

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES  
MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF MAY 6, 2025**

**As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of May 20, 2025**

Please note that minutes of meetings are not meant to be verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

**CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Zollman called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm

**ROLL CALL:**

Present: Mayor Stephen Zollman  
Vice Mayor McLewis  
Councilmember Neysa Hinton  
Councilmember Sandra Maurer  
Absent: Councilmember Phill Carter  
Staff: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley  
City Attorney Alex Mog  
Administrative Services Director Kwong  
Building Official Steve Brown  
City Engineer/Public Works Director Mark Rincon Ibarra  
Police Chief Sean McDonough

**SALUTE TO THE FLAG :** Mayor Zollman led the salute to the flag.

**REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED):** To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). Assembly Bill 2302 (2024) ("AB 2302") revises rules for when members of local legislative bodies may participate in meetings remotely. Specifically, it amends the number of meetings that may be attended remotely for just cause and under emergency circumstances and clarifies the definition of the term "meeting," for purposes of remote attendance. AB 2302 caps the number of remote meetings a member can attend each year based on the frequency of a legislative body's meetings: Five meetings per year for those meeting twice a month. There was no request.

**PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/INTRODUCTIONS:**

- Proclamation Proclaiming Municipal Clerks Week May 4-10, 2025
- Proclamation Proclaiming National Police Week, May 11 – 17, 2025
- Proclamation Proclaiming May 2025 as Bike Month and May 15, 2025 as Bike to Work Day in Sebastopol
- Proclamation Proclaiming National Public Works Week May 18-24, 2025

**Reference Order Number:** 2025-130

**STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

Vice Mayor McLewis stated she had a conflict of interest with item number 6 (Barlow Hotel) and would be abstaining from the vote for that item.

**PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):** *Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers. Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.*

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Portia
- Linda

**CONSENT CALENDAR:** The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar. The Mayor will read aloud the title of each consent item (either full agenda title or a simplified version of the agenda title), and ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to two (2) minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an item or items removed for discussion. If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor. Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor.

Mayor Zollman asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.  
Vice Mayor McLewis pulled item number 6 for a separate vote.

Mayor Zollman opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment: None.

Mayor Zollman called for a motion.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve consent calendar items 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Item 6 was removed for a separate vote.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None  
Absent: Carter  
Abstain: None

1. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes – Closed Session Meeting of April 14, 2025

(Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

There is no fiscal impact associated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes – Closed Session Meeting of April 14, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-131

2. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes - Regular Meeting Minutes of April 15, 2025

(Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

There is no fiscal impact associated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes - Regular Meeting Minutes of April 15, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-132

3. Approval of purchase of replacement patrol vehicle using endowment funds and approval of Resolution for Budget Amendment

(Responsible Department: Police)

There is no fiscal impact to the City budget with approval of this item as the funding in the amount of \$90,000 will be from the Police Endowment Fund

City Council Action: Approved purchase of replacement patrol vehicle using endowment funds and approval of Resolution for Budget Amendment

Minute Order Number: 2025-133

Resolution Number: 6660-2025

4. Approval of Revisions to the City's Publicly Available Pay Schedule -Captain Positions Hourly Rate (Responsible Department: Administrative Services)

There is no fiscal impact associated with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved Revisions to the City's Publicly Available Pay Schedule -Captain Positions Hourly Rate

Minute Order Number: 2025-134

Resolution Number: 6661-2025

5. Approval of Resolution: Resolution of the City Council of the City of Sebastopol, authorizing submittal of application(s) for all Cal recycle grant and payment programs for which the City of Sebastopol is eligible.

(Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

There is no fiscal impact associated with approval of this item

City Council Action: Approved Resolution of the City Council of the City of Sebastopol, authorizing submittal of application(s) for all Cal recycle grant and payment programs for which the City of Sebastopol is eligible.

Minute Order Number: 2025-135

Resolution Number: 6662-2025

6. Second Reading and Adoption of Ordinance for the Barlow Hotel Development Agreement (Responsible Department: Community Development)

There is no fiscal impact associated with approval of this item

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve consent calendar item number 6.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Carter

Abstain: Vice Mayor McLewis

City Council Action: Approved Second Reading and Adoption of Ordinance for the Barlow Hotel Development Agreement

Minute Order Number: 2025-136

Ordinance Number: 1156

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS:** Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

7. Informational Presentation from Sebastopol World Friends on Takeo City visit  
(Requestor: Vice Mayor McLewis/Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)  
There is no fiscal impact with receipt of this presentation.

Vice Mayor McLewis introduced the item.

Sebastopol World Friends provided a presentation.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. There were none.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. There were none.

Mayor Zollman thanked the presenters and received the informational item.

City Council Action: No action taken/informational only.

Reference Order Number: 2025-137

8. Q3 Financial Update – Enterprise Fund  
(Responsible Department: Administrative Services)  
There is no fiscal impact with receipt of this report.

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the informational report.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. There were none.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public.

Kyle

Mayor Zollman provided a response on public comment.

City staff responded to public comment.

Mayor Zollman thanked the presenters and received the informational item.

City Council Action: No action needed. Informational only.

Reference Order Number: 2025-138

9. Q3 Financial Update – General Fund

(Responsible Department: Administrative Services)

There is no fiscal impact with receipt of this report.

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the informational report.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public.

Kyle

Mayor Zollman provided a response on public comment.

Mayor Zollman thanked the presenters and received the informational item.

City Council Action: No action needed. Informational only.

Reference Order Number: 2025-139

**PUBLIC HEARING(s):** NONE

**REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):**

10. Discussion of Topics of City and Regional Interest (3) for Submittal to the Sonoma County Mayors and Councilmembers Board (Special Meeting to take place May 2025). On April 10th at the Board of Directors meeting, the topic of public relations and messaging in order to reinforce City priorities at various levels of our local government was discussed. The City is asked to identify and prioritize three key issues within their jurisdiction that require attention or action.

(Requestor/Mayor Zollman/Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

There is no fiscal impact associated with approval of this item

Acting City Manager Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council to identify and prioritize three key issues within their jurisdiction that require attention or action.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. The Council asked questions of the presenter.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public spoke:

Kyle

Laura

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the topics:

Economic Development/Shared Facility Funding

Homeless Funding

Sonoma Clean Power – Promotion / Use of funding for chargers/rebates for electric vehicles

Homelessness

Diversify City revenue base/increasing revenue base/grants from regional bodies

Councilmember Maurer:

- Support JPA amendment for library
- Ask county to reimburse City for expenses related to call outs for Elderberry Commons/financial pay back for police/fire
- Shared Facilities
- Access to train

Councilmember Hinton:

1. Homelessness
2. Library JPA Amendment
3. Economic Development/regional incentives
4. Facility Funding

Vice Mayor McLewis:

1. Homelessness Funds
2. Economic Development
3. Shared Facility funding
4. SCP JPA

Mayor Zollman:

1. Library JPA
2. County Homeless Coalition
3. SCP
4. Economic Development

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve direction to the Voting Delegate for the Board Meeting of May 22 2025, and identified in order of priority for voting the following three key issues within our jurisdiction that require attention or action.

1. Homelessness Funding
2. Library JPA
3. Shared Facilities
4. Economic Development

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes:	Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman
Noes:	None
Absent:	Councilmember Carter
Abstain:	None

City Council Action: Approved direction to the Voting Delegate for the Board Meeting of May 22 2025, and identified in order of priority for voting the following three key issues within our jurisdiction that require attention or action.

1. Homelessness Funding
2. Library JPA
3. Shared Facilities
4. Economic Development

Minute Order Number: 2025-140

11. Consider of City Co Sponsorship and Fee Waiver for Police Staff Time for Gravenstein Apple fair in the amount of \$2957.64. The planners for this event, Pamela Davis & Aubrey Doherty, Operations Managers, for the Gravenstein Apple Fair, have requested policing services for this event and requests the City waive the fee for staff time for two (2) officers and one (1) sergeant per day, for two days, totaling 36 hours of overtime for all officers.

(Responsible Department: Police)

Fiscal Impact: There would be a loss of revenue of \$2957.64 with approval of waiver of fees.

Chief McDonough presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve City Co Sponsorship and Fee Waiver for Police Staff Time for Gravenstein Apple fair in the amount of \$2957.64.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public.

Kyle

Mayor Zollman provided a response on public comment.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the request.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Hinton moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to deny City Co Sponsorship and Fee Waiver for Police Staff Time for Gravenstein Apple fair in the amount of \$2957.64.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmember Hinton, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: Councilmember Maurer

Absent: Councilmember Carter

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved motion to deny City Co Sponsorship and Fee Waiver for Police Staff Time for Gravenstein Apple fair in the amount of \$2957.64.

Minute Order Number: 2025-141

12. Discussion and Consideration of Request from CAL Cities for Letters of Opposition and Support for the following bills:

**OPPOSE - AB 647 (González, M.) Housing Development Approvals: Residential Units.  
(As amended 03/28/25)**

What the Bill Does: Forces cities to allow up to eight housing units — only one of which is required to be affordable — on lots with an existing single-family home or in an area zoned for eight units or less, without any environmental review or public input.

Why this is Important to Cal Cities Members: AB 647 would empower developers to bulldoze nearly any home and replace it with eight new units. Once those units are constructed, Government Code Section 66323(a)(4) requires local jurisdictions to allow up to an additional eight ADUs on the same lot, bringing the total allowable units to sixteen, while simultaneously ignoring existing height limits, density requirements, and parking standards.

**SUPPORT - AB 1 (Connolly) Residential property insurance: wildfire risk.**

What the bill does: This measure would require the Department of Insurance to include wildfire mitigation in the next draft of the Catastrophe Modeling regulations.

Why this is important to Cal Cities Members: This bill requires the California Department of Insurance (CDI) to review the Safer from Wildfires regulations every five years, and consider if additional building hardening measures and community level wildfire mitigation programs should be included in the program. Currently, there is no requirement for the CDI to open up the regulations for evaluation.

**OPPOSE - SB 634 (Pérez) Homelessness: civil and criminal penalties.(As amended 03/26/25)**

What the Bill Does: SB 634 severely limits cities' ability to respond to the growing homelessness crisis in communities across California by prohibiting local governments from adopting any regulation, policy, or guidance that imposes civil or criminal penalties on a person experiencing homelessness.

Why this is Important to Cal Cities Members: Cities are implementing balanced approaches that protect both the rights of individuals experiencing homelessness and the broader community's needs for clean, safe, and accessible public spaces. Cities recognize the importance of connecting unhoused residents to housing and services, but without the ability to use civil or criminal enforcement remedies, cities have no meaningful way to respond to urgent health and safety concerns and protect public spaces.

**OPPOSE - SB 79 (Wiener) Transit-oriented development.**

What the bill does: Requires cities to ministerially approve higher-density residential projects — up to 7 stories — near public transit stops (including Ferry and Train), regardless of zoning codes. SB 79 limits the use of local development standards and allows transit agencies full land-use authority over residential and commercial development on property they own or lease, without any requirement that developers build housing, let alone affordable housing.

Why this is important to Cal Cities members: SB 79 overrides the state's own mandated local housing elements by forcing cities to approve transit-oriented development projects near specified transit stops — up to seven stories high and a density of 120 homes per acre — without regard to the community's needs, environmental review, or public input.

SB 79 provides transit agencies:

- Unlimited land use authority on property they own or have a permanent easement, regardless of the distance from a transit stop.
- Power to determine all aspects of residential and commercial development including height, density, and design, without any regard to local zoning or planning.
- Ability to develop 100% commercial projects, even at transit stops, and not provide a single new home.



(Requestor: Vice Mayor McLewis/Legislative Representative)

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with support or opposition of letters.

Vice Mayor McLewis presented the agenda item recommending the City Council consider the recommendations from CAL Cities for Letters of Opposition and Support for the following bills:

1. OPPOSE - AB 647 (González, M.) Housing Development Approvals: Residential Units. – Tabled/but Should take stand per CAL CITIES
2. SUPPORT - AB 1 (Connolly) Residential property insurance: wildfire risk.
3. OPPOSE - SB 634 (Pérez) Homelessness: civil and criminal penalties. – CAL CITIES Neutral/ Prohibit local governments from adopting or enforcing ordinances that prevent a person or organization from providing support services, including legal services and medical care, to individuals experiencing homelessness.
4. OPPOSE - SB 79 (Wiener) Transit-oriented development. – CAL CITIES - controversial

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. The Council asked the presenter questions.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. There were none.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the request.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve the recommendations from CAL Cities for Letters of Opposition and Support for the following bills and authorized the Mayor to sign the letters:

1. OPPOSE - AB 647 (González, M.) Housing Development Approvals: Residential Units.
2. SUPPORT - AB 1 (Connolly) Residential property insurance: wildfire risk.
3. OPPOSE - SB 634 (Pérez) Homelessness: civil and criminal penalties.
4. OPPOSE - SB 79 (Wiener) Transit-oriented development.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Carter

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the recommendations from CAL Cities for Letters of Opposition and Support for the following bills and authorized the Mayor to sign the letters:

1. OPPOSE - AB 647 (González, M.) Housing Development Approvals: Residential Units.
2. SUPPORT - AB 1 (Connolly) Residential property insurance: wildfire risk.
3. OPPOSE - SB 634 (Pérez) Homelessness: civil and criminal penalties.
4. OPPOSE - SB 79 (Wiener) Transit-oriented development.

Minute Order Number: 2025-142

13. Discussion and Consideration of City Council Declaration of a Summer Recess and Authorize the Cancellation of the July 15, 2025 and August 5, 2025 City Council Regular Meetings and Schedule

an August 19, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting. Special Meetings can be called to replace a canceled meeting/meetings, depending upon workload and items requiring City Council action. (Requestor: Agenda Review Committee/Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

Fiscal Impact: Cancellation of a regularly scheduled Council meeting reduces the cost of staff's time in Agenda preparation; agenda items; cost of copying reports, uploading to the City website, savings from consultants time if they need to be at the meeting, and distribution and posting of the Agenda.

There is also savings from IT (audio/visual) in the estimated amount of \$2,850.

Acting City Manager Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council consider Summer Recess and Authorize the Cancellation of the July 15, 2025 and August 5, 2025 City Council Regular Meetings and Schedule an August 19, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting. Special Meetings can be called to replace a canceled meeting/meetings, depending upon workload and items requiring City Council action.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions from the Council. The Council asked questions of the presenter and staff.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. There was none.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the request for a recess.

**MOTION:**

Vice Mayor McLewis moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve the agenda item recommending the City Council consider Summer Recess and Authorize the Cancellation of the July 15, 2025 and August 5, 2025 City Council Regular Meetings and Schedule an August 19, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting. Special Meetings can be called to replace a canceled meeting/meetings, depending upon workload and items requiring City Council action.

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded an amended motion to request to deny the request for a summer recess and continue to keep August 19<sup>th</sup> Council meeting.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton and Maurer  
Noes: Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman  
Absent: Councilmember Carter  
Abstain: None

**City Council Action: Motion Failed**

Original motion : Vice Mayor McLewis moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve the agenda item recommending the City Council consider Summer Recess and Authorize the Cancellation of the July 15, 2025 and August 5, 2025 City Council Regular Meetings and Schedule an August 19, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting. Special Meetings can be called to replace a canceled meeting/meetings, depending upon workload and items requiring City Council action.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman  
Noes: Councilmembers Hinton and Maurer  
Absent: Councilmember Carter  
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Motion Failed

Minute Order Number: 2025-143

**ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA:** Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor's discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

None

**COUNCILMEMBER(S) REQUESTS FOR FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS:**

Councilmember Hinton requested an agenda item to revisit council protocols for agenda review committee; sole discretion to change calendar  
Councilmember Maurer supported the request

**CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:**

14. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)  
There were no reports.
15. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)  
The Council provided committee reports.
16. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)

**CLOSED SESSION: NONE**

**ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

May 6, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the next Regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, May 20, 2025 at **6:00 pm**, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor Zollman adjourned the regular City Council Meeting of May 6, 2025 at: 8:45 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Mary C. Gourley  
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

ATTACHMENT: RAW ZOOM TRANSCRIPT

City Council Meeting - May 6, 2025

PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS. PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS. PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS.

AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION, UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

ALL RIGHT, MOVING RIGHT ALONG TO PROCLAMATIONS, AND GIVEN THE AMOUNT IT'S ON THE AGENDA AND TO FOLLOW PAST PRACTICES, WE'LL BE EACH READING A PROCLAMATION, HAVING THE FOLKS COME UP, SHAKE HANDS, AND WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON FROM THERE. SO, WE'RE GOING TO FIRST START WITH A PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING MUNICIPAL CLERKS WEEK, SO CELEBRATING THE 56th ANNUAL MUNICIPAL CLERKS WEEK, MAY 4th THROUGH 10th, WHEREAS THE OFFICE OF THE PROFESSIONAL MUNICIPAL CLERK, A TIME HONORED AND VITAL PART OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, EXISTS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD, AND WHEREAS THE OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL MUNICIPAL CLERK PROVIDES THE PROFESSIONAL BETWEEN THE CITIZENS AND AGENCIES OF GOVERNMENT AT EVERY LEVEL, WHEREAS THE PROFESSIONAL MUNICIPAL CLERK SERVES AS THE INFORMATION CENTER ON FUNCTIONS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, AND COMMUNITY, AND WHEREAS IT IS MOST APPROPRIATE THAT WE RECOGNIZE THE ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL MUNICIPAL CLERK, NOW, THEREFORE, I, MAYOR ZOLLMAN DO RECOGNIZE THE WEEK OF APRIL 4th THROUGH MAY 10th, EXCUSE ME, MAY 4th THROUGH MAY 10th AS PROFESSIONAL MUNICIPAL CLERKS WEEK, AND FURTHER EXTEND THE APPRECIATION TO OUR CITY CLERK, ABSOLUTELY, FOR THE VITAL SERVICES SHE PERFORMS IN HER EXEMPLARY DEDICATION TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. IN WITNESS WHEREOF I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND THE GREAT SEAL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL THIS SIXTH DAY OF MAY, 2025. MARY, IF YOU COULD PLEASE COME UP, AND A ROUND OF APPLAUSE. FOR OUR WONDERFUL CLERK. I CANNOT SAY HOW MUCH SHE DOES FOR THE CITY. IT'S ALWAYS A FEEL-GOOD MOMENT WHEN WE DO THE PROCLAMATIONS, AND THAT ONE IN PARTICULAR. SO, MOVING ON TO THE PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING NATIONAL POLICE WEEK, AND I THINK ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES MIGHT, THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR.

