

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF JULY 1, 2025

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of July 15, 2025

TELECONFERENCING

In accordance with the Government Code, 54953(b)(3) Councilmember Carter will be participating through both audio and visual technology for this meeting from a remote location.

The following conference location will be open and accessible to the public during this meeting:

11443 Marine View Dr SW, Seattle WA, 98146

Please note that minutes of meetings are not meant to be verbatim minutes and are meant to be the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Zollman called the meeting to order 6:01pm

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Stephen Zollman
Vice Mayor McLewis
Councilmember Phill Carter (teleconferenced)
Councilmember Neysa Hinton (arrived 6:03 pm)
Councilmember Sandra Maurer

Absent: None

Staff: Acting City Manager/Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Gourley
City Attorney Alex Mog
Administrative Services Director Kwong
Building Official Brown
City Engineer/Public Works Director Rincon Ibarra
Police Chief McDonagh

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Mayor Zollman led the salute to the flag.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). Assembly Bill 2302 (2024) ("AB 2302") revises rules for when members of local legislative bodies may participate in meetings remotely. Specifically, it amends the number of meetings that may be attended remotely for just cause and under emergency circumstances and clarifies the definition of the term "meeting," for purposes of remote attendance. AB 2302 caps the number of remote meetings a member can attend each year based on the frequency of a legislative body's meetings: Five meetings per year for those meeting twice a month. **There was no request.**

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/INTRODUCTIONS:

The following were presented:

- Certificates of Recognition and Pins to Sebastopol Fire Volunteers Upon the Merger from Sebastopol Volunteer Fire Department to Gold Ridge Fire District

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais. Vice Mayor McLewis stated she had a perceived conflict of interest with the budget agenda item.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD): Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Alissa

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read aloud the title of each consent item (either full agenda title or a simplified version of the agenda title), and ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to two (2) minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an item or items removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor. Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor.

Mayor Zollman read the consent calendar.

Mayor Zollman asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item. There were none. Mayor Zollman opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Kyle

Mayor Zollman called for a motion.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor McLewis seconded the motion to approve consent calendar items 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None
Abstain: None

1. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes – Special Meeting/Closed Session Meeting of June 16, 2025

Responsible Department: Acting City Manager

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes – Special Meeting/Closed Session Meeting of June 16, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-215

2. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes – Regular Meeting of June 17, 2025

Responsible Department: Acting City Manager

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes – Regular Meeting of June 17, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-216

3. Adopt a Resolution Revising the City's Publicly Available Pay Schedule, Effective July 1, 2025

(Responsible Department: Human Resources Consultant/Administrative Services)

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with adding the position to the salary schedule

City Council Action: Approved Resolution Revising the City's Publicly Available Pay Schedule, Effective July 1, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-217

Resolution Number: 6679-2025

- 4. Approval of Ratification of Side Letter for Sebastopol Police Officer Association (SPOA); Side Letter is for a change in the Patrol Schedule for Police Officers and Sergeants. The schedule includes a changed pattern of 12-hour rotating shift assignments and days off to allow for greater assigned shift coverage and fewer "open" or unassigned shifts. "Open" or unassigned shifts occur when there are more required shifts needed and schedule across the week than there are officers to cover them. "Open" shifts result in overtime. Reducing open shifts reduces overtime. This arrangement is due to a significant shortage in staffing and will continue, temporarily and unless by mutual agreement until the beginning of the first pay period in 2026. At that time, the department's staffing, overtime costs, and coverage will be analyzed in relations to overtime and the FLSA threshold (e.g., 171 hours in a 28-day cycle for law enforcement).**

(Responsible Department: Human Resources Consultant/Administrative Services/Police)

Fiscal Impact: If the Council approves the side letter for the pilot Police Staffing Program included on the July 1st agenda, the Police Department anticipates a reduction in overtime expenditures. The currently proposed overtime budget for FY 2025–26 is \$150,000, which includes:

- *\$67,000 for guaranteed overtime*
- *\$43,000 for coverage of open shifts*
- *\$30,000 for the dispatcher's unit*
- *\$10,000 for emergency contingencies*

As a comparison to the current fiscal year 24-25, the estimated actual overtime expenditures have reached approximately \$275,000. The pilot program is expected to improve operational efficiency and reduce reliance on overtime compared to current spending levels. This adjustment reflects the department's commitment to fiscal responsibility while maintaining public safety and reducing dependence on overtime.

City Council Action: Approved Ratification of Side Letter for Sebastopol Police Officer Association (SPOA); Side Letter is for a change in the Patrol Schedule for Police Officers and Sergeants. The schedule includes a changed pattern of 12-hour rotating shift assignments and days off to allow for greater assigned shift coverage and fewer "open" or unassigned shifts. "Open" or unassigned shifts occur when there are more required shifts needed and

schedule across the week than there are officers to cover them. "Open" shifts result in overtime. Reducing open shifts reduces overtime. This arrangement is due to a significant shortage in staffing and will continue, temporarily and unless by mutual agreement until the beginning of the first pay period in 2026. At that time, the department's staffing, overtime costs, and coverage will be analyzed in relations to overtime and the FLSA threshold (e.g., 171 hours in a 28-day cycle for law enforcement).

Minute Order Number: 2025-218

Resolution Number: 6680-2025

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: *Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment). **NONE***

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

5. Public Hearing – Continued - City Budget: Approval of related actions:

Associated Items Include:

- I. Adoption of the following Resolutions:
 - a. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ADOPTING THE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026
 - b. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE XIII B OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION (THE GANN LIMIT)
 - c. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AUTHORIZING THE USE OF SUPPLEMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FUND (SLESF) / CITIZENS' OPTION FOR PUBLIC SAFETY (COPS) GRANT FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026
- II. Approval for Acting City Manager to execute annual contracts listed below as approved in the FY 25 26 City Budget.
 - a) Outreach Communications Coordinator Holly Hansen Public Media Relations
 - b) Marin IT – IT Services
 - c) Phillips Seabrook Associates – Building Official Consulting Services
 - d) GHD – Engineering Services
 - e) Stone Creek Environmental
- III. Adoption of City Council Conferences/Trainings Use of Funds Policy: (Excerpt)
 - Each Council member will receive an annual budget of \$2,000 to cover costs related to attending conferences, meetings, and training sessions. This budget will apply to travel within California and is designed to ensure that Council members can participate in essential events without financial barriers. If a Council member exceeds their allocated \$2,000 annual budget, adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index (CPI), they must either cover the excess expenses themselves or formally request additional funding from the City Council.
 - A Council member may voluntarily transfer their unused funds to another member, subject to approval by the Council at a publicly noticed meeting. This allows for flexibility and mutual support among Council members.

Responsible Department: Administrative Services Department

Fiscal Impact: The impact of the Proposed Budget is discussed in the budget message at the beginning of the budget document.

Acting City Manager Gourley announced the following:

The statute has been adopted and signed into law by the Governor. Based on CDTFA normal procedures, this means the second ¼ cent sales authorized by Measure U will go into effect on January 1, 2026 (raising the sales tax in Sebastopol until 10.5%). We will continue to receive an amount equivalent to the second ¼ cent from the County until that date. This is pending confirmation from CDTFA regarding implementation date.

This bill would repeal the provision that conditionally repeals the authority granted to the County of Sonoma, any city within that county, or the Sonoma County Transportation Authority. The bill would instead require that any ordinance exercising this authority be approved by the voters voting on the ordinance before January 1, 2026.

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the agenda item of the City Budget recommending the Council hear the presentation; ask questions, open the public hearing; receive public comment; close the public hearing and adopt the City Budget and associated resolutions.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment during the public hearing:

- Kyle
- Seamus
- Oliver
- Robert
- Lawrence
- Steve
- Lisa

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Zollman closed the public hearing.

Item Continued to Later in the agenda. Item Number 11 Heard next.

11 Appointment of Interim City Manager. Item is to appoint the current Acting City Manager Mary Gourley to Interim City Manager for a period as follows: Until January 31, 2026, or until the City Council appoints a full-time City Manager, whichever is first.

Responsible Department: City Personnel Attorney

Fiscal Impact: There is No Fiscal Impact. Interim City Manager will not be taking any increase in City Salary or benefits and will retain current salary as Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

City Attorney Mog presented the agenda item of appointment of Interim City Manager recommending the Council receive the report; ask questions, open for public comment and appoint the Interim City Manager.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment during the public hearing:

- Kyle

City staff addressed the next steps for recruitment for a permanent City Manager.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor McLewis moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve Mary Gourley as Interim City Manager for time period as noted in the agenda report.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Mary Gourley as Interim City Manager for time period as noted in the agenda report.

Minute Order Number: 2025-225

Resolution Number: 6686-2025

9. Consideration of Creation of Standing Committee for Water/Sewer Fund Oversight

Requestor: Councilmember Carter/Vice Mayor McLewis: Responsible Department(s): City Engineer/Public Works and Administrative Services

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with creation of this item other than staff time to recruit for applicants and staff the oversight committee meetings.

City Council Action: None Taken; Councilmember Carter requested that this item be continued as he had to depart the meeting. Consensus to Continue Item

Reference Order Number: 2025-223

Councilmember Carter departed the meeting at 8:20 pm.

Continuation of Item Number 5. City Budget.

The Council re-opened Agenda Item Number 5 for continued discussion and action.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the following:

No changes to pension trust as proposed in the budget; Council to review during mid year budget review

No change to unfreeze police officer frozen position

- \$700.00 – Park in Lieu entry /monument signs
- \$44004.00 – Reach 1 and 2 Ives Fence
- \$75300.00 – Outsourcing Landscaping

- \$20,000.00 – Trash Cans (additional funds); authorization to staff to purchase
- \$15,000 – Holiday Decorations for downtown/plaza
- \$10,000 – Tables for Fire Department in recognition of volunteers upon merger to Gold Ride
- \$5000 – animal control; staff to review month to month for animal services; to be further discussed when response to grand jury agenda item returns to Council

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve the following:

Adoption of the following Resolutions:

- A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ADOPTING THE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026
- A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE XIII B OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION (THE GANN LIMIT)
- A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AUTHORIZING THE USE OF SUPPLEMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FUND (SLESF) / CITIZENS' OPTION FOR PUBLIC SAFETY (COPS) GRANT FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026

No changes to pension trust as proposed in the budget; Council to review during mid year budget review

No change to unfreeze police officer frozen position

- \$700.00 – Art in Lieu entry /monument signs
- \$44004.00 – Reach 1 and 2 Ives Fence
- \$75300.00 – Outsourcing Landscaping
- \$20,000.00 – Trash Cans (additional funds); authorization to staff to purchase
- \$15,000 – Holiday Decorations for downtown/plaza
- \$10,000 – Tables for Fire Department in recognition of volunteers upon merger to Gold Ride
- \$5000 – animal control; staff to review month to month for animal services; to be further discussed when response to grand jury agenda item returns to Council

Adoption of City Council Conferences/Trainings Use of Funds Policy: (Excerpt) Language clarified to divide budget equally.

- Each Council member will receive an annual budget as approved by the City Council and divided equally among all City Councilmembers to cover costs related to attending conferences, meetings, and training sessions. This budget will apply to travel within California and is designed to ensure that Council members can participate inessential events without financial barriers.
- A Council member may voluntarily transfer their unused funds to another member, subject to approval by the Council at a publicly noticed meeting. This allows for flexibility and mutual support among Council members.

Approval for Acting City Manager to execute annual contracts listed below as approved in the FY 25 26 City Budget.

- Outreach Communications Coordinator Holly Hansen Public Media Relations
- Marin IT – IT Services
- Phillips Seabrook Associates – Building Official Consulting Services

- i) GHD – Engineering Services
- j) Stone Creek Environmental

As amended: Inclusion of a 60 day termination clause for contracts

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman
 Noes: None
 Absent: Councilmember Carter
 Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Adoption of the following Resolutions:

- a. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ADOPTING THE ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026
- b. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026 PURSUANT TO ARTICLE XIII B OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION (THE GANN LIMIT)
- c. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AUTHORIZING THE USE OF SUPPLEMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FUND (SLESF) / CITIZENS’ OPTION FOR PUBLIC SAFETY (COPS) GRANT FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2025–2026

No changes to pension trust as proposed in the budget; Council to review during mid year budget review

No change to unfreeze police officer frozen position

- \$700.00 – Art in Lieu entry /monument signs
- \$44004.00 – Reach 1 and 2 Ives Fence
- \$75300.00 – Outsourcing Landscaping
- \$20,000.00 – Trash Cans (additional funds); authorization to staff to purchase
- \$15,000 – Holiday Decorations for downtown/plaza
- \$10,000 – Tables for Fire Department in recognition of volunteers upon merger to Gold Ride
- \$5000 – animal control; staff to review month to month for animal services; to be further discussed when response to grand jury agenda item returns to Council

Adoption of City Council Conferences/Trainings Use of Funds Policy: (Excerpt) Language clarified to divide budget equally.

- Each Council member will receive an annual budget as approved by the City Council and divided equally among all City Councilmembers to cover costs related to attending conferences, meetings, and training sessions. This budget will apply to travel within California and is designed to ensure that Council members can participate inessential events without financial barriers.
- A Council member may voluntarily transfer their unused funds to another member, subject to approval by the Council at a publicly noticed meeting. This allows for flexibility and mutual support among Council members.

Approval for Acting City Manager to execute annual contracts listed below as approved in the FY 25 26 City Budget.

- f) Outreach Communications Coordinator Holly Hansen Public Media Relations
- g) Marin IT – IT Services
- h) Phillips Seabrook Associates – Building Official Consulting Services
- i) GHD – Engineering Services

j) Stone Creek Environmental

As amended: Inclusion of a 60 day termination clause for contracts

Minute Order Number: 2025-219
Resolution Number(s): 6681-2025
6682-2025
6683-2025
6684-2025

6. Public Hearing - Continued: Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and note changes to the CIP, if any, and adoption of Resolution.

(Responsible Department: Public Works/Engineering)

Fiscal Impact: The proposed expenditures for the FY 2025-26 Capital Improvement Projects totals \$10,130,200 in total for special funds (see Global Summary Report in the Draft CIP Budget document)

Toni Bertolero, City Staff Member, City Engineer Department, presented the agenda item of the City Budget recommending the Council hear the presentation; ask questions, open the public hearing; receive public comment; close the public hearing and Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and note changes to the CIP, if any, and adoption of Resolution.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment during the public hearing:

- Robert
- Kyle

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Zollman closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed additional allocations based upon the announcement of the Measure U decision.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve the following:
Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and note changes to the CIP and adoption of Resolution as follows:

Additional allocation
\$350,000 to paving
\$150,000 SB 1 Road repair fund

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman
Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Carter

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the following:

Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2025-26 and note changes to the CIP and adoption of Resolution as follows:

Additional allocation

\$350,000 to paving

\$150,000 SB 1 Road repair fund

Minute Order Number: 2025-220

Resolution Number: 6685-2025

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

7. Sebastopol Library Staffing and Facilities Ad Hoc Committee Report Out. The item tonight is to receive the Report from the Ad Hoc Committee and discuss the recommended actions in the report.

Recommendations:

- Receipt of Report from current Ad Hoc Committee
- Dissolution of Current Ad Hoc Committee
- Creation of New Committee Named: Committee for Building the Commons (Period of Committee: July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026)
- Approval of Scope of Work of Committee
- Committee Composition and Appointment to be Returned to a future Council meeting for consideration of approval

Requestor: Mayor Zollman; Council Liaison to Library

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with receipt and approval of this item; however staff time will be required.

City Council Action: None Taken; Consensus to Continue Item

Reference Order Number: 2025-221

8. Discussion of Amendments to City Council Protocols – Agenda Review Committee Protocol (Authority to Cancel City Council Meetings)

Requestor: Councilmember Hinton

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact with approval of this item.

City Council Action: None Taken; Consensus to Continue Item

Reference Order Number: 2025-222

- 10 Discussion and Consideration of Agreement with West County Community Services for Homeless Outreach Services within the City of Sebastopol. This item includes a presentation from West County Community Services (WCCS) on Scope of Work and Services Provided to the City of Sebastopol for homeless outreach.

Requestor: Agenda Review Committee/Responsible Department: Police

Fiscal Impact: \$40,000 recommended in proposed budget

Police Chief McDonagh presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the report and consider approval of agreement with West County Community Services for Homeless Outreach Services within the City of Sebastopol or provide direction to staff.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of staff. Council asked various questions of staff.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment during the public hearing:

- Danielle
- Robert
- Oliver
- Mary
- Mchael

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed next steps.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to direct staff to work with WCCS to provide deliverables to the contract based upon the proposed funding allocated in the FY 25 26 budget for homeless outreach services.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer and Mayor Zollman

Noes: Vice Mayor McLewis

Absent: Councilmember Carter

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Directed staff to work with WCCS to provide deliverables to the contract based upon the proposed funding allocated in the FY 25 26 budget for homeless outreach services.

Minute Order Number: 2023-224

Due to Council protocols; the meeting was adjourned.

~~ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor's discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.~~

COUNCILMEMBER(S) REQUESTS FOR FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

- ~~a. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)~~
- ~~b. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)~~
- ~~c. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)~~

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

July 1, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the next Regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, July 15, 2025 at **6:00 pm**, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor Zollman adjourned the July 1, 2025 Regular City Council meeting at 10:38 PM.

Respectfully submitted,



Mary C. Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment: Zoom Transcript

City Council Meeting - July 1, 2025

COULD YOU JOIN ME FOR A SALUTE TO THE FLAG?

I PLEDGE ALLEGINCE TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION UNDER GOD IND IV IS IB LE WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

WE HAVE WENT THROUGH THE REMOTE PARTICIPATION PART. TO THE REALLY HEART WARMING PART OF THE EVENING WHICH IS OUR PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS. THIS ONE IS TO PROVIDE CERTIFICATES AND APPRECIATION FOR THE RECOGNITION AND PINS TO THE FIRE VOLUNTEERS UPON THE MERGER OF THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT AND FIRE DISTRICT. FOR THE CERTIFICATES TO BE PRESENTED. TRENT AKERMAN, CODY AI KMAN. I'M HOPING TO GET THESE RIGHT.

