115 OF
YOUR BUDGET.
DO WANT TO GO OVER THE NON-DEPARTMENTAL?
>>
SO THE NON-DEPARTMENTAL
--
>> COULD I JUST ASK ONE
CRAZY QUESTION. I'M LOOKING AT
IVES POOL
AND WE HAVE BENEFITS. ARE THOSE
--
>> THAT'S HOW THE STAFF FOR PUBLIC
WORKS.
>> THOSE
ARE ALLOCATIONS FROM PUBLIC WORKS
THAT'S DOING WORK
>> WHAT
PAGE ARE WE ON?
>> WE'RE ON
PAGE 115. THE NON-DEPARTMENTAL BUDGET.
>> THIS NON-DEPARTMENTAL BUDGET ,
IT HOUSES SOME OF THE
MOU LANGUAGE THAT THE CITY
HAS FOR THOSE BARGAINING UNIT WHERE
THERE'S PAYOUTS. AND IT ALSO HAS
THE MISCELLANEOUS SUPPLY ,
WHICH WE NOW
, THE COMPUTER, THE DESKTOP AND THE
LAPTOP ARE ON THE
LEASE SCHEDULE.
SO WE ARE NOT BUYING THESE EQUIPMENT

ANYMORE AND THIS IS
WHERE IT HOUSE ALL THESE
LEASES FOR CITY ASSET.
>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS
?
THOUGHTS? SEEING NONE? BUT THE NEXT
ONE IS ON PAGE 118 THIS IS THE
DEBT SERVICE THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE.
BUT THESE ARE ALL
THE DEBTS THAT THE CITY CURRENTLY HAVE
AND
WE STILL HAVE SOME LEASES FOR PARK VILLAGE
WE HAVE A LOAN WE ARE STILL PAYING FOR.
AND
THEN, THE ENERGY LEASE
.
>> I HAVE A QUESTION.
AND I APOLOGIZE. I DID NOT CROSS-REFERENCE
THIS. WHATEVER IT IS THAT WE ARE PAYING
FOR
PARK VILLAGE FOR THE VILLAGE
MOBILE HOME ARE
THE FUNDS WE ARE RECEIVING
--ARE THEY HELPING TO
COVER THIS?
>> NOT THE LEASE.
>>
I'M SORRY. I KNOW WE TALKED ABOUT THIS.
BUT THAT IS A
COST FOR US
ALMOST 5000
ANNUALLY FOR WEST COUNTY SERVICES.
AND THEN, IN ADDITION
, WE HAVE THIS AMOUNT THAT WE ARE
PAYING. RIGHT?
>>
I HAVE THE NUMBER. WE ARE PAYING
52 SOMETHING FOR THE CONTRACT FOR
PARK
VILLAGE CONTRACT
WITH W CCS TO MANAGE PARK VILLAGE.
>>
IS
THAT INCLUDED IN THE TOTAL ? THAT'S
IN
THE LINE ITEM?
WE PAY PARK VILLAGE
MANAGEMENT CONTRACT IS 54,600
PLUS THE NEARLY 5000 MAINTENANCE AND
THERE'S ANOTHER
COST IN HERE, I FORGET WHERE THAT
, IS THAT WHAT
YOU ARE REFERRING TO?
>> YEAH. CURRENTLY,

THE
LINE ITEM IS THE 54,000
FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF PARK VILLAGE
FOR WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES.
THERE IS
SOME MAINTENANCE REPAIRS THAT ARE
IN THE BUDGET FOR
THE 4000 AND SOMETHING. AND THEN THE
DEBT SERVICE LEAST
LEASE COST IS
THE 3293 SO
THIS YOUR NEXT YEAR WILL BE PAID
OFF BY JUNE OF 2027.
>>
THE 64,000.
>> PLUS
MAINTENANCE PLUS THE WEST COUNTY CONTRACT
TO MANAGE PARK VILLAGE FOR US.
>> OKAY.
>> ANY OTHER COMMENTS
ON THE DEBT SERVICE? SEEING NONE,
MARY.
>>
THE NEXT ONE WOULD BE
PAGE 122. THESE OF THE RESERVE FUNDS.
THE FIRST ONE IS THE BUILDING
FACILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE FUND.
>> THIS IS ONE OF THE SAVINGS ACCOUNT
THE COUNCIL HAS.
THIS IS WHERE THE MONEY COMING FROM
GENERAL FUND, WHICH IS THE 40% OF
THE POLICY FROM THE MOU THAT IS SLATED
IN HERE.
>> ARE THESE ALL
--ARE THESE SPECIAL FUNDS ARE WORKED
INTO
OUR TOTAL RESERVE
, THE 27%?
>> NO. >> THERE DIFFERENT?
>> 27
IS UNASSIGNED.
THIS IS SPECIFICALLY ASSIGNED FOR
THE BUILDING A FACILITY.
>> THANKS.
>> ANY QUESTIONS?
SEEING NONE. NEXT ONE.
BUT THE NEXT ONE IS
PAGE 123. ACTUALLY , I TAKE
THAT BACK.
>>
THAT'S PART OF THE TICKLER WHY HAVE
PAVEMENT PROJECTS WILL
HAVE 200,000 THAT'S ONE I SAID I WOULD
LIKE TO , YOU KNOW, REVISIT THE

NUMBER.
>> OKAY. >> THE NEXT PAGE WOULD BE
PAGE 124,
WHICH IS THE EQUIPMENT TECHNOLOGY
AND VEHICLE RESERVE FUND.
>> THIS
IS
ONE OF THE THREE RESERVES THAT THE COUNCIL
ADOPTED. THIS
FUND IS USED TO PAY FOR VEHICLES
, SO NEXT YEAR WE PROPOSE TO HAVE ONE
POLICE CAR AND PURCHASE
OF ONE BACKHOE
FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
>> CAN I ASK
--HOW DO WE COME UP WITH THAT NUMBER,
AND IS IT SUFFICIENT? BECAUSE I KNOW
THAT'S BEEN A POINT OF DISCUSSION
IN THE PAST THAT WE WERE NOT ALLOCATING ENOUGH
MONEY FOR FUTURE
NEEDS FOR VEHICLES. I MEAN, WE KNOW
THAT WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT
--HOW DO WE GET TO THAT NUMBER AND DECIDE
THAT THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD PUT?
>>
THE NUMBER TO BUY THE VEHICLE?
>> JUST,
I THOUGHT --MAYBE
I'M MISUNDERSTANDING. JUST WHAT WE
ARE BUDGETING
FOR THE FUTURE FOR THE RESERVE FUND
FOR VEHICLES.
>> YEAH, I MEAN, I CAN TELL YOU HISTORY.
WE STARTED SOME
OF THESE ACCOUNTS WHEN I WAS ON THE
BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE
ANYTHING SAVED
AND SOMETIMES YOU HAVE EXTRA MONEY
AND SOMETIMES YOU DON'T. SO I
THINK WE WERE JUST --
IF WE HAD EXTRA MONEY,
WE WERE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT TO SQUIRREL
A LITTLE BIT AWAY
SO WE WOULD HAVE EACH TIME.
>> YEAH,
NO, I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I'M
JUST LOOKING AT TRYING TO FIGURE OUT
--
>> I DON'T THINK IT'S BASED ON
--
>> TO WE HAVE A FORMULA WE
ARE USING OR DO WE FIGURE IT
--HOW DO WE KNOW WE ARE KEEPING UP
WITH THAT?

THERE HAS TO BE SOME SCIENCE BEHIND IT.
>> WE DO HAVE A FIVE-YEAR VEHICLE REPLACEMENT PROGRAM THAT SHOWS US HOW MANY VEHICLES PER YEAR THAT SHOULD BE REPLACED. TYPICALLY THAT'S WHEN YOU LOOK AT PURCHASING A VEHICLE LIKE WHAT YEAR DO WE SEE IT. SO, LIKE, WE HAVE SOME OLD --
>> RIGHT.
>> IT'S \$90,000 AND A QUICK ONE JUST RECENTLY
>> WHAT IS THE SCIENCE BEHIND IT. BECAUSE I KNOW WE VOTED NOT TO HAVE THAT ASSESSMENT DONE OF OUR VEHICLE. WAS IT FOR THE VEHICLES THAT WE --WE VOTED NOT TO DO THAT. SO WHAT IS OUR PROCESS TO ACTUALLY DO THAT SINCE WE SAID WE COULD DO IT INTERNALLY, AND HOW ARE WE GETTING TO THAT NUMBER TO ENSURE THAT WE ARE ACTUALLY , YOU KNOW, SQUIRRELING AWAY ENOUGH THAT WE CAN REPLACE THINGS WITHOUT HAVING
>> [CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING], YOU KNOW, A BIG BUDGET CRISIS DOWN THE ROAD.
>> WE HAVE ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE ESTIMATED AT. CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG. INTERNALLY, WE ARE LOOKING AT THIS YEAR, WE NEEDED THE PUBLIC WORKS TRACTOR AND A POLICE CAR BASED UPON OUR FIVE-YEAR PLAN. WE NEED MORE THAN THOSE, BUT WE ARE BEING CONSERVATIVE, AND SO WE ARE DOING, LIKE YOU STATED, ONE OR TWO PER YEAR IS WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO BUDGET FOR.
>> WE DON'T HAVE THAT. WE ARE BASING IT ON WHAT WE HAVE --AT WHAT YEAR THEY ARE TO BE REPLACED. THEY ARE ESTIMATING WHAT THE COSTS ARE RIGHT NOW.
>> COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.
>> I JUST WANT TO ADD THAT

WITH REGARDS TO THE FLEET
THAT WE WILL HAVE A REQUIREMENT TO
ELECT DEFINED BY THE STATE AND THE ACTION
COMMITTEE WILL BE LOOKING
THROUGH THE FLEET OVER THE NEXT TWO
YEARS.

IT'S A GOOD USE OF THE CLIENT ACTION
COMMITTEE TO CREATE THIS
REPORT.

>> IF WE ARE GOING TO DO IT INTERNALLY,
I

WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE ALLOCATING
ENOUGH SINCE WE ALL THOUGHT WE SHOULD
DO

IT INTERNALLY. I'M JUST SAYING. THERE
HAS TO BE SOME SORT OF
FORMULA WE CAN USE BECAUSE A POLICE
CAR COSTS 90,000, BUT HOW
MUCH DOES A DUMP TRUCK
COST? STHERE ARE SO MANY VARIABLES
IN THE COST. I WANT TO MAKE
SURE WE DON'T GET IN THE SAME POSITION
THAT WE'VE BEEN IN THE
LAST

DECADE. SO, THAT'S ALL.

>> AND I JUST

WANT TO SHARE IN THAT PUBLIC WORKS
TRACTOR, THAT IS A SHARED COST BETWEEN
THREE DEPENDENTS. THAT IS EIGHT \$150,000
CAUSE. I WANTED TO PUT THAT OUT THERE.

>>

OKAY. I'M NOT CRITICIZING.

>> I WANT

TO MAKE SURE
WE ARE DOING WHAT WE SAID WE
WOULD DO.

>> NEXT.

>> SAID THE NEXT PAGE IS 128. THESE
ARE OTHER
NONGOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SUCH
AS PERMIT TECHNOLOGY, STREET PAVEMENT,
A RESERVE
FUND, VEHICLE ABATEMENT FUND. THE
FLOOD MITIGATION. ALL OF THESE START
ON

PAGE 128. SO THE TREE
REPLACEMENT FUND. THIS IS THE MEDICATION
WHERE PEOPLE TAKE OUT THEIR TREES.
THEY CAN PAY
AN IN LIEU FEE
FOR THAT.

NOTHING ON THAT, SO ON PAGE 129, THE
PERMIT TECHNOLOGY FUND. THESE ARE
FEES THAT ARE PAID ON BUILDING

PERMIT FEES. TO COVER
OUR TECHNOLOGIES.
>> I DON'T
SEE ANY QUESTIONS.
>> THE NEXT ONE
IS THIS STREET PAVEMENT
RESERVE FUND. NO QUESTIONS, SO THE
NEXT ONE IS
THE VEHICLE
ABATEMENT FUND.
>> I THINK WE
ARE GOOD.
>> SEEM NONE, ON PAGE 132
IS THE FLOOD
MITIGATION FUND.
IN HERE, YOU WILL SEE THE FLOOD RESILIENCY
MASTER PLAN AND
THE COMMUNITY
MASTER PLAN.
>> VICE MAYOR. >> I WAS
GOING
TO SAY, HOW WE EXHAUSTED THE FUNDS
THAT WE ARE USING FOR THE
COMMUNITY CENTER?
>> [INAUDIBLE
-LOW VOLUME]
>> THE FLOOD MITIGATION
FUNDS HAVE ABOUT \$800,000 IN IT.
>>
AS FAR AS FUND BALANCE. ARE YOU ASKING
SPECIFICALLY
FOR SOMETHING ELSE?
>> I KNOW WE WERE
UTILIZING SOME OF THAT FOR THE
COMMUNITY CENTER. THEY JUST DID SOME
OF THE UPDATE, SO I WAS THINKING AS
WE
GO. YOU KNOW, HOW MUCH MORE DOES THE
COMMUNITY CENTER HALF THAT NEEDS TO
BE
REPAIRED UNDER THAT?
>> IT IS GOING
TO BE IN OUR REPORT. I JUST
DON'T RECALL WHAT IT IS.
>> THERE
IS AN AD HOC COMMITTEE THAT
IS
BRINGING THAT.
>> I'M SORRY. >>
I CAN'T REMEMBER.
>> YEAH. WE ARE
WAITING FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE BUDGET,
AND THEN WE WILL BE BRINGING THAT
PLANT BACK UP TO THE COUNCIL AS A

WHOLE.

>> SORRY. WE JUST HAVEN'T MET
FOR MONTHS AND MONTHS.