ALL RIGHT. RECOGNIZING NATIONAL POLICE WEEK, MAY 11th TO THE 17th, WHEREAS OUR CURRENT CONGRESS AND PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES HAS DESIGNATED MAY 15th AS PEACE OFFICERS MEMORIAL DAY AND THE WEEK IN WHICH IT FALLS AS POLICE WEEK AS THE RESULT OF A JOINT RESOLUTION WHICH WAS SIGNED BY RESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY IN 1962, AS A NATION, WE RELY ON LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO KEEP OUR NEIGHBORHOODS SAFE AND ENFORCE OUR LAWS AND RESPOND IN TIME OF CRISIS. THE MEMBERS OF THE SEBASTOPOL POLICE DEPARTMENT PLAYING A CENTRAL ROLE IN SAFEGUARDING THE RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS OF THE CITIZENS OF OUR COMMUNITY AND PROVIDE A VITAL PUBLIC SERVICE. SOME 60,000 ASSAULTS AGAINST LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ARE REPORTED EACH YEAR, RESULTING IN APPROXIMATELY 18,000+ INJURIES. THE NUMBER OF LAW-ENFORCEMENT PROFESSIONALS NATIONWIDE WHO DIED IN THE LINE OF DUTY IN 2024 INCREASED 25% COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS YEAR WITH 139 MALE OFFICERS AND EIGHT FEMALE OFFICERS. SINCE THE FIRST RECORDED LINE OF DUTY DEATH IN 1786, OVER 24,000 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS IN THE U.S. HAVE MADE THE ULTIMATE SACRIFICE AND HAVE BEEN KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY. INCLUDING 490 FEMALE OFFICERS. THE NAMES OF THOSE DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVANTS FOR WHOM WE SHOULD ALL BE GRATEFUL ARE ENGRAVED ON THE WALLS OF THE NATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS MEMORIAL IN WASHINGTON, D.C. NEW NAMES OF THE 147 FALLEN HEROES INCLUDING THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA WHO DIED IN THE LINE OF DUTY IN 2024 ALONG WITH THE OFFICERS NAMES WHO DIED IN THE LINE OF DUTY PRIOR TO 2024 WILL BE ADDED TO THAT MEMORIAL WALL THIS SPRING. THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT MAYOR STEPHEN ZOLLMAN ON BEHALF OF THE ENTIRE CITY COUNCIL HEREBY PROCLAIM THE WEEK OF MAY 11th THROUGH 17 TO BE NATIONAL POLICE WEEK IN THE CITY OF

SEBASTOPOL, IN HONOR OF THE MEN AND WOMEN WHO SACRIFICES DILIGENCE AND PROFESSIONALISM COURAGEOUS DEEDS KEEP OUR CITY AND CITIZEN STATUS.

IS THERE ANYONE HERE TO ACCEPT, MAYOR, DO YOU KNOW IF OUR CHIEF? WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT HE GETS IT, IN DUE TIME. SO, MOVING ON TO THE PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING MAY 25th, AND I THINK COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, THANK YOU.

OKAY, THIS IS A PROCLAMATION, MAY 2025, PROCLAIMING MAY 2025 AS BIKE MONTH AND MAY 15, 2025 AS BIKE TO WORK DAY. WHEREAS THE BICYCLE IS IN FINANCIALLY AND ECONOMICALLY SOUND FORM OF TRANSPORTATION AND AN EXCELLENT TOOL FOR RECREATION AND ENJOYMENT OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL SCENIC BEAUTY, LOCAL ATTRACTIONS AND FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS, AND WHEREAS SONOMA COUNTY ROADS AND TRAILS TRACK BICYCLISTS EACH YEAR PROVIDING ECONOMIC HEALTH TRANSPORTATION TOURISM AND SCENIC BENEFITS, AND WHEREAS CREATING A BIKING FRIENDLY COMMUNITY HAS ALWAYS BEEN SHOWN TO IMPROVE CITIZEN HEALTH, WELL-BEING, AND QUALITY OF LIFE, GROWING THE ECONOMY OF THE CITY AND COUNTY, ATTRACTING TOURISM DOLLARS, IMPROVING TRAFFIC SAFETY, SUPPORTING STUDENT HEALTH, AND LEARNING AND REDUCING POLLUTION, CONGESTION, AND WEAR AND TEAR ON OUR STREETS AND ROADS. WHEREAS, SINCE 2001, THE SONOMA BICYCLE COALITION HAS BEEN PROMOTING BICYCLING FOR TRANSPORTATION AND RECREATION FOR EDUCATION ENCOURAGEMENT ADVOCACY, AND WHEREAS THOUSANDS OF LOCAL SCHOOLCHILDREN HAVE RECEIVED CLASSROOM INSTRUCTIONS IN BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN SAFETY AND PARTICIPATED IN SKILL BUILDING, BIKE RODEOS, FACILITATED BY THE SONOMA COUNTY BICYCLE COALITION, SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROGRAM, WHERE IS THE SONOMA COUNTY BICYCLE COALITION, THE LEAGUE OF AMERICAN BICYCLISTS, SORRY, AND THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AND JURISDICTIONS THROUGHOUT THE BAY AREA WILL BE PROMOTING BICYCLING DURING THE MONTH OF MAY, 2025. THROUGHOUT THE MONTH OF MAY RESIDENTS OF SEBASTOPOL AND ITS VISITORS CAN EXPERIENCE THE JOYS OF BICYCLING THROUGH FREE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, CHALLENGES, AND EVENTS ORGANIZED BY THESE GROUPS. AND WHEREAS THESE GROUPS ARE PROMOTING GREATER PUBLIC AWARENESS OF BICYCLE OPERATION AND SAFETY EDUCATION, IN AN EFFORT TO REDUCE COLLISIONS, INJURIES, AND FATALITIES, AND IMPROVE HEALTH AND SAFETY FOR EVERYONE ON THE ROAD. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT OUR MAYOR, STEPHEN ZOLLMAN, DOES HEREBY RECOGNIZE THE SONOMA COUNTY BICYCLE COALITION, COMMENCE THE INSTITUTION FOR ITS CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE COMMUNITY. AND THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED FURTHER THAT MAYOR ZOLLMAN DOES HEREBY PROCLAIM MAY 2025 AS BIKE MONTH AND MAY 15 2025 AS BIKE TO WORK DAY IN SEBASTOPOL. AND URGES ALL RESIDENTS TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS SPECIAL OBSERVANCE, IN WITNESS WHEREOF, OUR MAYOR, STEPHEN ZOLLMAN HAS SET HIS HAND ON THE GREAT SEAL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ON THIS SIXTH DAY OF MAY, 2025. IS THERE SOMEBODY HERE TO ACCEPT THIS AWARD? ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU, AND MOVING ON TO THE PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING NATIONAL PUBLICS WORK WEEK, I BELIEVE THAT'S COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK IS MAY 18 THROUGH THE 24th, PEOPLE, PURPOSE, PRESENCE, WHEREAS PUBLIC WORKS PROFESSIONALS FOCUS ON INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES AND SERVICES THAT ARE OF VITAL IMPORTANCE TO SUSTAINABLE AND RESILIENT COMMUNITIES AND TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH HIGH QUALITY OF LIFE AND WELL-BEING OF THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL, WHEREAS THESE INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES AND SERVICES COULD NOT BE PROVIDED WITHOUT THE DEDICATED EFFORTS OF PUBLIC WORKS PROFESSIONALS WHO ARE ENGINEERS, MANAGERS, AND EMPLOYEES AT ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT, AND THE PRIVATE SECTOR WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR REBUILDING, IMPROVING, AND PROTECTING OUR NATION'S TRANSPORTATION, WATER SUPPLY, WATER TREATMENT, AND SOLID WASTE SYSTEMS PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES, ESSENTIAL FOR OUR CITIZENS, AND WHEREAS IT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST FOR THE CITIZENS, CIVIC LEADERS, AND CHILDREN IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL TO GAIN KNOWLEDGE OF AND MAINTAIN AN ONGOING INTEREST

IN UNDERSTANDING OF THE IMPORTANCE OF PUBLIC WORKS, AND PUBLIC WORKS PROGRAMS IN THEIR RESPECTIVE COMMUNITIES. AND WHEREAS THE YEAR 2025 MARKS THE 65th ANNUAL NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK SPONSORED BY THE AMERICAN PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION AND CANADIAN PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION. THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT OUR MAYOR, STEPHEN ZOLLMAN, DESIGNATES THE WEEK MAY 18th THROUGH THE 24th, 2025 AS NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK, AND WE URGE ALL CITIZENS TO JOIN WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES IN ACTIVITIES, EVENTS, AND CEREMONIES DESIGNED TO PAY TRIBUTE TO OUR PUBLIC WORKS PROFESSIONALS, ENGINEERS, MANAGERS, AND EMPLOYEES TO RECOGNIZE THE SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS THEY MAKE TO PROTECTING OUR NATIONAL HEALTH, SAFETY, AND ADVANCING QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL.

IS THERE ANYONE TO ACCEPT ON BEHALF OF PUBLIC WORKS? IF NOT, WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT WE GET IT TO THEM IN DUE TIME AS WELL, SO THANK YOU. NOW, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE AHEAD TO STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AND IS THERE ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST? LOOKING TO MY RIGHT? NO,

YES, I HAVE TO RECUSE MYSELF FROM ONE CONSENT ITEM, FOR THE BARLOW.

THANK YOU. LOOKING TO MY LEFT, ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST? GREAT. NOW WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON TO PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA, AND MARY, IF YOU COULD DO SOME?

[ INAUDIBLE ] AGENDA, IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, IT IS A TWO MINUTE TIME LIMIT, WE GO TO THE CHAMBERS FIRST, THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM AND THEN COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA, IS THE LITTLE GREEN LIGHT ON?

NO, THE POST-IT NOTE ONE ON TOP. OH, THERE WE GO, OKAY. I'VE NEVER BEEN IN A PUBLIC MEETING AND NOT BE ABLE TO GET UP AND HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY, BUT I WANTED TO SHARE A LITTLE BIT WITH FOLKS ABOUT THE ACTIVITIES THAT ARE HAPPENING DURING BIKE MONTH. TOMORROW IS ROCK AND ROLL TO SCHOOL DAY, DRIVE CAREFULLY, AND PAY ATTENTION TO ALL THE CHILDREN OUT THERE WALKING, AND DOING BIKE TRAINS AND MOVING OUT ON THE STREETS, TO GET TO SCHOOL. THERE IS A MONTH-LONG CHALLENGE AND THERE ARE PRIZES INVOLVED, SO YOU CAN GO TO OUR WEBSITE AT BIKE SONOMA.ORG AND SIGN UP FOR THOSE, ON MAY 15th FOR BIKE TO WORK DAY, THERE WILL BE TWO DIFFERENT ENERGIZER STATIONS AT SEBASTOPOL, COMMITTEE MARKET IS DOING ONE AT THE BARLOW OUTSIDE OF THEM. THIS YEAR FOR THE FIRST TIME, WAS HEALTH CENTER IS JOINING WITH US, AND THEY WILL BE AT THE END OF THE TRAIL. WITH SNACKS AND SWAG AND FUN STUFF. SO, THANK YOU AND HOPE TO SEE EVERYBODY OUT ON A BIKE. THANK YOU FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT, NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? OH, LOOKS LIKE --

THERE YOU GO. CAN YOU HEAR US OKAY?

YEAH.

I'M SORRY, LINDA, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

MINE IS ABOUT RIGHT AID. TOTALLY GOING TO BE PICKED IT TO PIECES BY FUND MANAGERS AND GO BANKRUPT WITHIN A SHORT PERIOD, A FEW MONTHS. SO WE'LL HAVE EMPTY RIGHT AID, SO I THINK WE NEED MORE SPACE FOR THE LIBRARY, I THOUGHT ABOUT IT IT'S A LITTLE TOO SMALL FOR THE LIBRARY, I THINK, THERE'S NOT ENOUGH SPACE, THE PLACES ARE FOR OFFICES AND THINGS LIKE THAT. BUT WE COULD CERTAINLY USE IT AS A READING ROOM, AND KEEP THE OTHER BUILDING FOR OTHER PURPOSES, BECAUSE THERE IS A NICE PARKING LOT IN FRONT OF RIGHT AID AND MOST OF THE PEOPLE WHO USE THAT RIGHT AID PARKING LOT ARE ONLY USING IT FOR RIGHT AID, NOT LIKE THE LIBRARY WHERE YOU'RE SHARING THAT WITH THE SENIOR CENTER AND OTHER PEOPLE. MY SUGGESTION IS WHEN RIGHT AID CLOSES, MAYBE BY THEIR BUILDING TO BE A LIBRARY.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU, MOVING ON TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR, TONIGHT WE HAVE A FEW, THE FIRST ONE BEING THE APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES. CLOSED SESSION, MEETING APRIL 14th, 2025, AND TO NOTE THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM. NUMBER TWO, APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 15th, RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT, AND AGAIN, TO NOTE THAT THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM. NUMBER THREE, APPROVAL OF PURCHASE OF REPLACEMENT OF PATROL VEHICLE USING ENDOWMENT FUNDS, AND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION FOR BUDGET AMENDMENT. TO NOTE, THERE IS NO IMPACT TO THE CITY BUDGET WITH APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM, AS THE FUNDING, THE FUNDING IN THE AMOUNT OF 90,000 WILL BE COMING FROM THE POLICE ENDOWMENT FUND. NUMBER FOUR, APPROVAL OF REVISIONS TO THE CITIES PUBLICLY AVAILABLE PAY SCHEDULE, CAPPED IN POSITIONS HOURLY RATE, THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM. NUMBER FIVE, APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION, RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AUTHORIZING SUBMITTAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR ALL RECYCLED GRANT AND PAYMENT PROGRAMS FOR WHICH THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL IS ELIGIBLE. THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM. AND NUMBER SIX, SECOND READING AND ADOPTION OF THE ORDINANCE FOR THE BARLOW HOTEL DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, ALSO TO NOTE THAT THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM. LOOKING TO MY COLLEAGUES ON MY RIGHT TO SEE IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO, I THINK VICE MAYOR.

I NEED TO CALL NUMBER SIX TO VOTE SEPARATELY.

AND LOOKING TO MY LEFT, GREAT. CAN WE TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT ON ONE THROUGH FIVE, THEN? ALTOGETHER, ITEM NUMBER SIX IS COMING OFF OF THE VOTE BECAUSE OF THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONE THROUGH SIX, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR, I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM, IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CALENDAR ITEMS, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, THERE IS NO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU. IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE ONE THROUGH FIVE?

SO MOVED.

I'LL SECOND.

MARY, ROLL CALL.

JUST A SECOND.

THANK YOU, SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO APPROVE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, FIVE, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

VICE MAYOR McLEWIS?

YES .

MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS ABSENT, AND MAYOR ZOLLMAN IN FAVOR, AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER ABSENT.

THANK YOU, AND THEN CALLING CONSENT NUMBER SIX, IS THERE A MOTION TO APPROVE?

SURE, I'LL GO AHEAD AND MOVE THAT TO APPROVE.



SECOND.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO APPROVE THIS CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM NUMBER SIX. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS ABSENT AND VICE MAYOR McLEWIS IS ABSTAINING, COUNCILMEMBERS HINTON, MAURER, MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND WEBER, VICE MEMBER McLEWIS ABSTAINING AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER ABSENT.

TERRIFIC, MOVING ALONG TO OUR INFORMATIONAL ITEMS. JUST A NOTE THAT WE ARE FOLLOWING PAST PRACTICES, THERE'S GOING TO BE 10 MINUTES FOR EACH OF THE INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATIONS. FIRST WE'RE CALLING NUMBER SEVEN, INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION BY SEBASTOPOL GIRLFRIENDS ON CITY VISIT, REQUESTER IS VICE MAYOR McLEWIS, AND THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THE RECEIPT OF THIS PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE ARE FROM SEBASTOPOL WORLD FRIENDS, AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, WE ARE ALL VOLUNTEER NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION, WHO RUN THE SISTER CITY PROGRAM WITH TWO SISTER CITIES, ONE IN UKRAINE, THE OTHER IS TOKYO JAPAN. WE RECENTLY COMPLETED A SUCCESSFUL TRIP TO OUR JAPANESE SISTER CITY, TODAY, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HAVING US SO THAT WE CAN REPORT ON THE TRIP. BEFORE I START, ANY OF YOU IN THE AUDIENCE WHO ARE PART OF THE WORLD FRIENDS BOARD MEMBERS, OR FORMER STUDENT AMBASSADOR'S PARENTS OR CURRENT, THE LAST GROUP'S PARENTS, EVERYBODY WHO WERE INVOLVED, RAISE YOUR HAND. THANK YOU SO MUCH. THANK YOU. THE MAIN PROGRAM OF THE JAPANESE SISTER CITY PROGRAM IS THE STUDENTS EXCHANGE, FOR MIDDLE SCHOOL AND HIGH SCHOOL. IT'S A TWO YEAR PROGRAM, FIRST YEAR OUR STUDENT AMBASSADORS HOST THE TAKEO INVESTORS FROM JAPAN, AND SECOND HERE WE GO. I AM TASKED TO SHOW YOU THE ESSENCE OF THE TWO YEAR PROGRAM IN TWO MINUTES, SO I WILL DO MY BEST. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. SO, WE STARTED IN JANUARY 2024, SELECTED SEBASTOPOL STUDENT AMBASSADORS AND THEIR FAMILIES START MEETING TO GET READY FOR THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THE MARCH 2024, WE WELCOME THE DELEGATION FROM TAKEO, THE STUDENT AMBASSADORS AND ADULT DELEGATES AND THE CHAPERONES, NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THEY STAYED IN SEBASTOPOL 10 DAYS HOMESTAY, WHICH TOOK THEM EVERYWHERE, AND THEY FORMED VERY STRONG FRIENDSHIPS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. NOW IT IS OUR TURN TO GO, THIS PAST MARCH, OUR STUDENT AMBASSADORS AND ADULT ELEGANCE AND CHAPERONES WENT TO TAKEO, IN THIS PAST MARCH. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SINCE THIS IS 40th ANNIVERSARY, WE, THEY HAD A VERY NICE CEREMONY, AND WE WERE JOINED BY VICE MAYOR JILL McLEWIS, WHO REPRESENTED SEBASTOPOL, THE PEOPLE SITTING ON THE GYM FLOOR, IT REPRESENTS FRIENDS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. WE WENT TO SO MANY PLACES ON THE TRAIN, ON THE BUS, THEY TOOK US EVERYWHERE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. LOTS AND LOTS OF GROUP PHOTOS, WE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY WE TOOK, WE WENT EVERYWHERE. THEY TOOK US EVERYWHERE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. WE LEARNED IMPORTANT LESSONS, WE WENT TO NAGASAKI ATOMIC BOMB MUSEUM IN NAGASAKI PEACE PARK. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. GREAT MEMORIES, FRIENDSHIP, LOTS OF SMILES. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. IT WAS REALLY HARD TO SAY GOODBYE, THIS IS A PHOTO OF TAKEO FRIENDS, TAKEO STUDENTS, SEBASTOPOL STUDENTS AND TAKEO FAMILIES, ALL COMING TOGETHER. THIS IS THE LAST GROUP PHOTO WE TOOK, IT WAS HARD TO SAY GOODBYE, BUT NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. WE KNOW THIS IS JUST THE BEGINNING OF THEIR LIFELONG FRIENDSHIP, AND THEIR JOURNEYS FOR SELF-DISCOVERY AND PEACE BUILDING WORK. THE REDWOOD TREE ON THE RIGHT WAS PLANTED AS A SYMBOL OF FRIENDSHIP WHEN THE SISTER CITY RELATIONSHIP STARTED 40 YEARS AGO, LOOK HOW TALL IT HAS GOTTEN. THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. AND



TOTALLY SEPARATE FROM THAT, WE WELCOMED THE DELEGATION OF TAKEO MAYORS TWO WEEKS AGO, AND THEY PARTICIPATED IN THE APPLE BLOSSOM FESTIVAL. SO NOW, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THIS OVER TO MY COCHAIR ON THE STUDENTS PROGRAM AND CO-CHAPERONE, TIM. AND CAN YOU MOVE THE SLIDER TO THE NEXT ONE AND KEEP IT ON? THANK YOU.