APOLOGIES FOR THE BUTCHERING OF NAMES. FOR THOSE PRESENT IF THEY WOULD COME UP AND, YES. [APPLAUSE]. OKAY. OKAY. CODY. YES. APOLOGIZE. I'M VERY SORRY. YES. DID I MISS CATO? NO. OH. I'M SORRY. APOLOGIES. YES. YES. THANK YOU, SIR. ALL THE WAY AT THE END. YES. YES. THANK YOU. AND AGAIN A BIG ROUND OF APPLAUSE FOR ALL ALL THAT YOU DO. AND APOLOGIES AGAIN FOR BUTCHERING NAMES. I'M -- NOT EXACTLY MY SPECIALITY SO APOLOGIES. AGAIN WHAT A HEARTFELT WAY TO START THE EVENING. ALWAYS A WAY TO THANK PEOPLE WHO HAVE CONTRIBUTED MANY YEARS TO THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE MOVING ALONG THEN TO STATEMENTS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND I'M LOOKING TO MY LEFT TO SEE IF THERE ARE ANY. COUNCILMEMBER.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS ON BY PHONE RIGHT NOW. HE IS THE I-PHONE 61. I WILL TRY TO SEE IF I CAN GET HIM TO ZOOM ON.

YEAH. HIS PRESENCE WON'T BE OKAY UNLESS WE CAN SEE HIM. IS THAT CORRECT? I THINK -- CONNECTED.

CURRENTLY THAT'S NOT THE SITUATION. OKAY. THEN LOOKING TO MY RIGHT ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST? NOT SEEING ANY, NO. OKAY. GREAT. SO NOW WE ARE GOING TO MOVE ALONG TO PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. MARY.

THANK YOU MAYOR. SO, THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. THE PROCESS IS THAT IT'S A TWO MINUTE TIME LIMIT AND I DO -- THE CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN OUT TO ZOOM AND BACK TO CHAMBERS AND BACK TO ZOOM. THERE'S A MAXIMUM OF 20 MINUTES AND THEN THERE IS A PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AT THE VERY END OF THE MEETING. I WILL COME INTO CHAMBERS. MELISSA.

ALYSSA JOHNSON. I JUST WANTED TO UPDATE YOU ON OUR FIRST DAY OF SERVICE. WE STARTED OUR ROUTE THIS MORNING AT 6:00 A.M. WE WERE ALL DONE WITH THE RESIDENTIAL RECYCLING AND/OR BEGAN RECYCLING AND ORGANICS BY 1:40 P.M. WE DID FOUR BULKY ITEM PICK UPS SO PEOPLE ARE CALLING TO SCHEDULE THEM WE HAVE FIVE MORE PLANNED. IF YOU ARE A RESIDENT IN THE CITY AND SINGLE FAMILY AND WANT TO GET RID OF STUFF THAT YOU CAN'T TAKE TO THE DUMP BY YOURSELF OR TO GOODWILL GIVE US A CALL AND WE'LL COME TAKE IT. WE DID 20 CART EXCHANGES AND DELIVERIES. PEOPLE THAT HAVE DECIDE TO CHANGE AND WE

EXCHANGED THE LAST FEW BINS TODAY. EVERYBODY WAS VERY FRIENDLY THAT CALLED AND WE WERE VERY PLEASED WITH IT SO THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU. MOVING ONTO THE CONSENT CALENDAR. FIRST I WILL MAKE A COMMENT. A THANK YOU TO OUR NEW SERVICE PROVIDER AND TO THE WONDERFUL HARD WORKING COMMITTEE FOR MAKING THIS START WELL. SO, MOVING ONTO THE CONSENT CALENDAR. SO NUMBER ONE APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES. SPECIAL MEETING, CLOSED SESSION MEETING OF JUNE 16th, 2025. APPROVAL OF MINUTES, REGULAR MEETING OF JUNE 17th, 2025. ADOPTED THE RESOLUTION REVISING THE CITY'S PUBLIC AVAILABLE PAY SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE JULY 1st, 2025. APPROVAL OF A SIDE LETTER FOR POLICE ASSOCIATION AND THE SIDE LETTER IS FOR THE CHANGE IN THE PATROL SCHEDULE FOR POLICE OFFICERS AND SERGEANTS. FISCAL IMPACT OF THIS IF THE COUNCIL APPROVES THE SIDE LETTER FOR THE PILOT LEASE STAFFING PROGRAM INCLUDED IN THE JULY 1st AGENDA THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ANTICIPATES A REDUCTION IN OVERTIME EXPENSES THAT CURRENTLY PROPOSED OVERTIME BUDGET FOR THE 25-26 IS 150,000 WHICH INCLUDES 67,000 FOR GUARANTEED OVERTIME, 43,000 FOR COVERAGE OF OPEN SHIFTS, 30,000 FOR DISPATCH UNIT AND 10,000 FOR EMERGENCY CONTINGENCIES AS A COMPARISON TO THE CURRENT YEAR, OVERTIME EXPENSES HAVE REACHED 275,000. THE PILOT PROGRAM IS EXPECTED TO IMPROVE OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY, REDUCE RELIANCE ON OVERTIME COMPARED TO CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS. THIS ADJUSTMENT REFLECTS THE DEPARTMENT'S COMMITMENT TO FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY WHILE MAINTAINING PUBLIC SAFETY. THAT IS THE EXTENT. SO, WOULD MY COLLEAGUES LIKE TO PULL ANYTHING? TO MY LEFT, TO MY RIGHT. PUBLIC COMMENT?

THANK YOU. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. ITEMS 1 THROUGH 4. IF YOU WOULD LIKE IT MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN OUT TO ZOOM. AGAIN THIS IS FOR CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBER THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? YOU CAN HEAR US, KYLE?

YES I CAN. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

YES. YOU CAN GO HEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

REALLY HAPPY TO HEAR ABOUT A SIDE LETTER THAT'S REDUCING COSTS. AGAIN, MAYBE JUST ONE TIME AS A PILOT OF \$150,000. I GUESS MY REAL QUESTION IS WHY HAS IT TAKEN US SO LONG TO GET TO A DECISION LIKE THIS? WHAT WAS THE CHANGE THAT GOT US TO A PLACE WHERE WE CAN RECOGNIZE A REDUCTION OF OVERTIME IN POLICING IS A PRIORITY? I KNOW WE HAVE HAD SIGNIFICANT REDUCTIONS IN THE PAST BUT LIKE SEEMS LIKE THIS IS OVER THE LAST FIVE YEARS ITS BEEN AN ONGOING CHALLENGE. WHAT WAS THE CATALYST THAT GOT US TO THIS SPACE TO HAVE THIS HAPPEN? I WOULD JUST LOVE MORE INFORMATION ON THAT, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KYLE FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBER THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

THANK YOU. I KNOW THAT WE ARE SUPPOSED TO RECEIVE PUBLIC COMMENT BUT NOT NECESSARILY PROVIDE A LOT OF INFORMATION. I CAN JUST SAY WHAT WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST THAT IF THE PUBLIC COMMENT COULD REDUCE THE THOUGHTS TO WRITING AND EMAIL TO MARY, THE WONDERFUL, CURRENT ACTING GENERAL MANAGER THEN SHE PERHAPS WILL BE ABLE TO REFER THAT TO THE CORRECT DEPARTMENT HEAD TO PROVIDE ANSWERS. IS THAT POSSIBLE? OKAY. THEN THAT WOULD BE MY SUGGESTION GIVEN WHAT WE ARE OPERATING IN HERE. SO, THAT TAKES US TO THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM WHICH IS THE CONTINUE --.

WE NEED TO TAKE A MOTION.

I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR.

THANK YOU. SORRY. IS THERE A SECOND?

I SECOND IT.

THANK YOU. AND

THANK YOU.

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR TO APPROVE CONSENT CALENDARS 1 THROUGH 4. COUNCILMEMBER HITTON,

YES.

VICE MAYOR.

AYE.

MAYOR.

YES.

CARTER IS ON THE LINE. YOU CAN LINE. CAN YOU HEAR US OKAY? WE'RE HAVING ISSUES WITH COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. MOTION PASSES 4-1 WITH 1. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS ABSENT RIGHT NOW.

OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR THE REMINDER. THANK YOU.

NOW WE ARE MOVING ONTO THE INFORMATIONAL ITEMS AND PRESENTATIONS OF WHICH WE HAVE NONE. YOU NO . NOW WE ARE GETTING IN TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 5. FOR -- THE ADOPTION OF THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTIONS. THERE'S RESOLUTIONS ARE THAT WE ADOPT THE OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 25-26 AND A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT FOR THE SAME TIME PERIOD AND A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE USE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FUND. ALSO THE APPROVAL OF THE ACTING CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS LISTED BELOW AS APPROVED IN THIS BUDGET WHICH ARE THE OUTREACH COMMUNICATIONS, FILL ILL C BROOKS ASSOCIATES, GHD, ENGINEERING SERVICES AND STONE CREEK ENVIRONMENTAL. AND FURTHER THE ADOPTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL CONFERENCES TRAINING USE AND FUNDS POLICY.

THANK YOU. GOOD EVENING MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS. SO, I HAVE A SHORT POWER POINT PRESENTATION. I'M SORRY.

BEFORE WE GO INTO THAT AGENDA ITEM I WANT TO ANNOUNCE WE GOT THE OPINION. THE ABSENCE IS OFFICIAL. WE WILL RECEIVE IT. SO IT WAS A PART OF THE STATE BUDGET THAT GOT ADOPTED. THAT IS NOW RESOLVED. WE WILL NOW RECEIVE THAT FUNDING. IT'S EFFECTIVE JANUARY. I DON'T -- IF THE CITY ATTORNEY WANTS TO PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION.

NO. THAT'S RIGHT. PART OF THE BUDGET. SO WE STATE -- STATE AGENCY, TAKES A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TO DO IT. SO, NAH -- THE SALES TAX WILL GO INTO EFFECT

JANUARY 1st LIKELY THIS YEAR AND THE INTERIM PERIOD WE WOULD STILL RECEIVE THE EQUIVALENT AMOUNT.

THAT IS EXCELLENT NEWS. THANK YOU TO OUR CURRENT ACTING GENERAL MANAGER FOR ANNOUNCING THAT BEGINNING AND TO OUR ATTORNEY FOR VERIFYING IT. MOST IMPORTANTLY THANK YOU TO THE PUBLIC FOR ALLOWING THIS ALL TO HAPPEN. SO, DEFINITELY WANT TO NOTE THAT. AND WITH THAT THEN I THINK WE ARE GOING TO PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU MR. MAYOR AND THAT IS GOOD NEWS. SO, TONIGHT IS MEETING NUMBER 2 FOR THE CITY BUDGET FOR JULY 1st 2025 TO JUNE 30th OF 2026. THIS IS JUST A QUICK RECAP. WHAT HAPPENED ON JUNE 17th. HERE IS THE BACKGROUND. THE COUNCIL DID REVIEW THESE FOLLOWING ITEMS. SO THERE WAS THE CITY WIDE REVENUE AND EXPENSES. WE TALKED ABOUT THE TRANSFER WE TALKED ABOUT THE GENERAL FUND. THE COUNCIL DID GO THROUGH EACH OF THE DEPARTMENT BUDGET, NONDEPARTMENTAL, SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS, ENTERPRISE FUND, ASSESSMENT DISTRICT AND THE ABOVE ITEMS THAT I JUST MENTIONED. THE COUNCIL EXPRESSED CONSENSUS AND ACCEPTED AS IS. AND POST JUNE 17th OF THE LAST MEETING IT COMES TO THE STAFF ATTENTION THAT THE WELL 7 IMMEDIATE REPLACEMENT THE COUNCIL APPROVED THE CONTRACT FOR 102,000 FOR THE MEDIA WE PLACEMENT HOWEVER THERE WAS SOME DELAY IN INSTALLATION OF THE MEDIA PROJECTS, MEDIA REPLACEMENT PROJECTS. IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN UNTIL THE WEEK OF JULY 7th SO STAFF IS REQUESTING A CARRY OVER TO THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR IN THE WATER BUDGET FOR THIS CONTRACT. SO TOPIC FOR TONIGHT, JULY 1th, DISCUSSION FOR THE COUNCIL IS PENSION TRUST, 1 MILLION TRANSFER PROPOSAL WHETHER IT STAYS AS IS OR TRANSFER TO 115 TRUST. THE COUNCIL CAN DISCUSS ABOUT THAT. POLICE STAFFING, THE FROZEN POSITION. CURRENTLY IS NOT IN THE BUDGET. YOU CAN HAVE A DISCUSSION WHETHER TO UNFREEZE THAT OR STAY AS FROZEN. OUTSOURCING THE LANDSCAPING. 75,300 AS A PROPOSAL. IF IT DOES APPROVE THE POSITION WILL REMAIN FROZEN. DOWNTOWN TRASH CAN. IF THERE IS DISCUSSION ABOUT IF THERE IS ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE TRASH CAN. THE ITEM OF DOWNTOWN BEAUTIFICATION. COMMUNITY CENTER IMPROVEMENT, MEASURE U FUNDS IF THERE IS ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION. THE INTERIM CITY MANAGER AND ACTING CITY MANAGER SAID THERE IS AN UPDATED REVISION ALONG THE STATE SO THERE WILL BE MORE FUNDING FOR THE REST OF THE OTHER HALF OF THE FISCAL YEAR. THERE'S IV ES PARK FENCING FOR. PUBLIC ART SIGNAGE. NO, THOSE ARE THE TOPICS THAT THE COUNCIL CAN DISCUSS. IN ADDITION TO THE TOPIC THERE IS THE COUNCIL CONFERENCE TRAINING USE OF FUNDS POLICY. THERE'S A \$2,000 IN THE BUDGET FOR IN-STATE TRAVEL AND ANY UNUSED FUND MAY BE TRANSFERRED WITH COUNCIL APPROVAL. IF EVERYTHING GOES WELL THE BUDGET ADOPTION -- FOR THE BUDGET ADOPTION STAFF RECOMMENDS THE COUNCIL ADOPT THE BUDGET, SET THE LIMITS, AUTHORIZE THE USE OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT FORCE AND AUTHORIZATION TO ENACT THE CONTRACT. THANK YOU.

GREAT. THANK YOU. I THINK THERE WAS A QUESTION WITH THE VICE MAYOR AND I HAVE A QUESTION.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY. ON YOUR LIST OF TOPICS. THE ONE WE HAVE MISSING WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES WHICH WAS THE 30 -- NUMBER 2 ON THE

AGENDA ITEM HERE. I UNDERSTAND -- I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THAT SINCE THERE'S A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO.

THAT IS CORRECT. THE COUNCIL AUTHORIZED ONE MONTH OF JULY FOR 3350 TO SO THAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED ONTO THIS AS WELL. THAT WAS -- THAT WAS --.

APPROVED ALREADY.

THERE'S 40,000 IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT BUDGET FOR HOMELESS OUTREACH THAT DOESN'T GO TO ANY PARTICULAR CONTRACT. THE DISCUSSION OF THE CONTRACT WILL BE ON A FURTHER AGENDA ITEM BUT THERE IS THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE MONTH OF JULY FOR WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY.

GREAT. THEN ALSO IS THIS THE TIME TO ADD THINGS FOR ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION? THIS IS --.

I HAVE ONE OTHER THING.

YES. ME TOO. I GUESS I'M JUST GOING TO ASK THE DIRECTOR SINCE THIS IS YOUR BUDGET WITH YOUR ENTERTAINING OTHER IDEAS AT THIS POINT FOR OTHER DISCUSSIONS BEFORE WE GET IN AND GO THROUGH THE LIST.

I WOULD SAY RIGHT NOW IF YOU COULD ASK QUESTIONS. IF WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS AND THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD IN TO THE BUDGET. THAT WAY WHEN WE GET TO PUBLIC COMMENT THE COMMUNITY KNOWS WHAT THE ADDS ARE. THAT IS MY RECOMMENDATION.

SO JUST GO THROUGH THE LAUNDRY LIST AND SEE IF ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES HAVE QUESTIONS. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS AND IF YOU HAVE MORE WE CAN BRING THEM UP AFTER WE ADDRESS THE TOPICS.

ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT IS UP ON THE SCREEN?

ONE OTHER CLARIFICATION AND FORGIVE ME IF I MISSED IT. I SEE WE HAVE THE ONE SECTION HERE THAT -- ON THE LIST HERE TO TALK ABOUT BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE WHOLE FENCE. SOME OF THE SECTION THAT WERE DISCUSSED WERE NOT LIKE ONES THAT I WAS THINKING OF. I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND -- I UNDERSTAND THAT WE HAVE A QUOTE FOR ALL OF IT SO UNDERSTAND THE FULL AMOUNT AND JUST MAKE SURE THAT IS PART OF THE DISCUSSION, ALL THE FENCE THERE. NOT JUST THAT MIDDLE PART. > IS IT UP TO THE COUNCIL. WE DID PROVIDE THE QUOTES FOR EACH SECTION. IT'S NOT SPECIFIC TO THIS. THE BUDGET -- AT THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING AS WE WENT THROUGH ALL THE ITEMING THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVED, NOT -- WITH A LOT OF THE ITEMS. WE WENT THROUGH ALL THE ITEMS. THESE ARE TOPICS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. THOUGH IT SAYS 57,000 THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL, WHAT CITY STAFF BROUGHT FORWARD. ANY COUNCILMEMBER CAN DISCUSS ANYTHING IN THE BUDGET.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. I LEARNED LATER THAT THE SECTION THAT I WAS THINKING OF WAS NOT ACTUALLY WHAT PUBLIC WORKS WAS THINKING WHEN WE WERE DOING ALL THIS. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S OPEN.

YES.

OTHER QUESTIONS?

IF YOU ADD THE -- WE --.

SORRY. YES. I CAN DO THE LIVE CALCULATIONS. YOU WANT ME TO TELL YOU HOW MUCH -- THE ADDED SALES TAX. WHAT THE ACTING CITY MANAGER SAID WOULD

ADD TO THE BUDGET \$375,000. YES. THAT'S CORRECT. IF YOU ADD 375 TO THE BUDGET RIGHT NOW WITHOUT ANY CHANGES THE BUDGET STAYS AS IS, NO CHANGES, THE RESERVE LEVEL WILL BE 30.1%. 523 AS A SURPLUS.

THANK YOU.

GREAT. OKAY.

YES, REGARDING THE TRASH CANS -- DOWNTOWN TRASH CANS. YOU CAN CLARIFY HOW MUCH HAS ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED BY SCRR FOR THE TRASH CANS?

SO, SCRR PROVIDED 25,000 PER THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT FOR WHATEVER WE CHOOSE TO PURCHASE FOR THE TRASH CANS.

THANK YOU.

AND OTHER QUESTIONS?