>> COUNCILMEMBER
CARTER, DID
YOU HAVE A COMMENT?

>> YES. I WAS
WONDERING IF THERE WAS SOME KIND
OF FEMA MONEY OR SOMETHING THAT WE
RECEIVED, AND DID
THAT GO INTO THIS BUDGET ORIGINALLY?
IS THAT WHY THE BALANCE IS THAT HIGH?
>>

THE BALANCE WE ARE SEEING IS
\$1.5 MILLION.

>> ASSEMBLY
MEMBER --ASSEMBLYMAN
MARK LEVINE

,
WHEN I WAS MADE IN 2018, WE GOT A
1.5 AWARD. AND IT WASN'T
ALL MEN FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER EXCLUSIVELY.
WE DID DO SOME
MANHOLE COVERS. WE DID THINGS LIKE
THAT. THIS WOULDN'T NECESSARILY ALL
GO TO [INAUDIBLE -LOW VOLUME]
>>

RIGHT. IT'S FOR
FLOOD MITIGATION MEASURES.

>> I
COULDN'T RECALL WHAT WE HAD LEFT FROM
THAT COMMITTEE.

>>
OKAY. THE NEXT ONE IS PAGE 134. THIS
IS THE POLICE
ENDOWMENT FUND. THIS IS BEEN USED
TO PURCHASE POLICE VEHICLES. I DON'T
HAVE
THE LIST IN FRONT
OF ME.

THIS
IS THE DONATION FROM THE CITY. THE
400,000 TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
WE'VE BEEN USING
THAT.

>> YUP. >> AND THEN, ON
PAGE 138, THERE'S A LIST OF THE SPECIAL
REVENUE
FUND SUMMERING. THESE
ARE
YOUR PARKS, ART IN LIEU, INCLUSIONARY
HOUSING,
BUILDING PERMIT, THE WHOLE LIST. GENERAL
PLAN

UPDATE FEES. ROAD MAINTENANCE.
FIRE FACILITIES. THERE'S A WHOLE SUMMARY
AT THE LIST IN THERE
ON
PAGE 138. AS YOU HEARD, THESE
FUNDS WAS TAGGED BY THE CAPITAL
PROJECT THAT YOU HURT FROM TONY
ON JUNE 3rd. ALL
THE PROJECTS SHE PRESENTED ON JUNE
3rd --THESE ARE
THE FUNDING SOURCE FOR
THOSE PROJECTS.
>> AND SO, CAN YOU
REMIND ME WITH OUT TO ME LOOKING
AT
THE FUNDING
, HOW MUCH SHOULD WE USE FOR
THE ENDING BALANCE? WAS THAT THE ENDING
BALANCE AFTER THAT?
>> SO THE
PAGE 138, THE FUNDING SOURCE FOR THE
CAPITAL PROJECTS, SO IF YOU LOOK AT
THE COLUMN WHERE
IT SAYS CIP PROJECTS REFERENCED --
IF YOU FIND
THOSE PAGES IN THE CIP GOT YOU WILL
SEE THAT THERE IS A FUNDING SOURCE
FOR WHATEVER EXPENSES THAT THAT PROJECT
WILL INCUR.
>> OKAY.
>>
OH, OKAY.
>> [INAUDIBLE - OVERLAPPING
SPEAKERS]
IF I'M READING THIS RIGHT,
WE HAVE QUITE A BIT
IN OUR
ART IN LIEU FEE. 26,000. I HEARD EARLIER
THERE WAS A REQUEST FOR
SIGNS OF \$2100. I WOULD PROPOSE THAT
IT WOULD COME OUT OF THAT ART IN
LIEU FEE. IF I'M READING THIS, WE
HAVE THAT MONEY
SITTING THERE. ALL
RIGHT, THANKS.
>> I DON'T SEE ANY
OTHER QUESTIONS ON THIS.
>> OKAY.
THANK YOU.
WE ARE GOING TO JUMP TO PAGE 175.
THIS IS THE CITY'S INSURANCE
FUND. 175. THIS IS THE
INSURANCE FUND FOR WORKMAN COMP
OR LIABILITIES.

>> THIS IS THE ONE WHERE WE HOUSE
ALL OF
THE PREMIUM THAT COMES TO
THE CITY
. WE WILL TAKE WHATEVER THE BALANCE
IN
HERE, WE ALLOCATE TO EACH DEPARTMENT
BASED ON A CERTAIN BASIS. SO IF IT
IS WORKERS COMP, IT WILL BE BASED
ON THE SALARIES. IF IT
IS GENERAL LIABILITY, IT WOULD BE
BASED ON THE ACTUAL EXPENSES THAT
THE DEPARTMENT INCUR IN THE PRIOR
YEAR. THAT IS THE ALLOCATION BASIS
FOR WHY EACH OF THE DEPARTMENTS GET
A PROPORTION
OF THESE COSTS.
>> YEAH.
IT'S UP 15%, AND IF
YOU LOOK AT THE TREND, WELL, I'M JUST
WONDERING, IS
THAT BASED
ON JUST SHEER INSURANCE BEING UP,
OR IS
THAT BECAUSE --IT GENERALLY
SPEAK TO OUR INSURANCE
IS UP.
>> THAT IS OUR INSURANCE
PORTION.
>> NO, BUT DO
I MEAN
--WE HAVE A LOT OF CLAIMS SO
THAT IS UNUSUAL OR IS THAT BECAUSE
INSURANCE IS UP EVERYWHERE?
>> INSURANCE
IS GOING
UP EVERYWHERE. 15%.
>> NO
BIG SHOCK.
>>
YEAH.
>> OKAY. >> I MEAN, WE ARE THE
AVERAGE WITH A LOT OF
OTHER CITIES. THAT WAS MY
QUESTION.
>>
OKAY.
>> THANKS. >> I DON'T SEE ANY
OTHER QUESTIONS.
>> LAST BUT NOT
LEAST IS THE WATER AND WASTEWATER
ENTERPRISE FUNDS. AND THAT STARTS
ON
PAGE 178.

SO THESE --SO THIS
--178 IS THE WATER OPERATING FUNDS.
SO THIS IS WHERE WE BILL ALL THE CUSTOMERS
WITH THE RATE AT THE COUNCIL SET
AND WHATEVER THE EXPENSES THAT THIS
FUND IS PAYING FOR IS COMING OUT OF
THIS FUND.
>> CAN YOU REFRESH
MY MEMORY ON WHAT
WE ARE --
I'M SORRY.
THE CAPITAL OUTLAY. IT IS UP 478.
SO
WHAT ARE WE FIXING FOR THE COMMUNITY
SO
THEY KNOW?
>> IT SAYS CAPITAL OUTLAY
BUDGETING. 160. ON
PAGE 178.
LET ME LOOK BECAUSE IT MIGHT BE DESCRIBED
BACK
HERE SOMEWHERE.
THAT JUST STOOD OUT TO ME. SO
THAT'S WHY.
>> THE
CAPITAL OUTLAY
HAS THE
[INAUDIBLE -LOW VOLUME] AND
THEN THE PUMP MOTOR FOR WELL SIX,
AND THEN IT SHARES A PORTION OF THE
BACKHOE THAT PUBLIC WORKS WILL
BE PURCHASING. THERE IS A WELL SIX
AND THEY WILL EIGHT FOR THE
ROOF REPAIRS. SO, THOSE ARE THE
CAPITAL OUTLAY THAT IS SPECIFICALLY
FOR
THE WATER. IF YOU LOOK
ON PAGE 180, TELLS YOU THE PROJECTS
FOR THE
CAPITAL OUTLAY.
>> AND REALLY, THAT
IS THE SAME
LINE. WE HAD NO CAPITAL
OUTLINE, SHE --WAS AT SUMMERHOUSE
IN THE
BUDGET FOR '23 -
'24?
>> ANY
OTHER QUESTIONS?
SEEING NONE.
>> SORRY. >> OH,
NO. IT'S
ALL GOOD.
>> DO YOU WANT ME TO

GO?

>> 184 IS NOW MOVING INTO
THE WASTEWATER. AND IS THE SAME BASIS
--THE SAME BASIS AND SAME METHOD,
SO THERE IS THE REVENUE THAT THE WASTEWATER
THAT WE SEE, THE [INAUDIBLE -LOW
VOLUME]
AND THE CITY PAID SANTA ROSA FOR PROCESSING
WHATEVER IT IS WE SHIP
OVER THERE AND THERE IS STAFF THAT
IS HOUSED IN TO WORK ON
THE SEWER, AND SO ON AND SO FORTH.
IT'S VERY SIMILAR TO
WHAT WE HAVE FOR WATER
FUNDS.

>>

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

>> I'M LOOKING AT
CAPITAL OUTLAY FOR THIS ONE. WE HAD
NOTHING
AND THEN WE ARE PROPOSING 148,000,
BUT IT SAYS PERCENTAGE CHANGE, ZERO.
SO THAT
CAN'T BE POSSIBLE.

UNLESS WE

--A PERCENTAGE. WE HAVE NO CAPITAL
OUTLAY FOR THREE YEARS IN A ROW,
AND NOW WE ARE PROPOSING 148, AND
WE SHOULD CORRECT
THAT 0%.

OH, IS IT --IS IT?

>> YEAH. WE NEED

TO USE

MICROPHONES BECAUSE I DON'T WANT
THE PEOPLE THE

>> 148÷0. >> SORRY. MY MATH IS
BAD.

>> [INAUDIBLE -LOW VOLUME]

>> ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? >>

I JUST HAVE TO ASK BECAUSE I LIKE
TO

BE EDUCATED. WHAT IS A VARIABLE
FREQUENCY DRIVE THAT WE
ARE PAYING \$80,000 FOR?

>> YEAH. IF

YOU COULD COME

UP TO THE MICROPHONE.

THANK YOU.

>>

HELLO. THERE WE GO.

>> IS THAT

FOR THE WASTEWATER FUND? I THINK IT
IS THREE VFDS FOR MOORE
STREET.

WE DID THE
PUMPING SYSTEM BUT OUR VFDS ARE OLD.
WE WON'T UPGRADE THEM TO BRING THEM
UP-TO-DATE
WITH THE INFRASTRUCTURE WE PUT IN
FOR THE --PROJECT.
>> OKAY. WE
ALREADY
PASSED THAT --I SEE THE LEASE THERE.
I WAS RIGHT UNDERSTAND WHATEVER STRUCTURE
--
>> THEY RUN THE PUMPS AT
DIFFERENT FREQUENCIES
EXCEPT RIGHT
NOW, OUR PREGNANCIES HAVE BEEN JUMPED FREQUENCIES
BECAUSE THEY ARE OLD AND THEY DO NOT
WORK DAILY. WE ARE PROPOSING TO REPLACE
THOSE TO BE MORE EFFICIENT.
>> GOTCHA.
THANK YOU.
>> GOOD. ANYTHING ELSE? >> AND THE
LAST ONE, I'M SORRY. 191. THE
LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT WHICH
YOU APPROVED IN THE LAST CITY COUNCIL
MEETING. THAT
IS INFORMATION ONLY. THAT COMPLETES
THE BUDGET SO WE CAN GO BACK AND DISCUSS
THE
SPRINKLERS.
>> OKAY.
[INAUDIBLE -OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS
]
>> IF I FORGOT ANYTHING, JUST YELL
AT ME.
>> IT'S ALL GOOD. >> SO,
BEFORE WE GO BACK TO THE
MEASURE U FUNDS, ONE OF THE THINGS
THAT CAME UP WAS
THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE WEST COUNTY
COMMUNITY SERVICES CONTRACTOR. THE
\$40,000. THE PARK VILLAGE
CONTRACT,
AND THE
POLICE POSITIONS, SO,
LET'S TAKE THE WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY
SERVICES CONTRACT FIRST.
>> GO AHEAD,
VICE MAYOR.
>>
OKAY. AT THE RISK OF REPEATING MYSELF,
I BROUGHT UP THE FACT THAT --THE
VARIOUS VARIABLES THAT ARE GOING ON
WITH THAT WAS COUNTY SERVICES LOSING
A LOT OF

THE FUNDING THAT THEY HAD, AND
ALSO, JUST LOOKING AT THE NUMBER OF
PEOPLE THAT ARE CONTACTED AND
THEN ASKING FOR CLARIFICATION
WHEN THEY SENT THIS REPORT SAYING THAT
THEY ARE
COVERING THE GREATER SEBASTOPOL
AREA. I WANTED TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
GREATER SEBASTOPOL AREA
MET --AND SINCE WE ARE PAYING THIS
FOR
THE CITY
. WE ARE CAUSALLY TALKING ABOUT HOW
THE COUNTY NEEDS TO BE CHIPPING IN
MORE AND PAYING MORE FOR A LOT OF
THIS STUFF, AND SO I STARTED TO THINK
ABOUT, YOU KNOW, WE ARE PAYING THIS
40,000. I KNOW WE TALK --WE TALK ABOUT
5000 HERE, 5000 THERE, AND THEN
WHEN THEY SENT THE EMAIL SAYING THAT,
YOU KNOW, THEY ARE
COVERING WITH THIS PERSON 300 SQUARE
MILES --
THEY ARE LITERALLY TWO SQUARE MILES.
HOW MUCH ARE WE ACTUALLY GETTING OUT
OF THAT
40,000? SO THAT LED ME DOWN A PATH
OF TALKING TO THE CHIEF ABOUT, YOU
KNOW,
WHAT ARE HIS THOUGHTS
ON THIS.
AND ARE THERE OTHER DIFFERENT WAYS
FOR US
TO UTILIZE THIS AND KEEP THE MONEY
WITHIN OUR CITY, AND BETTER UTILIZE
IT, AND
I DON'T KNOW IF HE WANTS TO SPEAK
TO IT BUT HE SAID THERE WERE
A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT CERTIFICATIONS
AND EDUCATION THAT POLICE OFFICERS
CAN RECEIVE THAT ACTUALLY
DO SIMILAR SERVICES, WHICH IS LIKE,
MAKING REFERRALS, AND GIVEN THAT OVER
THE TIME --
THE AVERAGE IS PROBABLY LIKE 2 TO
4 PEOPLE THAT ARE CONNECTED WITH,
YOU KNOW,
ON
THESE REPORTS.
SO I WAS DISCUSSING, HOW DO WE KEEP
THAT THERE? THAT IS WHY
I WANTED TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION ABOUT
WHETHER OR NOT --I'M NOT SAYING THAT
WE SHOULD TAKE THAT MONEY AWAY, BUT,