HELLO. I'D LIKE TO MAKE A COUPLE OF QUICK NOTES ABOUT OUR TRIP, ONE, WE TOLD THE KIDS WHAT A GREAT JOB THEY DID DURING THE TIME WE WERE IN JAPAN, THIS IS A GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO HAVE IT IN THE PUBLIC RECORD THAT THEY DID DO A GREAT JOB, JUMPED IN WHENEVER ASKED, I WASN'T LYING BACK IN THE MEETING, AND THE OTHER THING WAS, IF YOU HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO TRAVEL OR BE A PART OF MEETINGS, GO TO THE FRENCH OF DINNERS OR ANYTHING, IT'S STRIKING TO ME IN MY FIRST YEAR OF INVOLVEMENT, THE RIPPLE EFFECT IN THE PROGRAM, WHEN WE TRAVELED TO JAPAN, IT'S NOT JUST FAMILIES AND ALUMNI, IT'S NEIGHBORS AND PEOPLE IN THE STORES, THE BUS DRIVER, CONNECTED IN ANY WAY OVER 40 YEARS, BECOMES PART OF THE COMMUNITY. THIS IS A WIDE REACHING PROGRAM AND I'M PROUD TO BE A PART OF IT. IN OUR HOST WERE WONDERFUL, IT WAS GREAT ON THE CHAPERONE AND, WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO OUR NEXT CYCLE, IT'LL START UP AGAIN IN THE FALL. SO BUSY, WE ASKED A COUPLE OF OUR AMBASSADORS TO COME AND GIVE A FEW WORDS ABOUT THINGS THAT WERE NOTEWORTHY OR SPECIAL ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCE, NOT ALL OF THEM ARE GOING TO SPEAK BUT A COUPLE OF THEM ARE GOING TO SHARE WHAT IT MEANT FOR THEM, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. OVER THE COURSE OF THIS EXCHANGE I MADE SO MANY AMAZING MEMORIES, SOME OF THE ONES THAT I HOLD DEAR ARE MAKING CERAMICS WITH MY HOST FAMILY, AND WE GOT TO VISIT NAGASAKI WHICH IS A SUPER MEANINGFUL THING FOR ALL OF US THAT WE GOT TO DO AS A GROUP. I'M SUPER GRATEFUL THAT I GOT TO DO THIS, I'M STILL IN CONTACT WITH MY HOST STUDENT WHICH IS SUPER SPECIAL.

THIS I GOT THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPERIENCE THIS TRIP AND THE CULTURE WAS AMAZING. THE FOOD WAS AMAZING, MY HOST FAMILY, THEY WELCOMED ME WITH A LOT OF HOSPITALITY, AND I MADE A LIFELONG FRIENDSHIP. THANK YOU.

MY NAME IS AUGUST SHORTRIDGE, THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME. IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE HERE AND SPEAK ABOUT MY EXPERIENCES WITH THE TAKEO WORLD FRIENDS EXCHANGE PROGRAM. FIRST OFF I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE FOR PUTTING IN SO MUCH EFFORT TO MAKE THIS POSSIBLE. I JUST WANT TO THANK MEG ESPECIALLY, IT'S BEEN REALLY A GREAT FEW MONTHS. OVER THE COURSE OF THE 10 DAYS I WAS THERE I GAINED OVER 20 NEW FRIENDS. ALTHOUGH WE DIDN'T SPEAK THE SAME LANGUAGE, IT DID NOT MATTER, BECAUSE WE WERE ABLE TO HAVE SO MUCH FUN TOGETHER. I STILL TALK TO THEM EVERY DAY AND I'M SO EXCITED TO GO BACK AND BE ABLE TO SEE THEM AGAIN, I'M EAGER TO DO THAT. MY HIGHLIGHTS WERE BEING ABLE TO GO TO THE NAGASAKI MUSEUM AND LEARN ABOUT EVERYTHING THAT HAPPENED THERE, AND IT WAS REALLY, REALLY INTERESTING, AND I ALSO LOVE THE BASKETBALL GAME, THAT WAS REALLY, REALLY IMMERSIVE, HONESTLY. AND IT WAS JUST, ALSO THAT WE WENT CAMPING, OVERNIGHT CAMPING IS A VERY WONDERFUL HIGHLIGHT OF THE TRIP, IT WAS CLOSER WITH A LOT OF THE JAPANESE STUDENTS, AND THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HAVING ME.

NEXT WE LIKE TO WELCOME DOUG TO SHARE HIS EXPERIENCE AS AN ALUMNI AND ORIGINAL OF SORTS. TO SHARE YOUR IMPRESSIONS.

HELLO. MY NAME IS DOUG FOGGY, WHILE I HAVE NO OFFICIAL AFFILIATION WITH SEBASTOPOL WORLD FRIENDS, MY FAMILY'S HISTORY CARRIES IMPORTANCE TO THE PROGRAM. QUICK BACKGROUND, I CURRENTLY LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL, I WENT TO YAMAGUCHI, NOW TAKEO IN 1996, MY WIFE CHRIS RILEY JOINED THE BOARD, AND ADULT DELEGATES ON THE TRIP IN MARCH. IN 2023, MY SON ELIJAH WENT WITH THE DELAYED COVID GROUP, THIS WAS IMPORTANT BECAUSE IT MARKED THE FIRST TIME A PARENT-CHILD COMBO WENT THROUGH THE PROGRAM AS STUDENTS, WHILE THIS IS PRETTY UNIQUE FOR SEBASTOPOL WORLD FRIENDS, IT WON'T BE FOR LONG, AND IT HAS SINCE HAPPENED ON TAKEO SIDE AS WELL. THERE ARE NOW LEGACIES RUNNING THROUGH THE PROGRAM. AS THE YEARS GO BY IT

WILL BE MORE AND MORE COMMON. THIS SPEAKS TO THE LONGEVITY AND STABILITY OF THE PROGRAM AND THE PASSION OF THE PEOPLE INVOLVED ON BOTH SIDES. ALSO ONE OF THE LUCKY ONES WHO HAVE ACTUALLY SEEN MY HOST BROTHER OUTSIDE OF THE PROGRAM, I SAW HIM 10 YEARS AGO WHEN HE VISITED SEBASTOPOL, I SAW HIM ON THIS LAST TRIP IN MARCH, AND WE MET UP IN TOKYO, WE WERE ABLE TO MEET EACH OTHER'S FAMILIES, AND IT WAS A SPECIAL EXPERIENCE. I HOPE THE OTHER STUDENTS GET TO EXPERIENCE THIS AS WELL. I HAD NOTHING TO COMPARE IT TO, BUT OUR FRIEND HERE HAS SAID THAT THE SISTER CITY PROGRAM IS DIFFERENT THAN OTHERS. AND I BELIEVE HER, THE CONNECTIONS ARE DEEP AND MEANINGFUL. FOR THE KIDS, IT'S NOT A VACATION. WHILE THEY LEARN AND HAVE FUN, THEY ARE LIVING THE CULTURE WITH ANOTHER FAMILY ACROSS THE GLOBE, WHILE IT'S JUST FOR A SHORT TIME, THE IMPACT IS SIGNIFICANT AND IS TRULY AND ONCE-IN-A-LIFETIME EXPERIENCE. AS A STUDENT, YOU APPRECIATE THE EXPERIENCE GOING AS AN ADULT THIS LAST TIME, YOU APPRECIATE THE EXPERIENCE AND THE EFFORT IT TAKES TO PUT IT ALL TOGETHER. TRAVELING IS EQUALLY REWARDING, BONDING WITH FAMILIES AND EXPERIENCING THE CULTURE, THERE WAS 29 YEARS BETWEEN MY BUSINESS, A LOT OF THINGS HAVE CHANGED, TAKEO AND TAKEO WORLD FRIENDS GO ABOVE AND BEYOND HOSTING OUR STUDENTS, I EXPECT US TO MATCH THAT ENERGY WHEN WE ARE HOSTING THE STUDENTS FROM TAKEO. AND EVERYONE INVOLVED IS JUST, HAS A WONDERFUL TIME, AND IS SPECIAL. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HAVING US, I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO WRAP UP, THERE ARE MANY CULTURAL ENRICHMENT OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE TODAY, BUT SISTER CITY PROGRAMS ARE VERY DIFFERENT, IT'S NOT AN INDIVIDUAL PERSONAL EXPERIENCES, BUT IT'S A COMMUNITYWIDE PEACE BUILDING EFFORT, AND WE ARE DOING THIS FOR THE FUTURE LEADERS LIKE THEM, AND I CAN DO THIS ALONE, FOR THE COMMUNITY OF SISTER CITY, COMMUNITY OF SEBASTOPOL, AND THE CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, THANK YOU MUCH, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR SUPPORT, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, THANK YOU FOR ALL THE ADULTS, HAVE HELPED PUT THIS ON, AND THANK YOU FOR OUR YOUTH FOR COMING AND SPEAKING TO US.

I JUST WANTED TO CHIME IN AND SAY THANK YOU, IT'S SUCH A SPECIAL PROGRAM, I AM TRULY APPRECIATIVE THAT I WAS ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WAY THAT I COULD, AND I DON'T THINK YOU REALLY UNDERSTAND IT UNTIL YOU'RE ACTUALLY THERE, I FEEL LIKE I'VE MADE SOME FRIENDS, AND I'VE ONLY BEEN THERE ONCE, SO, ANYWAY, I JUST WANT TO SAY HOW SPECIAL THIS IS. IF ANYONE HAS THE OPPORTUNITY, IT'S TRULY A REWARDING, UNFORGETTABLE THING, WE HAVE A COMMUNITY WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY, WITH ALL THE WORLD FRIENDS FOLKS. I JUST REALLY APPRECIATE ALL OF YOU, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR FOR ACCOMPANYING THE GROUP. THANK YOU GUYS AGAIN VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT, WE ARE MOVING ON TO INFORMATIONAL ITEM, PRESENTATION NUMBER EIGHT, AND THIS IS THE Q3 FINANCIAL UPDATE ON THE ENTERPRISE FUND, AND THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS, AND THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT IS ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES.

THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MAYOR, THAT'S GOING TO BE A HARD ACT TO FOLLOW. WE HAVE CONFIDENCE.

LET ME SHARE A SCREEN. YOU'LL SEE THAT? MR. MAYOR, THIS IS THE QUARTERLY FINANCIAL UPDATE FOR THE ENTERPRISE FUND. SO, IN THIS SCREEN, THIS IS THE WATER REVENUE ACTUAL AS A PERCENTAGE OF BUDGET IS AT 74%, WITH THE EXPENDITURES AT 68%. THE EXPENSES ARE A LITTLE LOWER THAN THE TARGET OF THE 75, AND THAT IS DO TO THE TARGET, THE EXPENSES ARE NOT NONLINEAR. SO, THERE'S SOME THAT PROBABLY WOULD BE PAID IN THIS LAST QUARTER, AND THEN EVENTUALLY IT WILL GET CATCH-UP. SO, THIS SIMILAR SLIDE IS THE WASTEWATER FUND. AND AGAIN, THE PERCENTAGE OF THE BUDGET IS AT 76 AND THE EXPENSES IS 67. PERCENT. SO, THIS LAST SLIDE, IT'S A REMINDER SLIDE. FOR FUTURE BILLING ALIGNMENTS, WHERE SERVICES FOR JUNE OF 2025 WILL BE BUILT IN JULY, SO THAT WHEN WE HAVE A RATE INCREASE IN JULY 2025, IT WILL GET ALIGNED PERFECTLY. SO, THIS IS JUST, AGAIN, THIS IS ONE MONTH OF ACTIVITY VERSUS THE TRUE TRADITIONAL

MONTHS BILLING CYCLE. SO, WITH THAT, I'M HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THE COUNCIL MIGHT HAVE FOR THE ENTERPRISE FUND AS OF THE Q3 UPDATE.

THANK YOU. AND QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FROM MY COLLEAGUES? LOOKING TO MY RIGHT, LOOKING TO MY LEFT. THANK YOU, FOR YOUR HARD WORK AND THANK YOU TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AS WELL. IT'S NO EASY FEAT. IF THERE ARE NO COMMENTS, WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR, THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE INFORMATIONAL REPORT ON THE ENTERPRISE FUNDS. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, WE GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. AND THEN WE WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM, SEEING NONE, KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

I CAN.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU UNSURE, PLEASE, SO WE CAN SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

APPRECIATE THE REPORT OUT, I WANT TO REMIND COUNCIL THAT THE LAST TIME THIS TOPIC WAS DISCUSSED, THERE WAS SOME INCONSISTENCIES IN THE REPORTING MECHANISM, WE HAD DOLLAR AMOUNTS THAT WERE BEING STATED, AND BEING REPRESENTED, AS IF THEY WERE ANNUAL DOLLAR AMOUNTS, BUT UPON FURTHER INSPECTION, THROUGH INQUIRY, WE LEARN THOSE DOLLAR AMOUNTS WERE ACTUALLY, SO, I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT THAT FOLLOWING THAT PRAYER CONVERSATION, THAT WE ARE DILIGENT, IN LOOKING OVER THESE NUMBERS, AND MAKING SURE THAT WE'VE CHECKED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T HAVE THAT SAME REPORTING INCONSISTENCY THAT WE HAVE HAD PRIOR. THE OTHER REASON I NEED TO MENTION THIS IS THAT THE NARRATIVE IS BEING DESCRIBED IN THIS AGENDA ITEM REGARDING THE NEED FOR RATE INCREASES, THAT THE OPERATING EXPENSES WERE EXCEEDING THE REVENUES, IS CONTINUOUSLY AND CONSISTENTLY FAILING TO RECOGNIZE THAT OUR CITY WAS MISAPPROPRIATING REVENUE, EXPENSES FOR THE GENERAL FUND, AND MISAPPROPRIATING THOSE THINGS, WHEN THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN STAYING WITHIN THE ENTERPRISE FUND. AND IT WASN'T UNTIL WE FINALLY GOT A AUDIT OF THE APPROACH THAT WE WERE TAKING TO THE FUNDING MODEL, FOR OUR WASTEWATER AND WATER FUNDS, THAT IT WAS IDENTIFIED THAT THERE HAD BEEN A PATTERN OF ALLOCATING MONIES THAT SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED TO THE GENERAL FUND, AND WHAT DID WE GET IN EXCHANGE FOR THAT KNOWLEDGE? WE GOT A \$1.1 MILLION LOAN THAT THE RATEPAYERS ARE BEING ASKED TO PAY BACK, SO THAT MISALLOCATION WHO KNOWS HOW MANY YEARS BECAUSE YOU USED A 24-YEAR-OLD RATE MODEL, TO GET THAT ALLOCATION. MAKE IT RIGHT.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS INFORMATIONAL ITEM ON THE ENTERPRISE FUNDS, SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU, WE'VE HAD LOTS OF DISCUSSIONS IN THE PAST ABOUT THE USE OF MISAPPROPRIATION. WE'VE HAD MANY PEOPLE WEIGH IN ON THIS, AND I THINK WE COULD TAKE TONIGHT TO WEIGH IN ON THAT AGAIN, FOR MY ESTIMATION IT WAS A MISALLOCATION BASED UPON A 20-YEAR-OLD COST APPROPRIATION RATE, AND AS SOON AS THAT WAS IDENTIFIED FROM MY RECOLLECTION, WE DID SOMETHING ABOUT IT, SO THE USE, AGAIN, OF THE WORD MISAPPROPRIATION, I DON'T FIND AS HAVING FACTUAL BASIS. I DON'T KNOW IF ANY STAFF OR OUR ATTORNEY WOULD LIKE TO WEIGH IN ON THAT, BUT I WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR.

WHAT I WANT TO PIGGYBACK ON WHAT THE MAYOR IS SAYING IS AT THAT TIME, THAT IS THE COST ALLOCATION THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE. THAT IS THAT METHOD, SO THERE IS NO MISAPPROPRIATION, THAT THE CITIZEN IS CLAIMING, WE UNDERSTAND THAT CONCERN, BUT THAT IS THE METHOD THAT WE HAVE AT THAT TIME. LIKE YOU SAID, THE CITY IDENTIFIED THAT IT WAS AN OLDER MODEL THAT WERE USING, AND WE WANT TO RECALIBRATE, WHICH WE DID DO A STUDY, THIS IS THE NEW METHOD. AGAIN, THERE IS NO MISAPPROPRIATION. THAT IS THE METHOD THAT WE HAVE AT THAT TIME.

THANK YOU. OH, SURE, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

I WANTED TO SAY, FOR THE RECORD, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT \$1.1 MILLION LOAN THAT THE CITY LOANED TO THE WASTEWATER FUND, HAS NOT BEEN, IT IS NOT, THE CITY HAS NOT COLLECTED ON THAT. IT IS NOT PROJECTED TO BE COLLECTED ON, SO, THAT IS CORRECT.

OKAY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT COMMENT.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, THAT IS MEETING WITH MY RECOLLECTION AS WELL. ARE THERE OTHER COMMENTS, DISCUSSION FROM MY COLLEAGUES BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO AGENDA ITEM NINE, LOOKING TO MY RIGHT? NO. LIVING ON. AGENDA ITEM NINE, WHICH IS OUR THIRD INFORMATIONAL ITEM PRESENTATION, AND THAT IS ON Q3 FINANCIAL UPDATE OF OUR GENERAL FUND, AND AGAIN, NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THE RECEIPT OF THIS INFORMATION. AND BACK TO ANA. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, THIS IS THE Q3 FOR THE GENERAL FUND PIECE, SO, THIS PAGE GIVES THE GENERAL FUND OVERVIEW, LOOKING AT THE ORIGINAL ADOPTED DEFICIT OF \$698,000, AND THE PROJECTED BUDGET DEFICIT AS OF THE WRITING OF THAT STAFF REPORT, AND REPORTING OUT IS AT 293, WHICH IS AN IMPROVEMENT OF 405, 405,000. IN THIS SLIDE IS THE Q3 GENERAL FUND REVENUE BY CATEGORIES, SO THESE ARE THE CATEGORIES THAT ARE IN THE GENERAL FUND, AND THE BOTTOM LINE IN THIS SLIDE SHOWS THAT THE REVENUE AS OF DECEMBER, 2024, AS A PERCENTAGE OF BUDGET, IS AT 59%. THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE GENERAL FUND BY DEPARTMENT, AND WHEN LOOKING AT THE BOTTOM LINE, THE EXPENDITURES AT 66%, WHICH IS 9% LOWER THAN THE 75% MARK. MOST DEPARTMENT ARE BELOW THE 75 WITH THE FEW DEPARTMENTS EXCEEDING IT, AND WE ARE WATCHING THOSE EXPENSES, AND HOPEFULLY BY JUNE, EVERYTHING WILL COME INTO PLAY, THAT HOPEFULLY IT'S BELOW THE BUDGETED AMOUNT. SO, WITH THAT, I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THAT COUNCIL MIGHT HAVE, AND STAFF RECOMMEND THAT THE COUNCIL ACCEPT THIS AS INFORMATIONAL ITEM FOR Q3 FOR ENTERPRISE AND GENERAL FUND.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR?

I HAD A QUICK QUESTION ABOUT THE LAST SLIDE, WITH ALL THE DIFFERENT PERCENTAGES, THERE. BASED ON HISTORICAL DATA, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU FEEL LIKE WE SHOULD BE ALARMED ABOUT? I UNDERSTAND, THAT IT'S, THAT IS NOT LINEAR BUT I'M CURIOUS BASED ON YOUR LEVEL OF KNOWLEDGE, IS THERE ANYTHING THAT STANDS OUT TO YOU?

THERE WILL BE CERTAIN DEPARTMENTS, THAT'S PROBABLY GOING TO EXCEED BUT OVERALL, IT WILL STAY WITHIN THE APPROPRIATION OF WHAT WAS ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL.

OKAY.

COUNCILMEMBER?

YES, COULD YOU TELL US IN THIS YEAR, FISCAL YEAR, WE SET ASIDE, I THINK IT WAS \$300,000, THAT'S LIKE PUTTING IT, AND WHICH FUND DID THAT GO IN?