WHILE SHE IS DOING THAT I WANT TO REMIND EVERYBODY THAT COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS ONLINE. IF HE ALSO HAS ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS JUST REMINDER.

OKAY. AND THEN HOW WILL I KNOW THAT?

WE WILL GO THROUGH QUESTIONS HERE. I WANT TO NOT FORGET HE IS OUT THERE. GOT IT.

SPEND OVER A MILLION DOLLARS TO GET DOWN TO 20%.

CORRECT.

SO YOU WERE 148K SURPLUS AT THE LAST MEETING. SO YOU ADD 375 TO IT. IT'LL BRING YOU TO 523. IT'S SURPLUS. OKAY? SO, THAT WILL BRING THE LEVEL TO 30.1%.

I BELIEVE IT WAS 27.

IT WAS 27.6 THE LAST TIME.

SPEND OVER A MILLION DOLLARS TO GET DOWN TO 20%.

QUESTION.

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER AND THEN BACK.

CAN YOU -- TELL US THE IMPORTANCE OF THE RESERVE NUMBERS? WHERE DO THEY COME FROM? WHAT'S THE IDEAL RESERVE NUMBER? I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND THAT. I HAVEN'T HEARD ABOUT THE 20% RESERVE BENCHMARK.

SO THE 20% IS BASED ON THE EXPENSES. WHATEVER THE EXPENSE IS IF YOU WANT TO STAY AT 20% THEN YOU WOULD NEED -- YOU KNOW HOWEVER IT WORKS OUT. SO IT'S BASED ON THE EXPENDITURE. MY QUESTION IS MORE LIKE WHY ISN'T IT 30%, WHY ISN'T IT 50%? WHY IS IT 20%\$ 25?

IT WAS IN THE POLICY. IT WAS THE FINANCIAL POLICY WAS ESTABLISHED YEARS AGO. AT THAT TIME IT WAS BEST PRACTICE PER DFOA WHICH IS THE GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL OFFICERS WHERE THE 20% IS KIND OF LIKE A GOLD STANDARD AT THAT TIME. IT IS STILL UP TO ANY AGENCY THAT THEY CAN RAISE THAT ABOVE 20%, 50%. SO IT WAS IN THE POLICY MANY, MANY YEARS AGO.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

YES. I'M NOT INCLUDING THOSE YOU HAVE A SURPLUS RIGHT NOW. YOU HAVE A SURPLUS OF \$148,000. YOU'RE ADDING TO THIS POT AND THAT WILL BRING IT UP TO 30%. THAT YOU HAVE TO BE IN THE DEFICIT.

JUST TO CLARIFY. I THINK WHAT YOU ARE SAYING AT 27% WE HAD A SURPLUS OF 148, RIGHT?

CORRECT.

SO IF WE ADD ALL THE ADDITIONAL IT BRINGS US UP SO THE DELTA BETWEEN 20 AND 30% IS THE MILLION.

CORRECT.

IN THE SURPLUS IS BASED ON THE YEAR. AND THEN YOU HAVE THE RESERVE THAT'S SET ASIDE. YOUR SURPLUS IS ADDING TO THE EXISTING RESERVE. SO IF YOU DON'T -- IF YOU HAVE A ZERO SURPLUS AT ALL FULLY BALANCED BUDGET YOU WOULD STILL BE -- HAVE A 27% FROM BEFORE.

YES.

YOU HAVE TO PULL MONEY OUT OF THE RESERVE. GO INTO DEFICIT FOR THE YEAR TO PULL THAT MONEY OUT TO GET THAT RESERVE DOWN.

WE'RE JUST TRYING TO MAKE SURE THE MATH IS MATHING.

AND THEN MY QUESTION THAT I HAD RAISED BEFORE AND I INCORRECTLY SAID AS FAR AS ONE TIME FUNDING BECAUSE AGAIN IT'S LIKE -- AND A LOT OF EVEN FROM THE PUBLIC COMMENT, PUBLIC FROM CONCERNS ABOUT USING ONE TIME FUNDING. ONE TIME -- ONE TIME SORT OF INFLUX OF MONEY THAT WE CAN'T COUNT ONGOING FORWARD WHICH IS THE VICE MAYOR JUST SAID WHICH IS BUILDING FEES. SO DIRECTOR, WHAT ASIDES THE BUILDING FEE IS THIS BUDGET BUILT ON?

JUST THE BUILDING FEES. JUST THE ONE TIME REVENUE.

SO WE ARE SUPER CLEAR THAT WE ARE NOT BUILDING THIS ON MORE ONE TIME FUNDING ALLOTMENT BEYOND BUILDING FEES.

CORRECT. CIVIL THAT CORRECT?

THAT'S CORRECT.

I REALLY NEED TO BE CLEAR.

IT IS VERY CLEAR. THE BUILDING FEES IS THE ONLY ONE. IF YOU GO INTO HISTORY ON THE REVENUE YOU WOULD HAVE SEEN THE BUILDING FEES HAVE INCREASED OVER THE YEARS AND THAT HAS BEEN VERY CLEAR THAT IS A ONE TIME REVENUE.

GOT IT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM MY COLLEAGUES?

LET ME GO LOOK FOR THAT POSITION COST RIGHT NOW.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER.

COULD YOU ALSO LOOK UP THE PUBLIC WORKS MAINTENANCE POSITION AS WELL IN TERMS OF COST?

YES. LET ME LOOK THAT UP.

THANK YOU.

AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK AT THIS TIME?

I HAVE TROUBLE HEARING COUNCILMEMBER HINTON BUT I DON'T HAVE ANY BUDGET QUESTIONS.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

THE COST OF THE POLICE POSITION WAS \$170,000 TO UNFREEZE THE POSITION AND THE PUBLIC WORKS WAS 105.

YES.

DOES THAT INCLUDE THE BENEFITS? IS THAT THE WHOLE COST?

YES. YES.

SORRY.

ADDING THAT POSITION AS FAR AS THE POLICE BUDGET OF THE GENERAL FUND?

YES. CAN I ASK ANOTHER QUESTION WHILE WE WAIT?

SURE UNLESS SHE WOULD LIKE A PAUSE TO FIGURE IT OUT BEFORE ENTERTAINING OTHER QUESTIONS. LEAVING IT UP TO THE DIRECTOR.

IS IT A QUESTION I CAN ADDRESS?

MAYBE. SO, PART OF THE AGENDA ITEM COVERS ROMAN NUMERAL 2 WHICH INCLUDES THE SUBCONTRACTS A THROUGH E. IS THIS THE TIME WE SHOULD BE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT THOSE TOO OR ARE WE GOING TO TACKLE THIS AND THEN COME BACK AND TACKLE THE TWO AND THE THREE AS PART OF THIS AGENDA ITEM?

ARE YOU TALKING THE ROUTINE CONTRACTS ON THERE FOR APPROVAL?

YES.

YES.

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO GO HEAD AND AND CAN.

I THOUGHT LAST TIME WE DISCUSSED SOME OF THESE THEY WERE ONLY GOING TO EXTEND THROUGH HALF THE YEAR AND IT LOOKS LIKE WHAT YOU ARE ASKING FOR IS A PROVAL FOR THE ENTIRE YEAR AGAIN THROUGH JUNE 30th OF 26. IS THERE A REASON THAT WE ARE ONCE AGAIN EXTENDING THESE OUT?

I'M NOT -- SORRY. TYPICALLY YOU WOULD DO IT FOR THE YEAR. I'M NOT SURE ANY OF THESE CONTRACTS WOULD DO IT FOR SIX MONTHS. WE ARE GOING OUT FOR A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS SO THAT BEFORE THE NEXT BUDGET WE WILL HAVE THOSE DONE PRIOR TO THIS.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON FOLLOW UP, VICE MAYOR?

I WAS JUST TRYING TO CLARIFY. MEANING IF THEY HAVE EIGHT MORE MONTHS ON THEIR CONTRACT THEN YOU ARE GOING TO GO FOR THE -- LET IT GO FOR THE EIGHT MONTH?

THESE ARE ALL ONE YEAR CONTRACTS. THEY ALL ENDED ON JUNE 30th OF THIS YEAR IT WOULD START NEXT YEAR BUT WITH IN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS WE WILL START THE ROP PROCESS, HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION IN TO THE NEXT BUDGET.

OKAY. > IF YOU ADD \$170 TO THE POLICE IT WOULD BE 39% OF THE GENERAL FUND.

ALL RIGHT. NOT TO BELABOR THE POINT. IF YOU SAY AGAIN HOW MUCH ARE THE BUILDING FEE IS -- IS ROCK SOLID LIKE THERE'S NO APPROXIMATION. IT'S THE FULL 100% FOR ALL THE BUILDINGS?

THAT'S THE FULL PER SENT OF WHAT WE ANTICIPATE BASED ON WHAT WE KNOW IS PROJECTED. THOSE ARE WHAT WE BELIEVE WILL COME IN.

AND THOSE ARE WITH IN THE SPECS. WE ARE NOT GOING TO GO ALONG A ROAD AND THEN ALL THE SUDDEN THEY ARE GOING TO WANT TO REDUCE THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OR REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF HOUSING OR WHATEVER THAT -- THAT'S TAKEN CARE OF.

WE CAN'T PREDICT THE FUTURE THAT SOMEONE WILL DO BANKRUPT. THAT'S A CHANCE ALWAYS BUT, YEAH, THIS IS BUILT ON WHAT WE KNOW. THEY ARE BASED ON PAST PROJECTS. EXAMPLE IS THE HOTEL. WE HAVE APPROVED THAT, WE HAVE THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. THEY WILL COME IN BY DECEMBER FOR THE BUILDING PERMIT WE ARE BUILDING THE BUDGET BASED ON THAT.

GOT IT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE MY COLLEAGUES -- BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

I HAVE A QUESTION. WHEN WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO SHOW THE LITTLE PROJECT THAT I DID OVER THE WEEKEND?

AS WE ARE GOING THROUGH THESE RIGHT NOW DOWNTOWN TRASH CANS I BELIEVE IS WHAT RU --.

YES.

SO THAT WOULD BE NOW IF YOU WANTED TO DISCUSS THE DOWNTOWN TRASH CANS AS FUNDING ALLOCATION.

OKAY. SO, FOR MY COLLEAGUES I KNOW YOU WILL BE JEALOUS. I SPENT MY SUNDAY EVENING WALKING AROUND THE DOWNTOWN MAIN STREET, THE SQUARE TAKING A PICTURE OF EVERY CITY TRASH CAN THAT WE HAVE AND DOCUMENTING ITS LOCATION. SOME THOUGHT I WAS VERY STRANGE AND PROMPTED A VARIETY OF CONVERSATIONS. I DID THAT JUST BECAUSE THEY ARE AN EYESORX A LOT OF TIMES - - MYSELF EVEN I WAS A LITTLE SHOCKED WHEN I STARTED FINDING SOME THINGS. I JUST WANTED EVERYBODY TO SEE WHAT I HAVE BEEN SEEING. SO I ACTUALLY PUT TOGETHER A PRESENTATION. I STRICTLY DID THE SQUARE AND THEN I WALKED DOWN SOUTH FROM -- LET'S SEE. I DID THE SQUARE AND THEN I WALKED UP TOO BASICALLY WHERE THE BANK IS AT, WALKED DOWN SOUTH TO THE POST OFFICE AND THEN BACK UP AROUND. THE AREA IT COVERS. I JUST WANTED EVERYBODY TO HAVE A CHANCE TO SEE THE DISREPAIR THAT ALL THE TRASH CANS IN BECAUSE PART OF OUR PRIORITIES IS DOWNTOWN BEAUTIFICATION AND THE SQUARE HAS THE WORST TRASH CANS. THEY ARE ALL PRETTY BAD BUT THE SQUARE ITSELF. THERE'S A FEW DIFFERENT -- LET'S SEE. IF YOU -- IS THAT THE FIRST SLIDE? I'M SORRY? OKAY. SO I THINK THERE'S LIKE FIVE SLIDES IN YOU JUST WANT TO JUST SLOWLY GO THROUGH IT AND SHOW EVERYBODY. PARDON ME? THIS IS MORE OF THE DOWNTOWN. THERE'S JUST MOST OF THEM ARE RUSTED OUT. THERE ARE SOME THAT ARE MISSING LIDS AND I FOUND WITH THE TYPE OF TRASH CAN IS THAT DOWN IN THE BOTTOM THERE'S JUST TRASH THAT COLLECTS DOWN IN THE BOTTOM THAT I DON'T KNOW HOW OFTEN IT GETS PICKED UP. CIGARETTE BUTTS, ALL KINDS OF STUFF. IT LOOKS UNKEMPT AND THERE WERE A FEW THAT LOOKED OKAY BUT OVERALL -- AND I DON'T KNOW THE AGE OF THEM BUT THE FACT WE HAVE THE 25K FROM SERR AND THEN I JUST WANTED TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION WITH OUR COLLEAGUE ITSELF THERE WOULD BE THE WILL TO ADD A LITTLE MORE MONEY TO THAT SO WE COULD START SOMEWHERE, MAKE A -- WE -- I DON'T KNOW THAT WE CAN AFFORD TO REPLACE THEM ALL. WE CAN AT LEAST MAKE A GOOD START. I THINK IT'S UP TO THE CITY STAFF TO FIGURE OUT WHAT TRASH CANS WE NEED. I JUST WANTED TO SHARE WITH EVERYTHING SINCE IT'S NOT LIKE WE WALK AROUND EVERY DAY LOOKING AT THEM.

I HEARD THAT SCRR HAD RECOMMENDED CERTAIN TRASH CANS BECAUSE THEY WERE EASIER TO SERVICE SO DO YOU HAVE PICTURES OF THOSE CANS THAT THEY RECOMMENDED?

WE DON'T HAVE PICTURES. IT IS MORE OF THE TYPE THEY LIKE THE ROUND ONES BETTER THAN SQUARE BECAUSE THEY ARE EASIER.

SOT DOUBLE THAT ARE SHOWN HERE?

THOSE ARE OKAY?

THOSE ARE OKAY.

AND THEN THE TRIPLE THAT ARE SQUARE, ARE THOSE OKAY?

THEY ARE HARDER TO MAINTAIN. WE HAVE -- WE HAVE A ROUND ROUND CONTAINER IN A SQUARE.

COULD TALK ABOUT THE PROCESS OF REFURBISHING THEM?

SCRR WAS KIND ENOUGH TO TAKE ONE TO A LOCAL BODY SHOP NEXT DOOR TO THEIR FACILITY AND THEY REFINISHED IT BUT IT'S ALMOST AS MUCH AS BUYING A NEW ONE. THAT'S WHERE THE DECISION NEEDS TO BE MADE. YOU CAN SEE THEY ARE IN PRETTY BAD SHAPE AND WE BRING THEM UP TO A NICE SHINY COAT OR JUST REPLACE THEM AT THIS POINT.

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ADD PART OF THE CHALLENGE IS JUST -- WITH THAT DESIGN ALL THE TRASH THAT COLLECTS UNDERNEATH AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT ACTUALLY -- I DON'T WANT TO PREJUDGE ANYONE BUT IT DIDN'T LOOK LIKE THEY HAD BEEN CLEANED OUT FOR A LONG TIME. THERE'S ALL SORTS OF SPIDERWEBS. ANY WAY.

THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

HOW OLD ARE THESE?

WE DON'T KNOW.

TEN YEARS YEARS?

I WOULD SAY PROBABLY EXCEEDING TEN YEARS. THEY ARE PRETTY RUSTED OUT.

I ALSO HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION BUT NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRASH CANS. IF SOMEONE WANTS TO FINISH TRASH CANS.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS IN

I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, I BROUGHT THIS FORWARD BECAUSE I WANTED TO DISCUSS WHETHER OR NOT WE WOULD BE WILLING TO ADD MORE MONEY. I THINK I WANT TO LEAVE IT UP TO THE CITY STAFF TO FIGURE OUT WHICH ARE RIGHT. WE ARE HERE TO SET POLICY, NOT DECIDE ON THE TRASH CANS BUT -- I KNOW SCRR HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH IT. I JUST WANTED TO ALL -- EVERYBODY TO KNOW WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. I'M NOT ASKING JUST BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED -- YOU KNOW WANT -- YOU KNOW -- A DIFFERENT TRASH CAN. WE NEED NEW ONES TO MAKE THE DOWNTOWN LOOK NICE.

ALL RIGHT.

AS WE TALK ABOUT ADDING MONEY AND WE HAVE MORE FROM THE SALES TAX. WE ONLY HAVE A 40% GOAL OF HOW TO SPEND THAT MORE MONEY. I SEE TONY IN THE AUDIENCE. I'M INTERESTED IN MORE PROJECTS MAYBE THAT WE SHOULD -- MOVE MONEY TO CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS OR STORM DRAINS HAVE BEEN AN ISSUE. WE WERE CONSERVATIVE. WE DIDN'T KNOW WE HAD EXTRA MONEY. MAYBE WE HAD SHOOK AT MORE MAJOR PROJECTS. I WAS LOOKING THROUGH MY CPI AND MY NOTES. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN WHAT PROJECTS MIGHT BE RECOMMENDED NOW THAT WE KNOW WE HAVE A LITTLE MORE MONEY TO WORK WITH.

YES.

MAJOR PROJECTS.

WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDED -- ED OR MARY. WHAT WOULD BE YOUR PROCESS ABOUT GOING FROM THE GENERAL PLAN TO THE CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT FUND. WE HAVE MORE MONEY SO MAYBE WE DON'T JUST TALK ABOUT THE GENERAL FUND SEPARATE FROM THE CIP IS WHAT I UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTION.

THAT IS MY QUESTION. MAYBE WE NEED TO PUT SOME OF THAT MONEY NOW THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT THE WHOLE THING INTO INFRASTRUCTURE.

GOT IT.

SO MY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE -- WE SET ASIDE THE 40% FOR THAT AND JUST PUT THAT AMOUNT ASIDE AND HAVE THAT CONVERSATION WHEN WE COME UP WITH THE CIP. THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION. THAT SOUNDS GOOD TO ME.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT? LOOK TO MY RIGHT, MY LEFT. SEEING NONE. AND I SEE A HAND BUT THAT'S NOT COUNCIL --. NO.

ACTUALLY COUNCILMEMBER --.

I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE PENSION TRUST. THE ONE MILLION DOLLARS. YOU CAN TELL US WHERE THAT MONEY IS RIGHT NOW AND WHAT KIND OF INTEREST IS IT EARNING?