YOU KNOW, OUT OF THE BUDGET, BUT MAYBE,
THERE IS A DIFFERENT WAY FOR US TO
UTILIZE IT WITHIN OUR OWN CITY.
AND THEN THE OTHER THING THAT I STARTED
TALKING ABOUT --IS WHETHER
OR NOT , GIVEN THE CHALLENGES WE
HAVE WITH THE ELDERBERRY COMMENTS
IN THE AMOUNT OF POLICE TIME THAT
IS BEING SPENT
THERE, IS THERE, YOU KNOW, WE CAN
ALSO TALK ABOUT, DO WE WANT TO USE
THAT MONEY IN A DIFFERENT WAY? I JUST
WANTED US TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION.
PERSONALLY, I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO
KEEP IT MORE IN-HOUSE, PER SE, AND
UTILIZE
THAT TO ACTUALLY TRAIN OUR OWN
OFFICERS, VERSUS SENDING THAT MONEY
OUT AND NOT REALLY KNOWING THAT
WE ARE GETTING WHAT WE NEED OUT OF
IT BECAUSE WE DO HAVE AN
ISSUE HERE.
SO, THAT'S WHERE I MET WITH THAT.
AND THEN, WHAT
DOES THE ITEM, MARY?
>> BEFORE WE
LEAVE THAT, THE 40,000 FOR WEST COUNTY,
MAYOR, CAN YOU
REMIND US,
DO WE HAVE TO RESOLVE ALL
THESE ISSUES, OR COULD WE HAVE REPORT
BACKS, AND IF WE HAVE REPORT BACKS,
OR
IF WE HAD TO CONTINUE WITH THE BUDGET
IN ORDER TO GET MORE DETAILS,
BECAUSE THE VICE MAYOR
PUT SOME INFORMATION --AND I WOULD
LIKE TO BE ABLE TO HAVE TIME
TO VERIFY THAT SO THAT I CAN MAKE
A PROPER ARGUMENT FOR WHY I THINK
WE SHOULD CONTINUE WITH
WEST COUNTY
AND JUST FOR
THE READER'S DIGEST VERSION OF ALL
THAT IS THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE A
LICENSED
PSYCHOLOGIST AND I'M NOT ALL RIGHT
WITH BRINGING MONEY IN-HOUSE, BUT
WE ARE NOT GOING
TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO HAVE THAT LICENSE
WITH EIGHT MENTAL HEALTH CLINICIAN
OVERSEEING
THESE SERVICES. VICE MAYOR, OR COUNCILMEMBER.
>> COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, TOO. I

WAS
AN ADVOCATE FOR THIS POSITION FROM
DAY ONE AND WE DID GET IT
PARTIALLY FUNDED THROUGH OUR SUPERVISOR
COMING
UP WITH
SOME MONIES FOR US. I WOULD LIKE TO
UNDERSTAND MORE ABOUT IT, AS
WELL. I'M NOT --I DON'T WANT TO TAKE
AWAY SOMETHING THAT WE'VE HAD AS
A RESOURCE. I SUSPECT THEY HAVE
SOMEBODY DIFFERENT NOW RUNNING WEST
COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES INSTEAD
OF TIM MILLER. I WOULD
LIKE
TO HEAR
MORE FROM HER. I'VE MET HER BRIEFLY.
I'VE SEEN THESE REPORTS. I'M
NOT IMPRESSED, EITHER, BUT I FEEL
LIKE, TO BE FAIR, WE HAVE --
WITH THEM. I'M NOT CONFIDENT THAT
I WOULD WANT TO MAKE A CHANGE
TONIGHT WITHOUT
MORE INFORMATION.
>> CAN I JUST SAY
--
>> SURE. >> I JUST WANT TO
SAY --STEPHEN AND I HAD A
CALL AND THAT IS WHEN SHE INFORMED
US THAT THEY LOST
THE FEDERAL FUNDING TOO MUCH THIS
IN ADDITION TO THE 18% FROM
THE COUNTY
, SO THEY LOST QUITE A BIT OF FUNDING,
SO THE AMOUNT
OF TIME THAT THEY CAN ACTUALLY PROVIDE
US IS ACTUALLY A LOT LESS, EVEN THOUGH
WE ARE STILL PAYING THE SAME AMOUNT
OF MONEY. SO THAT'S WHY.
>> AND MY
QUESTION OF THAT IS WHEN DOES THAT
TAKE EFFECT? WHEN IS THAT CONTRACT
UP?
I MEAN, TO ME, THIS IS A BIGGER DISCUSSION.
>> I AGREE. MY RECOLLECTION BEFORE
I GET TO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER IS IT
SEEMED LIKE THEY WERE THINKING THEY
WERE GOING
TO LOSE THE MONEY. I DIDN'T REMEMBER
HEARING THEM SAY THEY
ALSO
MONEY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, SORRY.
>> I WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE THIS IN THE
BUDGET FOR

NOW. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THIS AN
AGENDA ITEM
AND HAVE

--

PRESENT TO US THE WORK THEY ARE DOING
SO WE CAN GET A BETTER UNDERSTANDING.
BUT I WOULDN'T WANT TO TAKE THE MONEY
OUT AT THIS TIME. THAT'S MY PERSPECTIVE.

>> I THINK THAT THAT IS GREAT. >>

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.

>> I JUST

WANT TO

SECOND THAT.

[INAUDIBLE -OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS
]

>> SO HOW DOES THIS WORK? BECAUSE
IF WE ALLOCATE IT TOWARDS THAT AND
WE HAVE A CONTRACT
WITH THEM, WHEN IS THAT?

>> THEIR

CONTRACT EXPIRES JUNE 30th. THIS IS
NOT A GUARANTEE THAT THEY RECEIVE
A CONTRACT. THIS IS SAYING THAT HE
WAS

SETTING THIS MANY ASIDE NOW FOR HOMELESS
OUTREACH COORDINATOR. SO, THIS IS AN
ITEM THAT CAN STILL
BE IN THE BUDGET, AND THE RETURN TO
CITY COUNCIL FOR
DISCUSSION.

>> MAYOR, SORRY TO INTERRUPT.

I HAVE A

QUESTION. IF THIS COMES BACK IN JULY
OR WHATEVER, ARE THEY GOING TO STOP
SERVICES? FOR A MONTH UNTIL WE GET
THE

CONTRACT EXECUTED? YOU ARE NOT EXECUTING
THE NEXT CONTRACT KNOWING
THE COUNCIL'S DIRECTION. IS THERE
GOING TO BE A GAP? JUST SO THE
COUNCIL IS FULLY AWARE .

>> I

HAVE NOT

TALKED TO

WCS AS TO WHETHER THEY WOULD EXTEND
AS TO SUCH TIME AS THIS CONTRACT IS
APPROVED OR NOT APPROVED. WE DO HAVE
THE

CHIEF HERE. HE'S PROBABLY HAD CONVERSATIONS
WITH KRISTI. HE MAY HAVE ANSWERED
TO THAT.

>>

YEAH. CHIEF, IF
YOU DO.

>>
THERE WE GO. THANK YOU.
I'M NOT
AWARE --I HAVEN'T HAD ANY
CONVERSATIONS WITH WCCS ABOUT CONTRACT
EXTENSIONS AND SO FORTH BECAUSE THIS
IS THE FIRST TIME
WE DISCUSSED THE POSSIBILITY OF NOT
RENEWING THEIR CONTRACT.
>> WAIT.
I'M SORRY.
>> IS IT POSSIBLE TO BRING
IT BACK AT THE NEXT MEETING,
JULY 1st?
>> WE'LL SEE.
I DON'T KNOW IF THAT IS A YES OR NO AT
THIS POINT, BUT WHAT
THE COUNCIL COULD DO
IS DIRECT
--IT SAID 3380 TAKE THE 40,000÷12,
THE CARRYOVER FOR THE ONE
MONTH, AND THEN BRING THE CONTRACT
BACK OR BRING THE ITEM BACK FOR FURTHER
DISCUSSION
IN JULY.
I DON'T THINK IT CAN COME BACK AS
JULY
1st, BUT WE CAN PUT IT ON THE 15th
FOR DISCUSSION.
>> YEAH. THAT
SOUNDS GOOD. CAN WE JUST DO A THUMBS
UP?
>> WE WILL DO THE WHOLE
MOTION COMING BACK. BUT I CAN GET
A THUMBS UP ON THAT. THAT WILL CARRY
IT OVER FOR AN ADDITIONAL MONTH TO
COVER THEM.
>> I GUESS THAT WAS RELATIVELY
[INAUDIBLE -LOW VOLUME]
>> OKAY.
>> THE NEXT ONE ON
THE SYSTEM, MARY? OH, SORRY, YES.
THANK
YOU, CHIEF.
>> SO THE NEXT ONE
WOULD BE THE FROZEN
POLICE POSITION.
>> YEAH.
WELL I HAD BROUGHT THAT UP IN RELATION
TO WE HAVE THIS 40,000, YOU KNOW,
AND WE ALSO HAVE THE U, AND THE SECURITY
WAS
PUBLIC --A TOP PRIORITY. WE HAD ISSUES
WITH POLICE OFFICERS

BEING AT
ELDERBERRY COMMENTS SO OFTEN. I WAS
BRINGING THAT UP BECAUSE EVERY YEAR
WE HAVE THESE FROZEN POSITIONS AND
I KEEP HEARING
MORE AND MORE ABOUT HOW WE ARE SHORT
STAFFED AND SO THAT'S WHY I FEEL
IT IS OUR DUTY TO AT LEAST DISCUSS
IT AND DISCUSS WHY WE WOULDN'T
WANT TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, THE BETTER
STAFFED POLICE DEPARTMENT, SINCE WE
DO HAVE ADDITIONAL
CHALLENGES THAT WE ALL HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING
FOR
THE LAST MONTHS. SO.

>>

I HEAR THAT. AND I DON'T KNOW IF THIS
IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME OR
NOT, BUT I WANT TO ASK STAFF. FOR
MY DEPRESSION,
TO SPEAK, FOR THE LIMITED TIME WE
HAVE, WE GOT INTO THE SITUATION
BECAUSE WE RELIED TOO MANY
TIMES ON ONE TIME FUNDING. THAT IS
MY PERCEPTION. LIKE THE COVID AND
THE
ARPA. AND NOW MEETING YOUR
INTRODUCTORY MESSAGE
, IT SAYS, THIS IS ALL GREAT. WE
BASICALLY HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET,
BUT, AGAIN, WE
ARE RELYING ON ONE TIME BILLING FEES,
SO WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT
POTENTIALLY ADDING
MORE COST TO THE OVERALL
BUDGET, HOW SOLID ARE YOU WITH THESE
BUILDING FEES? BECAUSE IT SOUNDS LIKE
THIS WHOLE
ENTIRE BUDGET IS JUST HINGING ON BUILDING
FEES. THAT IS ANOTHER ONE TIME THING.
SO
I'M JUST ASKING THAT ANYBODY. VICE
MAYOR
BROUGHT OUT, IN MY MIND, THAT IS A
HUGE ADDITION
TO A BUDGET THAT SEEMS [INAUDIBLE
-
MUFFLED] TO ME. MARY, DO YOU WANT
TO ASK THAT OR DO YOU
WANT TO DEFER TO STEVE?
>> I WILL
HAVE THE BUILDING OFFICIAL. AS YOU
STATED, THIS IS NOT A ONE TIME FEE.
THEORETICALLY, IT

COULD BE CONTINGENT ON THE MEASURE
U BECAUSE THAT IS ONE
OF THE THINGS IN MEASURE U. POLICE
SECURITY
AND SAFETY. WE ALLOCATE BUILDING FEES.
I THINK WE
ARE SOLID

--

KIND OF SOLID FOR THIS YEAR AND NEXT
YEAR, BUT I'M GOING TO LET OUR
BUILDING OFFICIAL RELATE TO THAT.
>> YEAH. THEY'RE NOT TECHNICALLY ONE
TIME FEES,
BUT THEY WERE SHORT-TERM FEES. I DON'T
EXPECT THAT LEVEL OF BILLING TO GO
ON

10 YEARS
FROM NOW. WE ARE PRETTY CERTAIN ABOUT
THIS YEAR. YOU'VE DRIVEN BY THE BIG
PROJECT ON THE NORTH END OF TOWN.
WE KNOW THOSE ARE GOING TO
BE BUILT IN THE NEXT YEAR OR 18
MONTHS AND THEY'RE ALL GOING TO BE
SOLD IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE YOU
CAN'T FIND SEVEN OR \$800,000 HOUSING
IN THIS CITY ANYWHERE ELSE. SOME OF
THE OTHER PROJECTS, I MEAN, WE
COULD FAIRLY --VERY EASILY TAKEN IN
--DOLLARS THIS YEAR. SO

WHAT

WE DID --WE TOOK --THIS IS THE LOW
END. THIS
IS THE TOP END AND WE TOOK
AND BASED OUR ESTIMATES ON
THE LINE IN THE MIDDLE SORT OF THING.
WE HAVE, ON TOP OF THE 80,000
THAT ARE GOING IN ON THE TOP END OF
TOWN, WE HAVE ABOUT ANOTHER
80 OR 90 THAT
ARE --WE HAVE
TWO OTHER PROJECTS THAT ARE APPROVED
AND READY TO GO. WE CAN ISSUE PERMITS
ON THOSE.