IT GOES INTO TWO FUNDS, IT GOES INTO THE BUILDING FACILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE, AND THE OTHER ONE IS THE VEHICLE, THE VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY FUND. EACH OF THEM GET HALF OF THAT 300,000.

SO ALTHOUGH WE'RE AT THIS POINT PROJECTED TO BE .293 IN DEFICIT, WE DID SET ASIDE FOR WE DID SET ASIDE 300,000, SO IN A WAY YOU COULD CALL THAT A BALANCED BUDGET. POSSIBLY.

POSSIBLY. OKAY, THANK YOU.

AND IGNORE THE QUESTIONS, I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT WHEN WE'RE GOING TO GET A STATUS ON OUR PENSION AND 115 TRUST. WHERE DOES THAT FIT IN?

THE 115 TRUST IS IN A SEPARATE FUND, THIS IS NOT PART OF THE GENERAL FUND, IT IS IN FUND 105 AND RESERVES.

OKAY. UNDERSTANDING IS NOT PART OF THE GENERAL FUND TONIGHT, WHEN ARE WE GOING TO GET AN UPDATE ON THAT? WHERE WE ARE, WHAT RATE WE'RE RUNNING, WE HAD LOTS OF

CONVERSATIONS WHEN WE SET UP THE 115 TRUST, SO WHEN ARE WE GOING TO GET A REPORT BACK ON THAT?

OKAY. I CAN GIVE YOU WHAT WE HAVE AS OF NOW, AS PROJECTED.

THAT'S OKAY, WE'RE OFF-TOPIC, IT WAS A QUESTION FOR THE FUTURE, OF WHEN WE WILL GET A REPORT OUT ON WHERE WE ARE WITH THE 115 TRUST.

BUT, CITY STAFF WILL BRING THAT BACK, TYPICALLY CLOSE TO THE BUDGET SO IT ALL COMES TOGETHER, WE WILL WORK ON THE TIMING AND BRING THAT BACK TO THE COUNCIL.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR MY COLLEAGUES? OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE INFORMATIONAL ITEM ON THE GENERAL FUND, IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I COME INTO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I GO OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S NO ONE ON ZOOM, KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, THERE WE GO, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I HAVE TO REALLY WONDER ABOUT WHERE WE ARE IN TERMS OF OUR PRIORITIES WHEN IT COMES TO OUR CITY'S BUDGET. I AM RADICALLY EXHAUSTED, FROM THE CITY AND PAST COUNCILS APPROACH OF SPELLING OUT THIS DO MEN FEAR OF FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY, FISCAL EMERGENCY, AND UTILIZING THAT FEAR AND THAT FISCAL EMERGENCY AS THE JUSTIFICATION FOR RADICAL INCREASES TO THE FEES THAT WE PAY FOR MANY, MANY SERVICES WE HAVE WITHIN THE CITY. TO THEN HAVE SO MUCH DISCUSSION RELATED TO BUDGET, AND WHERE WE'RE AT, AND WHERE WE'RE GOING, AND THE HARD DECISIONS THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE, TO THEN TONIGHT, HERE, ONCE ALL OF THOSE RATE INCREASES AND FEES ARE GETTING PASSED ON TO OUR CITIZENS HAVE BEEN FINALIZED, LET'S GO AHEAD AND GET A QUARTERLY REPORT OUT AND CRANK IT OUT IN FIVE MINUTES WITH LITTLE TO NO DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PROGRESS THAT WE'RE MAKING, THE DIRECTION THAT WE'RE GOING, AND, REALLY, ANY SORT OF RESOLUTION TO THE FISCAL EMERGENCY THAT WE SPENT SO MUCH TIME USING AS THE JUSTIFICATION FOR INCREASING FEES TO THE CITIZENS. SO, BY ALL MEANS, CARRY ON WITH THE REST OF YOUR MEETING TONIGHT, BUT, IT'S REALLY HARD TO HEAR THAT MAYBE WE'RE BEYOND THE STORM, NOW THAT YOU'VE APPROVED ALL OF THESE INCREASES, TO THE CITIZENS. AND IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME, WHAT THE PRIORITY IS IN TERMS OF BEING FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE MOVING FORWARD, WHEN THIS IS THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION THAT WE GET.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSE ON THIS ITEM.

AND A REFERENCE TO US BEING BEYOND THE STORM, I THINK WHEN WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO BUDGET WE'RE GOING TO LEARN AND THE FACT THAT OVER THE PAST YEARS BUILDING MAINTENANCE, OTHER MAINTENANCE OF ALL OF OUR EQUIPMENT, ARE STILL NOT WHERE THEY NEED TO BE, AND ESPECIALLY OUR ROADS, SO I THINK THERE'S GOING TO BE LOTS MORE DISCUSSIONS COMING INTO BUDGET CYCLE. IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE THAT ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES WOULD LIKE TO SAY BEFORE WE WRAP THIS UP? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

YOU SAID WHAT I WOULD HAVE SAID, IS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR MORE DISCUSSION DURING BUDGET, WHICH WILL BE COMING, I BELIEVE THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS WILL BE HERE ON JUNE 3rd. AND ON THE 17th WE'LL BE BRINGING THE BUDGET. IS THAT RIGHT?

THAT'S CORRECT, AND YOU ALSO GET A PREVIEW OF IT WHEN THE GOALS COME BACK, THOSE WILL ALSO HAVE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS AS WELL THAT WILL IMPACT THE BUDGET ALSO.

IT WILL BE MAY 20th?

OKAY, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, AND HOPEFULLY EVERYONE HAS THAT ON THEIR CALENDAR, MAY 20th, THAT IS WHEN AS OUR ACTING GENERAL MANAGER STATED, WE ARE COMING BACK TO DISCUSS THE PRIORITIZATION OF ALL OF THE GOALS THAT WE WORKED ON APRIL 1st, AND IN PARTICULAR, ALL THE UMPTEEN DIFFERENT STRATEGIES WE HAD TO GET TO THAT GOAL. SO PLEASE STAY TUNED TO THAT AND I THINK HOPEFULLY COLLECTIVELY AS A COMMUNITY, WE CAN CONTINUE WORKING ON THE PRIORITIES PART. AND WITH THAT, WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON TO REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 10, WHICH IS GOING TO TIE NICELY INTO THIS ONGOING DISCUSSION OF PRIORITIES, AND AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 10 READS, THE DISCUSSION OF TOPICS OF CITY AND REGIONAL INTEREST THREE, FOR SUBMITTAL TO THE SONOMA COUNTY MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS BOARD, SPECIAL MEETING TO TAKE PLACE MAY 25. ON APRIL 10th, AT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING, THE TOPIC OF PUBLIC RELATIONS AND MESSAGING IN ORDER TO REINFORCE CITY PRIORITIES AT VARIOUS LEVELS OF OUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT WAS DISCUSSED. THE CITY IS ASKED TO IDENTIFY AND PRIORITIZE THREE KEY ISSUES WITHIN THEIR JURISDICTION THAT REQUIRE ATTENTION OR ACTION. AND THE REQUESTER WAS MYSELF AS BEING A MAYOR WHO ATTENDED THAT MEETING, AND WITH A LOT OF ASSISTANCE, OF COURSE, FROM OUR ACTING GENERAL MANAGER. THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THE APPROVAL OF THIS ITEM, I WILL THROW OUT SOME PRELIMINARY COMMENTS, THERE WAS AN EXTENSIVE STAFF REPORT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ITEM, AND SEVERAL DIFFERENT ESSENTIAL TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION THAT WE COULD HAVE, AND THAT STARTS AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE ONE OF 105. IN THE RED MARKET, AND THOSE LISTS, THE LIBRARY JPA, SONOMA CLEAN POWER, JPA, NUMBER THREE, SONOMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES, WHICH IS IN CHARGE OF OUR SONOMA COUNTY HOMELESS COALITION, EMERGENCY OPERATIONS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, LEGISLATIVE ADVOCACY AND SHARED FACILITY FUNDING. I WILL POINT OUT THAT WHEN THE MAYORS HEARD ABOUT THE IDEA OF HAVING EACH CITY PUT FORTH THREE PRIORITIES IN ORDER FOR THE MAYORS WITH INPUT OF THE COUNCILMEMBERS TO WEIGH IN ON WHAT THREE THEY WOULD LIKE TO OFFER TO THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, IN ORDER TO SOMEHOW SEE IF THERE'S ANY ALIGNMENT ON THOSE THREE, STARTED AT THAT APRIL 10th MEETING WITH SUPERVISOR GORE, WHERE HE STARTED THIS CONVERSATION WAS ABOUT US, AND THE FEDERAL CLIMATE, IN WHICH WE EXIST IN, AND THE LIKELIHOOD OF SLASHES TO VITAL FEDERAL FUNDING STREAMS. HE MENTIONED MEDICAID IN PARTICULAR, HE JUST CAME FROM THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES WHERE THERE WAS DISCUSSION OF THE REAL POTENTIAL FOR THE SLASHING OF MEDICAID FUNDING. SOME OF WHICH WE'VE ALREADY OBSERVED. HE SEGUED THAT INTO EXAMINING THE JPA THAT'S IN CHARGE OF OUR HUD FUNDING, WHICH THEN HELPS OUR MOST VULNERABLE, AND SO THAT WAS HIS THOUGHT, THAT WAS ONE OF HIS IDEAS HE PUT FORTH, AS FAR AS ONE OF THE THINGS WE CAN ALL WORK ON, ON A COUNTY AND CITY WIDE BASIS, WHICH IS EXAMINING HOW APPROPRIATELY AND EFFICIENTLY WE'RE USING THOSE FEDERAL DOLLARS. GIVEN THE FACT THAT THOSE FEDERAL DOLLARS MIGHT NOT BE FORTHCOMING. OTHER IDEAS CAME FROM, ABOUT THE LIBRARY JPA BECAUSE EXAMINING THE BUDGET, THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF RESERVES IN THE LIBRARY BUDGET. OUR JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT STATES THAT EVERY MUNICIPALITY SHOULD HAVE EQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF RESOURCES, THERE'S BEEN ONGOING DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER SEVASTOPOL HAS BEEN RECEIVING THAT, SO, THAT'S THE REASON WHY THAT'S LISTED. SONOMA CLEAN POWER IS ALSO LISTED BECAUSE IT, IT ALSO HAS SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF RESERVES, SO WHEN WE'RE THINKING ABOUT WHAT WE WANT TO DISCUSS AND BRING FORWARD, AT THE SPECIAL MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS MEETING, THOSE ARE JUST SOME FOR OPEN DISCUSSION, BUT I'M GOING TO STOP TALKING AND TURN IT OVER TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR THEIR THOUGHTS. WHO WOULD LIKE TO JUMP IN FIRST? VICE MAYOR.

JUST BRIEFLY, I WAS GOING TO SAY IN THINKING ABOUT, THIS WOULD BE A JOINT EFFORT, TRYING TO LOOK AT ALL OF THE ITEMS FROM THAT LENS, PERSONALLY I WOULD, HAVING READ THE PAPER AND SO MANY CITIES RIGHT NOW, LOOKING AT A DEFICIT, AND SLASHING THEIR BUDGETS, IT WAS JUST IN THE PAPER TODAY, I PERSONALLY WOULD ADVOCATE FOR SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES OF NUMBER FIVE



WITH THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, IN ADDITION TO THE SHARED FACILITY FUNDING, I KNOW THAT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT OTHERS ARE DISCUSSING, AND THE OTHER THING THAT I KNOW FROM THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE, AND FROM ATTENDING CITIES WEEK, IN SACRAMENTO, THERE WAS A LOT OF DISCUSSION IN ADVOCATING WITH THE SENATORS AND ASSEMBLY MEMBERS REGARDING THE HOMELESS FUNDING, AND LOOKING FOR A MORE EQUITABLE APPROACH OF NOT PROVIDING ALL THE FUNDS TO THE COUNTY, SO I KNOW THAT TO BE A FACT THAT THERE WERE A NUMBER OF SONOMA COUNTY CITIES REPRESENTED, AND EVERY ONE OF THEM BROUGHT THAT UP. IF WE'RE LOOKING THROUGH THE LENS OF HOW DO WE, HOW ARE WE ALL GOING TO AGREE? I KNOW BASED ON MY EXPERIENCE TWO WEEKS AGO, THOSE WERE ITEMS. THOSE ARE THE ONES I WANTED TO SHARE FEEDBACK THAT I WOULD FIND AS A GOOD STARTING POINT.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR, LOOKING TO MY OTHER COLLEAGUES.

DID YOU WANT QUESTIONS RIGHT NOW?

I'M SORRY, I JUMPED AHEAD.

QUESTIONS WOULD BE GREAT. TO MARY, WHO HAS DONE A LOT OF WORK ON THIS, TOO, MYSELF. IT'S ALL GOOD.

I HAVE A QUESTION, THEN, ABOUT WHAT'S IT CALLED, THE UTILITIES. SONOMA CLEAN POWER. WHAT WOULD BE THE USEFULNESS, WHAT WOULD THEY DO FOR CITIES? WHY WOULD WE WANT TO ENGAGE THEM? I KNOW THEY HAVE A LOT OF MONEY, BUT, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY WOULD DO OTHERWISE.

I'M ACTUALLY GOING TO DO FOR THAT ONE BACK TO OUR LIAISON WHO IS ON SONOMA CLEAN POWER WHO BROUGHT THIS FORWARD. MAYOR ZOLLMAN, DO YOU WANT TO EXPLAIN?

IN ADDITION TO THE AMOUNT OF RESERVES THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE IMPACT IS A REAL THREAT, CURRENTLY THERE ARE A LOT OF ONGOING NEEDS OF EACH OF THE CITIES, SO, I CAN PUT FORWARD MY OWN THOUGHTS ABOUT THAT DISCUSSION, WHICH, IT IS NOW TRANSITIONED TO TALKING ABOUT IT ECONOMIC HUB, TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY LISTENING TO THE COMMUNITY AND THE COMMUNITIES IMMEDIATE NEEDS, SO I SIT ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE, AND WE'VE BEEN MEETING WITH THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF HISPANIC CHAMBER TO TALK ABOUT WAYS THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT, WAYS TO MEET IMMEDIATE NEEDS, AND THAT HUB IS ALL ABOUT JOB TRAINING, IT'S ALL ABOUT TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE HAVE EASY ACCESS TO SETTING UP BUSINESSES AND BE ABLE TO REALLY DEMONSTRATE CONCRETELY WHAT WE'RE DOING FOR OUR AFFECTED COMMUNITIES. THAT MIGHT BE ONE THING THAT WE CONTINUE TO WORK ON WITH THEM, WHICH IS TO PUSH FORWARD INDIVIDUALLY CITIES, AND THEN COLLECTIVELY AS CITIES, WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IN REFERENCE TO THAT JPA, THE JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT, WHAT HAS BEEN WORKING ON THE WHAT'S BEEN STRUGGLING, WHAT WOULD WE LIKE TO SEE? ESPECIALLY BECAUSE IT HAD BEEN NOTED THAT ON THE JPA AS WITH THE LIBRARY COMMISSION, WE AND OUR DELEGATES ARE A MAJORITY VOTE. IN ESSENCE, WE CREATED THOSE ENTITIES, AND WE CAN CHANGE THEM. IF WE FEEL LIKE THEY'RE REALLY NOT WORKING AS WELL AS WE'D LIKE. AGAIN, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FACT THAT WHAT WE MAY BE GETTING ON A FEDERAL LEVEL, WE'VE ALREADY SEEN BEING REDUCED, AND WHAT WE GET FROM THE STATE AGAIN, BEING REDUCED, WE REALLY NEED TO USE OUR MONEY MORE EFFICIENTLY. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT HELPS OR NOT. THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES, MY QUESTION WAS ALSO ABOUT POWER. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD REASON TO MAKE IT A PRIORITY, JUST BECAUSE THEY HAVE A BIG POT OF MONEY. THERE ARE A COUPLE THINGS THAT CLEAN POWER USED TO DO, AND I'M WONDERING IF, THIS HAS BEEN CONSIDERED, WE GOT USED TO GET A LOT OF CHARGER MONEY, AND MY UNDERSTANDING, ALTHOUGH I DON'T DRIVE AN ELECTRIC CAR FROM PEOPLE THAT I KNOW THAT DO, IS CHARTERS HAVE CHANGED, AND THERE'S HIGH-SPEED AT LOW SPEED, AND TO FIND THE CHARGER THAT FITS, AND I DON'T KNOW THAT WE'VE HAD A LOT OF FOCUS ON OUR CITY CHARGERS, EXCEPT FOR MAYBE THE BECAUSE THE LINE OF CHARGERS ABOUT REDWOOD MARKETPLACE. SO, I'M JUST, NOT SITTING ON THIS, I'M CURIOUS ABOUT, IS THERE MONEY TO SUPPORT

FROM THEM TO CHANGE OUT, AN UPDATE CHARTERS AROUND THE CITY, WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO DO THAT. THAT WOULD BE A HARD WIRE THING. AND THEN, WHAT ELSE WAS I THINKING OF? OH. FOR A LONG TIME, I THINK THEY WERE THE AGENCY THAT DID THE PROMOTIONS WITH THE CAR DEALERS THAT IF YOU INVESTED AND BOUGHT AN ELECTRIC CAR, YOU GOT A REFUND MANY YEARS AGO, AROUND 2010, AND WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, IT KIND OF TIES IT ALL TOGETHER. YOUR HELPING AND IT TIES EQUITY ISSUES, SO YOU CAN'T, I DON'T HAVE AN ELECTRIC CAR BECAUSE I HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO AFFORD A NEW ELECTRIC CAR. ARE THINGS THAT I HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT AND I WOULD ALSO MENTION THAT CALTRANS JUST ENACTED THAT CARPOOL LANES ARE GOING TO BE CONSISTENT, I BELIEVE, THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE BAY AREA, SO THEY'RE GOING TO BE EXPANDED. WHETHER WE NEED THEM OR NOT, THEY DON'T WANT THEM TO GO FROM A CERTAIN TIME, FOR SPECIFIC IN MARIN COUNTY VERSUS SONOMA COUNTY, THERE'S TIME DIFFERENCES. UP HERE CRUISING ALONG AND THEN YOU HIT MARIN COUNTY AND THE TIMES CHANGE. CALTRANS HAS BEEN PUSHING THROUGH LEGISLATION THAT THEY'RE ALL THE SAME IN THE BAY AREA, AND I BRING THAT UP BECAUSE ELECTRIC CARS, NEWER ONES, GET TO BREEZE THROUGH THAT LANE. IT JUST TIES TOGETHER. WE CAN LOOK AT THOSE KINDS OF PROGRAMS. IF THEY'VE GOT A BIG POT OF MONEY, MAYBE THOSE ARE HARD COSTS THAT COULD BE, VERSUS A HUB, I DON'T KNOW. I WOULD TO BE IN FAVOR OF THAT. WITHOUT LEARNING MORE. JUST THROWING THOSE BACK.

I DO HAVE ONE OTHER QUESTION.