THAT MONEY IS IN THE PENSION FUNDS. THE FUND 105. SO IN THAT FUND IT HAS TWO LEVEL. THERE'S A 115 TRUST IN THERE AND THEN A NONDISCRETIONARY WHICH IS THE ONE MILLION DOLLARS.

IS IT A BANK EARNING INTEREST?

IT'S INVESTED. THE ONE THAT IS ALSO MANAGING -- THE 115 TRUST FOR US. THERE'S A 115 TRUST AND THEN A NONDISCRETIONARY THAT THEY DO THE INVESTMENT AND WHAT'S THE INTEREST RATE THAT MONEY IS GETTING?

I DON'T HAVE THAT. I CAN GO LOOK.

OKAY.

THANK YOU.

IF YOU GIVE ME LIKE A MINUTE > ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? SHOULD WE WAIT FOR AN ANSWER BEFORE GOING TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

LET'S GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN COME BACK AND ADDRESS THE QUESTION. OKAY.

SO, AGAIN THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CITY BUDGET. NOT THE CITY CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT IT'S A TWO MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT. I GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN OUT TO ZOOM. CHAMBERS, ZOOM.

HI.

SO, WE ARE ABOUT TO ENTER A REALLY UNIQUE ASPECT OF THE BUDGET PROCESS. I HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING COUNCIL FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS AND IT'S A LOT OF PET PROJECTS GET DUMPED ON US AT THE LAST MINUTE. I HAVE SEEN LAST MINUTE HIRES, I HAVE SEEN LAST MINUTE PROJECTS. I HAVE SEEN LAST MINUTE SPENDING ON LOCAL COMMUNITY PET PROJECTS HAPPEN YEAR AFTER YEAR AFTER YEAR. WE JUST EXPERIENCED A HUGE REVENUE GAIN BY THIS CITY ON OUR BACKS. SO SALES TAX INCREASES, WASTEWATER INCREASES, WATER INCREASES, ALL OF THESE THINGS ARE CONTRIBUTING TO THIS EXCESS REVENUE THEY ARE NOW DISCUSSING ON WHAT THEY WILL DO. THERE'S BEEN A YEAR OF BUDGETING PROCESS TO GET TO WHERE WE ARE AT TONIGHT. TO HEAR THEY ARE GOING TO ADD THINGS ON LAST MINUTE TO SPEND THIS EXCESS MONEY HAS ME -- QUESTIONING THE WHOLE THINGS. WHY WAS THAT EXTRA MONEY THERE? WERE WEREN'T WE MORE FISCALLY CONSERVATIVE ABOUT ASKING FROM THE TAXPAYERS AND WHAT WASN'T IT BEING USED TO ACCOUNTS FOR THE ITEM AS LONG THE WAY? WHY WASN'T IT ADDED IN A TIMELY MANNER, DEBATED, DISCUSSED IN A SUBCOMMITTEE INSTEAD OF MAKING THE DECISIONS TONIGHT? YOU WILL WATCH THIS HAPPEN. YOU WILL SEE A LOT OF SPENDING HAPPENING TO BRING THIS DOWN TO THIS 20% NOT TO MENTION YEARS OF

PUTTING MONEY INTO A RESERVE FUND RATHER THAN SPENDING ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO THE POINT WHERE WE HAVE SUCH DESREP AI R THAT WE HAVE TO REPOLICE REPLACE IT AT A MUCH HIGHER COST INSTEAD THIS DESIRE TO HIRE RESERVE AND BLOW IT ALL WAY. WATCH IT HAPPEN.

NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. SEAMUS, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

MY ONLY PUBLIC COMMENT IS THAT I AM ALSO HAVING TROUBLE HEARING SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. WE WILL MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY IS -- MICROPHONE IS UP CLOSE SO THAT THE COMMUNITY CAN HEAR EVERYBODY SPEAKING. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. OLIVER.

JUST A QUICK ON THE TRASH CANS. SOME OF THEM I THINK COULD BE -- VERY DONE -- SOME OF THEM I THINK COULD BE SAND BLASTED WHICH COULDN'T COST MUCH MONEY. IT WOULD CLEAN ALL THE DEBRIS FROM THE BOTTOM. THERE'S A LOT OF ART PEOPLE. WE COULD MAKE THE CASING THAT ARE GOOD AND UNIQUE SO TOURISTS COME THEY COULD BE PAINTED IN DIFFERENT COLORS. WE NEED SOME NEW TRASH CANS BUT JUSTIN EXPENSIVE WAY OF DOING THAT AS WELL AS BUYING NEW ONES. JUST A THOUGHT.

THANK YOU. OLIVER FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT OUT TO ZOOM, ROBERT CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? >

DID THAT WORK?

THAT DID. YOU CAN SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GREAT. GO HEAD.

YEAH. GREAT NEWS ON THE EXTRA REVENUE. I HAD THE SAME THOUGHT AS COUNCILWOMAN HINTON, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU KNOW, IT LOOKS LIKE FROM WHAT I READ IN THE FRONT THIS THING THAT THE EXTRA QUARTER CENT WAS \$350 ROUGHLY. 40% OF THAT SHOULD GO TO INFRASTRUCTURE BASED ON THE AGREEMENT YOU HAD WITH THE CITY. THAT LEAVES ABOUT 200,000 YOU MAY BE ABLE TO SPEND THIS YEAR. I HAVE QUESTIONS THAT I THINK I HEARD THE MAYOR ALSO SAY AROUND THE BUILDING FEES. LAST YEAR THE BUILDING FEES CAME IN WELL SHORT OF WHAT THEY THOUGHT AND THAT WAS LARGELY BECAUSE OF DELAYS IN PROJECTS. AT THIS POINT IT'S THE END OF JULY. WE HAVEN'T SEEN THAT RESIDENTIAL FACILITY ON HEELSBURG. I WAS BY THERE AND THE TREES ARE STILL STANDING. CANOPY HAS BEEN MOVING SLOWER THAN MOLASSES BUT THEY ARE AT LEAST BREAKING GROUND. SAINT VINCENT DEPAUL HASN'T OPENED THE FENCE YET. I DON'T THINK WE WILL GET MUCH MONEY FROM THE WHATEVER THAT PROJECT IS OVER NEXT TO THE -- ACROSS THE SAFEWAY. I'M STILL A LITTLE SKEPTICAL THAT \$200,000 THAT YOU HAVE LEFTOVER TO SPEND IS REAL OR NOT THIS YEAR. PROBABLY ENOUGH RESERVES TO EAT IF IT WASN'T. I WOULD VOTE FOR THE STORM DRAINS IF YOU WANT TO PUT SOMETHING ON A LIST. I THINK THAT THE TRASH CANS ARE HORRIBLE AND I WISH THERE WAS A LITTLE MORE MONEY. I THINK THAT YOU NEED THE OTHER PERMANENT POLICE POSITION. I THINK -- THE DEMANDS ON IT BY ELDERBERRY ART GOING AWAY. THE SAINT VINCENT DEPAUL THING IN SANTA ROSA. IT IS NOT CHANGED IN TWO YEARS AND ADDING THE COMMONS WILL ADD A DEMAND LIKELY ON THE POLICE FORCE. MAYBE NOW IS THE TIME TO START THINKING ABOUT THAT LAST POSITION, MAKING PERMANENT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT INTO CHAMBERS.

THANK YOU. MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBER. I'M VERY EXCITED FOR THIS THOUGHT ABOUT THE VISIBLE CIVIC INFRASTRUCTURE WHICH DOES DEAPPRECIATE. THE TRASH CANS ARE A FINE EXAMPLE AND EQUAL IS THE FENCING WHICH I THINK HAS DEAPPRECIATED, IS RUSTED, AND I -- THRILLD THAT RU THINKING ABOUT SOME BUDGET ALLOCATION TO START THE PROCESS OF MAKING THE PARK BUST. I WANT TO COMPLIMENT THE POOL AND BASE ALL ORGANIZATION AND CENTER OF THE ARTS WHICH MAINTAIN THEIR OWN PART OF THE PARK. IT'S THE CONNECTIVE TISSUE, OUR CIVIC GATHERING SPACE, I WILL SEE YOU THERE TOMORROW NIGHT, THAT IS LOOKING A LOT WORSE FOR WEAR INCLUDING EVE TRASH CAN IN IVES PARK AND I JUST POINT OUT THERE ARE TIMES I HAVE PARKED DURING THE DAY AND THERE ARE MORE TRASH CANS THAN PEOPLE AND THAT'S PARTLY BECAUSE THE BEAUTY OF THE CIVIC INFRASTRUCTURE IS DEAPPRECIATING. THANK YOU FOR THINKING ABOUT IT. I WANT TO ENCOURAGE MONEY FOR FENCING ALONG CAULDER PARK.

NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE BUDGET PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

STEVE PIERCE. WANT TO FOLLOW ON WITH LAWRENCE'S REALLY GOOD COMMENTS. SOME OF YOU -- I KNOW -- YOU WERE AROUND PROBABLY WHEN THE FENCE WAS PUT UP MAYBE. 60s, 70s. I'M NOT SURE OF THE DATE THERE. 80s. PUT UP BY THE BOY SCOUTS LONG AGO. IT'S ACTUALLY HELD UP NOT TO BAD AFTER ALL THESE YEARS. IF YOU -- IF YOU JUST WALK THROUGH THE PARK AND YOU THINK WHAT'S THE BIGGEST EYE SORE? IT'S BY FAR AND AWAY THE FENCING. THERE WERE REALLY GOOD WORK DONE BY STAFF GETTING THESE PROPOSALS FOR ACTUALLY REPLACING SECTIONS OF IT. SOME REALLY QUITE NICE LOOKING FENCING. THERE ARE -- POTENTIAL TO MAYBE IMPROVE IT A LITTLE. THERE ARE FENCES THAT HAVE LITTLE MORE ORNAMENTS LIKE A CIRCLE UNDER THE TOP RAIL THAT I THINK WOULD ADD TO THE LOOK OF THE FENCING. I'M NOT SAYING HOW MUCH WE SHOULD DO BUT IF -- WE NEED TO START THAT PROCESS WITH THE FENCING. I GET INFRASTRUCTURE IS -- ESPECIALLY OUR STORM DRAINS ARE IN REALLY PRETTY BAD SHAPE BY THE POST OFFICE ANNEX THERE. IT'S -- WE HAVE BEEN SUED BEFORE FROM THE POSTAL -- FROM THE ANNEX BECAUSE OF FLOODING ISSUES. WE NEED TO COVER OUR POTENTIAL LIKE THERE AND REALLY LOOK AT MOVING ON SOME OF THE BIGGER INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. LY GO OUT TO ZOOM F YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE BUDGET RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HI. LISA PIERCE. I REALLY AM EXCITED ABOUT THE TRASH CAN UPGRADE IDEA. I KNOW SOMEPLACES THERE'S -- ART WORK ON A LOT OF TRASH CANS AND YOU HAVE PROBABLY SEEN THE BEAUTIFUL MURALS AT THE GRANGE AND THOSE WERE DONE BY STUDENTS AND THERE'S A LOT OF OPPORTUNITIES THERE FOR POSSIBLE GRANTS AND KIDS GETTING INVOLVED AS WELL AS PROFESSIONAL ARTISTS MAYBE. SO, I WAS -- JUST FOUND OUT LAST NIGHT FROM MARY, THANK YOU, THAT THE FENCING WAS ADDED AND I'M SO EXCITED HOPEFUL WE MAY BE ABLE TO DO THIS. SO MANY HAVE BEEN WAITING YEARS SOY WANT TO THANK YOU AND HOPE THAT EVERYTHING WORKS OUT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE BUDGET PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBER ITSELF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBER THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE BUDGET IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU. BRINGING IT UP TO MY COLLEAGUES WHO -- I GUESS THE PROCESS WAS WE WERE SUPPOSED TO GO THROUGH THOSE THAT WERE ON SCREEN AND THEN IF WE HAD ADDITIONAL THINGS TO DISCUSS WE WOULD DISCUSS THOSE AFTER THE ITEMS ON THE SCREEN. IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT. CAN I -- CAN I JUST ADDRESS THE BUILDING QUESTION. I DO HAVE THE BUILDING OFFICIAL HERE AND I THINK IT WOULD BE GOOD TO ADDRESS THE BUILDING FEES.

I DIDN'T WANT TO BELABOR THAT POINT BUT THANK YOU TO THE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT WHO IS NOW PUT THAT FRONT AND CENTER. YES. PLEASE EXPLAIN TO US ABOUT THE --.

YOU ARE ALL RIGHT IN THAT WE DO HAVE A GLUT OF BUILDING FEES COMING SEVERAL PROJECTS AND WE ARE HAVING TROUBLE WITH SEVERAL OF THOSE PROJECTS GETTING STARTED. I THINK THAT IN MY FRIDAY COMMENTS I LET YOU KNOW THAT DANOPY HAS FOUND ARSNIC SENIC SO THEY ARE HAVING TO CLEAN THAT UP. THE COMMONS ARE GOING TO START CONSTRUCTION NEXT MONDAY. WE EITHER GOT THE CHECK TODAY OR THEY ARE BRINGING IN TOMORROW. THAT'S WHAT I'M TOLD. WE DIDN'T FIGURE 100% OF ANY OF THEM COMING IN THE NEXT YEAR. I CAN TELL YOU WHAT IS COMING IN THE NEXT THREE YEARS AND GIVE YOU THE TOTAL AMOUNT BUT EVEN THE HOTEL. WE DIDN'T -- BUDGET 100% OF THE HOTEL PERMIT WE BUDGETED A MODERATE AMOUNT OF ALL OF THOSE PROJECTS TOGETHER. WE TOOK A HIGH, THIS IS POSSIBLE, A LOW, THIS IS WORST CASE AND WE KIND OF WENT WITH THE FIGURE IN THE MIDDLE OF THOSE FOR THE REVENUES. THE BIG KEY IS IT'S ABOUT A MILLION DOLLARS A YEAR YOU ARE GOING TO SEE IN THE NEXT THREE YEARS. THERE ISN'T LAND TO CONTINUE SEEING THAT. THEY -- THEY AREN'T ONE TIME FUNDS BUT THEY ARE LIMITED TERM FUNDS. WE ARE PROBABLY GOING TO SEE THREE MILLION DOLLARS IN BUILDING FEES OVER THE NEXT THREE YEARS. HOW AND WHEN IS KIND OF UP IN THE AIR A LITTLE. KIND OF MY BEST GUESS. AFTER THAT IT'LL DROP DOWN TO OUR NORMAL WHICH IS \$400,000. SO, IT'S NOT SOMETHING WE CAN COUNT ON FOR 2030. IT IS BETWEEN NOW AND THEN.

THANK YOU.

DIRECTOR BROWN IS THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR HIM FROM ANY OF MY COLLEAGUES? SEEING NONE -- THANK YOU. SO, BACK TO -- IS IT THE RECOMMENDATION TO PUT THAT SLIDE BACK UP AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO GO ONE BY ONE DOWN THE SLIDE?

YES.

IF WE COULD PULL THE SLIDE BACK UP. AND THE FIRST ONE IS THE PENSION TRUST \$1 MILLION TRANSFER PROPOSAL. WHO HAS THINGS TO SAY BY WAY OF COMMENT ON THAT?

I JUST THINK ECONOMICS RIGHT NOW ARE PRETTY VOLATILE AND UNCERTAIN AND SINCE WE HAVE SOME MONEY IN THE REGULAR TRUST CONNECTED -- I THINK CONNECTED TO STOCKS, BONDS, MAYBE -- YES

YES.

20% STOCK AND 80% EQUITY. 20-80.

I AND I THINK THEY DID REALLY GOOD LAST YEAR BUT THAT'S NOT A GUARANTEE IT'LL BE GOOD THIS YEAR. I WOULD TEND TO WANT TO BE MORE CONSERVATIVE AND KEEP THAT IN AN INTEREST BEARING ACCOUNT. THAT'S ME.

I CAN JUMP IN OR COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

IF WE PUT IT IN THERE WE CAN'T TAKE IT OUT? IT'S IN.

IT'S IN FOR PENSION PAYMENTS.

RIGHT. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I WILL HOLD MY COMMENTS.

OKAY. VICE MAYOR. I WILL JUMP IN AND BASED UPON THE JUNE 11th, 2025 LETTER MY READING OF IT IS THAT THEY DO RECOMMEND THAT WE DO NOT MOVE THE MONEY THAT IS JUST THE GENERAL RESERVE INTO THE TRUST AND MY FEELING THAT THE FACT THIS PENSION OBLIGATION HAVE BANKRUPTED CITIES IN THE PAST. EVEN THOUGH WE MAY LOOK LIKE WE ARE ALL GREAT NOW MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE PENSION IS THE FACT WE CAN ALWAYS BE ON THE SAFER SIDE BY SETTING THIS MONEY ASIDE. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS AND I WOULD BE WANTING FOR US TO INVEST WHAT THEY HAVE RECOMMENDED. OTHER THOUGHTS?

YEAH. I MEAN I HAVE SAT ON THE TRUST COMMITTEES SINCE I GOT ELECTED I AM FOR MOVING SOME IN. I'M NOT SURE WHAT I WANTS STAFF RECOMMENDATION BASED ON WHAT OTHER DEMANS WE HAVE ON THIS BUDGET. AGAIN KEEPING OUR 40% OFF OR SET THAT ASIDE FOR CAPITOL IMPROVEMENTS AND REALLY LIKE TO SEE ON THE SCREEN SOME MATH BECAUSE I WOULD LIKE TO TRANSFER SOME IN BUT I WANT TO ALSO LOOK AT SOME OF THE PROJECTS. AT THIS TIME I DO HAVE TO ADDRESS NOT PET PROJECTS. I REALLY FIND THAT INSULTING. I HAVE SAT ON THIS COUNCIL THIS IS MY 9th YEAR. I DON'T BELIEVE I HAVE ANY PET PROJECTS. YOU KNOW IF YOU TALK ABOUT REPLACING TRASH CANS AFTER TEN OR MORE -- WE CAN'T REMEMBER, YEARS OF USE OR AS ITS BEEN POINTED OUT THE FENCE SINCE 1970 I DON'T CONSIDER THOSE PET PROJECTS. I CONSIDER THOSE INVESTMENTS IN OUR TOWN AND I ALSO WANT TO SAY ALTHOUGH THE SUBCOMMITTEE DOES REVIEW. I HAVE SAT ON THAT COMMITTEE FIVE OUT OF EIGHT YEARS. THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY DUE TO BROWN ACT THAT THE REST OF THE COUNCIL THAT'S ELECTED, THREE OF US, GET TO WEIGH IN. SO, IT'S NOT ANY DISRESPECT TO THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE WHO DOES A LOT OF THE INITIAL WORK BUT WHEN IT COMES TO FULL COUNCIL THIS IS THE PROCESS THAT EVERY CITY OTHERS AND THE THREE OF US GET THE OPPORTUNITY TO GIVE FEEDBACK. I JUST -- I JUST NEED TO MAKE THAT AGAIN RESTATE FOR PEOPLE THAT REALLY WATCH THE PROCESS BECAUSE YOU CAN STAND UP AND SAY THINGS IN THREE MINUTES AND TAKE POT SHOTS BUT I WANT TO GO THROUGH THE WHOLE PROCESS. I PUT A LOT OF WORK INTO THIS AND SO I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT OUT LOUD FOR ALL OF US.