THAT IS

10 ON BODEGA. WE KNOW HOW THAT IS
SUPPOSED TO START ANY DAY. THAT IS
FOUR ADDITIONAL HOMES. EACH ONE OF
THOSE IS ABOUT \$15,000 IN GENERAL
FUND REVENUE, SO
WE ARE PRETTY CERTAIN FOR THE NEXT
TWO YEARS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE AT
LEAST 1 MILLION OR 1 MILLION TO --
2, OR 1.4 MILLION PER YEAR.
AFTER THAT, IF THE HOTEL KICKS IN,

THAT IS \$150,000 BY ITSELF IN GENERAL
FUND
REVENUE. SO WE ARE PRETTY SET WITH
INCREASED FEES FOR THE NEXT COUPLE
OF YEARS.
>> THANKS. THAT HELPS.
SORRY. I DIDN'T MEAN TO DRAG US OFF
ON A TANGENT.
>> DID YOU HAVE
A COMMENT? OKAY. I WAS GOING TO MAKE
A RECOMMENDATION
THAT BECAUSE MY UNDERSTANDING DOES
CORRECT ME IF
I'M WRONG, VICE MAYOR. PART OF THIS
CONVERSATION WAS FROM THE CONVERSATION
I WAS
COMMUNITY SERVICES. [INAUDIBLE -
MUFFLED]
BRINGING THIS
BACK HAS SUCH TIME AS WE
ARE DISCUSSING THAT ITEM --I KNOW
WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A MEETING WITH
THE COUNTY. MAYBE THAT IS PART OF
THE PHYSICIAN TO SEE IF THERE
IS FUNDING
--BRINGING THIS FUNDING BACK DURING
THOSE CONVERSATIONS.
>> I
WAS SEEING IT AS A WHOLE PACKAGE.
A WHOLE DISCUSSION OF --WHAT DO WE
DO? WE'VE BEEN ALLOCATING THE 40 K
THIS WAY, BUT MAYBE, YOU KNOW, MAYBE
IT'S RUN ITS COURSE BECAUSE WE DO
NOT HAVE, YOU KNOW, I MEAN, MAYBE
IT'S
RUN ITS COURSE AND THERE'S A DIFFERENT
WAY WE COULD UTILIZE IT, OR, THE
SAFETY OF OUR RESIDENTS. THAT'S FINE.
I WANTED TO ADDRESS IT, GIVEN THE
CHALLENGES WE'VE
BEEN WRESTLING WITH.
>> I WILL DO
A RECAP AT THE VERY END.
>> THE
NEXT WE HAVE IS PARK VILLAGE.
>> THOUGHTS
ON THAT? COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON.
>> I'M GOING
TO GO OUT STRONG ON
THIS ONE.
WE USED TO SPEND
BACK IN 2016 \$80,000 TO A LOCAL VENDOR
TO MANAGE THAT SITE. IT WAS PURCHASED

BY
A COUNCIL BEFORE ANY OF US WERE AROUND.
I THINK THAT'S THE PAYMENT WE
ARE STILL MAKING THAT WE ARE ALMOST
DONE WITH. IF I LOOK AT THAT PAGE,
I THINK IT IS 2007.
>> FOR THE LEASE?
>> YEAH. IT WILL BE DONE
IN 2027.
>>
AND WEST COUNTY SERVICES HAVE GOTTEN
A TON OF GRANTS TO HELP WITH THAT
PROJECT AND WE HAVEN'T HAD PROBLEMS
WITH IT SO I
SEE THAT PROJECT AS A SUCCESS, AND
LOW INCOME
HOUSING FOR
OUR COMMUNITY,
AND DELIVERED CHRISTMAS GIFTS OUT
THERE, AND IT'S --I'M JUST
--I DON'T THINK WE CAN DO IT ANY LESS
THAN WHAT WE ARE DOING
IT FOR, AND I THINK THAT IS WORKING.
SO, I'M GOING TO CONTINUE TO
ADVOCATE FOR THAT PROJECT.
>> ANY
OTHER THOUGHTS?
>> I JUST WANT
TO UNDERSTAND
[INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED] THESE. WE WILL
OWN IT OUTRIGHT
HERE IN A BIT?
>> WE DO
IT. --ON IT. IT IS LIKE
A MORTGAGE. TWO YEARS, IT WILL BE
PAID
OFF CLEAN.
>> WE HAVE A NEW PROJECT THAT IS COMING
UP ON THE NORTH SIDE OF
TOWN, AND THEN WE HAVE THESE ADDITIONAL,
YOU KNOW, CHALLENGES AND COSTS WITH
ELDERBERRY. WE
ARE ALREADY PAYING FOR PARK VILLAGE.
I JUST
WANT US TO THINK ABOUT THIS HOLISTICALLY
AND HOW
MUCH MONEY WE HAVE AS A COMMUNITY
TO BE PUTTING INTO
SO MANY
, YOU KNOW, PROJECTS LIKE THIS, THAT
IT BECOMES A BURDEN ON THE CITY. I
JUST THINK THAT OVERALL, WE NEED TO
BE
THINKING ABOUT THAT, AND YOU KNOW,

AND MOST OF THESE PLACES ARE
NOT ACTUALLY CONTRIBUTING TO OUR TAX
BASE OR
ANYTHING
ELSE, SO IT DOES BECOME TAXING ON
THE CITY. I THINK I JUST WANT
TO CALL THAT OUT BECAUSE I KNOW SOME
RESIDENTS HAVE DEEP CONCERNS
ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY. I'VE HAD
A FEW PEOPLE CONTACT ME, YOU KNOW,
SAYING
THAT WE DEFINITELY NEED THE COUNTY
TAKING IN MORE MONEY
TOO. JUST PUTTING THAT
OUT THERE.
>>
OKAY. SO NO CHANGES TO THE BUDGET
FOR PARK VILLAGE AT THIS TIME. NEXT
CONVERSATION
IS
THE PRESENT POSITION IN THE PUBLIC
WORKS DEPARTMENT.
>> WHERE
IS THAT?
OH, SORRY.
>> DON'T WE
HAVE A CONTRACT WITH PARK VILLAGE?
WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF
THAT? I RECALL IT BEING MULTIYEAR.
>> I BELIEVE IT
GOES THROUGH 27.
>> WE
CAN'T PULL THE FUNDS TODAY BECAUSE
WE HAVE
A MULTIYEAR
CONTRACT. I WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT
AND I DON'T
RECALL THAT HE DOES.
>> I THINK IT
WAS FIVE YEARS. I THINK IT
WAS 2027.
>> VICE MAYOR. >> I HAD
A SUGGESTION, BECAUSE CLEANING UP
DOWNTOWN,
IN MY OPINION, AND I KNOW THAT WE
MADE IT A PRIORITY, HE
NEEDS HELP. WE HAD DISCUSSIONS
ABOUT THE ONE TIME FUND, YOU KNOW,
BRINGING IN THESE ONE-TIME REVENUES,
ET CETERA,
ALL OF THIS. AS A COMPROMISE, I WOULD
LIKE TO
PROPOSE THAT FOR THIS YEAR, THAT WE
CONTRACT OUT WITH A LANDSCAPING --

SOME
TYPE OF COMPANY THAT WILL COME IN
AND ACTUALLY MAKE DOWNTOWN
LOOK NICE. I ALREADY ASKED FOR ESTIMATES,
AND THE COSTS ARE AROUND
75,000 FOR
THE YEAR BECAUSE LET'S FACE IT.
IT LOOKS DOWNTOWN. WE'VE GOT --THERE
IS SO MUCH THAT NEEDS TO BE
TAKEN CARE OF. THAT IS ONE OF OUR
PRIORITIES, AND
RATHER THAN
COMMITTING AND UNFREEZING A POSITION
AND COMMITTING TO THAT LONG-TERM,
AND BECAUSE
THIS IS ONE OF OUR TOP PRIORITIES,
YOU KNOW, WOULD WE BE WILLING TO USE
SOME OF THE FUNDS WE HAVE TOWARDS
HAVING THIS
AND OUTSOURCING THIS TO A LANDSCAPING
COMPANY OR WHATEVER YOU WOULD
CALL IT TO ACTUALLY GET IT DONE
AND MAKE IT LOOK NICE BECAUSE WE ARE
ON
SUMMER. I LISTEN TO THE TALK FROM
THE BUSINESSES ABOUT HOW DOWNTOWN
LOOKS, HOW
DIRTY IT LOOKS. CLEANING THE SIDEWALKS.
I
UNDERSTAND WE
HAVE EMPLOYEES BUT THEY ARE TAXED
SO MUCH. AS A COMPROMISE
THIS YEAR, WOULD EVERYONE BE WILLING
TO CONSIDER SOMETHING LIKE THAT SO
WE CAN SAY
WE ARE MOVING FORWARD, SO. THAT IS
MY PITCH.
>> I'M
SORRY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.
>> WE
DID DISCUSS THIS A LOT AT THE
BUDGET COMMITTEE. IT WOULD
BE --ACTUALLY, WE
CAME TO THE AGREEMENT THAT WE WOULD --
WE AGREED ON A CONTRACTOR,
AS WELL, AND THIS WAS
WELL DISCUSSED.
COUNCILMEMBER CARTER AND I AGREED
THAT THE CONTRACTOR WOULD BE A BETTER
CHOICE.
SO,
PROBABLY WE WOULD WANT TO GET THE
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
THE FLEXIBILITY TO MAYBE APPLY THAT

CONTRACT TO THE PARKS, AND THAT WOULD
FREE UP
OTHER WORKERS
TO DO THE DOWNTOWN BUT
THE GOAL WAS TO BEAUTIFY THE DOWNTOWN,
BUT NOT THAT YOU WOULD HIRE A
CONTRACTOR JUST FOR THE DOWNTOWN,
BUT THAT, WHATEVER THEY DO, THEY'RE
GOING TO HAVE THAT HELP,
SO THEY ARE FREED UP TO CLEAN UP THE
DOWNTOWN.

>> I GUESS I
DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT.

>> WELL, THE
GOAL WOULD BE --THEY TALKED ABOUT
A CONTRACTOR
COME IN JUST TO TAKE CARE OF THE PARKS,
AND THAT WOULD FREE UP THE
PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES TO THEN FOCUS
MORE ON THE DOWNTOWN. MAYBE ERIC COULD
ADDRESS THAT.

>> GO AHEAD. >> I JUST
WANT TO GIVE THEM THE FLEXIBILITY.

>> I GUESS MIGHT COME IN TO
THAT IS
WHAT HAPPENS IF THERE IS A PRIORITY
SOMEWHERE ELSE AND THEY GET BALTIMORE
HOUSE
WHERE WE HIRE SOMEONE TO CONCENTRATE
ON DOWNTOWN, IT GETS DONE BECAUSE
WHAT HAPPENS IF
WE HAVE A PUMP PROBLEM OR WE HAVE
A THIS OR THAT AND IT PULLS
THEM AWAY. BEST OF INTENTIONS. WE
JUST HIRE
SOMEONE TO MAKE DOWNTOWN LOOK BETTER
BECAUSE IT LOOKS LIKE CRAP, IN
MY OPINION.

I MEAN,
THE GAZEBO NEEDS PAINTED. THE LENGTH
GIVING NEEDS ADDRESSED. THE TRASH
CANS ARE FALLING APART.
THIS TRASH ALL OVER THE PLACE. WE
DON'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO ACTUALLY

--

WE RECEIVED
25,000 FROM
SCRR, BUT EACH TRASHCAN IS COSTING
\$1100. I'M DEEPLY INVOLVED IN THAT
PROJECT. THERE'S SO MANY DIFFERENT
THINGS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED.
I JUST WORRY
THAT --I KNOW OUR PUBLIC WORKS IS
SO STRETCHED ALREADY WITH EVERYTHING

THEY HAVE TO
DO. I'M JUST GOING TO ADVOCATE HEAVILY
FOR, WHAT CAN WE DO TO MAKE
IT --A DIFFERENCE SOONER RATHER THAN
LATER.
>> I'M GOING TO GO FIRST
TO COUNCILMEMBER CARTER AND THEN TO
MARY. SO, COUNCILMEMBER CARTER, YOU
FIRST.
>>
YEAH, NO. I DON'T WANT TO INTERRUPT
WITH A TALK
ABOUT THE PERSON --BUT JUST TO
ACKNOWLEDGE COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS,
YOU KNOW, I WOULD
BE AMENABLE TO STALKING AWAY LIKE
20 GRAND OR WHATEVER.
THE AMOUNT FOR A ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION
OF THIS, BUT
I'M NOT REALLY IN FAVOR
OF HIRING AN
ADDITIONAL POSITION BECAUSE THAT WILL
ALWAYS
BE ALL OVER THE PLACE.
>> I'M NOT
ADVOCATING FOR THAT.
>>
COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. CAN YOU
GIVE US YOUR THOUGHTS?
>> I WOULD
LIKE TO GO TO
YOU, MARY, YOUR THOUGHTS, BEFORE WE
TURN IT BACK OVER TO HIM.
>>
SO, ERIC AND I DID DISCUSS THIS. WE
TALKED ABOUT, NOT UNFREEZING
THE POSITION BUT TO HIRE
AN OUTSIDE
AND GIVE IT TO BEAUTIFY ALL THE PARKS,
NOT JUST DOWNTOWN. BUT TO DO THE
PARKS AND PARKING LOTS AND CORRECT
ME IF I'M MISSING ANYTHING AS FAR
AS WHAT
THE QUOTE STATES.
>> CROSSWALK ISLANDS.
>> CROSSWALK ISLANDS, AS WELL. TO
HAVE A COMPANY COME IN AND FOCUS.
RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE A PERSON THAT IS
DEDICATED TO MOWING PARKING LOTS.
ALL THIS. ALSO, THIS, IN MY
OPINION, THIS WOULD ALLOW THE OPPORTUNITY
TO HAVE
OUR MAINTENANCE ASSISTANTS ON THE
OTHER ASPECTS OF