APOLOGIES FOR JUMPING AHEAD EARLIER, I JUST HAD A QUESTION, YOU TALKED ABOUT THE LIBRARY BUT I WAS WONDERING, BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH BEING INVOLVED AND TALKING WITH EVERYONE ELSE, DO YOU HAVE A SENSE FOR THE WILL OF THE OTHER CITIES AS FAR AS, PURSUING SOMETHING LIKE THIS? ULTIMATELY WOULD BE A SHAME FOR US TO SELECT SOMETHING THAT IS REALLY TRULY SOMETHING THAT, SEEKING IN OUR OWN CRAW AND NOT,

I THINK THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION, THERE'S BEEN DISCUSSIONS AGAIN, BECAUSE OF THE AMOUNT OF RESERVES THAT THEY HAVE. WARNER PARK, MY UNDERSTANDING FROM OUR DELEGATE LIBRARY COMMISSIONER IS THE FACT THAT THEY, TOO, HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF RESOURCES THAT IS REQUIRED IN THE JPA. THEY HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT MAINTENANCE OF THE BUILDINGS, THAT THERE IS TO BE ONGOING DISCUSSIONS OF THE MAINTENANCE OF OUR BUILDINGS, THAT WE PROVIDE THE LIBRARY. WHAT AND HOW MUCH MONEY HAS BEEN FLOWING TO EACH OF THE JURISDICTIONS BASED UPON THAT? I WILL SAY THAT I HAVE HEARD FROM THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, WHICH IS ALSO FACING ONGOING HUGE BUDGET DEFICITS, THAT THEY, TOO, HAVE BEEN WONDERING WHETHER THERE NEEDS TO BE MORE DISCUSSION AROUND THOSE ISSUES AS WELL. NOT TO MENTION ALSO THE FACT THAT IN THE JPA REQUIRES UNIFORM RELEASES, AND TODAY, THERE'S NOT BEEN UNIFORM LEASES AMONGST THE DIFFERENT MUNICIPALITIES. SO I CAN JUST SAY, THOSE ARE THE TWO THAT I'M AWARE OF, BUT I DO TAKE THE POINT THAT IF IT'S JUST GOING TO BE OUR ISSUE, THEN IT MAY NOT BE ONE OF THE THREE. ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS I DIDN'T BRING UP IS THE FACT THAT NONE OF THESE OTHER ENTITIES OWES US AN AFFIRMATIVE DUTY TO HELP US RAISE FUNDS. THE LIBRARY FOUNDATION DOES. THE LIBRARY FOUNDATION IS WORKING TO HELP THE ROSELAND BRANCH SECURE ENOUGH MONEY FOR THE BUILDING OF THEIR NEW FACILITY, SO, NONE OF THE OTHER ENTITIES THAT WE SERVE ON SERVE THAT VEHICLE THAT WE COULD MAKE USE OF, IF WE HAD MORE DEMONSTRATION OF OUR NEED, WHETHER THEY'RE GETTING INTEREST IN MEETING THAT NEED, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT HELPS WITH THE QUESTION OR NOT. IF THERE ARE NO OTHER QUESTIONS, SHOULD WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC ON IT?

THANK YOU, MAYOR, THIS IS PUBLIC, FOR THE DISCUSSION OF THREE KEY TOPICS, TO BE DISCUSSED COUNTYWIDE, THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE COLLABORATION WITH THE COUNTY AND THE OTHER MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS ASSOCIATION TO BRING FORWARD, IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, ON THIS ITEM, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?



I CAN, THANK YOU. YES, I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON BRINGS UP SOME GREAT QUESTIONS, SHE MAKES REFERENCES TO SONOMA CLEAN POWER, SHE MAKES REFERENCES, SUGGESTIONS ABOUT S CTA, AND WHAT I WOULD HOPE IS THAT WE WOULD HAVE AN EDUCATED BODY THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO TAKE EDUCATED, MAKE EDUCATED DECISIONS BASED ON GOOD INFORMATION SHARING. SO, IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME WHY THIS BODY DOESN'T HAVE THESE ANSWERS ABOUT SONOMA CLEAN POWER. IS NOT CLEAR TO ME WHY THIS BODY DOESN'T HAVE REGULAR UPDATES ON S CTA, AND THE ONLY THING THAT I CAN HOPE IS THAT THIS BODY TAKES THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ACTIVELY REPORTING OUT, NOT JUST WHEN TIME ALLOWS, BUT REGULARLY, IN A WRITTEN FORM, IN A WAY THAT IS CLEARLY COMMUNICATING OUT THE INFORMATION FROM THOSE MEETINGS, NOT ONLY JUST FOR THIS BODY, AND A VERY INFORMAL AND ORAL REPORTING OUT, WHERE AT LATE NIGHT OFTEN TIMES MANY DETAILS ARE MISSED, OR OFTEN TIMES, DUE TO SCHEDULING CONFLICTS, MEETINGS ARE MISSED. DETAILS GET MISSED, IN THAT SETTING. ONCE AGAIN, I'LL CALL ON THIS BODY TO JUST PROVIDE WRITTEN REPORT OUTS FROM THE BOARDS AND COMMITTEES THAT YOU'RE SERVING ON, REPRESENTING OUR CITY. IT'S NOT JUST FOR YOUR INTERNAL KNOWLEDGE, AND FOR THIS INFORMAL REPORTING OUT, WE ARE IN A SITUATION, WE'RE HAVING EXPANDED KNOWLEDGE ABOUT OUR GREATER COUNTY, AND THE BOARDS THAT WE SERVE ON THAT REPRESENT IN OUR COUNTY, IT WOULD HELP US IN DECISION-MAKING. SO MAYBE TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THAT, AND CONSIDER PRODUCING WRITTEN REPORTS, REGULARLY. ON THE COMMITTEES THAT YOU'RE SERVING. THANKS.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS, NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS. LAURA?

CAN SOMEONE CLARIFY FOR ME WHY, IF YOU'RE LOOKING FOR YOUR TOP THREE PRIORITIES, WHY AREN'T THEY COMING FROM YOUR PRIORITIES IN GOAL SETTING MEETING?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU, TO ANSWER ONE OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAD COMMENTS ABOUT WHY NOW, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, WHEN THIS TOPIC WAS PROPOSED BY SUPERVISOR GORE, IT WAS A TIME SENSITIVE, OF THE TIME SENSITIVE NATURE, IN THE FACT THAT IF WE ARE GOING TO SEE SLASHES TO GO FEDERAL FUNDING, MEDICAID, MEDI-CAL, WHATEVER IT BE, THAT WE START WORKING COLLABORATIVELY TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN AMONGST THE CITIES, WITH THE COUNTY SO THAT WE CAN FIGURE OUT HOW WE'RE GOING TO MAKE AND MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL OF OUR RESIDENTS, WITH THAT POTENTIAL, AND THE GOAL IS TO HAVE THREE UP AMONGST THE CITIES SO THAT WE CAN WORK PROACTIVELY ON THOSE ISSUES DURING THE NEXT YEAR. SO, THAT WAS THE TIMING AND THE NEED TO MOVE ON. I'M HOPING THAT DURING OUR DISCUSSIONS TONIGHT ABOUT WHICH ONES WE PUSHED UP, THAT THOSE WILL COME IN CONSIDERATION OF OUR CITY PRIORITIES. BUT ALSO, BEING MINDFUL OF WHAT THE VICE MAYOR HAD SAID, WE MAY HAVE OUR OWN CITY PRIORITIES, BUT, HOW IS THAT GOING TO FALL IN LINE WITH ALL THE OTHER EIGHT SIBLINGS SISTER CITIES? IS IT GOING TO MAKE SENSE FOR THEM TO CHIME IN, AND TO WANT TO TAKE A REGIONAL APPROACH ON A SPECIFIC ISSUE? VERSUS HAVING THEM BE VERY CITY FOCUSED? SO, THAT'S HOPEFULLY THAT MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE HELPED. AND SO WITH THAT, I GUESS PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED? OKAY. BRING YOU BACK UP TO THE DAIS FOR COLLEAGUES TO WEIGH IN. SORRY. SANDY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

IN RESPONSE TO LAURA'S COMMENT, I PULLED UP OUR GOALS, BECAUSE I ALSO WANTED TO LOOK AT THESE GOALS IN RELATIONSHIP TO WHAT WE'RE ASKING THE REST OF THE CITIES TO DO, AND SO, WE HAVE A COUPLE OF THEM THAT ACTUALLY COULD ALIGN, AND THAT WOULD BE TO EXPLORE WAYS TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS, TO PURSUE NO ADDITIONAL COST OR LOW COST SOLUTIONS TO HOMELESSNESS, AND THEN, FOR DIVERSIFY CITY REVENUE BASE, INCREASING REVENUE BASE, AND

GRANTS FROM REGIONAL BODIES, THAT BRINGS ME TO MY POINT, I SUPPORT AN AMENDMENT TO THE JPA FOR THE LIBRARY, BECAUSE WE'VE BEEN GETTING QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS, WE'VE BEEN BEING ENCOURAGED TO DO THIS, AND I THINK THAT THAT WOULD SUPPORT OUR CITY AND OUR RESIDENTS IN OUR COMMUNITY, HOPEFULLY WITH MORE EQUITABLE AND BETTER FUNDING FOR OUR OWN LIBRARY. SO, THAT KIND OF RELATES TO OUR FINANCIAL GOALS, RIGHT? DIVERSIFYING OUR FINANCE, GETTING GRANTS, IT'S MAYBE NOT EXACTLY A GRANT, SO I WOULD SUPPORT THAT. AND THEN THE SECOND IDEA RELATES ALSO TO REVENUE, AND TO HOMELESSNESS, WHICH IS TO ASK THE COUNTY TO REIMBURSE THE CITY, FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO CALLOUTS FOR HOMELESS SERVICES. I WANT TO CLARIFY THAT ELDERBERRY COMMONS IS, HAS HOUSED PEOPLE, THESE ARE NOT HOMELESS PEOPLE, THEY'RE HOUSED, HOWEVER, THEY ARE BEING CALLED OUT, AND THE COUNTY RUNS THAT PROPERTY, SO, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO US AS A CITY TO HAVE FINANCIAL PAYBACK FOR THE TIME AND EFFORT THAT OUR POLICE AND FIRE HAVE BEEN HAVING TO DEAL WITH THIS. SO THAT WOULD BE MY SECOND, MY SECOND ISSUE. WHEN IT COMES TO SONOMA CLEAN POWER, MY HOPE WOULD SONOMA CLEAN POWER IS THAT THEY'RE NOT CREATING PROJECTS SO MUCH, BUT IF THEY HAVE A GLUT OF MONEY THAT THEY NEED TO SPEND, I THINK THEY SHOULD BE REDUCING THE RATES OVERALL. THAT'S MY STRONG OPINION ABOUT WHAT SONOMA CLEAN POWER CAN DO, TO BENEFIT THE RESIDENTS OVERALL IS TO REDUCE THE RATES. I DON'T KNOW IF THEY LEGALLY CAN DO THAT, BUT, JUST, THAT'S MY OPINION, AND, THIRD, ALSO RELATES TO OUR GOALS IN TERMS OF HELPING WITH OUR REVENUE, AND HELPING OUR NONPROFITS, HERE, SO THAT WOULD BE TO HAVE THE COUNTY HELP FUND FACILITIES THAT BENEFIT THE COUNTY RESIDENTS. OUR SENIOR CENTER, I DON'T KNOW, THEY PROBABLY COULD GIVE US THE STATS ON THIS, THEY SERVE, COUNTY RESIDENTS AS THEY DO CITY RESIDENTS, AND THE SAME FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER, AND THE SAME FOR IVES POOL. SO, IF THE COUNTY COULD HELP THE CITIES TO FUND THOSE NONPROFITS, SO, THOSE ARE MY SUGGESTIONS, BECAUSE I THINK THAT EVERY CITY PROBABLY, MAYBE SEVASTOPOL IS SPECIAL IN TERMS OF HOW BIG OF A COUNTY WE SERVE, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WOULD APPLY TO SANTA ROSA AND OTHER PLACES, BUT I'M GOING TO THROW OUT A FOURTH ONE BECAUSE I THINK, I THINK WE NEED ACCESS TO THE TRAIN. ALL THE CITIES IN THE CORE, THEY ALL HAVE ACCESS TO THE TRAIN, IN SONOMA AND SEVASTOPOL, WE DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO THE TRAIN, NOT THAT THAT WOULD FLY AS ONE OF THE TOP THREE BUT I NEED TO SAY THAT. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

LET ME GO BACK TO THE AGENDA ITEM. I AGREE THAT IT SHOULD MATCH OUR GOALS, I DID HEAR A RUMOR FROM SOMEONE, I KNOW AT ONE TIME THERE WAS SOME TALK ABOUT THE HOUSING GOING TO A NONPROFIT, AND I'VE HEARD RECENTLY THAT THAT, SOMEBODY SAID THAT WASN'T A GOOD IDEA ANYMORE. SO, I DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WEIGHING IN ON THAT PART OF THAT OPINION, I KNOW THAT IT'S BEEN TOSSED AROUND, I BELIEVE IN THIS MEETING BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION. I DO NEED TO HAVE MORE INFORMATION BEFORE I COULD SUPPORT US TAKING A POSITION ON THAT. HOWEVER, TALKING ABOUT HOMELESSNESS FIRST, I KNOW THAT THAT'S A BIG THING FOR CITY OF SANTA ROSA, AND SO I KNOW, AT LEAST WE'VE GOT A PARTNER IN THAT, AND THAT IS IN OUR PRIORITY AND GOALS. I WOULD LIST THAT AS NUMBER ONE, TO GET OUR FAIR SHARE. FOR HOW MANY HOMELESS ARE IN OUR JURISDICTION BY POPULATION. OKAY? BEING VERY SPECIFIC, AND SANTA ROSA HAS A BIG GROUP, TOO. IT'S DELEGATED MORE FAIRLY, BASED ON THE LATEST HOMELESS COUNTS. SO, THAT WOULD BE NUMBER ONE. NUMBER TWO IS THE LIBRARY, WE HAVE HEARD FROM SEVASTOPOL LOUD AND CLEAR, PEOPLE THAT PUT US ON THE STATUS THAT THEY WANT THE LIBRARY JPA DONE. WE HEARD A FORMER COUNCILMEMBER VERY SPECIFICALLY TALK ABOUT IT, AND WHEN I HEARD ABOUT IT I DIDN'T HAVE THAT HISTORY, I AGREED 100%. AND ALL THE THINGS YOU SAID, WE SHOULD BE GETTING, I'M SUPER THRILLED, BUT OUR LIBRARY NEEDS TO BE ALSO FOR AN EXPANDED AND WE NEED TO HAVE, SEVASTOPOL ISN'T 7400 PEOPLE, IT'S 40,000 ADDITIONAL PEOPLE THAT USED OUR SERVICES THAT THEIR MONEY IS GOING TO THE COUNTY. AND THEN, IF WE'RE GOING DOWN ON

THREE THINGS, I AGREE WITH COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND ESPECIALLY REGIONAL, POSSIBLY REGIONAL INCENTIVES, EVERY SINGLE JURISDICTION IS CUTTING THEIR MONIES, BECAUSE WE ALL HAVE BUDGET PROBLEMS, AND WE'RE CUTTING OFF OUR NOSE TO SPITE OUR FACE BECAUSE IF WE DON'T HAVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, WE'RE CUTTING OUR OWN TAX BASE, WHICH MEANS WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO HAVE BUDGET ISSUES. THOSE ARE MY TOP THREE, I THINK WE SHOULD PROBABLY HAVE A FOURTH, AND THE FIFTH, TO ADDRESS COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ABOUT, WE'RE NOT ON THE TRAIN, IT'S BECAUSE WE CAN'T GET THE BUS SERVICE MONEY. THE GOLDEN GATE TRANSIT MONEY THAT S CTA IS FIGHTING BUT WE'RE A COUPLE YEARS OUT TO TRY TO GET BUS SERVICE MONEY, SO IT'S COMPLICATED, BUT THAT WOULD AT LEAST GET US BUS SERVICE TO THE TRAIN. I WANTED TO ADDRESS THAT. AND TO ADDRESS THE PERSON OF THE PUBLIC THAT TALKED ABOUT NOT REPORTS, FRANKLY, I'VE TRIED TO BE BETTER ABOUT THAT, BUT IT'S TRUE, I DID NOT DO ONE LAST MONTH, AND MTC DID JUST VOTE ON THE CARR LANE, SITUATION AND THERE WAS A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE OUT ABOUT IT, ABOUT SIX DAYS AGO, BECAUSE IT'S BRAND-NEW. IT HADN'T BENEFICIAL YET. JUST TO ADD THAT TIDBIT.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR, DO YOU WANT ME TO GO?

I DID THE THREE, I CAN REITERATE. FOR ME, THE DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR THE HOMELESS, SERVICES THAT WE ALL PROVIDE, AND THEN, LET ME LOOK HERE, I'M SORRY. THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND THE SHARED FACILITY FUNDING, THOSE WERE THE THREE, AND BASICALLY BASING THAT AS I SAID ALREADY, ON CONVERSATIONS AND MEETINGS I'VE BEEN ON, ULTIMATELY, IT'S GOING TO BE A MAJORITY VOTE FROM THE CITIES, AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WHATEVER WE BRING FORTH WE CAN AT LEAST HAVE SOME SORT OF SAY IN ALL THAT, AND I GUESS THE FOURTH ONE FOR ME WOULD BE THE SONOMA CLEAN POWER JPA.

THANK YOU. AND YES, FOR MINE, I DO AGREE, WITH THE LIBRARY JPA. THE FACT THAT THEY DO HAVE A LOT OF RESERVES ALSO, AGAIN, THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO HELP RAISE MONEY FOR US, TO CAPITAL CAMPAIGNS. THEY DID IT FOR ROSELAND, THERE HAS BEEN STUDIES THAT SAID THE POWER BUILDING IS COMPLETELY TOO SMALL AGAIN, AS COUNCILMEMBER HINTON POINTED OUT, WE TAKE CARE OF THE ENTIRE WEST COUNTY, ESSENTIALLY EVERYTHING WEST OF THE 101. ALSO, THAT TIES INTO THE SHARED FACILITIES COME UP BECAUSE IN WHAT WAS SUBMITTED IN REFERENCE TO REVIEWING THE JPA WAS TALK ABOUT A COMMONS, IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT A LIBRARY. IT'S ABOUT A COMMONS WHERE A LIBRARY COULD BE AN ANCHOR, BUT THEN COULD HOUSE OUR SENIOR CENTER, WHO WE HAVE HEARD NEED A LOT MORE SPACE, COMMUNITY CENTER, THERE'S BEEN TALK FROM THE CENTER FOR ARTS, THE FACT THAT THEY, TOO NEED MORE SPACE, WE HAVE ONE NON-PROFIT WHO HAS NO PHYSICAL SPACE. SO I THINK ENGAGING THE LIBRARY TO TALK ABOUT A COMMONS WHERE WE CAN HOUSE ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT ENTITIES THAT NEED MORE SPACE, THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE. I'M ALSO GOING TO STATE ABOUT THE COUNTY HOMELESS COALITION, I AM THE LIAISON TO THAT BOARD, AND OUR VICE MAYOR IS THE ALTERNATE LIAISON. AS COUNCILMEMBER HINTON HAS BROUGHT UP, THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION, I'VE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THOSE ABOUT WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE TALK ABOUT REMOVING THE COUNTY, AS THE LEAD AGENCY FOR THAT BODY. AND HAVING A NONPROFIT WHO MAY BE MORE OVERSIGHT, MORE REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSPARENCY OF THE MONEY, FOR ME, IT'S HUGE, BECAUSE IN THE GRAND JURY REPORT, THERE WAS ATTACHED TO THIS, WHAT'S STUNNING TO ME IS THAT WE PAID AN EXTRAORDINARY AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR THE HOMELESS, BUT BASED UPON THE GRAND JURY REPORT, THE COUNTY CANNOT SAY HOW MUCH WE'VE SPENT FOR INDIVIDUAL HOMELESS. BECAUSE IT'S NOT BASED ON THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT. THAT MONEY IS SPREAD OUT AMONGST UMPTEEN DEPARTMENTS, UMPTEEN DEPARTMENTS REPORT OUT THE NUMBERS ARE NOT THE SAME, THE ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT THE SAME. FOR ME, THAT IS A NUMBER ONE HUGE PRIORITY. IN ADDITION, I DO AGREE WE SHOULD FOCUS ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, 100%, AS THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS, I SAY THAT BECAUSE I, ALONG WITH NINE OTHER OF MY FELLOW SEVASTOPOL HE AND SUE WENT THROUGH EIGHT HOURS OF CERT TRAINING, FREE OF CHARGE THROUGH THE COUNTY, AND HAVE A LOT

OF INTERACTION WITH THE PEOPLE THAT SUPPORT, OUR RADIO SYSTEM, FOR ME, I'M VERY NERVOUS ABOUT WHERE WE ARE, AT GIVEN OUR COMMUNITIES NOT COMPLETE UNDERSTANDING THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO RELY ON A FIRE STATION TO DO THAT, WE'RE GOING TO BE RELYING ON OUR POLICE STATION, TO HOUSE THAT, AND HOW ALL OF OUR LOCAL EFFORTS MERGED TOGETHER WITH THE COUNTY EFFORTS, TO KEEP US SAFE WHICH TIES INTO ONE OF OUR GOALS ABOUT SAFETY. AROUND EARTHQUAKE, FIRE, ET CETERA, AND I KNOW MARY HAS BEEN DOING A DILIGENT JOB AS ALWAYS, TAKING NOTES, AND CAN ASSIST US IN WHAT SHE UNDERSTANDS WOULD BE THE TOP THREE, AND IF IT'S THE WILL OF THE BODY, PUT FORTH FOUR AND FIVE, IF IT IS THE WILL OF THE BODY, I JUST HAD A QUESTION, WITH ALL THESE DIFFERENT TOPICS IN DIFFERENT THINGS, IS THIS A SITUATION WHERE WE JUST DO A THUMBS UP, THUMBS DOWN AND IDENTIFY THE TOP THREE? HOW ARE YOU PROPOSING?