VICE MAYOR. THOUGHTS ON -- WE ARE GOING ONE BY ONE ON THE PENSION. IS THAT CORRECT? WE ARE ALSO TAKING NOTES ON WHERE THE DISCUSSIONS ARE BECAUSE I TO WOULD LIKE US TO GO THROUGH THE ONE THAT ARE THERE ON THE SCREEN AND THEN ALSO GET INTO BEFORE WE DO THUMBS UP, THUMBS DOWN ON THE SCREEN TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE CIP AS WELL. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE THINKING?

I'M GOING TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION BASED ON WHAT THE COUNCILMEMBER SAID. I WOULD LIKE TO DO THE LIVE, HAVE IT AS WE ARE GOING THROUGH. I WOULD HOLD OFF ON DISCUSSING THE PENSION UNTIL WE GO THROUGH ALL THE EXPENSES

AND WHAT THIS COUNCIL WANTS AND THE 40% FOR THE MEASURE U. THEN I THINK AT THAT TIME WE CAN COME BACK AND SEE WHAT'S REMAINING NUMBER ONE.

THANK YOU. WE ARE OBVIOUSLY -- I WANT TO TAKE YOUR LEAD ON THAT. WE WILL DO SOMETHING.

I WILL MAINTAIN WHAT THE LIST IS. DIRECTOR WILL GO LIVE AS WE DISCUSS HOW AN EXPENSIVE WOULD IMPACT THE BUDGET. GREAT. THAT SCREEN IS DISAPPEARING FOR THOSE FOLLOWING ALONG AT HOME. THEN WE ARE PUTTING UP A SCREEN TO DO SOMETHING LIVE AND THEN MARY WILL GO THROUGH THE ITEMS ON PAGE 2 OF THE STAFF REPORT.

THAT'S CORRECT.

OKAY. THAT'S CORRECT.

THE ONE DISCUSSION THERE IS NO CLEAR OUTCOME ON WAS WHETHER OR NOT TO UNFREEZE THE POSITION FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE CAN COME BACK TO THAT AND GO OVER SOME OF THE OTHER ONES FIRST THAT WAS ONE OF THE ITEMS ON THE LIST IF THE COUNCIL WAS IN FAVOR OF THAT. WE ARE COME BACK TO IT IF THERE IS NO COMMENTS. BEFORE WE DO THAT I WANT TO MAKE SURE IF COUNCILMEMBER CARTER HAS ANY COMMENTS HE WANTS TO MAKE PRIOR TO GOING THROUGH THE LIST.

I'M OKAY.

AS FAR AS THE COMMENT ON ADDING ANOTHER POLICE OFFICER POSITION. I MEAN I ALWAYS WANT TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND. I HAVEN'T HEARD FROM MY COLLEAGUES. FOR ME THE ANSWER IS NO BECAUSE THERE IS THE AGENDA ITEM LATER ON ABOUT IF WE ARE SETTING AT SIDE MONEY FOR THE MENTAL HEALTH, NOT JUST FOR UNSHELTERED BUT THE SHELTER RESIDENTS. I WANT TO PUT THAT COMMENT OUT AT THIS BEGINNING.

I NEED TO BACK TRACK TO THE PENSION TRUST. WE WERE WAITING TO HEAR BACK WHAT WAS THE TREASURY YIELD ON THAT ONE MILLION.

YES. I'M SORRY. IT IS 5%.

5%. AND THEN THE YIELD FROM THE OTHER ACCOUNT IS 7%

IS THAT CORRECT? 7 SOMETHING. E YOU MEAN THE TRUST?

THE TRUST.

YES. YES. IT'S IN THAT NEIGHBORHOOD. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER THOUGHTS OR COMMENTS BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO THE NEXT?

SEEING NONE.

I HAVE COMMENTS.

OKAY.

I HAVE COMMENTS.

I GUESS I HAVE -- LOST IN WHERE WE ARE AT.

I GUESS --.

WE ARE GOING TO COME BACK TO THE PENSION TRUST WE FORGOT TO ADDRESS THE PERCENT AGE OF THE TRUST. NOW WE -- WE WILL COME BACK TO THAT. NOW WE ARE ON THE DISCUSSION OF THE FROZEN POLICE OFFICER POSITION.

I JUST DIDN'T KNOW HOW THAT COINCIDES WITH THE LIVE BUT OKAY. I DO HAVE THOUGHTS BUT DID YOU HAVE SOME? I JUST WANTED TO TALK ABOUT THE FACT THAT YOU KNOW WITH ALL OF -- WITH OUR VARIOUS SURVEYS, PUBLIC SAFETY WAS

ONE OF -- WAS THE TOP FEEDBACK FROM OUR COMMUNITY. WE HAVE HAD, YOU KNOW. WE HAVE HAD A FROZEN POSITION FOR A WHILE WITH. WE HAVE HAD ALL OF THESE ISSUES WITH INCREASED CALLS. I LISTEN TO THE SCANNER I HEAR IT CONSTANTLY AND I HEAR THEM WHILE WE ARE OVER HERE WE WILL BE OVER THERE AS SOON AS WE CAN AND THAT DOESN'T BRING ME COMMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO ADVOCATE AS I DO EVERY YEAR FOR A POLICE OFFICER POSITION. I THINK THE COMMUNITY HAS TOLD US WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE WE ASKED THEM TO VOTE FOR A TAX INCREASE AND THEY DID AND, YOU KNOW, I FOUGHT TO HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE AS WELL AND HAVE A POLICY FOR WHAT WE DID WITH THE TAX. AS MUCH AS IT PAINED ME I ALSO FOUGHT -- I ADVOCATED AT THE SACRAMENTO LEVEL AND HAD McGUIRE'S OFFICE CONTACT ME THIS MORNING TO LET ME MOW THAT, YOU KNOW, THE PEOPLE THAT I HAVE BEEN TALKING TO THAT -- I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ADDRESS FOR ME PERSONALLY I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ADDRESS THE CONCERNS OF THE COMMUNITY AND ONE OF THOSE IS PUBLIC SAFETY. I'M JUST ADVOCATING FOR THAT, ESPECIALLY THAT WE HAVE THIS COMMONS AND WHERE DO WE GO FROM THERE? WE HAVE HAD BOTH PLACES SAY THIS IS HOW IT'LL BE. IF WE AREN'T ADDING MORE POLICE WHEN IS THE RIGHT TIME?

I ALSO WOULD SUPPORT THAT.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

THAT IS NOT MY NUMBER ONE. OF COURSE I SUPPORT OUR POLICING COMMUNITY. MY SISTER STARTED AT THE POLICE AND SPENT 25 YEARS AS A CAREER LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER. I HAVE HEARD FROM MANY MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY ABOUT THE NUMBERS WHICH IS WHY I ASKED ABOUT IT AND PUBLIC SAFETY IS MANY THINGS. IT'S NOT JUST POLICING. IT'S FIRE, IT'S SAFE STREETS. SO, I REALLY WANT TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE OTHER DEMANDS THAT WE HAVE AND THEN SEE WHAT WE ARE LEFT WITH BEFORE I WOULD ADVOCATE JUST GOING DOWN. I THINK WE ARE JUST GOING DOWN IN ORDER. IT IS KIND OF HARD TO ADVOCATE FOR SOMETHING THAT I -- I WOULD PUT SOME OTHER ITEMS IN FRONT OF THIS AS A HIGHER PRIORITY AND THEN I WANT TO SEE WHAT WE ARE LEFT WITH.

GREAT. THANK YOU. AND YES. I HAVE MADE MY COMMENTS STRAIGHT. THE DEFINITION OF PUBLIC SAFETY CAN COVER A LOT. NOT JUST -- THOSE IN UNIFORM. ANYTHING ELSE?

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER HAS HIS HAND UP.

THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR KEEPING THAT. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.

YEAH. THE -- THE REASON TO HIRE IS IMPORTANT BUT I WANTED TO LEAVE IT FOR ANOTHER YEAR JUST TO HAVE A YEAR OF TAKE STASIS. ONE OF THE MOST PERMANENT SPENDING THING IS TO MAKE A HIRE. YOU ALMOST CAN'T UNHIRE. SO I WAS -- WANTING TO JUST -- JUST TO GIVE THE BUDGET A YEAR TO BREATHE AND TO SEE WHAT WE CAN DO WITH SHORT TERM SPENDING FIRST TO ADDRESS OUR CITY SAFETY AND THEN HIRE KIND OF WHEN HE ARE CERTAINLY THAT IS WHAT WE NEEDED TO DO.

THANK YOU. SO NO MORE COMMENTS THEN WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE NEXT STICKLER WHICH IS -- YOU ARE KEEPING TRACK, WHICH IS -- OUTSOURCING OF LANDSCAPING S THAT RIGHT? FOR THOSE FOLLOWING ALONG AT HOME JUST TO MAKE SURE BECAUSE IT CAN BE BORING AT HOME WE ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF PAGE 2 OF THE STAFF REPORT. MARY.

CORRECT. I THINK COUNCILMEMBER -- YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP.

I'M NOT BACK TRACKING. I JUST WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS ISSUE. DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO SAY?

NO. I WAS JUST GOING TO -- STATE THAT THE NEXT ITEM WAS THE OUTSOURCING OF THE LANDSCAPING.

I WOULD UNFREEZE THAT FOR PUBLICS WORK RATHER THAN HIRE A CONTRACTOR.

CAN WE JUST SEE THE 40% PULLED OUT? BECAUSE I'M -- WE'RE DISCUSSING THINGS AND LOOKING LIVE BUT THE 40% NEAR AS I CAN FIGURE HAS NOT BEEN PULLED OUT. IS THERE A WAY TO SEE THE WHOLE SCREEN FOR THE PUBLIC BECAUSE WE ARE ONLY SEEING PART OF THIS. I'M NOT SURE IF THERE IS A WAY TO SHOW THE WHOLE SCREEN.

I CAN MAKE IT SMALLER AND YOU CAN SEE FROM THE TOP TO THE BOTTOM.

GET RID OF SOME EXTRA LINES MAYBE.

IF YOU CAN PULL THAT 40% OUT BECAUSE IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE IT'S PULLED OUT. RIGHT THERE. RIGHT? YOU SHOULD HAVE IT IN THERE. YEP. GOING TO MOVE OVER. YEP.

I CAN'T FIGURE OUT WHERE WE ARE EITHER WITH THAT SCREEN. ARE WE SEEING ANYTHING DIFFERENT ON SCREEN?

LET ME JUST -- THIS IS THE ORIGINAL. THIS IS THE REVISED. THIS IS LIVE RIGHT NOW. IS THERE A WAY TO BLOW THAT UP?

ALL RIGHT. I WILL BLOW IT UP TO 200.

AT LEAST I CAN SEE THAT. OKAY.

WANT ME TO BLOW IT UP BIGGER?

IS THAT ALL RIGHT FOR MY COLLEAGUES AT THAT SIZE?

THAT'S WHERE I PUT MY LAPTOP.

WILL YOU GO. THIS IS BLOW UP. THIS THE PROPOSED BUDGET TO ORIGINAL, REVISED TO WHERE WE ARE AT RIGHT NOW. THE LIVE IS NOW PLUS 375. THAT WILL BRING THE NEW REVENUE TO 15,000,689. OKAY. THIS IS THE -- PROPOSED EXPENSE BEFORE THE 40%. IT'LL BRING IT TO UP 15, 316. DO YOU FOLLOW ME SO FAR?

WHY IS IT BRINGING IT UP? SHOULDN'T THAT BE A MINUS. WE FREED TO AGREED TO MOVE IT OVER.

IT'S ADDING TO THE EXPENSES. YOU ADD TO THE POT.

OKAY.

THIS IS YOUR ORIGINAL EXPENSES FROM THE 617 MEETING. THIS IS LIKE NOTHING HAPPENED. NOW WE ADD ANOTHER 150 WHICH IS THE 40% OF 375. THAT BRINGS UP TO \$15 MILLION. THAT GIVES THE NEW LIVE SURPLUS OF 373. IF YOU GO DOWN HERE YOU NOW HAVE 28.8% AS FAR AS YOUR LEVEL.

OKAY. EVERYTHING WE SPEND WILL BRING THAT 28.8%. IF YOU ADD MORE TO THIS. YELLOW AREA IS. IT'LL KEEP GOING DOWN OR UP DEPENDS.

I UNDERSTAND.

ALL RIGHT. ARE WE ALL GOOD?

YOU FOLLOW ME SO FAR?

I'M THINKING YES. GREAT. NOW ARE WE BACK TO THE --. WE ARE TO THE OUTSOURCING IN COUNCILMEMBER HAS SUPPORT -- SUPPORTS THE UNFREEZING OF THE PUBLIC WORKS POSITION.

AND I HAVE TO ASK OUR CURRENTLY ASSISTING -- ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER WHAT HER THOUGHTS ARE BECAUSE YOU FACILITATE ALL OF THE STAFF BELOW YOU

AND THE CONTRACTORS. WHAT IS YOUR VIEW ON ADDING ANOTHER I GUESS FULL TIME PERSON VERSES DOING THE CONTRACTING BECAUSE I HAVE THE THOUGHT THAT YOU HAVE MORE FLEXIBILITY. YOU GIVE THEM A GOAL LIKE EVERYTHING IN THE WORLD AND YOU DON'T LIKE THEM THEN YOU DON'T GIVE THEM A GO. RU DONE WITH THAT CONTRACTOR IN LIKE SIX MONTHS. THAT'S WHERE I'M COMING FROM.

I DO WANT TO SAY I DID TALK TO PUBLIC WORKS. I SPOKE TO THOSE THAT ARE MOST -- I SPOKE TO A COUPLE OF THE EMPLOYEES AS WELL AS THE ASSISTANT. I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO THE DIRECTOR. IN MY OPINION AND IN THEIR OPINION AS WELL OUTSOURCING IS ACTUALLY -- WILL ALLOW THEM TO HAVE HIGHER -- TO BE TRAINED ON HIGHER DUTIES. IT'S A CHANCE TO MENTOR OUR EMPLOYEES, TO TRAIN THEM AND HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITIES TO BE PROMOTE WITH IN. THEY ARE WORKING WITH THE MORE EXPERIENCED BECAUSE RIGHT NOW WE HAVE PEOPLE THAT ARE DEDICATED TO JUST MOWING OTT LAWNS OR DOING THE WEEDING, THE BLOWING AND STUFF LIKE THAT WHERE FOR ME PERSONALLY AND AGAIN TALKING TO SOME OF THE PUBLIC WORKERS I THINK IT'S MORE VALUE TO OUTSOURCE FOR THE LANDSCAPING SO THAT WE CAN INCREASE THE VALUE OF OUR EMPLOYEES BY PROVIDING THEM MORE TRAINING, MENTORING, BRINGING THEM UP IN THE FIELD, GIVING THEM MORE EXPERIENCE AND HANDS ON TRAINING BY ALLOWING THEM THAT ABILITY TO BE AWAY FROM THE MOWING.

SHEI BE DIRECTING MY QUESTIONS TO THE APPROPRIATE DIRECTOR WHICH IS THE DIRECT DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS WHO JUST HAPPENS TO BE SITTING THERE. APOLOGIES FOR NOT GOING TO HER. DO YOU AGREE?

I DO CONCUR. IT IS SOMETHING THAT, YOU KNOW, I HAVE HAD DONE IN OTHER CITIES I WORKED AT. IT FOLLOW THAT TREND WHERE YOU ARE PUTTING THE -- YOU ARE ALLOWING YOUR STAFF TO GET MORE SKILL THAT ARE MORE HELPFUL TO THE CITY AND YOU KNOW MOWING AND BLOWING JUST ROUTINE STUFF.

VICE MAYOR.

I AGREE. I KNOW IT WAS EXPLAINED LAST TIME. SO I'M IN SUPPORT OF DOING THE OUTSOURCING AND NOT UNFREEZING THE PUBLIC WORKS POSITION RIGHT NOW.

AND -- SORRY. I CAN'T REMEMBER. ANY WAY. SORRY.

YEAH. I SUPPORT -- OR AGREE WITH -- THE DIRECTOR -- AND THE INTERIM MAYOR. WHEN YOU GET A LOT OF OTHER BENEFITS WHEN YOU CONTRACT OUT AND THEY CAN GO FAST. THEY CAN HAVE MORE THAN ONE PERSON ON THE JOB OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT WHEREAS IF WE HIRE ONE PERSON WE MAY STILL BE SPREADING THAT PARTICULAR JOB DUTY THIN AND IT MAY BE BETTER JUST TO HAVE THAT QUARANTINED AND HAVE THEM GO FOR IT WITH ALL OF THEIR EXPERIENCE AND ALL OF THEIR CARE AND BE ABLE TO HIT IT AND THE EFFICIENCY THEY CAN HIT IT AND THEN PROMOTE SOME OF THE INTRIOR EMPLOYEES UP TO HANDLE SOME OF THE OTHER WATER DUTIES.

THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

I'M IN AGREEMENT. I THINK THAT'S A GOOD USE OF -- SO, YEAH I WOULD AGREE OF THE CONTRACTOR FOR THIS YEAR AND SEE HOW IT GOES.

I THINK WE HAVE CONSENSUS ON ONE.

CITOKINE JUST -- I'M SORRY. ANOTHER BENEFIT IS WE ARE NOT USING CITY EQUIP MENT SO IT REDUCING WEAR AND TEAR AND REPLACEMENT OF THINGS LIKE THAT.

AS PART OF THE CONTRACT THEY WOULD USE. I WANT TO THROW THAT ONE IN AS WELL.

GREAT. I THINK THAT'S -- SUGGESTING -- THUMBS UP. IS THAT APPROPRIATE TO DO THAT SO WE HAV CONSENSUS ON THAT. NEXT IS ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR TRASH CANS. THIS DOES GO TO THE COUNCIL GOALS OF BEAUTIFICATION BUT IT'S UP TO THE COUNCIL. >

I WILL DOE DEFER.