THEIR JOBS. NOT JUST MOWING
THE GRASS
AND ALL THAT. IT ALLOWS THEM TO WORK
ALONGSIDE THE EXPERIENCED TO BE MENTORED
AND TRAINED. I SEE THAT AS AN ADVANTAGE
BECAUSE IT
FREES THEM UP FOR THAT. IT WOULD ALSO
FREE UP , AS COUNCILMEMBER MAURER
STATED, STAFF TO BE
ABLE TO GO DOWNTOWN AND WORK ON CLEANING
UP THE GAZEBO, WORKING ON DOWNTOWN,
PAINTING IT, DOING THINGS LIKE THAT.
MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO DO THE LANDSCAPING
. WE
DID RECEIVE ONE QUOTE. SO, CAN YOU,
ERIC, STATE WITH THAT? DOES?
>> SO
WE
HAD
A CONTRACTING.
THEY DID THE LANDSCAPING ON
BOTH SIDES. I
BASICALLY GOT AN UPDATE ON THE QUOTE
FOR THAT AND WE ADDED
DOING THE
PARKS SERVICES, AS WELL. ALSO, TAKE
CARE OF THE IRRIGATION, BUT DON'T
DO THE RANK --ROUTINE MAINTENANCE.
KEEPING THE PARKS LOOKING BEAUTIFUL.
THAT WOULD
FREE UP CITY STAFF TO FOCUS ON DOWNTOWN
TO MAKE IT
LOOK PRETTIER. THE ISSUE WITH CONTRACTING
OUT DOWNTOWN IS WE DO HAVE STORMWATER
CONCERNS WE HAVE TO BE AWARE OF.
WE CAN'T JUST PRESSURE WALK THE SIDEWALK.
SO HAVING A CONTRACTOR DO THAT WITH
PROBABLY NOT BE THE BEST IDEA. IT
WOULD
BE BETTER FOR US TO DO THAT
IN HOUSE.
>> DO YOU HAVE A SUGGESTION
FOR HOW
WE CAN MAKE CERTAIN THAT THIS HAPPENS?
I MEAN, SINCE I'VE BEEN ON HERE, I'VE
BEEN ADVOCATING TO HAVE DOWNTOWN
CLEANED UP. I EVEN OFFERED TO GO PAINT
THE GAZEBO MYSELF. IT JUST DOESN'T
PRESENT
WELL
FOR EVERYONE.
WHAT
IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION TO ENSURE THE
STAFF DOESN'T DOSE THAT YOU ARE SAYING

WE CAN
USE IT FOR DOWNTOWN DOESN'T GET PULLED
SOMEWHERE ELSE FOR SOMETHING ELSE
BECAUSE THERE'S ALWAYS
GOING TO BE MORE THAT NEEDS TO GET
DONE.
>> CANNOT MAKE 100% GUARANTEE
BECAUSE WE RESPOND TO EMERGENCIES
BUT WE CAN PRIORITIZE STAFF. IN FACT,
WE HAVE DONE
THAT A LITTLE BIT THIS YEAR. WE DID
PAINT THE GAZEBO BE FOR
THE HOLIDAYS. WE CAN DO THAT MORE
FREQUENTLY, CHANGING
STAFF ALLOCATION. WE HAVE
BEEN WORKING ON UPDATING THE BENCHES
IN THE PLAZA. WE HAVE BEEN MAKING
STRIDES AS
WE CAN, BUT WE CAN USE
THOSE EFFORTS
IF WE HAVE HELP IN OTHER
AREAS.
>> SO, INCLUDING IN THAT, BECAUSE
I DROVE AROUND TODAY JUST TO LOOK
AT
EVERYTHING, DO YOU HAVE A SUGGESTION
FOR HOW WE GET RID OF ALL THESE
DARN STICKERS EVERYWHERE
AND GRAFFITI? YOU KNOW,
I HAVE SOME OF THAT EMAILS ME ALL
THE TIME ABOUT THE GRAFFITI. AND
I KNOW ON PRIVATE PROPERTY THAT'S
DIFFERENT, BUT YOU HAVE A SUGGESTION
FOR THAT? IS
THAT PART OF THIS? IS THAT, LIKE --
YOU KNOW --
>> THE FOCUS WOULD BE ON DOWNTOWN.
WHATEVER THAT ENTAILS. REMOVING GRAFFITI.
CLEANING UP SIDEWALKS.
MAKING SURE TRASH CANS STAY CLEAN.
UPDATING
-- KEEPING
THE BENCHES CLEAN.
UPDATING THE LENGTH GIVING ON THE
PLAZA. MAYBE REDOING SOME OF THE BRICK
WORK ON THE
PLAZA. MAKING THE AMENITIES MORE HOSPITABLE
FOR EVENTS.
>> OKAY. GREAT. MARY,
YOUR QUESTION WAS
A DOLLAR AMOUNT. DID WE WENT ON A
DOLLAR AMOUNT?
>> I BELIEVE THE
QUOTE WAS 75 IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

>> DO WE WANT TO HAVE DISCUSSIONS?
ALSO, I
WANT TO BEAR IN MIND THE TIME BECAUSE
AT 10:30, SOMEONE HAS TO PULL
THE PLUG SO, I ALSO WANT TO HAVE YOU
TAKE A LOOK AT THE AGENDA
AND FIGURE OUT WHAT ABSOLUTELY HAS
TO HAPPEN TONIGHT BETWEEN NOW AND
1030, AND MY
PREFERENCE IS TO FIGURE OUT WHERE
WE GO WITH THE BUDGET FROM THERE.
SO, FIRST,
HAVE YOU LOOKED THROUGH THE AGENDA?
WHAT REALLY NEEDS TO HAPPEN TONIGHT
FOR WHATEVER REASONS.
>> YES. IN MY OPINION, AND ANY COUNCIL
MEMBER CAN CORRECT ME IF THEY
DON'T LIKE MY SUGGESTIONS. THE CAPITAL
IMPROVEMENT CAN BE DELAYED. WE CAN
PUT THAT
ON THE JULY 1st.
>> OKAY. >> AND DEPENDING
ON WHERE
THIS CONVERSATIONS GO, IT WOULD NEED TO
BE
CHANGED ANYWAY, IF IT NEEDS TO INCLUDE,
YOU KNOW, CERTAIN ITEMS TO THE CIP.
SO
THAT I WOULD RECOMMEND. BUT WE SHOULD
PROBABLY
PROVIDE DIRECTION IF THERE ARE ITEMS
YOU
WANT TO SEE INCLUDED IN THE CIP. SECOND,
AT THE LIBRARY --I'M ASSUMING WE
COULD POSTPONE THAT.
>> YES. >> THE
THREE --THE COMMUNITY CENTER HAS TO
BE DONE. THE CHANGE ORDER. THAT IS
BASED UPON --THE REASON
FOR THAT CHANGE ORDER WAS THAT SOME
OF THE WORK WAS NOT INCLUDED IN THE
ORIGINAL ORDER BECAUSE IT WAS DONE
--THE WORK CAME ABOUT FROM
A FIRE INSPECTION, SO IT IS A ISSUE,
SO IT NEEDS TO BE ADOPTED. SO
WE CAN GET THAT WORK DONE. THE PERSONNEL
ITEMS. THE POLICE SUPPORT SERVICES
MANAGER,
THE PAY SCHEDULES --THOSE NEEDS TO
GET DONE. AND
THE LAST ONE IS THE AMENDMENT TO THE
PROTOCOLS. AND THAT WOULD BE COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON,
IF WE CAN POSTPONE IT. SO I WOULD

SAY, CIP,
POSTPONE. THE LIBRARY, POSTPONE. THE
COMMUNITY
CENTER NEEDS TO GET DONE. THE THREE
PERSONNEL
ITEMS NEED TO GET DONE AND THE REST
WILL BE CONTINUED.
>> SO BASED UPON
THAT UPDATE, SHOULD WE JUST PAUSE
NOW WITH WHERE WE ARE WITH THIS BUDGET
AND THEN
GO TO MARY'S SYSTEM TO MOVE THROUGH
AND THEN COME BACK TO
THE BUDGET? I DON'T KNOW. 30
PEOPLE FEEL?
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, OR DO YOU FEEL?
>> I'M
GOING TO JUMP IN. WE NEED TO OPEN
THE PUBLIC HEARING AND TAKE PUBLIC
COMMENT
ON THIS, AND THEN WE CAN CLOSE THE
PUBLIC HEARING, AND THEN WE COULD
EITHER
CONTINUE THE ADDITIONAL DISCUSSIONS
AS FAR AS SOME OF THESE ITEMS THAT
WE WANT
TO ADDRESS LIKE MEASURE U AND THE
TRASH DOWNTOWN AND THE FILLING STATION.
AND THEN WE CAN CONTINUE IT. WE DO
HAVE A RESOLUTION
THAT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER HAS.
>>
I'M HOPING WE CAN CONTINUE WITH THE
BUDGET
BECAUSE
I DON'T
THINK THERE'S THAT MANY ISSUES LEFT,
BUT, ON YOUR TICKER THERE --
>> THERE'S
NOT. I WON'T NAME THEM OFF. ONE IS
THE TRASH CANS. WHAT TO DO WITH
THE TRASH CANS DOWNTOWN. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
THAT WE DID NOT GET INTO DOSE THE
OTHER
CONSIDERATIONS WITH THE VICE MAYOR
SUGGESTED OTHER CONSIDERATIONS. ADDITIONAL
FUNDING FOR MEASURE U FOR
ROADS. THE FENCING. THE REFUELING
STATION OF PUBLIC WORKS. AND TO TALK
ABOUT PUTTING
THE \$700 FOR THE PUBLIC ARTS SIGNAGE INTO
THE FUND.
>> SO BASED UPON THAT,
THAT IS [INAUDIBLE -LOW

VOLUME]
>> LET ME JUST --HOW MUCH
DISCUSSION
--
MARY, WOULD YOU LIKE TO ASK US HOW
MUCH DISCUSSION WE WOULD LIKE FOR
EACH
OF THOSE TO ESTIMATE? I'M RELATIVELY
CLEAR AND I COULD TALK THEM OUT, BUT
I'M ME, AND OTHER PEOPLE MIGHT WANT
TO GO THROUGH THEM IN MORE DETAIL.
>>
I'M GOING TO SUGGEST, IF WE ARE GOING
TO GO THROUGH THEM IN MORE DETAIL,
THAT WE SHOULD OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING,
PREPARE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, CONTINUE
THIS TO
THE NEXT MEETING. THE REASON I SAY
THAT IS BECAUSE WITH THOSE ADDITIONAL
ITEMS, IT'S
GOING TO TAKE US A WHILE, AND IF WE
DO WANT TO STOP.THERE IS A
MOTION TO STOP AT 10:30, THEN WE NEED
TO ADDRESS THOSE ITEMS.
>> IS.
THAT IS MEDITATION.
>> DOES ANYONE
STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS RECOMMENDATION?
LOOKING TO MARRY. LOOKING TO
MY LEFT.
>> I WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE
WITH THIS. THAT'S MY FEELING. BUT
IT'S
FINE.
>> IT IS THE WILL OF THE COUNCIL.
>> YES. >> MY
RECOMMENDATION DOES NOT MEAN IT IS
THE DIRECTION.
>> I JUST HAD A QUESTION
FOR PROCESS.
>> GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR. >> SO, IF
WE OPEN IT UP AND GET
PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS, AND WE COME
TO THE NEXT MEETING, WE WILL HAVE
A
PUBLIC COMMENT AGAIN BASED ON THE
ITEMS THAT WE ARE DISCUSSING. CORRECT?
I JUST WANT
TO
MAKE SURE
I'M ASSUMING CORRECTLY.
>>
YES. WE OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING AND
THEY CONTINUE IT TO THE NEXT MEETING.