I'M GOING TO LEAVE IT TO MARY'S CAPABLE HANDS, SHE'S DONE THIS MANY TIMES.

I'D LIKE TO GO THROUGH AND GET WITH THE TOP ONES WERE, WHAT I'VE SEEN SO FAR THAT HAS BEEN CONSISTENT IS THE HOMELESSNESS FUNDING, AND I'VE ALSO HEARD CONSENSUS FOR THE LIBRARY JPA AMENDMENT, AND I'VE ALSO HEARD CONSENSUS, THERE'S THREE FOR THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. SO, THOSE SO FAR HAVE BEEN THE TOP THREE, WE ALSO HAVE THE FUNDING FOR SHARED FACILITIES. AND THE SONOMA CLEAN POWER AND THE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS.

I'M SORRY, YOU HAD THREE FOR WHICH ONES?

WE HAVE, JUST FOR A SECOND, SORRY, WE HAVE FOUR FOR THE LARGER GPA, THAT WAS ONE OF THE TOP ONES. WE HAVE

THE LIBRARY, THAT WOULD BE THREE.

I'M SORRY, MY APOLOGIES. THREE FOR THE LIBRARY, JPA, WE HAVE, HOMELESSNESS, HOMELESSNESS, HOMELESSNESS. FOUR FOR THE HOMELESS. SO WE DO THAT. THREE LIBRARY JPA. WE HAVE ONE, TWO, THREE FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ONE FOR THE TRAIN. AND SONOMA, ONE, TWO, SORRY, THREE FOR SONOMA CLEAN POWER. THOSE ARE, I'M SORRY, GO AHEAD. THE SHARED FACILITIES, I KNOW A COUPLE PEOPLE. THE THREE.

SO, I HAVE TWO FOR THE SHARED FACILITIES.

CAN YOU NAME THEM, MARY? I'M SORRY.

WHO DO YOU HAVE FOR SHARED FACILITIES? WHICH NAMES ARE CONNECTED?

LET ME GO DOWN, YOU CAN TELL ME IF I'M CORRECT. SO, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, I HAVE SUPPORT FOR THE JPA AMENDMENT FOR THE LIBRARY, THE COUNTY REIMBURSEMENT FOR EXPENSES RELATED TO DEBRIS COMMONS, WHICH ARE ALSO PUT UNDER HOMELESS, SONOMA CLEAN POWER, JPA AND BY THAT, BY REDUCING THE RATES OVERALL,

I DON'T WANT TO BE INCLUDED ON THAT, BECAUSE I JUST WANT THEM TO REDUCE THE RATE. BUT, I WOULD DO THE FUNDING OF SHARED FACILITIES.

AND I HAVE THAT ONE FOR YOU AS WELL, THE SHARED FACILITIES FUNDING, AND ALSO, AND THEN TO THE TRAIN. THAT IS COUNCILMEMBER MAURER'S.

AND THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, I DON'T, COULD YOU EXPAND ON WHAT THAT MEANS? I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS.

I THINK IT WAS HOW WE CAN GET REGIONAL INCENTIVES, HOW THE COUNTY CAN HELP THE CITIES, ALL CITIES, IT'S GOING TO BE A NINE CITYWIDE COLLABORATION, HOW WE CAN WORK TOGETHER TO BRING TOURISM, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TO ALL CITIES. RATHER THAN IF IT'S FOCUSED ON ONE OR TWO OF THE MAJOR CITIES, BRINGING IT OUT HERE AS WELL. SO, THOSE ARE THE FOUR THAT I HAVE FOR COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, FOR COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I HAVE HOMELESSNESS FUNDING, THE LIBRARY JPA, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND, YOU DISCUSSED BUS SERVICE BUT I DON'T THINK YOU HAD THAT.

NO, I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND ADD FACILITY FUNDING.

OKAY.

FACILITY FUNDING, THOSE ARE FOUR FROM COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. AND FROM THE VICE MAYOR, I HAVE HOMELESSNESS FUNDING, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, SHARED FACILITY FUNDING, AND SONOMA CLEAN POWER JPA?

YEAH, THAT'S THE FOURTH CHOICE, I WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR.

IF WE GO FOR FOUR, I HAVE DONE IS THE FOURTH ONE, AND FOR THE MAYOR I HAVE THE LIBRARY JPA, THE COUNTY HOMELESS COALITION, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND EMERGENCY OPERATIONS.

NOW THAT I'M RETHINKING THAT, I WANT TO SWITCH OUT THE EMERGENCY FOR THE JPA. SONOMA CLEAN POWER JPA.

WOULD THAT BE IN THE FOURTH POSITION?

MY TOP THREE WOULD BE THE LIBRARY, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, AND SONOMA CLEAN POWER. OH, SORRY. I CAN'T DO MATH. SO, THE LIBRARY, THE HOMELESS SITUATION, AND THEN SONOMA CLEAN POWER, THOSE THREE.

AND THEN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IS FOURTH?

YES.

I WONDER IF WE CAN SOMEHOW MERGE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT WITH THE FUNDING OF SHARED FACILITIES. WE CAN FIND SOME COMMON GROUND WITH THOSE TWO, THE SONOMA COUNTY TOURISM, WE ALREADY FUND THEM, AT LEAST \$1 MILLION, MAYBE IT'S NOT THAT MUCH. REFUND SONOMA COUNTY TOURISM, SO I DON'T SEE HOW THAT'S GOING TO HELP US. BUT, IF WE COULD SOMEHOW MERGE THE IDEA OF FUNDING SHARED FACILITIES WITH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, MAYBE NOT.

I HAVE A QUESTION, COULD THERE BE AN ARGUMENT OF THE LIBRARY, I MEAN EVERYBODY HAS I'M JUST WONDERING IF THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED PART OF, I KNOW IT'S NOT EXACTLY HOW IT'S OUTLINED HERE, BUT,

THE PROBLEM WITH THE LIBRARY IS THAT IS NOT SHARED EQUALLY.

I KNOW. PART OF THAT IS EXPLORING EQUITABLE FUNDING MODELS FOR FACILITIES USED COUNTYWIDE, THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE LIBRARY, RIGHT?

BUT THE LIBRARY HAS ITS OWN JPA. NONE OF OUR OTHERS DO.

RIGHT. I THINK IT'S SEPARATE.

YEAH. SEPARATE COUNTY ENTITY, YEAH. OF WHICH, AGAIN, ALL OF US THAT ARE ELECTED, WE CREATED THAT, WE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR RETOOLING IT, RE-CREATING IT, AT OUR WILL.

OKAY, THE TOP THREE THAT I HAVE IS THE HOMELESSNESS OF THE LIBRARY JPA, THE SHARED FACILITIES AND IF WE WANT FOUR IT WOULD BE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. AND WOULD IT BE IN THAT ORDER, IF THAT IS THE WAY THAT THE BOARD WANTS TO PUT IT?

MARY, CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT? IT'S GOING TO BE A LOT OF PRESSURE ON ME AND WE'VE EXPLAINED TO ME IS, FROM THE CLERK, THAT YOU THINK SHE'S GOING TO GO AROUND THE TABLE AND GO, HILLSBORO, WHAT ARE YOUR THREE. THEN SEVASTOPOL, THEN, YADA YADA YADA, AND YOU DON'T THINK THAT THE CHAIR IS GOING TO ACTUALLY ASK US TO PRIORITIZE ONE OF THE THREE AS IT'S GOING AROUND THE TABLE.

CORRECT, THAT IS EXACTLY CORRECT, HOW I UNDERSTAND IT FOR THE CLERK FOR THE SONOMA COUNTY MAYORS ASSOCIATION IS GOING TO BE SIMILAR TO THE CITY ASSIGNMENTS WHERE THEY'VE ASKED EACH CITY TO PUT OUT YOUR TOP THREE, I HAD PUT IN THEIR PRIOR, PRIVATIZATION ON THE OFF CHANCE THAT THEY CHOOSE A DIFFERENT PATH, BUT I THINK WE'RE PRETTY CLEAR WITH THE PRIORITIES ARE, HOMELESSNESS, THE JPA, THE SHARED FACILITIES IN THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. YES. IT IS TO THROW OUT THREE ALL AT ONCE, AND THEN SHE'LL GO AROUND LIKE WE DID TONIGHT, WHICH HAS THE MOST NUMBER OF VOTES AND IT'S GOING TO BE THERE TOP ONE.

OKAY, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

I'M GOING TO SAY IT AGAIN BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN IN THOSE MEETINGS, AND THIS IS WHAT WE'VE AGREED TO, BUT I TRUST YOU AS THE MAYOR, WE GOT TO GIVE SOME ROOM, THAT IF IT DOESN'T GO

SEVASTOPOL'S WAY, YOU LEAN IN ON THE COUPLE AND, YOU GOT TO LEAN IN. I TOTALLY GET IT. SO, I FEEL, YOU HAVE, YOU HAVE OUR PRIORITY LIST AND YOU CAN COME BACK AND REPORT WHAT EVERYBODY, EVERYBODY VOTES ON.

OKAY.

FEEL STRONG.

FEEL STRONG. AND MARY ALWAYS TYPES MY NOTES. YES, EXACTLY. YEAH, SORRY, VICE MAYOR.

I WAS GOING TO SAY, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, IT'S GOOD FOR US TO HAVE FOUR, IF YOU'RE LISTENING GOING AROUND THE ROOM AND NO ONE HAS NUMBER THREE ON THERE, BUT FIVE OF THEM HAVE OUR NUMBER FOUR, THEN TO ME IT SEEMS LIKE

YOU STILL VOTE FOR NUMBER THREE, BUT ONCE IT LOSES, IN OTHER ROUNDS, THEN FOUR COMES UP.

OKAY. THANK YOU. YES, SO, ARE WE DONE WITH THIS? THERE'S A REQUEST FOR A BREAK.

I WOULD LIKE A MOTION, PLEASE.

SOMEBODY MAKE A MOTION.

SO MOVED.

OKAY. SO MOVED, BASED UPON WHAT MARY'S PUT FORTH, AND THERE'S OUR SECOND, I'LL SECOND AND THAT WE CAN DO A ROLL CALL.

FIGURE, SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, SECONDED MAYOR ZOLLMAN, TO PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING DIRECTION TO THE VOTING DELEGATE FOR THE SONOMA COUNTY MAYORS AND COUNCILMAN'S ASSOCIATION BOARD MEETING, FOR THE THREE TOPICS OF PRIORITY, CITY OF SEVASTOPOL WILL HAVE FOUR, HOMELESSNESS, VENDING, THE LIBRARY JPA, THE SHARED FACILITIES AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

VICE MAYOR McLEWIS?

YES.

MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

VICE MAYOR McLEWIS IS ABSENT, THE BOAT PASSES 4-0 AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER ABSENT.

AND THERE'S BEEN A REQUEST SO SINCE WE'RE MOVING ALONG DO WE WANT FIVE-MINUTE BREAK OR 10 MINUTE BREAK? I'M HEARING FIVE. SO, WE'RE ADJOURNING UNTIL 7:35. THANK YOU. [ THE EVENT IS ON A RECESS. THE SESSION WILL RECONVENE AT 7:35. CAPTIONER ON STANDBY. ]

CODY, ARE WE ALL GOOD? AND MARY, ARE WE GOOD?

WE'RE GOOD, THANK YOU.

NOW WE'RE MOVING ON TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 11, CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY COSPONSORSHIP AND FEE WAIVER OF POLICE STAFF TIME FOR GRAVENSTEIN APPLE FAIR IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2957.64. THE FISCAL IMPACT OF THIS WOULD BE, THERE WOULD BE A LOSS OF REVENUE OF \$2957.64 WITH APPROVAL OF THE WAIVER OF FEES. SO, QUESTIONS FROM MY COLLEAGUES ON THAT. ITEM. LOOKING TO MY RIGHT, SEEING ON, LOOKING TO MY LEFT, SEEING NONE. VICE MAYOR.

YOU WERE ABLE TO CLEAR UP LAST YEAR'S REQUEST FOR PAYMENT FROM THE CHAMBER, YES, AND I CORRECTED IT IN THE MINUTES, THE CHAMBER AT THE LAST MEETING THEY HAD PAID US THE FEES THEY PAID US FOR THE MATERIALS ONLY, WE DID PROVIDE THE STAFF TIME, BUT, AND WE WAIVED THE PERMIT FEES BUT THEY DID PROVIDE US THE MATERIALS THAT WERE UTILIZED, IT WAS AROUND THREE OR \$4000 THAT THEY GAVE US.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON THIS ITEM BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE.

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE APPLICATION FOR THE WAIVER OF STAFF TIME FOR GRAVENSTEIN APPLE FAIR IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?



YES, I CAN.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

ONCE AGAIN, MAKING REFERENCE TO OUR FISCAL EMERGENCY, I THINK THAT WE NEED TO BE VERY CAREFUL ABOUT THE APPROACH THAT WE TAKE WHEN WE ARE WAIVING FEES, FOR ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE HOSTING EVENTS WITHIN OUR CITY. HISTORICALLY, WE'VE HAD NOT A GREAT TRACK RECORD OF BEING ABLE TO BOTH AUDIT OUR FOLKS THAT ARE UTILIZING OUR SPACES, FOR HOLDING EVENTS, I JUST THINK ABOUT ALL THE LOST SALES TAX REVENUE AS A RESULT OF HAVING TERRIBLE, TERRIBLE PERMITTING FORMS THAT WERE FAR OUT OF DATE, BUT DIDN'T REALLY ALLOW FOR CLEAR COMMUNICATION OF THE EXPECTATIONS, SO HERE WE'RE IN A SITUATION WHERE WE ARE SELECTIVELY CHOOSING WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE GOING TO WAIVE FEES THAT ARE AGREED UPON FEES, THAT WE REGULARLY NEGOTIATE AND DETERMINE OUR APPROPRIATE FEES, BY FOLKS THAT ARE UTILIZING SPACES FOR EVENTS. AND DECIDING WHETHER OR NOT, THE STAFF THAT WE ARE PROVIDING, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT OFTEN TIMES, POLICE ENFORCEMENT. THE POLICE ENFORCEMENT TIME THAT WE ARE PROVIDING COMES OUT AS OVERTIME, SO, NOT ONLY ARE WE PROVIDING STAFFING TIME, WE ARE PROVIDING 1 1/2 TIMES THE STAFFING TIME, TO BE PROVIDING THESE SERVICES. I WOULD HOPE THAT, RATHER THAN JUST PUTTING SOMETHING ON AN AGENDA, HAVING ZERO DISCUSSION ABOUT THE MATTER, LETTING IT GO, LETTING SOMEBODY GIVE A FIRST, A SECOND AND VOTING ALL IN, THAT THERE IS SOME ACTUAL DISCUSSION WHEN WE'RE IN A FISCAL EMERGENCY ABOUT WHO AND WHY WE ARE ACTIVELY CHOOSING ADVERTISING TO WAIVE FEES AND THE THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS FOR ORGANIZATIONS.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU, TO THE PUBLIC COMMENTOR, THERE IS MINDFULNESS WHEN THERE'S DISCUSSIONS OF WHAT NEEDS TO BE IN STAFF REPORT, AND THIS HAS BEEN DISCUSSED BY US BEFORE, THAT WHENEVER THERE'S A REQUEST FOR A FEE THAT WE KEEP AN ANNUAL TRACK RECORD OF WHAT WE'VE DONE FOR THAT FISCAL YEAR, AND THAT IS THE SAME AS INCLUDED IN THE STAFF REPORT TO DATE, WHO WE'VE DONE WHAT FOR AND BEING ONE OF THEM, AND I THINK APPLE BLOSSOM WAS LISTED THERE, TOO, I WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT. AND BACK UP TO THE DAIS AND COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

ONE OF THE GOALS THAT THIS COUNCIL APPROVED, 5-0, WAS TO SUPPORT COMMUNITY EVENT WITH CITY SPONSORSHIP AND REDUCTION IN FEES. IN MY MIND, THAT MEANT THAT IT WOULD BE REGARDING THE PERMIT FEES THAT WE WOULD NOT CHARGE THE PERMIT FEES TO CERTAIN FOR COMMUNITY EVENTS. BUT IN THIS SITUATION I THINK THE GRAVENSTEIN APPLE FAIR IS ONE OF TWO LARGE EVENTS FOR OUR TOWN. AND I THINK IT DOES SUPPORT ONE OF OUR GOALS, WHICH IS TO SUPPORT COMMUNITY EVENTS. SO, I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF SUPPORTING THIS, OF SUPPORTING THE GRAVENSTEIN APPLE FAIR, BECAUSE I WANT TO SUPPORT THESE EVENTS, BECAUSE FOR ME, THE EVENTS ARE ABOUT COMMUNITY VITALITY, AND I THINK THAT THEY ACTUALLY CREATED THIS LOGO, HERE, AND IT WOULD BE REALLY NICE TO HAVE THE CITY OF SEVASTOPOL BACK ON THEIR, IN THEIR PAMPHLET, THEIR FLYERS AND STUFF THAT THE CITY WAS SPONSORING IT. IF THAT WAS INCLUDED, IF WE COULD MAKE THE ASK OF WOULD YOU PUT OUR LOGO BACK INTO YOUR EVENT HANDOUTS, ET CETERA. THEN YES, WE WILL DO THAT, THAT'S MY SUGGESTION. YOU HAVE A COMMENT, MARY?

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY THAT BASED UPON THE POLICY THAT WE HAVE FOR SPONSORSHIP, THAT IS WHAT WE REQUIRE.