IF WE COULD ADD ANOTHER 20 YOU \$20,000. THERE ARE ABOUT 43 IN THE PICTURES I SHOWED. DEPENDING ON WHAT THE -- THE STAFF DECIDES AS FAR AS THE CANS, I THINK THAT -- THAT'S THE NUMBER I'M LOOKING AT RIGHT NOW UNLESS SOMEONE HAS A DIFFERENT NUMBER. EVEN IF THE CITY STAFF HAS A DIFFERENT -- I ALSO SAW A COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

SOMEONE BROUGHT UP THE TRASH CANS IN IVES PARK. I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT BUDGET AMOUNT AS LONG AS WE HAVE OUR CITY STAFF LOOK AT THE WORST CANS TO REPLACE AND INCLUDE IVES PARK. I APPRECIATE THAT THE DOWNTOWN THERE'S A PUSH TO GET IT THERE. WE ALSO NEED TO PAY ATTENTION TO OUR OTHER LOCATIONS. I WOULD LIKE TO GO THROUGH TOWN AND REPLACE THE WORST ONES.

AND YOU HAD SOME THOUGHTS.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY WHAT WE HAVE SEEN RANGES BETWEEN 800 TO 1500 ON THE TRASH CANS. THE MORE AVERAGE IS ABOUT THE 11 TO 12. I JUST WANT TO THROW OUT IF WE DO DELEGATE ONE OF THE THINGS I HAVE DISCUSSED IS WITH YOUR PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT IS DOING FUN TRASH CONS TO ENCOURAGE THE KIDS. I WAS THINKING ABOUT TRYING TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT AS WELL. JUST THROW THAT OUT THERE IS AN IDEA TO GET KIDS INTERESTED.

I WILL ASK A QUESTION. IS THE PROCESS LIKE WE ADAN AMOUNT AND THEN WE JUST SEND IT TO STAFF AND THEN STAFF WILL COME BACK WITH ITS RECOMMENDATION? WHICH CANS AND LIKE WHICH IS THE OLDEST AND WHY THEY ARE RECOMMENDING?

THERE'S A COUPLE WAYS TO DO IT. WE -- WE ARE NOT MAKING A FORMAL VOTE RIGHT NOW. SINCE WE ARE PUTTING WHATEVER THE FUND IS THAT THE COUNCIL MAY AGREE ON WE WILL PUT THAT IN THERE TO HAVE A CONSENSUS. THE COUNCIL CAN DIRECT STAFF TO GO HEAD AND USE THEIR BEST JUDGMENT AND APPROXIMATE PUT IN THE BEST CANS. WE ARE TRYING TO GO FOR BEING CONSISTENT SO NOT HAVE DIFFERENT CANS ALL OVER THE CITY. TRYING TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE CANS WE ARE DOING. WOULD ALSO PROBABLY BE CHEAPER BUYING THEM IN BULK. THEN LIKE I SAID STARTING THE PROCESS, MAYBE NOT DOING ALL OF THEM AT ONCE. KIND OF LIKE THE VEHICLE REPLACEMENT CHANGING THEM OUT, YOU KNOW, MAYBE NEXT YEAR AND NEXT YEAR. AS WE ALWAYS HAVE NEW ONES AS WE REPLACE THEM. COUNCIL CAN DIRECT THEM TO STAFF.

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO LEAVE IT TO THE STAFF. WE HAVE SO MANY ITEMS EVERY WEEK I DON'T WANT TO MICRO MANAGE TO WHERE WE CAN'T GET TRASH CANS CHANGED BECAUSE IT HAS TO GET ON THE AGENDA AND COME BACK AND FORTH. I'M ADVOCATING FOR WHAT WAS RECOMMENDED BY, YOU KNOW, MARY.

IN TERMS OF COST SINCE THERE'S ALREADY 25,000 GOING TOWARD IT DO YOU THINK ANOTHER 20,000 IS NECESSARY? IF RU GOING TO REPLACE ANOTHER SOME THIS YEAR AND THEN NEXT YEAR. SO, WHAT DO YOU THINK THIS YEAR'S EXPENSE NEEDS TO BE?

I'M ACTUALLY GOING TO REFER TO PUBLIC WORKS. I DON'T HAVE THE NUMBER. I SAW WHAT -- THE VICE MAYOR HAS LOOKED I WOULD PROBABLY SAY WE WANT TO REPLACE ABOUT 20? MARK.

YEAH. THERE'S A -- 55 CONTAINERS OUT THERE AND REPLACING THEM IF WE HAD LIKE A THREE YEAR REPLACEMENT SCHEDULE. WE COULD -- LIVE WITH THE 20 BY ALL MEANS AND TRY TO CLEAN UP THE OTHER ONES. THEY ARE ALL PRETTY BAD SHAPE AS YOUR SLIDE SHOW SHOWED SUS. YOU KNOW ALL OF THEM NEED REPLACEMENT. WE -- IN OVERTIME.

ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THE 55 CONTAINERS AND IVES?

THIS IS CITY WIDE.

CITY WIDE. U WE DO HAVE 25,000 ALREADY SLATED. ARE YOU SAYING WE NEED 20,000 A YEAR? OR 20,000 IN ADDITION TO WHAT WE WITH HAVE BUNTED ALREADY?

LOOKING AT THE BUDGET ESTIMATE AMOUNTS I MEAN THE HIGHEST PRICE ONES -- TOTAL COST ABOUT \$90,000. THE LEAST EXPENSIVE CLOSER TO \$30,000.

FOR 20 CANS?

YEAH.

FOR 20 CANS?

YEAH.

I THINK THAT YOU MEANT -- THE WAY -- IF WE HAD 45,000. IF -- WE DID 30 CANS THAT WOULD WE BE ABOUT 1500 EACH WHICH IS THE HIGHER END. I WOULD RECOMMEND GOING WITH THAT 20,000 RIGHT NOW AND ADD 20,000 TO THE TRASH CANS AND THEN START THE PROCESS OF INCLUDING THAT IN THE BUDGET YEARLY TO START REPLACING ALL OF THEM SO WE HAVE A ROTATING SO WE AREN'T JUST LIKE OUR VEHICLES.

OTHER THOUGHTS? > COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.

MY QUESTION WAS SIMILAR AND I STILL DON'T TOTALLY UNDERSTAND. RU SAYING 20 IN ADDITION TO THE OTHER 25. THE 25 WILL GET US, YOU KNOW, LIKE EIGHT -- EIGHT NEW CANS AND MAYBE WE CAN DOUBLE THAT. I WOULDN'T BE UPSET ABOUT ANOTHER 20. SOMETHING WE HAVE TRIED TO WORK IN ANY WAY. SPACE FOR THIS STUFF. JUST I WOULD ENCOURAGE STAFF TO MAKE THE BEST OUT OF THAT MONEY. SPEND WISELY AND NOT MAKE IT TO COMPLICATED. MAKE IT EASY, FORWARD THINKING WISE. EASIER TO MAINTAIN AND REPAIR AND ALSO BEAUTIFUL. THAT'S ALL.

GREAT. I THINK WE ARE KIND OF HAVE CONSENSUS.

THANK YOU. I LIKE THE IDEA OF SAND BLASTING AND THEN PAINTING AND DOING THAT AS AN ART PROJECT. I LIKE THAT IDEA. IT'LL TAKE SOMEONE TO OVERSEE THAT PROJECT. I'M JUST NOT SURE WE HAVE STAFF RIGHT NOW TO DO THAT EVEN THOUGH I LIKE THAT IDEA VERY MUCH. I JUST NEEDED TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THAT IDEA.

I THINK WE HAVE CONSENSUS MOSTLY ON THIS. IS DOES THAT SOUND RIGHT?

THAT SOUNDS RIGHT.

THEN WE ARE MOVING ALONG TO NUMBER 7 FOR THOSE FOLLOWING ALONG AT HOME WHICH IS PAGE 2 OF THE STAFF REPORT AND THIS IS DOWNTOWN BEAUTIFICATION. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON

WE KEEP GOING DOWN THIS ORDER. I THINK IF YOU LOOK AT THE THINGS WE ARE MORE INTERESTED IN AT LEAST THE LAST MEETING WAS IVES AND PUBLIC ARTS SIGN AT THE BOTTOM. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK ABOUT THOSE IF WE CAN MOVE OUT OF

ORDER AND THEN -- THIS DOESN'T HAVE ANYTHING ATTACHED TO IT PER SE. I WOULD LIKE TO PUT MONEY DOWN AND THEN SEE WHERE WE ARE AT IF ANYONE DOESN'T OBJECT TO THAT?

I WOULD LOVE TO TALK FENCING.

SURE.

ME TOO.

AND I --.

CAN WE JUST -- AND THEN SEE WHAT WE ARE LEFT WITH. WE STILL HAVE PENSIONS SITTING OUT THERE TOO.

AGREED.

YES.

LET'S DO THAT. LET'S TALK ABOUT IVES PARK AND THEN FENCING.

IS THERE ANY WAY TO PUT IT UP FROM A -- OVER -- OVERHEAD VIEW? I KNOW THAT SOMETIMES YOU CAN DO THAT TO SHOW THE NEXTS WE ARE -- OR IS PUBLIC -- SLIDE TO SHOW SECTIONS BECAUSE I'M CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT YOU CALL THE MIDDLE PART. I WAS JUST DOWN THERE LAST WEDNESDAY NIGHT LOOKING AT THE SECTION CLOSEST TO THE END. I GUESS THAT'S NOT THE MIDDLE.

LOOKING AT THE AGENDA. ITEM NUMBER 5, PAGE 33 I HAVE A HIGHLIGHT THERE. I CAN GO WITH THE REPORT. LET'S SEE. ALL RIGHT, SO LOOKING AT PAGE 32 ON 405. THIS IS WHAT I HAVE ON MY PRINTOUT. YOU CAN SEE THE UPPER REACH ONE, REACH TWO, MIDDLE, ORIGINAL PROPOSAL AND REACH 4 WHICH IS THE LOWER PORTION OF THE CREEK. LOWER PORTION MEANING -- AS THE CREEK FLOWS THROUGH THE PARK. SO, REACH 1 RIGHT THERE IS APPROXIMATELY 156 LINEAR FEET AT A COST OF REPLACEMENT OF \$18,000. REACH 2 IS 230 FEET AT A COST \$26,000. REACH 3 WHICH IS ABOUT 500 FEET AND THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL ONE IS 57,000 AND REACH 4 IS 710 FEET AT \$81,000. IF YOU WANTED TO REPLACE THE WHOLE PARK IT WOULD BE ABOUT \$182,000.

AND COUNCILMEMBER CARTER HAS HIS HAND UP AND I'M STILL CONFUSED.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT SOME AND I WASN'T ON THE SCREEN. YOU CAN START OVER?

SURE. PLEASE GO TO PAGE 32.

HOLD ON. THEN I THINK HE WILL GO BACK AND DO THIS SLOWER. I THINK. WAS THAT ALSO YOUR COMMENT? COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

PLEASE KEEP EXPLAINING. I'M FINE.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

IF YOU LOOK AT REACH 1 THERE IT'S HARD TO SEE ON THE SCREEN. THERE'S A -- A KIND OF ORANGE BOX AROUND THE INITIAL PART OF THE PHOTOGRAPH THERE. THAT'S WHERE THE CREEK ENTERS IN TO THE PARK. THAT'S WHAT WE ARE CALLING THE REACH ONE. GO DOWN A LITTLE MORE.

LET ME ASK A QUESTION. ON WHICH SIDE OF THE PARK?

THIS IS WHERE THE CREEK ENTERS INTO THE PARK.

BY THE VETS OR BY EAST FIRST DOWN?

BY PEACE TOWN.

OKAY.

BY THE STAGE?

THINK OF THE FLOW OF THE CREEK HEADING TOWARD --.

ALL RIGHT. GOT IT.

WE ALL GOOD ON THIS SLIDE?

YEAH.

OKAY. THAT'S REACH ONE. THE NEXT ONE RIGHT THERE IS REACH 2. THAT IS -- THAT'S CLOSER TO PEACE TOWN WHERE THE STAGE IS AND WHAT NOT.

OKAY. REACH ONE IS THE SECTION BY THE TREES.

YEAH.

IN THE LITTLE BACK OF PEACE TOWN'S DANCING ZONE. AND THAT IS -- THAT'S THE FENCE. YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT.

YES.

TWO, STARTS AFTER --.

AFTER THAT POINT.

THE BATHROOMS.

UP TO ABOUT THE BRIDGE TO FIRST BRIDGE.

AND THIS IS ALL THE CHAIN LINK.

RIGHT.

IN THIS FIRST BRIDGE IS THE ONE BY THE PLAYGROUND.

THAT'S CORRECT.

OKAY THEY ARE SAYING NO.

NO. LOOK AT THIS PHOTOGRAPH HERE. YOU CAN SEE WHERE THE PEACE TOWN STAGE IS. SO THAT'S BETWEEN THE TREE SECTION AND WHERE THERE'S THE ACCESS PATH ACROSS WHERE THE WORK IS UNDERWAY IN REPLACING THE STORMWATER TRAIN.

SHOULD HAVE WALKED AROUND THIS. OKAY.

OKAY. ARE WE ALL GOOD WITH THIS FOR THE MOST PART? OKAY. GO HEAD.

THE NEXT IS REACH THREE. YOU CAN SEE -- YOU CAN SEE THE HIGHLIGHT OF REACH 3 THERE. THAT'S UP TO THE SECOND BRIDGE AS I'M CALLING IT. THE FOOT PATH. THEN REACH 4 IS AFTER THAT. ALL THE WAY THE REST OF THE PARK OUT TO THE EXITS.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER HAS HIS HAND UP.

YES. COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.

I'M NOT SO MUCH CONCERNED ABOUT WHICH PARTICULAR AREAS WE WANT TO REDO BECAUSE I WANT TO REDO ALL OF IT. REMOVE A PORTION OF IT AND I THINK THEY ARE LOOKING INTO THAT LEGALLY. I'M NOT REALLY SURE BUT AROUND THE MIDDLE PART WHICH YOU ARE CALLING REACH 3. THEN, YEAH, DEFINITELY REACH 1 AND 2 WOULD BE ON MY PRIORITY LIST AND, YOU KNOW, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE ARE CONSIDERING LIKE ALL THE OPTIONS, YOU KNOW. JUST NOT -- REPLACING IT BUT, YOU KNOW, YOU KNOW DOING IT NICELY AND FORWARD THINKING AND -- I KEEP IN MIND THAT THE OTHER PROJECT MIGHT BE DOING THE ONES CLOSER TO THE KID'S POOL. THAT'S ALL.

OTHER COMMENTS.

I WANT TO SAY I'M IN FAVOR OF REPLACING IT ALL. REACH 1 AND 2 WOULD BE MY -- FOR ME MY PRIORITY. YOU KNOW DEPENDING ON WHERE WE ARE AT WITH WHAT FUNDS. WE HAVE TO START SOMEWHERE. THAT UGLY FENCE HAS BEEN PLAGUING ME SINCE I GOT IN THIS.

YES.

MY QUESTION TO I GUESS MARK IS WHATEVER WE DO WITH THE FENCE IT'S NOT GOING TO ALTER LIKE THIS CUSP GRANT WE MAY O, ARE MAY NOT. IT WON'T -- ENDANGER THE FLOW OF THE WATER DEPENDING ON IF WE GRANT THAT -- GET THAT GRANT OR NOT. THE LAST THING I WOULD WANTS TO BASICALLY PUT AMENS IN WHICH I THINK WITH BE AMAZING AND THEN HEAR BACK FROM SOMEONE THAT WAS STUPID. NOW YOU ALTERED WATERWAY ITS OR WHATEVER.

MY THOUGHT IS THAT THE APPLICATION -- THE CUSP APPLICATION FOR GRANT IS STILL -- BUILDING THAT PROJECT IS STILL A COUPLE OF YEAR AWAY. WE AREN'T TALKING ABOUT NEXT YEAR WE ARE TALKING ABOUT MAYBE THREE AT THE EARLIEST. THAT'S OPTIMISTIC. MORE LIKE FIVE. ANYTHING WE HAVE PUT UP WOULD BE THE INTERIM PROJECT BUT REMEMBER THEY ARE ONLY ADDRESSING THE LOWER REACHES OR REACH 4 OF THE CREEK. ANYTHING THAT HAPPENS IN THAT AREA THAT THE FENCE MAY BE TAKEN DOWN. MORE THAN LIKELY IT'LL HAVE TO HE TO BE TAKEN DOWN. THESE FENCES IN PANELS. YOU COULD REUSE IT.

THAT WAS MY QUESTION. IT'S LIKE WHATEVER HAPPENS WITH THE CUSP GREAT DOESN'T HAPPEN YOU CAN JUST PICK IT UP OUT OF THE BED, PUT IT SOMEWHERE, RESTRUCTURE SOMETHING WITH DIRT AND THEN JUST PUT IT BACK IN THE GROWN.

YEAH. AND AS NEEDED TO BECAUSE THE GRANT IS LOOKING AT CREATING FEWER BARRIERS AND MORE ACCESS. THAT'S THE OTHER THING TOO.

AND NOT TO HOG THE STAGE BECAUSE WE ARE ALL -- UNTIL THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION. OF ALL THESE FENCES DOES IT MATTER WHICH IS MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND THAN THE OTHER?

GIVEN THAT THE FENCE HAS BEEN THERE SINCE THE 70s I DON'T THINK IT'LL ANY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH REPLACING IT.

OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I JUST WANT TO BE CAREFUL ABOUT THE LAST THING IS TO GET NEW FENCING THEN SOMEONE SAYS ITS WRONG FOR THE ENVIRONMENT.

IT'S NOT INTERFERING THE CREEK. IT'S A CHANNEL. IT'S NOT INHIBITING THE FLOW OR ADDING POLLUTION TO THE CREEK.

OKAY. AS LONG AS WE AREN'T ADDING POLLUTION THAT ANSWERS MY QUESTION. COUNCILMEMBER.

I KIND OF GOT THE IMPRESSION YOU WERE ASKING ABOUT THE TYPE OF FENCE. I WAS.