>> AND
I'M FINE WITH THAT.
>> ALL RIGHT.
SO NOW WE ARE GOING TO PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> THANK YOU. YES. PUBLIC HEARING.
SO THIS IS THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE
BUDGET FOR THE ITEMS THAT HAVE BEEN
DISCUSSED. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE
A
PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS
FIRST. SEEING THEM, I WILL GO OUT.
ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?
>> YEP. >> THANK YOU FOR WAITING.
YOU CAN
GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT,
PLEASE.
>> REAL QUICK GOT THIS IS
A MUCH
BETTER PROCESS. MUCH BETTER NUMBERS
THAN YOU'VE HAD FOR THE LAST THREE
OR FOUR
YEARS, SO CONGRATULATIONS, ALL OF
YOU WHO WORK ON THIS. NOW, I'LL BE
CRITICAL. NUMBER
ONE. I THINK IT'S A LITTLE BIT MISLEADING
TO SAY THAT WHEN YOU SHOWED THAT
CHART IN THE FRONT WITH ALL THE DIFFERENT
BUDGETS, POLICE
WAS THE BIGGEST AND PUBLIC WORKS WAS
THE SECOND BIGGEST. PUBLIC WORKS ISN'T
YOUR SECOND
BIGGEST IN THE BUDGET. ALL OF THE
DOLLARS THAT ARE PAID
FOR BY WATER AND SEWER SHOULD'VE BEEN
THE WATER AND SEWER BUDGET
WHEN HE LOOKED
AT IT. IF YOU TOOK THAT OUT --
I'M GUESSING IT IS ABOUT HALF --IT
PROBABLY WOULDN'T BE THE SECOND
BIGGEST BUDGET IN YOUR CITY. AND I
THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE
OF TRANSPARENCY.
WE JUST HAD A LONG DISCUSSION ABOUT
THE CITY NEEDS MORE WORK. WE NEED
MORE PUBLIC HELP. WE NEED MORE CLEANUP
IN
THE CITY. WE NEED MORE PARKS WORK.
WE NEED FENCES FIXED. I DON'T KNOW
IF ANYONE LOOKED AT THE FTE ALLOCATION
CHART IN THERE, BUT NO ONE IS
FULLY DEDICATED TO THE CITY. ALMOST
EVERY
WORKER IS NEWER FROM 50 TO 80% ALLOCATED
TO WATER AND SEWER, WHICH MEANS THEY

ARE SUPPOSED TO BE WORKING ON WATER
AND SEWER, IT 1.6 IS
ASSIGNED TO PARKS. .35 TO BUILDINGS.
THERE IS NOBODY ASSIGNED TO DOWNTOWN.
SO, THAT IS THE REASON THAT WE ARE
NOT
GETTING
WORK DONE.
ASSUMING THAT WHAT IS BEING ALLOCATED
TO WATER AND SEWER ISRAEL. IF IT IS
NOT, THEN, YOU KNOW, THAT NEEDS TO
BE FIXED IN THE ALLOCATION STUDY.
REGARDING THE
HOMELESS COORDINATOR, I THINK WHAT
YOU NEED IS A PLAN TO
DEAL WITH HOMELESSNESS IN THE CITY.
RIGHT NOW, THERE IS \$40,000 IN THE
BUDGET.
THERE
IS NO
[INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED] RELATED TO
HOMELESSNESS. WHEN THE TIME COMES,
WE
ARE GOING TO HAVE PLENTY OF HOMELESS
PEOPLE HERE, STILL, AND WE CANNOT
PUT ANY
OF THEM IN ELDERBERRY. IT IS
REALLY FRUSTRATING. I HOPE WE CAN
FIX THAT. I'M GLAD THE ALLOCATION
IS GETTING A LITTLE BIT OF ATTENTION.
I'M CONCERNED ABOUT PUTTING IT UP
TO MIDYEAR
BECAUSE WE CAN SPEND ALL THAT MONEY
BY
NEXT YEAR.
I THINK THE ALLOCATION THING NEEDS
TO BE ADDRESSED.
SO, THANK YOU.
>> THANK YOU, ROBERT,
FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL
COME
BACK TO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE
ELSE IN CHAMBERS THAT WANTS TO MAKE
A COMMENT ON BUT APPRENTICE BOXING
NUN, I WILL GO TO IS IN. IF THERE
IS ANYONE ON SCENE THAT WOULD LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC ON THE BUDGET, PLEASE
RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SCENE NONE,
THE PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED.
>> THANK
YOU,
AND SO, WHAT WE NEED TO DO PROCEDURALLY
IS TO OFFICIALLY CONTINUE UNTIL THE
NEXT

MEETING. IS THAT RIGHT?
>> WELL, FIRST,
WE NEED TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION TO
CONTINUE
THE EXISTING BUDGET.
>> OKAY. SO SOMEONE
NEEDS TO MOVE.
>> YES. >> SO MOVED.
>> OKAY. I'LL SECOND. >> SO MOVED
BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND SECONDED
BY
MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO CONTINUE THE RESOLUTION.
>> COUNCILMEMBER
MAURER
>>
YES..
>>
MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
>> NOW
THE
WORDING IS TO CONTINUE TO THE NEXT
MEETING.
>> RIGHT. AND AS ALWAYS,
MAYOR, CAN
YOU WALK US THROUGH THE NEXT [INAUDIBLE
-
MUFFLED]
>> SO, IF I CAN GET A MOTION
TO CONTINUE THE CAPITAL
IMPROVEMENT PLAN .
>> SO MOVED. >>
SECOND.
>> OKAY. SO
MOVED BY MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND SECONDED
BY COUNCILMEMBER
MAURER. COUNCILMEMBER
CARTER
>>
AYE.
>> MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
IS THERE CONSENSUS TO
CONTINUE
THE LIBRARY AD HOC COMMITTEE REPORT
OUT?
>> YES. >> I DON'T NEED A FORMAL MOTION
ON
THAT ONE. I WAS JUST A CONSENSUS.
THE NEXT ONE I DO NEED, THIS IS THE
ADOPTION OF
THE RESOLUTION FOR THE
AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT FOR THE
FIRE RELATED BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS
FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER. THIS
WAS FOR ADDITIONAL WORK THAT

WAS DONE OR IS NEEDED TO BE DONE BASED
UPON A
FIRE INSPECTION.
OUR PUBLIC WORKS OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR
IS HERE IF YOU WANT TO DO
A QUICK REPORT ON TO
THE WORK.
>> THIS IS BASED OFF THE APPROVAL
YOU GUYS DID I THINK LAST YEAR
FOR IMPROVEMENTS. THOSE IMPROVEMENTS
WERE MADE. ONCE THE WORK
WAS COMPLETED, WE RESTARTED BACK TO
THE ORIGINAL CONDITION. THE FIRE TO
FROM CAME OUT
AND DID AN INSPECTION. NOTICE
THE FABRIC
WE HAD ON
THE WALL WAS STILL THERE. THEY HAVE
IT THERE, AND IT IS A FIRE
HAZARD. FIRE RISK. THEY WOULD LIKE
TO REMOVE THAT IN ORDER TO MAKE THE
WALLS
PAINTABLE, YOU HAVE TO PUT A SMOOTH
COAT SURFACE ON TO RECEIVE THE PAINT,
SO
THAT IS WHAT WE ARE ASKING FOR ADDITIONAL
FUNDING TO GET THAT WORK DONE
SO WE CAN
MAKE IT
AESTHETICALLY PLEASING FOR PEOPLE
THAT USE THE COMMUNITY CENTER.
>>
MY GENERAL QUESTION IS, SO
MY INTIMIDATION OF ALL OF THAT IS
THAT THIS IS A REQUIREMENT. LIKE WE
MUST
DO THIS IN ORDER TO BE COMPLIANT.
IS THAT THE RIGHT UNDERSTANDING?
>>
THAT
IS GREAT BUT WE HAVE A REPORT FROM
THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THAT IT IS A
GOOD ISSUE AND WE NEED TO GET IT FIXED.
>> AND JUST AS A
REMINDER, THE SUB AD HOC. THE AD HOC
FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER --THAT REPORT
CASH -- WE ARE TRYING TO GET THAT
IF THE CANCEL BEFORE JULY-ISH?
>>
I
THINK IT IS GOING TO BE FOR OCTOBER.
AUGUST, SORRY, NOT OCTOBER.
>> ANY
OTHER

QUESTIONS FOR MY COLLEAGUES? COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON.

>> WE HAVE ONE QUOTE FROM

--

CONSTRUCTION. DID WE GET ANY QUOTES ON
THIS? DID

THEY DO ANY OF THE ORIGINAL WORK TO
TAKE FABRIC OFF THE WALL? I KNOW
IT'S NOT THAT BASIC, BUT IT IS FOR
\$71,000. US BUT THAT IS THE
OVERALL COST. THE ADDITIONAL COST
IS ONLY ABOUT
13,000. TOTAL.

THAT'S FOR THE CHANGE ORDER. ORIGINALLY,
COUNCIL APPROVED 70,000. AN
ADJUSTMENT TO 75, BECAUSE WITH THE
NEW TOTAL OF THE CHANGE GOING OVER
THE 70,000
THRESHOLD, SO WE ARE ASKING FOR A
\$5000 ADJUSTMENT.

>> OKAY. AND THIS

IS

THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT.

>> AND EARTH

TONE HAS BEEN DOING ALL THIS STUFF
SINCE THE
BEGINNING.

>> AND THEY ARE NOT REMOVING
THE COVERINGS. THEY ARE JUST MAKING
THE WALL

PAINTABLE FOR US. THEY ARE TAKING
THE SMOOTH COAT OFF.

>> OKAY. I JUST
WANTED TO BY THAT.

>> ANY QUESTIONS
BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC HIM?

>> THIS

IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CHANGE
ORDER. [INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED]
I WILL COME INTO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING
THEM, I WILL GO OUT DOES THEM. IF
YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON
THE CHANGE ORDER FOR THE WORK
FOR THE WALL, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND
VIRTUALLY. SCENE NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT
IS CLOSED.

>> GREAT. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND/OR
A MOTION?

>> I'LL MAKE A MOTION. >>

OKAY.

>>

SECOND.

>> SO MOVED

BY DIXIE

--VICE MAYOR McLEWIS. MOTION PASSES
UNANIMOUSLY.
>> THE NEXT ONE IS
15.
>> I DO NOT SEE OUR CONSULTANTS.
>> THE NEXT ONE
WOULD BE
APPROVED ADOPTION AND RESOLUTION FOR
THE POLICE SERVICES.
>> I WAS
NUMBER 16.
>> THAT IS NUMBER 16, AND
I DON'T SEE DEBORAH DOE SHOW, SHE
IS ON ZOOM.
>> SHE WAS DRIVING HERE.
>> DEBORAH, ARE YOU
ON ZOOM?
>> SHE IS
GOING TO BE HERE. SHE IS DRIVING.
SHE SHOULD BE HERE IN FIVE MINUTES.
SO
WE COULD GO TO THE NEXT ITEM, WHICH
IS NUMBER 17. THIS IS ADOPTING
THE RESOLUTION
THE
COMPENSATION BENEFITS [INAUDIBLE
-MUFFLED]
THIS WAS APPROVED PREVIOUSLY BY THE
CITY CANCEL. THIS IS ADOPTING
THE 3%
C.O.L.A..
>> DO WE NEED
TO HEAR FROM DEBORAH, TOO?
>> I CAN
GO AHEAD WITHOUT HER --SO WE
ARE NOT HOLDING UP THE MEETING, BUT
THIS IS A MOTION TO ADOPT THIS BASED
UPON THE PREVIOUS DISCUSSION FROM
THE CITY COUNCIL, SO THIS IS FOR THE
EMPLOYEES
WE DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY.
>> ARE THERE
ANY CUSHIONS?
>>
SEMEN, OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT.
>> I
WILL GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT. THIS IS
FOR THE COMPENSATION BENEFITS RESOLUTION
FOR
THE UNDERREPRESENTED
EMPLOYEES. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC
COMMENT, I WILL GO TO
CHAMBERS FIRST. SCENE NONE, I WILL
GO ON TO ZOOM. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE

A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR
HAND VIRTUALLY. SCENE NONE, PUBLIC
COMMENT IS CLOSED
ON THIS ITEM.
>> SO I JUST NEED A
MOTION, CORRECT?
>> YOU NEED A
MOTION.
>> OKAY. I'LL MOVE. >> SECOND.
>> SO, SO MOVED BY MAYOR ZOLLMAN
AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER
FOR THE BENEFITS RESOLUTION FOR THE
[INAUDIBLE
-MUFFLED] EMPLOYEES FOR THE 3%, AND
THE 2% C.O.L.A. AS
APPROVED.
>> COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.
>> YES. >>
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.
>> AYE. >>
VICE MAYOR McLEWIS
>> AYE. >> MAYOR
ZOLLMAN.
>> YES. >>
MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. NEXT
ITEM IS
--THIS ADOPTS THE PAY RATES AND
SALARY RESOLUTIONS.
>> GREAT. ANY
QUESTIONS FOR
MY COLLEAGUES? THIS IS NUMBER 18.
>> THIS IS BASED UPON YOUR
APPROVAL OF ITEM NUMBER 17. THIS IS
NOW FORMALIZING THE PAY RATES AND
RANGES.
>>
GOT IT. ANY CUSHIONS FROM MY
COLLEAGUES? SCENE NONE.
>> I'LL GO
OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT. IF YOU WOULD
LIKE TO
MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON
PAY RATES AND SALARY SCHEDULE, PLEASE
--I WILL GO TO JAMES FIRST. SCENE
NONE, I WILL GO OUT DOES THEM.
IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC
COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR
HAND VIRTUALLY. SCENE NONE, PUBLIC
COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM. I
LOOK
FOR A MOTION.
>> SO MOVED. >> SECOND.
>> SO MOVED BY MAYOR ZOLLMAN
AND

SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO
APPROVE THE AMENDMENT TO THE
CITY. AND RANGES FOR THE COMPENSATION
AND BENEFITS RESOLUTION FOR THE UNDERREPRESENTED
IN PLACE BASED
UPON THE 3% C.O.L.A. AND THE 2% C.O.L.A..
>> COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. >>
YES.
>> COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. >> AYE.
>> COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. >> YES. >>
VICE
MAYOR McLEWIS
>> YES. >> MAYOR ZOLLMAN.
>>
YES.
>> THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
SHE WILL BE HERE IN
TWO MINUTES. NUMBER 19. WE
WILL BE POSTPONING THAT TO A FUTURE
CITY
COUNCIL MEETING.
WE CAN TAKE A BREAK OR WE CAN DO A
QUICK REPORT OUT ON ITEM
NUMBER 21. WHICH IS ELDERBERRY
COMMONS. THAT
IS A WRITTEN
REPORT IN THE PACKET. PEOPLE CAN READ
THAT, AND THEN IF COUNCIL WANTED TO
GET
--IF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE WANTS
THE OF A REPORT, WE CAN DO A QUICK
REPORT OUT ON THAT.
>> SURE. >>
I'LL
GO. WHATEVER.
>> YEAH. >> WE DID SAY WE WOULD REPORT
ON IT.
>> WE HAD A LONG MEETING TODAY.
WE MET AT 8:30 DOES MONEY
GRID SO WE HAVE BEEN AT THIS A LONG
DAY.
>> OKAY. WE WENT
THROUGH OUR METRICS, WHICH IS OUR
TASK TO DO TO GET READY FOR THE
JULY
1st TRANSFER. AND, WE HAVE ANOTHER
FOLLOW-UP MEETING THAT WILL BE A WEEK
FROM TODAY AT 8:30.
NEXT TUESDAY,
TO CONTINUE TO MOVE OUR METRICS ALONG.
AND IT INCLUDES THINGS
LIKE TRANSFERS OF THE DMV
AND TITLES. TERMINATING THE PHONE
SYSTEMS.