VERY GOOD. OTHER COMMENTS? THOUGHTS, EMOTIONS, ANYTHING? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YEAH, I MEAN, I GUESS WE DIDN'T SPONSOR THEM LAST YEAR, I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME WE GAVE MONEY, TWO YEARS AGO. I FEEL, I FEEL A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY ABOUT THIS. I FEEL LIKE I NEED TO SAY IT, I DO BELIEVE IT'S ASSOCIATED WITH SEVASTOPOL, BUT IT IS OUTSIDE THE CITY. IN THE COUNTY, I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY THEY GET FROM THE COUNTY, BUT I RECALL THAT THEY GET A LOT OF SPONSORSHIP MONEY. AND, I DON'T THINK IT'S ON THE SAME LEVEL AS THE LOCAL CHAMBER, PEOPLE ARE DRIVING TO THE FESTIVAL, AND ARE THEY SPENDING THE SAME ECONOMIC MONEY IN OUR TOWN, SO, KIND OF ON THE EDGE ABOUT THIS ONE, TO BE HONEST. I KNOW THAT'S NOT VERY POPULAR, BECAUSE WE GAVE THE CHAMBER, MORE MONEY THAN WE GAVE THEM LAST YEAR AND DIDN'T BEAT THEM UP, AND MAYBE GIVE US SOME OF THE FEES BACK. I JUST REMEMBER THIS ONE, AND THEY WERE GETTING A LOT OF SPONSORSHIP MONEY. AND I'M HOPING THE COUNTY IS GIVING THEM AND THE TOURISM YOU'RE GETTING TOWARDS THE MONEY FOR THIS BECAUSE IT TECHNICALLY IS IN THE COUNTY. AT A LOCAL PARK. AND I JUST, I WISH I HAD SOME INFORMATION AND THEY'RE NOT HERE TO REPRESENT THEMSELVES SO I COULD ASK THEM THE QUESTIONS. IT'S HARD FOR ME TO SAY YES TO THIS ONE TONIGHT.

I'LL BE UNPOPULAR WITH YOU, BECAUSE I HAVE THE SAME CONCERNS. IT'S NOT WITHIN THE CITY, WE DO NOT RECEIVE ANY OF THE TAX REVENUE THAT COMES FROM THAT, FURTHERMORE, I'VE HEARD FROM BUSINESSES IN TOWN THAT WHEN THIS IS ACTUALLY GOING ON, IT'S THEIR SLOWEST TIME THAT PEOPLE ARE NOT COMING TO THE STORES OR EATING DOWNTOWN BECAUSE THEY ARE ALL AT THE FAIR, SO, WHILE IT FEELS GOOD TO HAVE EVERYONE COMING HERE, IT TRULY, BASED ON THE FEEDBACK THAT I'VE RECEIVED, THE BUSINESSES DON'T FEEL THAT IT ACTUALLY HELPS THEM BY HAVING THESE PEOPLE COME HERE BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT RECOGNIZING ANY OF THEIR REVENUES. AND I ALSO, I JUST THINK THAT WHEN YOU'RE PLANNING AN EVENT, AND YOU HAVE TO HAVE OFFICERS AND SECURITY, I HAVE A BIG EVENT ON THURSDAY, AND I'M SHELLING OUT SEVERAL THOUSAND DOLLARS AND I WOULD NEVER THINK TO ASK SOMEBODY ELSE TO PAY THAT FEE FOR ME, IN SAN FRANCISCO. I'M JUST SAYING THAT I THINK WHEN YOU PLAN AN EVENT AS PART OF YOUR OPERATIONAL EXPENSES, SO PERSONALLY AM NOT GOING TO SUPPORT IT. AND I LOVE IT AND I DON'T WANT PEOPLE TO, TO BE UPSET BY THAT BUT, WHEN WE'RE LOOKING AT OUR BUDGET, AND THE CITY, AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO SUPPORT AND WHO WE'RE GOING TO SUPPORT, WE NEED TO SUPPORT THE SMALLER ENTITIES IF WE'RE GOING TO MAKE THOSE DECISIONS. THAT'S WHERE I'M AT.

THANK YOU. ALWAYS APPRECIATIVE OF MY COLLEAGUE DISCUSSIONS, I VIVIDLY REMEMBER A REALLY LONG DISCUSSION THAT WE HAD LAST YEAR, ABOUT WHAT WE'RE ACTUALLY GETTING IS A CITY, AND ALSO GIVEN OUR DECLARED STATE OF FISCAL EMERGENCY, SO WE ARE STILL UNDER THAT DECLARATION OF FISCAL EMERGENCY, SO I WILL NOT BE SUPPORTING THIS EITHER. I CAN'T REALLY RATIONALIZE WHY IT IS THAT WE WOULD BE SPENDING THIS, WAVING THIS HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR AN ENTITY THAT'S BEEN POINTED OUT, WE MAY NOT ACTUALLY RECEIVE THE BENEFIT OF. SO, IS THERE A MOTION AT THIS POINT IN TIME? IF NOT, I'LL GO AHEAD AND MAKE THE MOTION THAT'S BEEN REQUESTED, IN THE STAFF REPORT. ACTUALLY, IN ORDER TO VOTE AGAINST IT, THEN, WHAT WOULD BE THE PROCESS? YOU COULD VOTE TO DENY IT, TO REJECT IT, OR TO TABLE THE ITEM. EITHER ONE WOULD HAVE THE SAME.

THAT MAKES SENSE. WHAT WOULD THE BODY LIKE TO DO?

CAN WE JUST TABLE?

COUNCILMEMBER?

IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE TO TABLE IT, PER SE, IF THERE'S THREE, WHAT ARE WE TABLING FOR? I THINK THEY NEED TO MAKE PLANS, AND I DO KNOW, I ALSO WANT TO ADD, LIKE THE FAIRGROUNDS, EVERYBODY'S UP AGAINST THIS, IN CITIES ARE NOT WRITING FREE POLICE SERVICES, AS A RULE OF THUMB. SO, I'LL MAKE THE MOTION TO DENY THE REQUEST, FOR THE FEE WAIVER FOR POLICE STAFF TIME, FOR THE GRAVENSTEIN APPLE FAIR, TO BE WAIVED.

AND I'LL SECOND THAT, ROLL CALL.



THANK YOU, SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, SECONDED BY MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO DENY THE MOTION FOR CITY SPONSORSHIP FOR THE FEE WAIVER FOR POLICE STAFF TIME FOR THE GRAVENSTEIN APPLE FAIR. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

NO.

VICE MAYOR McLEWIS?

AYE.

MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER [ INAUDIBLE ] VOTING TO DENY, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER IN OPPOSITION AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER ABSENT.

THANK YOU, MARY, MOVING ON TO A DINER NUMBER 12, DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION REQUEST FROM DEL CITY FOR LETTERS OF OPPOSITION AND SUPPORT FOR THE FOLLOWING BILLS. AND I THINK I'LL JUST READ THE BOLD. OPPOSE A.B. 647 IN REFERENCE TO THE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT APPROVALS, RESIDENTIAL UNITS, THE NEXT ONE IS SUPPORT A.B. ONE, CONNELLY, RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY INSURANCE WILDFIRE RISK, OPPOSE SB 634, HOMELESSNESS, CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES, AND OPPOSE SB'S 39, TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT. AND AS FAR AS THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY, I DON'T SEE ONE LISTED. OH, THE REQUESTER, WHICH IS OUR VICE MAYOR. VICE MAYOR.

OKAY. AS WITH ALL LEGISLATION, THINGS ARE CHANGING RAPIDLY, SO I COMMUNICATED WITH CAL CITIES THIS AFTERNOON TO GET AN UPDATE, THEY SENT US AN UPDATE YESTERDAY IN OUR EMAILS, BUT, I ALSO CLARIFIED TODAY WITH CAL CITIES ABOUT A FEW OF THESE ITEMS THAT HAVE SHIFTED A BIT, BEFORE WE START THE DISCUSSION, IF THAT'S OKAY. WITH A.B. 647, PEOPLE WERE VERY SHOCKED BY IT, LET ME LOOK HERE FOR THE EXACT VERBIAGE, BUT BASICALLY IT WAS, IT'S BEEN TABLED, FOR NOW. 647. PEOPLE WERE VERY SHOCKED BY IT, THEY'RE GOING TO POSIT, HOWEVER, WHEN I INQUIRED WITH CAL CITIES TODAY, THEY SAID WE DEFINITELY SHOULD TAKE A STANCE ON IT, BECAUSE IT COULD STILL MOVE FORWARD THROUGH WHAT THEY CALL RULE WAIVERS. OR THERE'S A LOT OF TALK ABOUT IT COMING BACK IN 2026, SO THEY SUGGESTED THAT IT WAS STILL GOOD FOR US TO WEIGH IN ON WHAT WE THOUGHT ABOUT THIS ONE. JUST TRYING TO LOOK THROUGH ALL OF THESE, AND LET'S SEE. A.B. ONE, THAT IS ONE THAT DAMON CONNELLY BROUGHT FORTH, IT'S A BIG DEAL TO HAVE THE FIRST BILL, ANYWAY, SO THAT ONE, NOTHING HAS CHANGED, AND 634, THERE'S BEEN SOME CHANGES JUST YESTERDAY, AND BASICALLY FOR THE UPDATE, HERE, I WANT TO READ IT SO I DON'T MISREPRESENT ANYTHING. SORRY, LET ME PULL IT UP HERE. LOOKING FOR IT HERE. BASICALLY, CAL CITIES HAS CHANGED THEIR STANCE, THERE WAS SOME NARROWING OF THE LANGUAGE, THE SCOPE, AND THIS JUST HAPPENED YESTERDAY AFTERNOON, SO I ASKED THEM IF THEY COULD PROVIDE ME WITH THE NEW WORDING ON THAT BECAUSE WE WEREN'T ANTICIPATING THAT TO ACTUALLY HAPPEN, AND SO SHE SAID THAT RIGHT NOW, THEY DON'T HAVE THE FULL WORDING ON THAT, SO THEY'RE TAKING A NEUTRAL STANCE UNTIL IT COMES BACK AS FAR AS WHAT IT'S GOING TO BE. THE 634, THE HOMELESSNESS ONE. THAT ONE WAS REALLY WORKING ITS WAY THROUGH, TO CLARIFY THE REASON I BROUGHT FORTH THESE FOUR WAS IN A RELIGIOUS LEADERS MEETING, WE WERE ALL DISCUSSING THESE, AND WE HAD THESE AIDS THERE FROM THE DIFFERENT ASSEMBLY MEMBERS, IN CAL CITIES, ALL OF US ASK HER TO HELP PRIORITIZE BECAUSE THERE WERE PAGES AND PAGES OF DIFFERENT BILLS, AND SHE GAVE A RECOMMENDATION OF FIVE OR SIX OF THEM, AND BASICALLY I WENT THROUGH TO FIGURE OUT WHICH ONES WOULD ACTUALLY BE APPLICABLE TO ASK AS A CITY, SO THESE ARE THE FOUR, SO, 634, I DON'T HAVE THE VERBIAGE BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE IT YET, IT LITERALLY HAPPENED YESTERDAY. RIGHT NOW THEY'RE TAKING A NEUTRAL STANCE ON IT, BASICALLY THIS LANGUAGE IS BASED ON WHEN I ASK HER ABOUT IT, IT PROHIBITS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FROM ADOPTING OR ENFORCING ORDINANCES THAT PREVENT A PERSON OR ORGANIZATION FROM PROVIDING SUPPORT

SERVICES. INCLUDING LABEL SERVICES AND MEDICAL CARE TO INDIVIDUALS EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. THIS LINE WHICH IS BASED ON WHAT HAPPENED IN THE CITY OF FREMONT, THE LEGAL EXPERT SAID THIS IS AN EXTREMELY UNUSUAL AND NO OTHER CITY TO THE BEST OF CAL MATTERS KNOWLEDGE IS ATTENDED TO USE GENERAL MUNICIPAL CODE IN THIS ORDINANCE, SO, THERE'S THE MOST CONTROVERSIAL ONE THAT SEEMS TO BE PUSHING ITS WAY THROUGH, IS THE SB 79, AND TODAY, WE JUST LEARNED THAT THIS WAS ORIGINALLY YESTERDAY SAID IT WAS GOING TO BE HEARD BY THE SENATE APPROPRIATIONS IN MAY 12, BUT NOW THEY'RE PUSHING IT BACK, BUT BASICALLY, THIS HAS, THIS HAS BASICALLY EVERYONE DIVIDED. THERE'S A FEW ARTICLES THAT THEY SENT TO US, TWICE NOW THE CHAIR HAS BEEN SURPASSED, AND THEY'VE GOTTEN SUPPORT TO ACTUALLY PUSH IT THROUGH PAST WHAT THE CHAIR RECOMMENDS. IT'S PRETTY CONTROVERSIAL, SHE SAID IT WOULD BE A GOOD THING FOR US AS A CITY TO DECIDE WHAT WE WANTED TO, WHETHER WE SUPPORT OR OPPOSE IT. THEY RECOMMEND OPPOSING IT. THOSE ARE A FEW UPDATES AND I APOLOGIZE, WE'VE BEEN GOING BACK AND FORTH ALL DAY TRYING TO GET UPDATES AS THESE THINGS HAVE CHANGED. I'M NOT AN EXPERT ON ANY OF THESE, BUT, AGAIN, I BROUGHT THESE FORTH BECAUSE THEY SEEM LIKE THE MOST PERTINENT, AND I KNOW I HAD A COUNCILMEMBER ASKED ME ABOUT SBA 79 SO, IF THAT HELPS AT ALL WITH ANYONE. IT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE 647, I'M LOOKING HERE, 79 WE SHOULD WEIGH IN ON, AND A.B. ONE AND WE CAN DECIDE WITH THE HOMELESS ONE, TO ME IT'S A LITTLE BIG RIGHT NOW AS FAR AS WHERE IT'S AT, AND THEN, 647, WILL HAVE TO DECIDE AS WELL.

OKAY. THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR, FOR BRINGING THIS FORWARD, AND, AS FAR AS MY TIME, I DON'T REMEMBER. US TAKING POSITIONS ON THIS. BUT IF THIS HAS BEEN SOMETHING THAT WE DO, I THINK THAT THAT'S GREAT. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DO YOU REMEMBER?

I'LL WEIGH IN, I ASKED WHY WE HADN'T HAD THESE IN A WHILE, IT'S BECAUSE WE WERE ON COVID HOLD AND THEY HAD BEEN MEETING. WE USED TO ALWAYS TAKE POSITION SO THIS IS NORMAL AND THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THEM FORTH. SO, YEAH, I'LL BE WAITING IN WHEN WE GET TO THAT SECTION.

THANK YOU. ABSOLUTELY. I GUESS MY QUESTION IS, OF WHAT WAS JUST RELAYED, THEN, WHAT'S BEING ASKED OF US TONIGHT IS TO TAKE A POSITION THAT WE'RE SOLID ON THAT CAL CITIES HAS SAID THAT WE SHOULD BE TAKING A POSITION ON, AND I GUESS MY QUESTION IS, WHICH OF THE FOUR ARE WE DISCUSSING TONIGHT?

WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ALL OF THEM, RIGHT?

647, 647, IT WAS BASICALLY PAUSED FOR NOW, HOWEVER, IT STILL RECOMMENDED BY CAL CITIES THAT WE OPPOSE IT. BECAUSE OF THE CHANCE OF MANY DIFFERENT WAYS FOR THEM TO MOVE THAT FORWARD. SB 634 IS THE ONE THAT, THE VERBIAGE, THE LANGUAGE HAS BEEN CHANGED, SO I DON'T HAVE ALL OF THAT HERE FOR YOU ALL, AND I JUST GOT IT AT 4:00 TODAY. SO IF WE CHOOSE NOT TO DO ANYTHING ON THAT SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THE CHANGES THAT HAVE HAPPENED, I THINK THAT THAT'S, WHICH NUMBER IS THAT?

634, SB 634, THAT'S THE ONE WHERE TODAY, RIGHT NOW CAL CITIES IS TAKING A NEUTRAL STANCE ON IT, AS IT WORKS ITS WAY OUT. AND THEN, SB 79 IS THE CONTROVERSIAL ONE, THAT THEY SAY THAT WE SHOULD OPPOSE. AND THEN THE A.B. ONE, THEY RECOMMEND THAT WE SUPPORT BECAUSE IT'S SIMPLY WE'RE ALL DEALING WITH THE WILDFIRE RISK.

OKAY, GREAT, THANK YOU.

DOES THAT HELP?

ABSOLUTELY, THANK YOU. OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT? LOOKING TO MY RIGHT, NO, LEFT, NO, PUBLIC COMMENT?

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE LETTERS OF SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION AS JUST STATED, RECOMMENDED BY CAL CITIES, IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO ZOOM, PLEASE RAISE

YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE LETTERS OF SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION. SEEING NONE, THERE IS NO PUBLIC COMMENT.

GREAT, BACK UP TO THE DAIS FOR COMMENTS AND OR A MOTION.

I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO ALIGN WITH CAL CITIES RECOMMENDATIONS, AND TO OPPOSE A.B. 647, EVEN THOUGH IT'S TABLED, TO SUPPORT A.B. ONE, AND MAYBE WE COULD, WE COULD PULL 634 FOR NOW AND HAVE YOU BRING THAT BACK LATER? AND THEN, OPPOSE SB 79.

CAN I WEIGH IN ON THAT BEFORE WE FINALIZE IT? MAYBE THE MOTION MAKER WOULD CONSIDER -- WE'RE STILL IN DISCUSSION. [ CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING ]

Please stand by for realtime captions.

And then--

I would like to amend my motion to follow each one of these as requested in the staff report.

And I would second that.

I have a motion, second. Roll call?

So moved by councilmember Maurer and seconded by councilmember Hinton to approve letters opposition for the following bills based upon Cal Cities opposing AB 647, opposing 634 and opposing SB 79. Councilmember Hinton? Councilmember Maurer? Vice Mayor McLewis? Mayor Zollman? Councilmember Carter is absent.

Great, and thank you again, vice Mayor, and also chairing our legislative caucus. Thank you.

Moving onto agenda item-- Item 13 which is discussion in consideration of city Council declaration of a summer recess and authorized the cancellation of the July 15th 2025 and August 5th, 2025 city Council regular meetings and schedule an August 19th, 2025, city Council regular meeting. Special meetings can be called to replace a canceled meeting depending upon workload and items requiring city Council action. from I.T. audiovisual in estimated amount of \$2850. The responsible party of this is the general manager who is our acting general manager. Mary?

Thank you, Mayor. As you stated there was a discussion review regarding the summer meetings for um-- cancellation. Back in January, 2025, city Council approved cancellation of a second meeting in August which we typically do every year, so currently the August 19th meeting has been canceled. However, there was a request brought forward regarding if there should be a longer summer recess for the Council and staff based upon the amount of work that this council has done in the last year as well as what city staff has done. It has been a lot. Some of the things we have done is the garbage transition that has taken almost a year and a half um-- the fire consolidation, which is almost to fruition. So once that gets approved we will be working furiously in the next month or two to get vehicles transferred, personnel, buildings, all of that taken care of. Um-- will hopefully have the action plans for the goals done on the May 20th meeting, and we will bring those back to the budget. So, there is also we do have directors that will be gone in July as well as August. We have two that will be gone two weeks in July and two gone in two weeks in August. Recommendation is to look at is the Council open to considering having a longer recess which could be either canceling additional meeting in August, having August off, keeping both meetings and August and having July off, or having a half of the second meeting in July canceled in the first meeting in August canceled to see if the Council would like to do that? That is the request before us tonight. It-- Sorry.

Thank you, Mary. Um-- and questions for my colleagues before we go out to public comment. Councilmember Maurer?

The request is to cancel July 15th and August 5th. Is that correct?

That is one option to cancel the second meeting in July and the first meeting in August, but then we would need to reschedule the August 19th meeting that has been canceled. That's an option. The Council can consider canceling both meetings in August, canceling two meetings in July. The consideration was to have one month off.

So is that a total of seven weeks in between the two meetings?

I believe so. I believe we-- In July.

Okay, can you clarify the budget schedule again?