NOT ABOUT WHAT THE FENCE IS DOING BY REPLACING IT. AND I HAVE HEARD DIFFERENT FENCE OPTIONS. I WOULD LIKE IF WE SET THE MONEY ASIDE THE FENCE OPTIONS TO COME BACK. I DID HEAR YOU SAY THAT THE GRANT AND I UNDERSTOOD THAT AS WELL WOULD START ON THE OTHER SIDE SO IF WE PRIORITY RISED PHASE1 AND 2 WHICH IS UP BY -- WHERE IS HIGH USE AREAS RIGHT NOW. THEN WE GOT THE GRANT. THEY START ON THE OTHER SIDE. JUST VERIFYING.

THAT'S CORRECT.

OKAY. GREAT. I'M SORE -- FOR PRIORITIES ONE AND TWO.

YEAH. I MEANLY JUST SAY I WANT IT ALL REPLACED BUT IF WE ARE GOING BY PRIORITY I WOULD GO WITH THAT. ANY OTHER THOUGHTS?

I'M THINKING THAT MIGHT BE GOOD JUST TO DO ONE AND TWO. YOU GET IT IN AND THEN YOU TAKE THE PULSE OF THE COMMUNITY AND SEE HOW THEY LIKE IT. I KNOW PEACE TOWN IS A BIG DEAL AND I DON'T KNOW HOW THE FENCE RIGHT NOW SEE THROUGH. THIS WILL NOT -- YOU CANNOT SEE AS WELL THROUGH THIS TYPE OF

FENCING. I DON'T THINK. I DON'T KNOW. I KNOW IT DOES INVOLVE THAT FIRST SECTION UP TO THE WIER IN THE MIDDLE. THAT ARE COULD BE PART OF THE GRANT APPLICATION TO PUT THE FENCE UP THERE. I THINK THAT SUPPORTING THE FIRST AND THE SECOND REACH I'M COMFORTABLE DOING THAT.

EVERYBODY SORT OF IN AGREEMENT WITH THAT?

I JUST HAD ONE OTHER QUESTION. MAYBE I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. THIS IS -- I KNOW WE HAVE THE 40% WE SET ASIDE. THESE FUNDS ARE ACTUALLY COMING OUT OF THE OTHER BUCKET CORRECT? JUST TO MAKE SURE. I HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT BUT THEY ARE -- THEY WILL BE CONSIDERED PART OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE. I'M JUST CLARIFYING.

I'M -- YEAH.

THIS COME FROM THE MEASURE IN PARKS?

SO, WHATEVER -- WHATEVER THE COUNCIL DECIDES ON WHATEVER SEGMENT IT IS IT WOULD COME OUT OF FUND202. DOESN'T HAVE TO. WE ARE SPENDING GENERAL FUND MONEY WE ARE AREN'T TALKING ABOUT PARKS MONEY. THAT -- I JUST WANT TO VERIFY WHERE THIS IS COMING FROM. THE BEST PLACE TO TAKE THIS FROM.

I WOULD LEAVE THAT UP TO PUBLIC WORKS. YOU CAN TAKE IT OUT OF GENERAL FUND. IF YOU WANT TO TAKE IT OUT OF PARKS YOU CAN. THOSE ARE -- YOUR FUNDING SOURCES OPTIONS. YOU HAVE GENERAL FUND, PARK, MEASURE M PARKS. IT HAS FUNDING IN MEASURE M.

HOW MUCH IS IN THERE?

168,000.

IT'S ON PAGE 143.

CAN I HAVE OUR CITY STAFF MEMBER ADDRESS THIS QUESTION FOR YOU?

SURE. LOOKS LIKE ALEX IS LEANING IN

SORRY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE AS WE GET DOWN TO WHERE WE ARE --. DISCUSSING.

NOW WE ARE TURNING OVER TO TONY.

THANK YOU. TONY. NEW TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT I DID WANT TO COMMENT ON USE OF MEASURE M PARKS. ON THE CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM IF YOU REMEMBER WE DO HAVE A NUMBER OF PARKS PROJECTS AND A NUMBER OF THOSE PROJECTS RELY ON PARK IN LIEU FEES AND PARK IN LIE, FEE IS ONE OF THOSE THAT DEPENDING ON IF THAT DEVELOPMENT IS GOING TO COME IN AND PAY THE FEES WE WANTED TO HAVE A BACK UP. THE BACK UP TO PARK AND FEES FOR THOSE PROJECTS WOULD BE MEASURE M PARKS FUNDS IF THEY DON'T COME IN AS PROJECTED. I JUST WANTED TO CAUTION YOU THAT TO -- TO BE CAREFUL WITH USING ADDITIONAL MEASURE M PARKS FUNDS BECAUSE OF THE EXISTING PROJECT THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE CIP.

THANK YOU. SORRY DIDN'T MEAN TO THROW A WRENCH IN THERE. I WANT TO -- AS WE START TALKING ABOUT OTHER EXPENSES WE HAVE --.

ANY OTHER THOUGHT ONS THIS ONE BEFORE WE MOVE ONTO -- THERE WAS ANOTHER ONE WE WANTED TO GET INTO AFTER WE LEFT IVES PARK.

I JUST WANT TO SEE HOW MUCH THAT TOTAL IS THAT THE NUMBERS LIKE WHERE WE ARE AT NOW WITH THE NUMBERS.

AND I'M WONDERING IF WE SHOULD SPLIT THE DIFFERENCE. PART 1 OUT OF PACE IN PARK AND PART TWO IN GENERAL FUND TO BE ON THE SAFE SIDE.

I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT.
OKAY. AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER.
COULD THEY COME OUT OF MEASURE U?
THAT'S GENERAL FUNDS.
YEAH.
IT WOULD BE --.
PART OF THE MEASURE U PRIORITY SPENDING.
YES. NOT THE 40% FOR THE EXTRA CONSTRUCT. INFRASTRUCTURE.
THE TOTAL FOR THE -- FOR THE ONE AND TWO THAT WE TALKED ABOUT. THAT WHAT
WOULD HAVE -- WHAT WOULD THAT BE?
ABOUT \$44,000.
WHAT'S ONE AND WHAT'S THE OTHER AND WE CAN PULL? ONE AND ONE BUDGET
AND ONE IN THE OTHER.
DID YOU SAY -- HOW --.
HOW DID YOU SAY SPLIT IT?
WHAT WAS PART ONE COST?
\$44,004 FOR THE -- REACH ONE AND REACH TWO.
HAD 4 TOTAL.
44 TOTAL FOR REACH ONE AND REACH 2.
OKAY. SHOULD WE PUT REACH ONE IN ONE BUCKET OR JUST SPLIT?
I WOULD SAY LET'S NOT SPLIT THE 44 BUT KEEP IT COMING OUT OF THE GENERAL
FUND.
THAT WOULD BE 44,000. OKAY.
\$44,004.
ALL RIGHT.
WE HAVE TO KEEP TRACK OF THESE THINGS.
THAT'S RIGHT.
IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE FOR THE -- IVES PARK BEFORE WE MOVE ON?
CAN YOU JUST SCROLL DOWN AND SHOW US WHERE WITH WE ARE AT?
LET ME TYPE IN WHAT THIS ONE IS.
WHICH ONE ARE WE MOVING TO?
THE NEXT ITEM WAS THE -- SORRY. LET ME GET THAT. I KNOW YOU WANTED TO
TALK ABOUT THE FENCING DID YOU WANT TO GO TO THE PUBLIC ART SIGN?
THOSE FALL IN FOLLOW AGELONG AT HOME. PUBLIC ART SIGNS AND LET'S DO THIS
NUMBER 11 WHICH IS EXACTLY AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 2 OF THE STAFF REPORT.
SUPERFLUSH COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. W VERY MONEY TO TAKE THIS OUT OF THE
FUND SOY DON'T SO WHY WE SHOULDN'T TAKE TUT -- I THINK WE SHOULD TAKE THAT
OUT OF THERE AND THAT WON'T HIT THE LIVE SPREAD SHEET.
VICE MAYOR.
THAT'S FINE.
YES. WE HAVE REACHED AGREEMENT. YAY. WHICH DO WE WANT TO GO BACK UP TO
NEXT?
THE DOWNTOWN.
THE DOWNTOWN AND THEN WAS A CONVERSATION FROM THE LAST CITY COUNSEL
WE HAD STARTED IT BUT DIDN'T FINISH IT. > Y. LET'S TURN TO THE VICE MAYOR.

I MEAN I THINK THERE ARE DIFFERENT OPTIONS THAT WE CAN CONSIDER IN ANY OPINION. QUESTION -- I'M TRYING TO THINK WHEN WE REMOVE THE DOWNTOWN FACADE GRANT PROGRAM. WHEN WAS THAT REMOVED IN

I WANT TO SAY LAST YEAR OR THE YEAR BEFORE. I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT DATE. I MEAN THERE'S -- THERE'S THAT OPTION BUT I WOULD SAY THAT IF WE DID BRING THAT BACK I WOULD WANT TO ASK LIKE A -- AT LEAST THE STAFF REVIEW HOW IT'S DONE. I KNOW SOME BUSINESSES FELT THAT IT NEEDED TO BE CHANGED AND WAS -- IT WAS -- A BIT OF A HURDLE WITH THAT.

SORRY VICE MAYOR. I KIND OF WHAT IS THAT ITEM AGAIN?

IT IS THE FACADE PROGRAM. IT IS A GRANT PROGRAM. PEOPLE CAN -- THE BUSINESSES CAN IMPROVE THE OUTSIDE FAC ADS THEN APPLY FOR REIMBURSEMENT FOR MAKE THE PLACE -- THEIR PLACE LOOK NICER.

THANK YOU.

THE FEEDBACK I USED TO GET IS THAT SOMETIMES THEY NEVER GOT THE MONEY BACK UNTIL AFTER AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO APPLY FOR THAT AND THOUGH THEY MADE THE IMPROVEMENT IT MAY OR MAY NOT BE APPROVED. MANY GAVE GOOD AKERMAN. I WAS PUTTING THAT OUT THERE THAT GIVEN ALL THE CHALLENGES THAT BUSINESSES ARE FACING IF WE HAD SOMETHING LIKE THAT TO HELP WITH DOWNTOWN SOME OTHER THOUGHTS I HAD WAS WITH PAINTING -- HAVING THE GAZEBO PAINTED. I DON'T KNOW -- AS FAR AS WHAT THAT COST WOULD BE. I THINK WE WOULD HAVE TO FIND OUT FROM THE STAFF AND THEN THE OTHER THING THAT -- THERE'S TWO THINGS THAT I NOTICED WALKING AROUND DOWNTOWN IS THAT WE HAVE AN EXCESS OF POSTERS AND STICKERS ON EVERY POLE. I WALKED AROUND OTHER CITIES AND NOTICED THEY DON'T HAVE THAT. I DON'T KNOW -- I HAVE NO IDEA HOW THAT CAN BE HANDLED OR TAKEN CARE OF BUT THAT'S ANOTHER THING. I'M SURE THERE'S MANPOWER COSTS. THOSE ARE JUST SOME ITEMS THAT I CONSIDERED AS I WALKED AROUND TAKING PICTURES OF TRASH CANS.

I WILL TELL YOU THAT WE HAVE HAD THE CONVERSATION WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS. THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE IT A PRIORITY MONTHLY TO GO AROUND AND REMOVE THOSE. AS WELL AS DOING POWER WASHING QUARTERLY.

AND THEN THE OTHER THOUGHT WAS JUST THE CLEANING OF THE BRICK DOWNTOWN. I DON'T KNOW IT WAS JUST BECAUSE IT WAS SUNDAY EVENING AFTER THE FARMER'S MARKET BUT THERE WAS LEFTOVER FOOD DEBRIS, THE BRICK WAS DIRTY. OTHERS -- BUSINESSES WHY IS THE -- WHY IS -- WHY ARE THE BRICKS AND EVERYTHING SO DIRTY EVERY WEEK? THOSE ARE BUSINESS I CAN THINK OF LIKE ELBOW GREASE IT TAKES TO GET THEM CLEANED UP BUT I KNOW THERE'S COST INVOLVED. I GUESS -- I DON'T HAVE ANY DOLLAR AMOUNTS BUT THOSE ARE THINGS I WITH SUGGESTED AND WE COULD FIGURE OUT WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE AND WHAT IS PART OF THE STANDARD DAILY PRACTICES VERSUS ADDING SOME. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU HAD ANYTHING.

ONE COMMENT THAT I HAVE IS WE WILL BE SPEAKING THE FARMER'S MARKET. MINORING WE WILL TALK TO SEE HOW WE CAN WORK WITH THEM ABOUT THAT. I THINK IT IS A MATTER OF PRIORITIES AND NOW THAT WE HAVE OUTSOURCED THE FLOWING AND LANDSCAPING WE WILL HAVE NOW AVAILABILITY WHERE WE CAN GO HEAD AND PRIORITY RISE SOME OF PRIORITIZE SOME OF THE STAFFING. IT'LL BE -- THE STAFFING WITH THE FREEING UP OF THE LANDSCAPER.

AND THEN THE OTHER THOUGHT I HAD WAS THE -- I KNOW POWER WASHING IS ONE OF THOSE THINGS THAT EVE YEAR COMES UP ABOUT I DON'T KNOW -- IS IT TWICE A YEAR THAT IT'S DONE RIGHT NOW?

I BELIEVE IT'S -- I BELIEVE THAT'S THE CASE I'M NOT POSITIVE. I BELIEVE IT'S BEFORE THE TWO SPECIAL EVENTS.

YEAH. THAT'S CORRECT. DONE HALF YEAR.

WE HAVE -- BEFORE APPLE BLOSSOM AND THEN BEFORE THE LIGHTNING THE HOLIDAY LIGHTING.

IT SEEMS LIKE IT COULD USE IT MORE SINCE IT'S NOT RAINING AS MUCH

THOSE ARE MY SUGGESTIONS. I DON'T KNOW IF HOW WE CAN QUANTIFY THAT OR IF MINUTE HAS -- CITY STAFF HAS SUGGESTIONS FOR WHAT WE CAN DO. IF THERE ARE COST THAT WE NEED TO BUDGET FOR NOW I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR THAT.

I THINK IT'LL BE HARD TO BUDGET. SOME OF THE IDEAS VERY THOUGHT ABOUT IS LIKE THE HOLIDAYS. HOW TO REALLY FOCUS ON THE DOWNTOWN AND MAKE IT MORE ATTRACTIVE. DO DIFFERENT TYPES OF DECORATIONS. MAYBE JUST SETTING ASIDE TEN TO 15,000 DEPENDING AND THEN HAVING STAFF COMING BACK, YOU KNOW ONCE WE GET MORE CLEAR ANSWERS. I HAVE DISCUSSED IT WITH PUBLIC WORKS. I THREW IT AT THEM LATE. I THINK IT WOULD BE GOOD TO MAKE THE DOWNTOWN BEAUTIFUL WITH THE DECORATIONS FOR HOLIDAYS. ONE OF THE SUGGESTIONS I HAD WAS TALKING ABOUT THE GAZEBO. PAINTING IT. PAINTING IT LIKE FOR HOLIDAYS. I DID REACH OUT TO -- IT'S ABOUT TWO DAYS PLUS THE CANS OF PAINT. MAYBE DO FOR HALLOWEEN DOING BLACK AND ORANGE AND THEN RED AND WHITE FOR CHRISTMAS. THERE ARE MINIMAL COSTS BUT OVERTIME WE WILL -- OVER THE YEAR IT WOULD ADD UP. MY RECOMMENDATION IS MAYBE PUTTING IN TWO TO 15 RIGHT NOW AND THEN COMING BACK WITH THE COST AND THEN HAVING COUNCIL APPROVE IT DOWN THE ROAD.

THAT SOUNDS GOOD.

I KNOW WE TALK ABOUT IT A LOT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME SORT OF UPDATE AS FAR AS WHAT'S DOING BUN. IF WE ARE GOING TO SET THAT ASIDE I THINK WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE WHAT THAT'S IS LOOKING LIKE.

I THINK WE SET ASIDE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MONEY IN THE BUDGET FOR HOLIDAY DECORATIONS. WAS IT 5,000?

5,000.

\$5,000. OKAY. I WOULD BE OKAY WITH UPPING THAT AMOUNT. IF THE REST OF COUNCIL WANTED TO DO THAT. I THINK THAT -- WE MAY WANT TO CONSIDER THE IDEA OF HOW ARE WE GOING TO CONNECT THE BARLOW TO THE DOWNTOWN? ITS BEEN TALKED ABOUT A LOT. IN THE BARLOW THIS HAVE THAT KIND OF WEB OF LIGHT THAT GOES OVER THE STREET. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT CAN BE -- CARRIED DOWN THROUGH MCKINLEY OR SOME WAY TO CONNECT THE PLAZA THE DOWNTOWN AND MAYBE SETTING ASIDE MONEY FOR THAT.

THANK YOU FOR RAISING THAT. YEAH. AND 100000%. COUNCILMEMBER JUST SAID. IT'S LIKE THIS HAS BEEN A LONG GOING THING ABOUT WHAT THE BARLOW COULD CONTRIBUTE TO BRINGING THE LIGHTS DOWN FROM THEIR SECTION ALL THE WAY TO MAIN STREET. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO HAVE THAT SET ASIDE. THE ONGOING REQUEST BETWEEN 10 AND 15 WE HAVE FIVE. MORE MONEY INTO THAT FOR THE LIGHTS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

THOSE ARE -- THOSE ARE HIGHWAYS AND WE CAN'T PUT THINGS OVER THEM.

RIGHT. I DON'T THINK YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT GOING OVER THE HIGHWAY. THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT JUST CONNECTING THEM. CONNECTING DOWN MCKINLEY STREET AND MAYBE PUTTING THEM IN THE PARK. YOU ARE CORRECT. WE CANNOT GO -- WE CAN'T PUT LIGHTS OVER THE STREETS FOR CAL TRANS. THEY WON'T LET US DO THAT. I JUST WANT TO INTERJECT. BASED ON YOUR COMMENT ABOUT WANTING TO SEE SOMETHING. I HAVE MADE A COMMITMENT THAT QUARTERLY WE WILL BRING BACK THE GOALS AND UPDATES. THESE PROJECTS WILL BE INCLUDED. HOW THE MONEY IS BEING SPENT.

AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. THANK YOU FOR KEEPING TRACK OF WHERE COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS.

YES. THANK YOU. ACTUALLY, THANK YOU MANAGER BECAUSE WE CAN HIT THESE PROJECTS A LITTLE BIT AS THEY COME AND THERE SHOULD BE MONEY IN THERE. WE SHOULD BE DOING SOME OF THESE THINGS ANY WAY. I DON'T WANT TO GET TO MI CR ORGANIZE MANAGING. I WOULD LIKE TO LOOK HOW WE DO THIS. THAT SAID YOU KNOW TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEMS IF PUBLIC WORKS THINKS THAT, YOU KNOW, IT'S NECESSARY I WOULD BE A FAN OF THROWING ANOTHER TEN OR \$15,000 TOWARD THIS SPECIFIC KIND OF THING.