ALL OF THE LITTLE THINGS THAT YOU
HAVE TO DO WHEN YOU ARE TRANSFERRING
I GUESS
A PROPERTY,
AND AN ORGANIZATION. SO, THAT IS BASICALLY
OUR REPORT OUT. WELL, WE ARE
GOING TO --
>> YEAH. WE ARE GOING TO
BRING IN --AN ITEM BACK. I THINK WE
TALKED ABOUT IT BECAUSE WE LOADED
ON IT. IT WAS RECOMMENDED THAT WE
RECOGNIZE OUR
VOLUNTEERS THAT WILL
BE OFFICIALLY ENDING WITH
THE
SEBASTOPOL
FIRE DEPARTMENT AND GOING ON TO GOLD
BRIDGE,
WHETHER THEY HAVE BEEN VOLUNTEERS WITH
US FOR MANY YEARS --A LOT OF THEM
--AND WE HOPE TO RECOGNIZE THEM AT
THE COUNCIL MEETING ON JULY 1st. AND
WE
ARE ASKING OUR CITY STAFF TO COME
UP WITH SOME YEARS OF SERVICE CERTIFICATES
AND
SOME SPECIAL PINS THAT
WOULD RECOGNIZE THE SEBASTOPOL FIRE
TO PROMPT.
WE WANT TO DO SOME MEALS, BUT THAT
--WE WILL BE COMING BACK WITH
AN AGENDA ITEM. AND IT WOULD INVOLVE
PROBABLY SOME FINANCE.
SOME FUNDING.
AND WE HAVE A BIGGER,
MORE PERMANENT RECOMMENDATION THAT WE
MIGHT DO IN THE FALL.
>> VERY GOOD.
VICE MAYOR, ANYTHING
ELSE?
>> YEAH. IT WAS IMPORTANT TO
US TO RECOGNIZE THE FIREFIGHTERS AND
ALL
THAT, SO WE THOUGHT, WHAT BETTER TIME
THAN JULY 1st, WHICH IS WHEN IT ACTUALLY
HAPPENS, BUT THERE IS QUITE A LIST
OF ITEMS, AND I KNOW, MARY HAS
BEEN WORKING VERY HARD AT --
>> SORRY.
THERE
WAS SOME DESTRUCTION OVER THERE.
>>
MARY HAS BEEN WORKING
VERY HARD AT CROSSING THE T'S AND

DOTTING
THE EYES. THERE'S A LOT
OF ITEMS
THAT HAVE TO GO INTO THIS IN ORDER
TO TRANSFER OVER, SO REALLY APPRECIATE
THAT.
>> EXCELLENT. THANK YOU. THANK
YOU.
>> SO
NOW WE HAVE ITEM NUMBER 16, WHICH
IS A PREVENTED AUTHORIZATION FOR THE
POLICE SUPPORT
SERVICES MANAGER.
>> ANYWAY, SO
WE ARE DOING NUMBER 16, SO,
>> CORRECT.
NUMBER 16, WHICH IS THE ITEM
REGARDING THE POLICE SUPPORT SERVICES
MANAGER. WE DO HAVE
OUR CONSULTANT, DEBORAH
[INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED]
2%.
>> GOOD EVENING.
THIS ITEM IS SOMETHING
THAT MADE WITH THE
POLICE ASSOCIATION. THIS IS A
POSITION THAT WE HAD BACK
IN 2000 --2022, AND IT WAS COMBINED
AT
THAT TIME. THERE WAS A SUPERVISOR
OF
DISPATCH, AND THAT PERSON ALSO DID
ALL THE ADMINISTRATIVE WORK FOR RECORDS
AND
ALL OF THAT. AT THAT TIME, I WAS SPLIT
APART, AND SERGEANTS WERE SUPERVISING,
AND
THE CAPTAIN WAS SUPERVISING
DISPATCH. BUT THAT DIDN'T PROVIDE
THE LEVEL OF SUPERVISION THAT
IS MOST HELPFUL
TO THEM IN ORDER TO WORK THE CASES
AND HELP
THE OFFICERS. AND
SO, THIS ITEM --ACTUALLY, I'M OUT
OF BREATH FROM RUNNING. THIS
ITEM ACTUALLY COMBINES THOSE POSITIONS
BACK
TOGETHER AGAIN, HAS A SUPERVISOR WHO
ALSO CAN
BE A DISPATCHER, AND UNDERSTANDS ALL
OF THE
ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE OF THE
DEPARTMENT, AND CAN HELP ON THAT

SIDE, TOO. SO WE RAN
A LOT OF NUMBERS TO TRY AND FIGURE
OUT --BECAUSE IT IS
A COMBINED POSITION,
WHAT THE BEST SALARY WOULD BE. THERE
ARE FOUR REGULATIONS WITH THE ITEM.
ONE OF
THEM IS BASED
ON
MARKET. IT COMES OUT AT ABOUT 7%.
THE OTHER ONE IS BASED ON WHAT
IS CALLED THE FEDERAL FACTOR ANALYSIS,
WHICH LOOKS AT THE DIFFERENCE,
OR THE CHANGE COMPLEXITY
AND SCOPE OF THE POSITIONS. THAT
COMES OUT
AT ABOUT 8%. THAT IS A STAFF RECOMMENDATION.
THAT IS ALSO THE BUDGET. I'M
GOING TO LET THEM SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES.
WE WENT IN FRONT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE
WITH THIS, AS WELL. AND THEN THERE
WAS A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE POLICE
OFFICERS ASSOCIATION
OF 10%. AND THEN THERE'S 11.14%, WHICH
IS
ACTUALLY THE
[INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED] OF THE
MARKET, I BELIEVE.
>> COLLEAGUES FROM
MY --QUESTIONS FROM
MY COLLEAGUES? TO MY RIGHT.
PUBLIC COMMENT?
>> THINK YOU COME
THERE. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
FOR THE POLICE
SUPPORT SERVICES
MANAGER POSITION. HE WOULD LIKE TO
MAKE A COMMENT ON THE
RECORD
ON THE POLICE SERVICES
MANAGER POSITION --SINGH ON, THERE
IS NO
PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM.
>> GREAT.
THANK YOU. DOES SOMEONE WANT TO MOVE
OR DO WE WANT TO READ WHAT WE ARE
SUPPOSED TO MOVE.
>> I
REALLY --
I CAN'T STAND RUSHING AT THE VERY
END JUST BECAUSE WE ARE
HERE. THESE ITEMS ARE REALLY IMPORTANT.
AND SO, I DEFINITELY WANT TO UNDERSTAND
WHAT

THE RECOMMENDATION IS. HE WENT THROUGH
IT REALLY QUICKLY AND I'M
LOOKING AT THE DIFFERENT TITLES, AND
THEN I WOULD LIKE
TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS. SO, CAN YOU
GO THROUGH THAT
AGAIN, DEBORAH? WHO IS RECOMMENDING
WHAT?
>> YES.
ABSOLUTELY.
>> SO THE
MARKET --WE DID A LOT OF DIFFERENT
NUMBERS AND WE INCLUDED
IN SOME CASES, IN ONE CASE, IT INCLUDES
THE
SHERIFF POSITION THAT DOES
SIMILAR DUTIES. AND IN ANOTHER CASE,
IT DOESN'T.
SO THE
MARKET
MEDIAN, WITHOUT INCLUDING THE SHERIFF'S
POSITION, IS THE LOWEST IN THE MARKET,
AND THAT IS 6.94%.
ABOUT 7%. THE REASON THAT WE DON'T
NORMALLY INCLUDED THE SHERIFF IS BECAUSE
THEY
DO OTHER DUTIES LIKE THE JAIL AND
ATTENTION AND DIFFERENT THINGS THAT
ARE SUBTLY DIFFERENT
THAN WHAT WE HAVE HERE IN THE MUNICIPALITY.
THE FACTOR ANALYSIS IS A FEDERAL
FACTOR
SYSTEM USED
IN GENERAL SERVICE CLASSIFICATION AND
COMPENSATION. IT HAS BEEN ADOPTED
BY CLASS AND COMP FOR
OTHER ENTITIES, AS WELL, AND
IT COMPARES
ABOUT NINE DIFFERENT FACTORS OF A
POSITION, AND THE COMPLEXITY AROUND
THOSE FACTORS, LIKE, WHAT
LEVEL OF EDUCATION? WHAT LEVEL OF
PEOPLE ARE THEY WORKING WITH AND SPEAKING
TO, AND
WHAT
TYPES --HOW MUCH DOES IT TAKE FOR
THEM TO LEARN THE JOB,
AND HOW MANY YEARS AND THOSE SORTS
OF THINGS. AND YOU COMPARE THOSE TWO
AND IT PROVIDES A PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE
BETWEEN THE POSITIONS AND THAT CAME
OUT TO
ABOUT 8%. THE POLICE ASSOCIATION
FELT THAT

DIFFERENCE IN THE JOB WAS WORTHY OF
10% INCREASE, AND THAT WAS
THEIR REQUEST. AND THEN WHEN WE ADDED
THE SHERIFF POSITION INTO THE MARKET,
WE COME
UP WITH A MEDIAN THAT IS 11.16%. AND
SO THE RECOMMENDATION FROM STAFF IS
8%.

>>

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

>> YES. I WOULD

LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE A
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER
TO

RETITLE AND REVISE POLICE RECORDS
AND SUPPORT SERVICES MANAGER TO POLICE
SUPPORT SERVICES MANAGER AND
MAKE FUTURE NONSUBSTANTIVE CHANGES
AND TO RELATED DOCUMENTS AND TO APPROVE
THE STAFF

RECOMMENDED COMMENSURATE INCREASE
OF 8% TO THE PAY RANGE.

>>

THANK YOU.

I'LL SECOND.

>> JUST TO CLARIFY, CAN I STILL MAKE
A COMMENT AT THIS
POINT?

>> SURE. SURE. >> OKAY. I'M
GOING TO CONTINUE WITH --I THINK IT
HAS BECOME MY THEME THAT EVERY POSITION
THAT WE DISCUSS, THE PRICE JUST
KEEPS

GOING UP. AND THIS IS NO DIFFERENT.

I UNDERSTAND IT INVOLVES
MORE RESPONSIBILITY. WE ARE PICKING
SOMEWHERE IN THE MIDDLE. IT SEEMS
LIKE STAFF AS A PERCENT, EVEN THOUGH
WITHOUT

THE SHERIFF, IT SHOULD BE COMING
IN AT ABOUT

7%, AND

WE JUST CAN'T KEEP PAYING MORE AND
MORE FOR EVERY TIME. EVERY TIME HR
IS IN FRONT OF US, WE PAY MORE, SO
NOTHING PERSONAL. BUT I
NEEDED TO SAY THAT AGAIN ON
THE DAIS. AND I DON'T KNOW
IF ANYBODY --I WOULD GO FOR THE LOWER
PERCENT, EVEN THOUGH THERE IS
A MOTION ON
THE TABLE.

>> I AGREE. >> I WOULD
GO

AHEAD

--CAN I MAKE A SECONDARY MOTION AGAIN NOW? CAN YOU WORK US THROUGH THIS PROTOCOL? OR DO WE JUST HAVE TO VOTE ON THE FIRST ONE?

>> YOU COULD

ASK FOR AN AMENDMENT. YOU CAN ALSO MAKE A MOTION TO AMEND THE MOTION, AND THEN, IF YOU'VE GOT

A SECOND DOSE OF THE MOTION WOULD BE AMENDED.

>> I JUST HAVE A

QUESTION. SORRY. SINCE WE ARE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION.

BECAUSE I SEE THE LOWER RATE SAYS, ALLIANCE WITH MARKET MEDIAN DATA, WHICH I'M ASSUMING

THAT IS LOCAL. RIGHT? AND YET, WE ARE --

PARDON ME?

>> I'M JUST STATING THIS.

I'M MAKING SURE I UNDERSTAND FRED AND

THEN THE FEDERAL FACTOR ANALYSIS RESULT.

I MEAN, I'M JUST CURIOUS MY STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND

THAT YOU KNOW, IF WE ARE NOT STICKING WITH

THE LOCAL

--WHY WE WOULDN'T

STICK WITH THE LOCAL BECAUSE I AGREE. EVERY TIME WE COME BACK HERE, THE RATES ARE HIGHER AND HIGHER AND HIGHER AND WE ARE GETTING SLAMMED FOR THIS ALL THE

TIME AND IT'S LIKE, WHERE DOES THAT

--WHERE DO WE FIND

THAT BALANCE?