So currently we will be having the goals meeting on May 20th we will have a budget committee meeting I believe on May 25th. We will be bringing the plan on June 3rd um-- we will probably start the budget hearing process as well on June 3rd. It will continue until June 17th um-- if it's not completed we will either need to have a special meeting in June to completed by June 30th, or we would extend the budget into the new fiscal year before having the first meeting in July.

Are the questions for my colleagues before we go out to public comment? Seeing none.

Thank you, Mayor. This is for public comment for the consideration of a summer recess for the city Council meetings. If you would like to make a public comment I will to the chamber first. I will go out to Zoom. Kyle, can you unmute yourself please? Can you see the timer to Mark thank you, go ahead with your public comment please.

It's really interesting to have this agenda item framed around cost savings um-- and to suggest that like there is cost savings involved with not doing work, right? Like that's the idea here um-- yeah, if we said everybody go ahead and have the day off, and we don't have to come into work imagine all the cost savings that would be involved with that, right? Because we are not hiring consultants to do work. We are not hiring all these folks to do all this work for us that we really should be having our city staff be doing as part of the regular employment. So, it's just an interesting framing of an agenda item, and it appears that maybe moving forward we will continually have these kind of framed agenda items with a narrative that suggests a particular outcome. It seems like it's pattern now. One of the things I did see is these responses from these neighboring cities in terms of their decision on whether or not to take some time off in the summer. And what I saw was that these are things that are planned well in advance, right? That in December, January, each year, you make a decision at that time of what your calendar is going to be for the work that you are agreeing to do for that year. And so yes. That's what this counsel did and made an agreement to cancel a meeting in August, and here we are just a month and a half out with a request for throwing that away and instead asking for two off. Um-- not to forget here for a moment that August 20th of last year was also a meeting that was canceled. So essentially we are asking for three meetings to be canceled within a one-year timeframe for time off by asking for these earlier time off.

Thank you Kyle, for your public comment. Next I will come back into chambers. Seeing none. I will go back out to Zoom. If you would like to make a public comment please raise your hand virtually. seeing none. Public comment is closed.

Thank you, Mary. Bringing it back to the dais for my fellow colleagues to chime in. Council member Hinton?

Um-- where do I start? First of all I will stick with the calendar we approved in January with the regular meeting off that we have done for as long as I have been on the Council just the second meeting in August which I already have a kitchen plans for, because I happen to go sailing every year that weekend so since it was clear I made plans. Um-- also I feel a little awkward about this coming out of a gender review committee as a recommendation. I requested to have a look at our protocols. It's coming from two councilmembers which in my mind should be listed here instead of agenda review committee. But maybe I am wrong about these recent protocols that would-- Pushed through thinking there be no added consequences, so maybe an agenda review committee would have the ability to set this meeting had we not already agreed to the date off in August. I don't know. I have asked that question, I will learn the answer. But um-- I am also super concerned, because our budget generally pushes back um-- into July and I am also super concerned, because the fire department is going to be taken over likely July 1st, and then we are just off on a big seven-week break. Those two big things seem like we have a lot of business to do. And so I am very uncomfortable. I also noted the other cities, and only one other city in Sonoma

County takes this large of a break. I recess. And so it makes me very uncomfortable. I will be voting no tonight on this. And I just think we have a lot of work to do, and I am not okay with it. Thanks.

Thank you. Um-- any other councilmembers? Councilmember Maurer?

Um-- so, the way I see this is um-- July 1st, we are getting a whole new garbage company, and I am only garbage ad hoc, and I have my face on this rollout too, because I have been working on this. And so, I have committed myself to being here for that rollout, because if there are problems I hope there aren't, they have been working really hard on this rollout um-- but, it's a different way of doing garbage in terms of the rate making. And so, there could be hiccups. And, and-- We will-- I think it's a bad look to not be around. If these two are scheduled to be um-- -- If these meetings are scheduled off for us I won't take time off, because I want to be in town, because I am committed to making sure things are taken care of regarding the garbage rollout. Um-- so I wouldn't, you know, it would be a disappointment for me to have a recess then, because I won't be able to take it I would prefer to have the recess where it has been calendared, which is the end of August. But, maybe if it was hopeful if people would want to have a recess maybe in December, and if I understand that correctly that staff could benefit from that time as well, so if you take one in August and say the second meeting of December, then staff can also enjoy that holiday. But, my understanding is that if we took the full month off then not all of staff gets that time off. Can you clarify that for me, Mary?

I understand the first part, I was not understanding the second part where staff would have--

Yeah, if, if we took all of July well, the second week of July and the first week of August, if taken off, does staff benefit at all? Or would staff benefit from, like, one in August, when in December?

So in July is where we close out the books. Typically June 30th, so it could give um-- he could give the finance department, administrator department, time to close out the books. December, it is a holiday, so if you cancel the second meeting in December it does give some staff to do that, because we also have closing out of December, because that's our year. And we always have typically Council meetings in January, so we would be working, because we have to be getting the Council packets ready for that as well.

Vice Mayor?

Um-- I guess I am a little confused by some of the comments, because what I see here is just a proposal of not having a meeting. Not meeting that all of us are going to be here or be available to do anything, but it's simply about a meeting. And, for me, and listening to discussions, and then you know, the amount of workload and the things that have been accomplished within the last year, and looking forward um-- to what needs to be taken care of I just see this as giving the staff the time and ability-- Backup-- We set all these goals and priorities. We have given all of that to the staff, and we have told them to come back with that. In addition we have points of trash wrapping up, and all the transition happening at the end of June, July. we have the fire department going through its transition. In addition we have the goals and priorities. In my mind I see this as being an opportunity to get the staff time to work on all these numbers of all the things that we have provided them, knowing that we have an acting city manager right now. We could possibly have an interim that will need to be on boarded. And, and it's also the idea of if we don't have a meeting and we are not adding more items to the plate of the staff to get done it might also give them the opportunity, should they so desire, to actually take a vacation during the summer, because it's my understanding in talking with some of our um-- our city staff that that does not necessarily happen. If we have a meeting they would have to be here. So, in my mind I don't understand the discussion of if we don't have a meeting then we are not here to take care of business, or we are not saying that all the city staff will be not working. It's just about giving them the breathing room to be able to actually get all of this work done that we have put out. And you know, just allowing them to shift their workload a little and concentrate on these priorities. And you know, in the summer-- There are a lot of people, I see all the cities here, and I have spent some time today just trying to research what goes on here. And many companies have what they call a dark time. And, I understand that it was not scheduled in January, but

you know, we are in a situation, it's a dynamic environment. We've had to make a lot of decisions recently. Nothing is static, so I think it's okay for us to consider and look at where we are right now and think about, you know, what is best for everybody. And I just see this as a way to release burden from staff cannot add to it. If we don't have a meeting I don't think anyone in the community is going to cry. I think it allows them a breather, because we are making big decisions while they are out taking their vacations. And if we need a meeting we can all call a special meeting. It's not like we can't have meetings. So that's where I am at. I am in support of it. I think it would be um-- as being very supportive of the staff and the number of items that we have put on their plate. So I would support it.

Thank you, and as always, I appreciate the ongoing discussions, and yeah, that was kind of the reason why I was like this sounds good, just to get a pulse from where everybody is coming from on the issue. Um-- for me, yes, I am hoping we strongly convey that we can always call a special meeting for whatever arises within the issue within our city. And, if it would be beneficial for the staff, then yes, I 100% um-- staff has worked exceedingly hard over the last period. And, if it makes sense to go with what is laid out in the staff report, or what councilmember Maurer suggested, then I am leaning in either direction. But, I didn't really want to support staff with what they feel like taking a break would help the most. So. That's where I am at. Um--

I forgot one thing.

Sure thing, vice Mayor.

I totally understand that we said the end of August, some plans were made, and that's a real thing. So, I personally would be in support of doing you know, the first meeting in August, and the second one, so that we are honoring the decision we made, and that no councilmember feels-- I apologize, I am struggling with my asthma tonight. Councilmember Hinton's point that she made a plan for vacation. I want to honor that. So, I would be in favor of doing the two dates in August instead of the July, if that were something that would sway anyone's decision. I failed to mention that.

We should honor the times we established earlier. Councilmember Hinton?

I would like to clarify, maybe the city attorney can clarify from our agenda. Is this coming back to us, because to change meetings, the full counsel Hass to weigh in on it? Or, is this coming back to us, because we have a calendar in place that we voted on, so the agenda review committee couldn't change the dates, because our dates were already set and approved, and voted on in January?

We have an adopted calendar, and so the counsel needs to move.

Right. But we didn't have that adopted calendar, with the agenda review committee set all the dates for everyone on the Council?

They could not, that is not within their authority. I suppose they could not schedule anything to be on that agenda, but they would still be a meeting that day where there is a blank agenda um--

Okay. Because they said the items, and then they call special meetings.

Correct.

Okay. Okay. I just wanted to clarify that. I appreciate that. And I appreciate my colleagues who would honor the plans I made, but I still believe it's too long of a date. And as I stated earlier by councilmember Maurer at the garbage thing, I forgot about that. I am not comfortable um-- with a long recess.

I am pulling up the protocols, because what I told you may not be--

Like verify that, because, okay great thanks.

Do you mind if we take more-- One more question?

This is a question for our acting city manager. Could you um-- help us understand um-- if we take a recess, is there a more beneficial time for us to take a recess um-- or is less beneficial? I just need to understand that, because I think I misunderstood something.

I don't think there is more or less of a beneficial time. A lot of it depends on the workload. So, we could have, last year during this time, we had very lengthy meetings. We had, our agendas were very jam-packed. This summer on the floor agenda we don't have a lot of items on the forward calendar for



July, August, with the assumption the budget was going to be done in June, or that first meeting in July. um-- so we did look at the agendas this year. They were not as hefty as they were last year. We do have new department heads that have only been on for 4-6 months trying to get acclimatized as they get new items and processes, so it's been a learning curve for that did as you stated we also have the potential for interim city manager coming in. Probably June, July timeframe. So that will be a learning curve for the interim city manager to come up on as well. So, we always every year have canceled the second meeting in August. So, you know, if I was going to say beneficial, I would say either August or December, either one of those um-- but, again, we are still going to have to prepare for whatever the Council meeting is, so if we are often August we will still be preparing for the September. If we are often December we will have to prepare for January.

Okay, can I make a motion then?

Yeah, so just I can tell you that, so, I was incorrect. I pulled up the-- This was approved on November 19th-- Cancellation regular meetings may be canceled in the case of an emergency. When a majority of members have confirmed in writing they are unavailable to attend the meeting. And I was just pulling up to see if this was a change made, or if this has always been part of these protocols.

It was never prior to November? So I know we are not on this agenda topic, however, I will be bringing this back. um-- I don't agree with, again, I think a lot of these protocols were just developed and went through, and now we are trying to apply them. And, I think that's too much power.

Okay, vice Mayor? Sorry. Councilmember Maurer?

I just want to make a motion to maintain the last-- The second Council meeting in August. Maintain that is canceled. And then add um-- attic insulation of the second meeting in December if at the time it seems doable.

This is for summer recess, so either tonight during future agenda items or bring up the possibility of canceling that December meeting, but tonight, it was just agenda is for discussion about summer recess. So winter recess, but then fall within that.

Okay. I take back my motion.

Can I make a motion to maintain the cancellation of the August 19th, but also just attic insulation for August 5th? Since that is within that recess item here?

Sorry, so the motion, can you repeat?

It would be to maintain the August 19th cancellation as scheduled which would be off. And then add August 5th as um-- an additional summer recess state for not having a meeting? Does that help clarify? Is there a better word to use?

I think the motion would be to-- Amend the city Council meeting date to include August 5th as a meeting to be canceled, maintaining August has already been canceled?

I want to make a statement I can't support this, but I would consider-- I want to see what's going on in terms of the garbage and all the new hires, and all the new staff, etc., before I commit to this. But, I would consider it possibly in July, we could have a new discussion to talk about canceling the first meeting in August. But, this time I can't support it.

And I make an alternate motion even though we vote on the first one, or does that have to fail first before I make an alternate? Or pass?

Let me pull up our protocol.

And what happens if we are 2-2?

If it's 2-2 the motion does not pass. It needs a majority. [ Inaudible ]

So, what you can do is our policies allow for make a motion to amend the motion on the floor, and that would be voted on first um-- so the amendment could be as broad or as narrow as you want. If that passed the new motion would be the amended version of the motion, and it would be voted on.

Before that vote on the motion--

You can make a motion. If you get a second you would have a vote on that amendment to the original motion. If it fails, then you would go on to make the original motion. If it passed then we would go on to vote for the original motion as amended.

Okay. I am going to go ahead then and use that protocol and amend the original motion to stick with our original calendar that we voted and set on in January to take only the second week of August Council meeting off at this time. Is that okay?

Yes. And so now, we would see if there is a second to that motion.

I will second that.

And then, if there is not any discussion-- We would vote on that.

Okay, Mary, roll call.

Let's make sure I am doing this right. So. It is councilmember Hinton that amended the motion to take off, to retain the original cancellation of August 19th and councilmember Maurer seconded the amended motion. Okay. Councilmember Hinton? Councilmember Maurer? Vice Mayor McLewis?

No.

Mayor Zollman?

No.

The motion fails. Now we have the original motion on the floor. For Vice Mayor McLewis and seconded by mayor Zollman to maintain the August 19th cancellation as approved in January with the addition of the cancellation of the August 5th city Council meeting. Councilmember Hinton?

No.

Councilmember Maurer?

No.

Vice Mayor McLewis?

Yes.

Mayor Zollman?

Yes.

I would like to make a new motion, and the new motion is to maintain the August 19th, or, the second meeting August cancellation and place the first August um-- meeting, put that up for consideration on the second meeting in June.

Alex, can we do that? Is that within the scope?

Yeah, I would suggest you have that come back at a, that a future agenda item.

I need to make a specific, because of the garbage in the new staff, etc. for me, I wanted to specifically be on the second meeting in July to decide whether or not we have a meeting the first, the first meeting in August.

First you said the last in June, then the second meeting in July?

What?

Hold on hold on. I think Alex, are you saying the fact that what is being suggested should come on for the proposed agenda items later--

Yeah, our new protocols allow us to make an item of priority if it gets a second at a later date. Our policies do not allow the Council to set when something will come back to that.

I had a question I was trying to clarify.

Vice Mayor?

I withdraw my motion.

Vice Mayor? No? Okay great. So now we are moving on to additional public comments for items not on the agenda. Mary?

Thank you, Mayor. As you stated this is the second public comment period. If you would like to make a public comment and did not speak during the first public comment period this is your opportunity. In the



chambers, seeing none. back to Zoom if you would like to make a public comment, seeing none. there is no public comment.

Great. And now we are onto the requests for future city Council agenda items. Councilmember Hinton? I would like to make a request to revisit our council protocols for agenda review committee um-- specifically that the agenda review committee seems to have the sole discretion to change in calendar had we not already approved the calendar. And, so I would like to revisit that part of the protocol and discussed that and possibly make an amendment.

I will second that.

Okay. Duly noted. Okay. Any other requests for additions? Looking to the right, to the left. Seeing none. okay. Then we are moving on to city Council staff report outs and turning first to our acting general manager. Mary?

Thank you, Mayor. Just as a reminder, I will be attending the-- Protest meeting. I believe-- Last step as to the reorganization for the fire consolidation, so if this goes through then it is approved we will continue the process to have this done by July 1st, and so I will do a cancellation notice for that tonight. We do have a closed session on Monday, May 12, 5:00. And that's all I have.

Great. And that turns to report outs on councilmember-- Councilmember Maurer?

Yes, I attended to be elderberry Commons ribbon-cutting. The mayor was there as well as councilmember Hinton. And just a little synopsis of this. They have 29 units. They have a community room and a very large garden area that is just waiting to be gardened. They have a beautiful courtyard. And just to be clear somebody that I talked to there they stated very clearly that the people who live here, including children, they are not homeless, because they are now housed, so they should not be referred to as homeless. And this is a low affordable housing-- And the concerns they had, basically they are concerned about people coming in to the area. So they will be working on security issues. Had two garbage ad hoc meetings attended, the mayor and councilmember Hinton attended. We had completely reviewed the '25-26 budget, we will bring it back one more time to review the Council goals related to the budget. I went to the dedication in Laguna that was to the public arts committee, and I was asked to make some comments on the importance of public art. And so I will just tell you briefly public art-- Art enhances committee vitality and improves the quality of our lives. That was the synopsis of my speech. But, this sculpture took eight to nine use to get installed, and they worked with Caltrans. Caltrans put two distills installed on both sides of that new bridge as you are coming into Sebastopol. And they would not let him put his how tall is that sculpture, does anyone know? 27 feet? They would not let him put it there even though he could show all the safety, he has installations all over the world. So, um-- anyway, the artist, Ned con, he talked about the process. And he said he is really pleased about the location and where it is now. And I wanted to put out a big thank you to public works, because they had mowed it and cleaned it up, and it was a really beautiful setting. There were quite a few people there, and there was one Elizabeth McCarthy who attended this event. And she asked me specifically to extend her deep appreciation to the city for the city's vision and commitment to monumental public art. So, that's good for now.

Thank you. Other colleagues? Vice Mayor?

Okay. Um-- let's see. As our acting city manager meeting-- Mentioned, I will be at the meeting tomorrow. Since we last had our meeting I have attended the Sonoma County legislative committee, which is where all these, this item came from tonight. In addition we have had I believe it committee meeting which is culminating tomorrow, so we will see how that goes with them. And then the other thing that I, most notable, was that I attended, it is called cities week. But I attended um-- with Cal Cities in Sacramento, and I along with four or five city representatives in the North Bay we met with Senator Mike McGuire, and discussed some of these bills. But we had the opportunity to bring forth our individual city items. So I brought forth measure U, and concerns we have I am connected with our city attorney, Alex, on talking points. So I advocated for that and have since been in contact with one of his consultants,

and um-- so I am waiting to hear back from her. I also had a meeting with assembly member Chris . He met with some of us from the North Bay as well. And again I advocated for measure U. and I also expressed the irony in that, since um-- given my position on some of these items. But still, um-- it was a really good meeting, and I think we will hear from them very soon at least for the next steps. So those are the meetings. And, the last one is um-- we were all there. They came to City Hall on Friday, and we all came in as well as our acting city manager came in on her day off, too to spend time with them. And it was really good. Afterwards they followed up with me and said they truly appreciated the conversation that we had that it's the most organic conversation that they have had with the city on their visits. And it was so enlightening to them, and it was just honestly they said was the best meeting, the folks who have been there, that they were had. They said they felt like they learned so much about us, and anyways, they just kept expressing how thrilled they were with that meeting with all of us, so I wanted to share that.

That's it read

Councilmember Hinton?

So elderberry Commons, habitat for humanity. The um-- buyer ad hoc. And I will say I have been and previous visits, and it was really nice that we sat down, and from there they asked questions that they, you know, we didn't give them the Wikipedia view on SEBASTOPOL, so they were able to ask questions, and they were talking about how they got their citizens more engaged as I look at an most empty room here we have the same issues. So it was really nice. And so yeah, LAFCO should go smooth. That's what is going on. Otherwise nothing much else is new.

In addition to regular meetings I'm honored to say I filled in for the cochair of the child and planning councils last part of her term and was just re-elect did as chair elect-- Sonoma County. As I mentioned earlier our efforts to get us ready for emergencies was well on display we finished two of the full three days for training. There were 21 people in the room. 10 of us were from Sebastopol. So, yay. With that that is my report out. And Mary, is there anything else before we adjourn?

No. Just, sorry, just a reminder, the letters of interest are due. So if you-- That's it.

Great. Then we are adjourned. Thank you.

[ Event [Event Concluded]