>> SO, WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT A STAFFING

POSITION THAT IS GOING TO BE

REPORTING TO A SUPERVISOR, AND WE WANT TO HAVE

CAREER LADDERS SO PEOPLE WILL STAY

AND WANT TO

REACH TO BECOME THAT SUPERVISOR AND PROMOTE

TO IT,

TYPICALLY, A

CLASSIFICATION, AND COMPENSATION WORK,

YOU WANT A SEPARATION OF 8 TO 10%

BECAUSE OTHERWISE, WHAT

WE FIND IS THAT EMPLOYEES DON'T FEEL

IT IS ENOUGH MONEY TO TAKE ON
THE EXTRA RESPONSIBILITIES, SO THAT
IS WHY THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS
AT 8%, THE LOWEST
OF THAT RANGE.
>> ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?
>> WELL, I
DON'T KNOW. IF ANYBODY WANTS TO AMEND
THE MOTION, BUT
--
>> COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, WOULD
YOU BE OPEN TO AMENDING?
>> NO. >> CAN I JUST WITHDRAW
MY SECOND?
>> YES. >> OKAY.
I WITHDRAW MY SECOND. IT
IS BACK TO THE COUNCILMEMBER FOR A
MOTION.
>> I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
MOTION THAT WE GO WITH THE MARKET
MEDIAN WITHOUT THE SHERIFF
IN THERE, AS INDICATED ABOVE BY
THE CITIES THAT WERE PULLED OF 6.94%
FOR
THIS POSITION.
>> YOU ARE SECONDING
IT?
>>
YUP.
>> THANK YOU. SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON AND
SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR
McLEWIS FOR
THE SUPPORT SERVICES MANAGER TO POLICE
SUPPORT SERVICES
MANAGER AND MAKE FUTURE NONSUBSTANTIVE
CHANGES TO
THE DOCUMENT.
THE 6.94%.
YES. WHICH REPRESENTS AN INCREASE
IN
THE SCOPE [INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED]
>> COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. >>
YES.
>> VICE MAYOR McLEWIS >>
AYE. --
I'M SORRY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER IS
IN OPPOSITION.
>> THANK
YOU.
>> YES. THANK YOU. IS THERE ANYTHING
ELSE WE NEED TO DO, OR
SHOULD WE JUST DO OUR NORMAL
THE FROM COUNCIL OR WHATEVER UNTIL

10:30.

>> WE COULD GO THROUGH --
YOU CAN GET FOR YOUR COMMITTEE REPORT
OUT. YOU COULD REOPEN
THE BUDGET IF YOU WANTED TO --I WOULD
SAY GO THROUGH THE
COMMITTEE REPORT OUTS AND ADJOURNED
THE MEETING.

>> OKAY. THE
REPORT OUTS OR MY COLLEAGUES
REPORT OUTS. ANYBODY WANT TO
GO FIRST? COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON.

>> YES.

SCTA

RPA

, WHICH HAS A NEW SUBTITLE WITH MULTIPLE
LETTERS THAT I

CAN NEVER REMEMBER --I ATTENDED THAT
BOARD MEETING ON THE NINTH, AND BOTH
THE

EXECUTIVE BOARD AND THE REGULAR BOARD
MEETING --THE BIG ISSUES ARE THAT

I

BROUGHT IT UP LAST MONTH. BUT
I'LL BRING IT UP AGAIN THAT THERE'S
A LITTLE NEW

CRITERIA FOR APPLYING
FOR THE

[INAUDIBLE - MUFFLED

] GRANTS. I NEED TO --TO SEE WHERE
WE ARE WITH SUBMITTING

OUR NEXT GRANT ROUNDS. I WILL BE CHECKING
IN. THOSE WERE THE BIG AGENDA
ITEMS THAT

THEY DISCUSSED. THEY DID ALSO --WELL,
BUT

I WOULD LIKE TO

DO IS I'M

GOING TO FORWARD AN AGENDA. I DIDN'T
GET A CHANCE TO DO IT BEFORE THIS
MEETING

BUT I WILL FORWARD IT TO YOU ALL SOME
INFORMATION FROM THAT MEETING. THERE
WASN'T REALLY A LOT ON THAT MEETING.

WE DID MEET THE

CHAIR OF

MTC, THOUGH. SHE CAME UP FROM THE
BAY AREA. SHE IS A MAYOR

AT ONE OF THE CITIES IN THE SOUTH
BAY AND MENTIONED MANY TIMES AS THE
CHAIR OF MTC. SHE DOESN'T HAVE STAFF.
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD, I GUESS, IS
WHAT

IT IS. I ALSO ATTENDED THE GSA MEETING ON
THE 12th,
AND, THAT REQUIRED APPROVING ALL OF
OUR BUDGETS. THERE IS NO
SURPRISES THERE. WE ARE STILL LUCKILY
NOT HAVING TO PAY TO BE
A MEMBER OF THE
GSA AND THE BUDGET APPROVALS REQUIRED
A SUPER MAJORITY, WHICH WE WERE
ABLE
TO OBTAIN
TO GET THOSE BUDGETS PAST. THE ONLY THING
NOTABLE THAT WAS SPECIFIC TO SEBASTOPOL
WAS THE SEBASTOPOL FAULT CAME UP.
I JUST FORWARDED YOU BECAUSE ALL THE
COUNCILMEMBERS,
BECAUSE I NEVER HEARD ABOUT A SPECIFIC
SEBASTOPOL FAULT LINE FOR EARTHQUAKES,
AND I ASKED ABOUT IT, AND THEY
SENT ME A FOLLOW-UP EMAIL, WHICH I
FORWARDED. AND I WILL ALSO
SAY THAT WE ALL
WENT TO
[INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED] ON THE
NIGHT OF THE 12th, AND THAT WAS FUN.
I WAS THERE FOR THE SMART TRAIN
GRAND OPENING ON FRIDAY UP IN WINDSOR.
THERE WAS A NUMBER OF ELECTED OFFICIALS
AT THAT, AND,
NOTABLE SPEAKERS, AND THAT IS WHERE
THE TRAIN
NOW STOPS AND TURNS AROUND AND
THERE IS RECORD RIDERSHIP I THINK
A LOT BECAUSE SENIORS AND YOUTH ARE
FREE ON SMART
TRAIN CURRENTLY.
THEY DON'T EVEN NEED THE CAR TO GET
ON. IT MAKES IT REALLY EASY FOR
PEOPLE, SO THOSE ARE MY REPORTS.
>>
THANK YOU. VICE MAYOR.
>> SINCE IT'S
OUR LAST MEETING, I ATTENDED OUR LEGISLATIVE
MEETING AND AT
THAT MEETING,
THE WILL OF
THE CITIES VOTED TO PASS
FOUR DIFFERENT SUPPORT --SUPPORT FOR
FOUR DIFFERENT ITEMS
WHICH WAS
AB650, AND AB1. I DID NOT VOTE ON
ITEMS
THAT
WE HADN'T DISCUSSED, BUT THE

WILL OF THE BODY WAS TO SUPPORT ALL
FOUR OF THOSE ITEMS AND SOME
OF THOSE NEWSLETTERS WERE SENT TODAY
TO THE VARIOUS AUTHORS
OF
THOSE [INAUDIBLE -LOW VOLUME] .
WE HAD A
FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE TODAY. TWO WEEKS
AGO,
WE ATTENDED
THE SAN FRANCISCO REPRESENTING WITH
SEBASTOPOL
PROBE FRIENDS, THE GRASSROOTS SUMMIT.
ATTENDED
THAT. ALONG WITH THE JAPANESE --OF
THE
JAPANESE CONSULATE. BUT IT WAS WAS
WE HAD A NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT OPTED
TO COME TO THE NORTH THEY TO STAY
FOR [
INAUDIBLE -MUFFLED]
, I BELIEVE. WE WERE EXCITED THAT
SO MANY PEOPLE OPTED FOR
OUR AREA. SO THEN THEY CAME TO THE
CITY AND COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND
I
MET WITH THEM AND HAD SOME INTRODUCTIONS
AND
SPENT SOME QUALITY
TIME WITH THEM, JUST LEARNING MORE
ABOUT THEM AND THEY ABOUT US, SO WE
DID DO THAT. THAT IS ALWAYS A FUN
THING TO DO WITH WORLD FRIENDS. AND
THEN --I'M JUST LOOKING HERE VERY
QUICKLY. I WAS NOT ABLE TO ATTEND
THE MAYORS MEETING THE OTHER DAY.
I WAS OUT OF TOWN, BUT, I THINK THAT
IS THE MAJORITY OF WHAT I HAVE BEEN
UP TO LATELY.
>> ANYONE TO
MY LEFT? COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.
>>
I WAS GOING
TO SAY, THE MOSQUITO
FORWARD
--BOARD IS GROWING AS NORMAL. APPROVED
BUDGETS AND ZERO WASTE. YEAH.
>> THERE
WE GO.
COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.
>> I'M GOING
TO HAVE TO BACKTRACK BECAUSE WE
DIDN'T GET A CHANCE
REPORT LAST HIM. I DID TAKE A TRIP

WITH THE
WATER ASSOCIATION. WE WENT UP
TO THE
WARM SPRINGS FISH HATCHERY AND THE
DAM UP THERE, AND
WE ACTUALLY WERE TAKEN ONTO PRIVATE
PROPERTY AND SHOWN SOME OF THE HABITAT
RESTORATION
THAT THEY ARE DOING ON DRY CREEK,
AND IT WAS VERY INTERESTING AND INSPIRING.
AND
WE ALSO WENT INTO THE FISH HATCHERY
AND SAW THE LITTLE FISH AND GOT TO
FEED THE FISH. AND THEY WERE SALMON
THAT WE WERE LOOKING AT. BUT THE
THING THAT IMPRESSED ME THE MOST ABOUT
THIS FIELD TRIP WAS THEY HAVE A
NEW WAY OF CONTROLLING THE WATER
THAT THEY LET OUT PRIOR TO,
SAY, A BIG RAIN EVENT, SO THEY ARE
STORING MORE WATER, RATHER THAN JUST
LETTING
IT OUT. AND THEY ARE SAVING
LIKE 60,000
HOMES WORTH OF WATER ANNUALLY, BY
THIS
NEW SYSTEM OF THE WAY THEY
RELIEVE WATER. AND
I WAS REALLY IMPRESSED WITH THAT.
BECAUSE IT WAS A PRETTY
SIMPLE CHANGE
THAT SOMEBODY CAME UP WITH AND FAGO.
YOU ARE SAVING A
LOT OF WATER. SO I APPRECIATED THAT.
BUT OTHERWISE, I'VE BEEN TO PEACE
TOWN A
COUPLE OF TIMES, AND IT IS A GREAT
EVENT. A REALLY WELL ATTENDED --AND
WE ALSO WENT OVER TO THE BARLOW TO
SEE HOW THINGS WERE GOING OVER
THERE. BOTH EVENTS ARE REALLY WELL
THOUGH SHE SEEMED TO BE WELL ATTENDED.
I DID
THE ROTARY CELEBRATION AT THAT LIKE
AS VICE MAYOR WAS SAYING. I MET WITH
A GROUP FROM JAPAN AT WORLD FRIENDS.
WE HAD A
BUDGET MEETING. THE
SONOMA COUNTY MAYORS ASSOCIATION DID
OUR --IN SONOMA. I ALSO JUST WANTED
TO
LET THE PUBLIC KNOW THAT --AND MY
FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS KNOW THAT I
AM

COMMITTED TO ADVOCATING FOR BUSINESSES
AND RESIDENTS ON THIS
NEW
CONTRACT WITH
SCRR. PEOPLE CAN CONTACT ME. THE BEST
WAY TO GET A HOLD OF
ME IS BY EMAIL, BUT IF YOU'RE A BUSINESS
AND YOU ARE NOT HAPPY
WITH WHATEVER THE CONTRACT IS THAT
YOU
HAVE WITH SCRR CAT RECHECKED
ME AND LET'S TALK AND FIND WAYS TO
BRIDGE THE GAP HERE, AND
I WANT TO
ALSO THANK SERR. THEY HAVE DONE A
LOT OF
WORK SIZING AND AUDITING BUSINESSES.
MY HOPE IS THAT WE CAN HAVE
A
SMOOTH TRANSFER --TRANSITION BUT I
DO WANT THE
BUSINESSES TO KNOW THAT I AM HERE
IF NEEDED. THANK YOU.
>> GREAT. AND AT LEAST SINCE THE LAST
MEETING THURSDAYS ARE
THE HIGHLIGHTS. A LOT OF THINGS ABOUT
ELDERBERRY COMMENTS
AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT
WHAT'S GOING ON WITH OUR TO FROM THE
PUBLIC HEALTH AND SPECIFICALLY THE
HOMELESS
DIVISION. A LOT OF EMAILS. DEFINITELY
WITH
THE VICE MAYOR, WITH THE ATTORNEY,
MET WITH THE
NEED TO DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH
ON THE THIRD TO
TALK ABOUT THE
AGENDA ITEM THAT I HAVE BEFORE THE
HOMELESS COALITION BOARD WHICH IS
ON
JUNE 25th. ABOUT, AGAIN, SEEING
IF
THERE IS ABLE TO BOULDER COUNTY OUT
AS LEAD AGENCY FOR
THAT ORGANIZATION.
WEIRDLY, AFTER THAT MEETING ON THE
25th
IS WHEN WE ARE HAVING ANOTHER MEETING
WITH FROM THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND VARIOUS
MAYORS
TO TALK ABOUT THE THOUGHT OF THE MOTION,
OR
THE NEXT STEPS AFTER THE MOTION. SO

THAT'LL HAPPEN, AND I WILL SAY, JUST
GOT A VERY SAD EMAIL FROM THE CHAIR
OF THAT PORT
THAT THERE'S TRANSITION
AGED YOUTH I ONLY HAD
THE PLEASURE
OF BEING CONICAL MEETINGS WITH PASS
AWAY AND IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE
IT WAS
YOU CAN READ BETWEEN THE LINES WHEN
YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT A. ALSO ON FRIDAY,
ATTENDED THAT POLICY MEETING AND GOT
A
LOT OF INFORMATION, SOME OF WHICH
I
DISSEMINATED TO THE
COLLETS ABOUT EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS
WHICH IS A LOT OF WHAT WE DID TONIGHT,
SO
ANYTHING IS BEFORE WE ADJOURN?
>>
JUST A REMINDER THAT THURSDAY IS A
CITY HOLIDAY
FOR JUNETEENTH. CITY OFFICES WILL
BE CLOSED.
THE REVIEW WILL NOT BE TOMORROW. IT
WILL BE MONDAY.
>> PERFECT. WE ARE ADJOURNED.
THANK
YOU, EVERYONE.
[Event Concluded]