GREAT. I THINK WE HAVE CONSENSUS OF DOING ABOUT 10 TO \$15,000. IS THAT RIGHT? LOOKING DOWN TO MY RIGHT.

I THINK SO.

15. ANY LEFT? SONS LIKE COUNCILMEMBER CARTER. WE HAVE CONSENSUS. WE HAVE BEEN GOING FOR AN HOUR AND 50 MINUTES. WOULD WE LIKE A BREAK BEFORE WE COME BACK AND JUST SO I UNDERSTAND. WE HAVE HIT ALL THE ITEMS THAT ARE ON PAGE 2. WHEN WE COME BACK IF WE TAKE A BREAK NOW IT'S FOR ADDITIONAL ITEMS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE COUNCIL CONSIDER BEYOND WHAT WAS LISTED ON PAGE 2.

THAT IS CORRECT. THIS WOULD BE FOR COUNCIL TO BRING FORWARD ANY ITEMS WE HAVEN'T ADDRESSED OR IF YOU WANTED TO -- IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTION FROM THE PREVIOUS BUDGET DISCUSSION. I WANT TO CLARIFY. WAS THAT -- WAS IT 10,000 PLUS THE 5,000? NOT -- NOT --

15,000.

15 PLUS THE 5,000. JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THAT.

OKAY.

AND THEN --

SURE. IS THAT VICE MAYOR?

I JUST WANT TO SAY A MAJOR U FUND ALLOCATION. WE STILL HAVE THAT. WE STILL HAVE PENSION AND WE HAVE OUR OWN THINGS TO ADD.

OKAY. DO WE WANT TO TAKE A BREAK?

I'M OKAY WITH A BREAK.

I'M THINKING A BREAK. OKAY. WHAT DO WE WANT, FIVE OR TEN MINUTES? FIVE. ALL RIGHT. FIVE. WE WILL BE BACK AT 8:56.

SO THANK YOU FOR THE GALLERY AND THOSE AT HOME, WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMMODATE THOSE WHO CAN'T PHYSICALLY POSTPONING AGENDA ITEM NUMBER, IS NINE THE OVER SIGHT ONE? SO IS IT ALL RIGHT? YES.

SO I JUST, BECAUSE COUNCIL MEMBER CARTER IS ACTUALLY OUT OF THE AREA AND ON VACATION WE'RE GOING TO POSTPONE THIS ITEM BECAUSE I THINK IT MAY TAKE A LITTLE BIT LONGER SO HE'S BEEN AGREEABLE AS LONG AS THE COUNCIL IS AGREEABLE TO POSTPONE THIS ITEM TO THE NEXT MEETING. OH, SORRY. COUNCIL MEMBER CARTER ARE YOU OKAY IF IT'S THE AUGUST 5th MEETING? FOR THE OVERSIGHT FUND.

WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.

YOU HAVE TO UNMUTE YOURSELF.

THERE YOU GO. YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO UNMUTE RIGHT NOW.

OKAY, THANK YOU. I'M OKAY IF IT'S NOT TONIGHT. OF COURSE I WANT IT TO HAPPEN. SO. YOU KNOW. IT'S.

BUT WOULD YOU BE AGREEABLE, THE VICE MAYOR IS NOT AT THE NEXT MEETING SO SHE WOULD LIKE TO BE A PART OF THAT CONVERSATION. SO IS AUGUST 5th OKAY FOR YOU TO POSTPONE THE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE DISCUSSION?

WE CAN DO IT AUGUST 5th. YEAH. OF COURSE. YEAH I'LL BE OVER THERE. SORRY, HANG ON.

THAT'S OKAY. SO THE ASK IS TO CONTINUE THE BUDGET DISCUSSION, TABLE IT RIGHT NOW. BUT CONTINUE IT TO LATER IN THE EVENING. THEY WOULD LIKE TO DO ITEM NUMBER 11 AND THEN COME BACK AND FINISH THE REMAINDER OF THE ITEMS OTHER THAN ITEM NUMBER 9 IF THAT'S ALL RIGHT WITH COUNCIL.

ARE YOU ALL RIGHT WITH THAT BECAUSE YOU WERE PART OF THE AGENDA DISCUSSION.

YEAH THAT'S FINE. I JUST WANT TO BE PART OF THE CONVERSATION SINCE I WAS PART OF THE AGENDA ITEM WOULD BE NICE TO COMMUNICATE BEFORE IT'S ANNOUNCED TO EVERYBODY.

BUT YOU'RE ALL RIGHT WITH ITEM 9.

YES.

CAN YOU CLARIFY. POSTPONE THE BUDGET.

WE'RE GOING TO POSTPONE THE BUDGET CONVERSATION RIGHT NOW. WE'RE GOING TO, GO TO ITEM NUMBER 11, COUNCIL MEMBER CARTER WOULD LIKE TO BE A PART OF THAT VOTE AND THEN COME BACK TO THE BUDGET. THEN CONTINUE THE REMAINDER OF THE ITEMS. YES.

YES. SORRY. WE'RE TRYING TO KEEP EVERYTHING STRAIGHT HERE. SO IS EVERYONE OKAY IF WE GO TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 11. BECAUSE I THINK THERE WAS IMPRESSION THAT PEOPLE WANTED TO WEIGH IN AND VOTE THE WAY THEY WANTED TO VOTE.

I JUST FEEL LIKE, I NEED TO SAY THAT THIS ISN'T FORMALLY DONE FOR THE PUBLIC. TO ACCOMMODATE SOMEBODY WHO'S OUT OF TOWN. BUT, I MEAN, I WILL WITH THAT COMMENT. I THINK YOU'RE THE MAYOR. YOU SHOULD MAKE THE DECISION. BUT, TO MOVE THINGS AROUND A LOT WHEN WE POSTED THIS AS A PUBLIC AGENDA. I DON'T KNOW. IT JUST SEEMS REALLY AWKWARD.

I UNDERSTAND BUT LIFE HAPPENS. AND, SO, YES. IF THERE'S NO STRONG OBJECTION. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL NUMBER 11. SO I DON'T SEE ANY STRONG OBJECTION SO WE'RE MOVING ON TO ITEM NUMBER 11. ITEM IS TO APPOINT THE CURRENT ACTING CITY MANAGER MARY GERLI INITIATION AS CITY MANAGER FOR A PERIOD AS FOLLOWED UNTIL JANUARY 21, 2026 OR UNTIL A CITY COUNCIL APPOINTS A FULL TIME CITY

MANAGER WHICHEVER FIRST. THE FISCAL IMPACT. THERE'S NO FISCAL IMPACT. GENERAL CITY MANAGER WILL NOT BE TAKING ANY INCREASE CITY SALARY OR BENEFITS AND WILL RETAIN CURRENT SALARY AT ASSISTANT CITY MANAGERS/CITY CLERK. AND THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY FOR THIS IS, CITY PERSONNEL ATTORNEY. I WILL HANDLE.

OKAY.

THEN THERE WE GO.

AND YOU JUST, SUMMARIZED THE NUMBER RIGHT THEN AND THERE. OTHERWISE IT WOULD APPOINT MARY THE CITY MANAGER UNTIL THE END OF JANUARY OR SOONER IF AN APPOINTMENT IS MADE. THERE'S NO FISCAL ITEM OF THIS ITEM.

ANY QUESTIONS OF ALEX BEFORE WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC COMMENT? LOOKING TO THE RIGHT. LEFT, VICE MAYOR, DID YOU.

I DON'T HAVE A QUESTION BUT I THINK I'VE HAD A FEW PEOPLE ASK WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN ACTING AND INTERIM, THAT'S ALL.

INTERIM CONOTES A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME. ACTING IS REALLY YOU'RE ACTING IN THE ABSENCE OF A CITY MANAGER. WHERE AS THIS IS, THIS IS YOUR JOB UNTIL WE MAKE THE PERMANENT HIRE. IT'S NOT JUST, YOU KNOW A WEEK OR TWO THING.

FOR THE RECORD, I DO KNOW THAT. BUT I THINK FOR THE PUBLIC IT JUST HELPS THEM TO BETTER UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE DOING THIS.

WE APPOINT ACTING CITY MANAGER WHEN THE CITY MANAGER IS ON VACATION FOR EXAMPLE.

GREAT. I DO NOT SEE A HAND FROM COUNCIL MEMBER CARTER. SO IF THERE'S NO COMMENT FROM OUR COLLEAGUES WE'LL GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT, MARY.

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM CITY MANAGER. WE'LL DO 10 MINUTES. WE GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST THEN OUT TO ZOOM.

I'M HEARING TWO VERY DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS OF WHAT WAS JUST STATED AND I FIND WHAT THE CITY ATTORNEY STATED TO BE SOME WHAT MISLEADING. BECAUSE WHAT IT IS FAILING TO RECOGNIZE IS THAT, IN THE VERY LIKELY SCENARIO THAT WE HAVE A FAILED SEARCH, OR THAT WE HAVE SOME SORT OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR ANY SORT OF OTHER DELAY, THEN, AM I RIGHT IN INTERPRETING THAT MARY WOULD REMAIN AS THE INTERIM CITY MANAGER BEYOND THAT DATE IN JANUARY. BECAUSE WHAT STEVEN STATED WAS THAT IT WOULD BE WHICHEVER COMES FIRST BUT THAT IS NOT WHAT THE CITY ATTORNEY HAS SAID. HE SAID EARL EARLIER. SUGGESTING THERE'S A FIXED END DATE OF JANUARY 6th. SO THAT CLARIFICATION NEEDS TO BE ADJUSTED AND I WOULD HOPE THAT LANGUAGE COULD BE MORE CONSISTENT IN A WAY SOMETHING LIKE THIS AS SERIOUS AS HAVING INTERIM CENTER MANAGER FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME BE COMMUNICATED TO THE PUBLIC. ALSO JUST THE IDEA THAT THIS COULD BE EXTENDED OUT INTO INDEFINITE AS A RESULT OF THAT INTERPRETATION AND WE DON'T EVEN REALLY KNOW WHAT THE STATUS OF THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS IS, HAS A FIRM BEEN HIRED FOR A PROCESS OF HIRING. DO WE HAVE CANDIDATES AT THIS POINT. RIGHT. SO I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF UNANSWERED QUESTIONS BEFORE WE JUST GO AHEAD AND RIP THROUGH AND GO AHEAD AND ASSIGN MARY AS INTERIM FOR INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME, THANKS.

THANK YOU, KYLE. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT REGARDING THE INTERIM APPOINTMENT. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.

SEEING NONE. I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

TURNING BACK TO ALEX. CAN YOU ADDRESS.

MAYOR, I CAN'T REMEMBER THE EXACT WORDING I WILL USE. BUT I WILL JUST SAY, THIS WOULD APPOINTMENT MARY TO BE THE CITY MANAGER UNTIL JANUARY 31st, 2026 OR WHEN THE COUNCIL APPOINTS A PERMANENT. WHICHEVER HAPPENS FIRST. IF JANUARY 31, 2026 AND THE COUNCIL HAS NOT APPOINTED A PERMANENT IF MARY WERE TO CONTINUE SERVING AS INTERIM CITY MANAGER WE WOULD NEED TO BRING A NEW ITEM BACK TO EXTEND THAT APPOINTMENT.

AND COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

IT'S STATED RIGHT IN THE AGENDA ITEM HERE ON ITEM NUMBER ONE AND IT DOES SAY SHE WOULD RESUME HER DUTIES AS CITY MANAGER CLERK ON THAT DATE. AND AS ALEX STATED. SO I THINK IT'S VERY CLEAR. BUT MAYBE WASN'T CLEAR THEN WE SPOKE IT.

SO MAYBE I DIDN'T READ IT RIGHT. BUT THE WAY IT'S STATED ON THE AGENDA AND WHAT'S BEEN NOTICED AND MADE KNOWN TO THE PUBLIC. IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT OUR CITY ATTORNEY HAS JUST STATED. IS THERE ANY OTHER COMMENT FROM MY COLLEAGUES OR IS THERE A MOTION FROM ONE OF MY COUNCIL MEMBERS.

SO WOULD HER OFFICIAL POSITION BE INTERIM CITY MANAGER?

CORRECT.

WOULD IT BE INCORRECT TO CALL HER THE CITY MANAGER?

YOU COULD CALL HER CITY MANAGER. SHE IS THE INTERIM CITY MANAGER. BUT SHE'S ACTING AS THE CITY MANAGER.

OKAY.

SHE WOULD HAVE ALL OF THE POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE CITY MANAGER. AND VICE MAYOR.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE A MOTION TO.

BEFORE YOU DO. COULD I JUST JUMP IN REALLY QUICK AND ADDRESS ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC REGARDING THE RECRUITMENT STATUS. SO WE WILL HAVE AN AGENDA ITEM COMING BACK ON AUGUST 5th FOR THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR THE RECRUITMENT FIRM. THE COUNCIL WILL DO A CONTRACT. THEY'LL DO THE BROCHURES, WE'LL DO THE RECRUITMENT AND WE'LL COME BACK.

SO MOVED BY McLEWIS AND SECONDED BY MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARTER.

YES.

I APPRECIATE MY OTHER COLLEAGUES FLEXIBILITY ON THIS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.

CONGRATULATIONS COUNCIL MEMBER GARLEY.

WE'RE GOING BACK TO THE BUDGET, CORRECT.

AND WE WILL GO BACK TO WHATEVER ITEMS THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS.

SO DO WE WANT TO GO WITH THE CULTURAL CENTER. COMMUNITY CITY FIRST. THAT IS CORRECT. YOU HAD BROUGHT THIS UP REGARDING THE ASSESSMENT. AND FUNDING FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER.

YES, SO, WHAT I THINK HAPPENED LAST TIME, GUY ASKED THE QUESTION, THE AMOUNT THAT WAS REQUESTED AT THE LAST CITY COUNCIL MEETING WAS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED TO DO THE EXPENSE WAS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE FIRE CODE. IS THAT ALL THAT'S NEEDED FOR REPAIRS AND ADDITIONAL WORK FOR THAT BUILDING UNTIL THE COMMUNITY CENTER AD HOC WHICH IS MYSELF AND THE VICE MAYOR REPORT OUT, IS THAT CORRECT UNDERSTANDING OR NO?

I BELIEVE THAT IS THE UNDERSTANDING. THERE'S NO FURTHER WORK DONE ON THE COMMUNITY CENTER UNTIL WE BRING WORK ON THE ASSESSMENT. THAT IS CORRECT.

CORRECT. THEN THAT ANSWERS THAT QUESTION. SO THEN WE'RE GOING TO MOVE IT RIGHT ALONG THEN, MARY.

SO THE NEXT IS THE DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PENSION. WE COULD GO AHEAD AND AGAIN HOLD THIS FOR NOW UNTIL WE DISCUSS ALL THE OTHER POTENTIAL ITEMS THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION. AND I BELIEVE THE VICE MAYOR.

GO AHEAD VICE MAYOR.

ON THE AGENDA WE HAVE THE MEASURE U ALLOCATION. ISN'T THAT SOMETHING, WHERE ARE WE DOING THAT AT?

WE DID SET THAT ASIDE IN THE LIVE SHEET, SORRY. WE'RE GOING TO DISCUSS THAT WITH THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AS PART OF THE CIP.

I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THAT TO MAKE SURE.

SO THE PENSION WILL BE ON HOLD. NOW WE'RE GOING TO DISCUSS THE COUNCIL MEMBER PROJECTS OR OTHER ITEMS THAT THE COUNCIL WANTS TO INCLUDE IN THE BUDGET.

COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

SO, VICE MAYOR McLEWIS AND I SERVED ON THE SUB ECONOMY FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. AND AT THAT TIME. WE WANTED TO KIND OF HAVE THE CELEBRATION AND DO SOMETHING FOR THE VOLUNTEERS THAT HAVE GIVEN A LOT OF THEIR TIME OVER THE YEARS. AND WE ORIGINALLY WERE THINKING ABOUT A DINNER, IT WAS ON OUR LIST OF ITEMS AND BASED ON TIMING AND JUST, EVERYTHING INVOLVED IN THAT AT OUR LAST SUB ECONOMY MEETING WE TALKED ABOUT DOING SOMETHING MORE PERMANENT AT THE FIRE HOUSE THAT COULD HONOR THE VOLUNTEERS THAT HAVE SERVED AND LIKE A MOMENT IN TIME, SOME SORT OF MAYBE PUTTING THEIR NAMES DOWN FOR ONES THAT WE'LL HONOR TONIGHT. I THINK THERE'S ABOUT 28 MEMBERS. AND WE TALKED ABOUT MAYBE IT WAS SUGGESTED TO US MAYBE SOME PICNIC BENCHES WHERE THEY COULD EAT OUTSIDE. OR PEOPLE COULD LUNCH AT THE FIRE HOUSE. AND THEN SOME SORT OF PERMANENT PLAQUE OR SOMETHING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT EXACTLY THAT MIGHT COST. IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ONE OR TWO BENCHES BUT IT MIGHT BE A GOOD TIME TO TRY TO GET IT DONE IF WE CAN SET UP A BUDGET BETWEEN NOW AND WHEN THEIR PANCAKE BREAKFAST IS WHICH IS ALWAYS IN OCTOBER. AND, JUST SORT OF SOME SORT OF, SOMETHING HONORING THEM, AND THE DINNER ESTIMATES WE GOT SOME WHERE AROUND 20 TO 28,000 AND

SOME EXTRAS FOR SPOUSES. TO SET ASIDE \$28,000 TO PUT TOGETHER SOME SORT OF SERVICE FOR THEIR TIME ON DUTY SEEMS RIGHT FOR THIS POINT IN TIME.

IN A PREVIOUS ROLE I USED TO MANAGE A BENCH AND PICNIC TABLE MEMORIAL TYPE THING. IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT RATHER THAN DOING WOOD FOR THAT BECAUSE WE'RE CONSTANTLY TRYING TO DO WOOD HARDENING. THAT WE PUT THE WOOD ONES THAT I'M FAMILIAR ARE MADE HERE LOCALLY. IT WAS SUGGESTED TO DO OTHER TERMS THAT WOULD BE MORE COSTLY. THE WOOD ONES RANGE 3,300 TO 3,500 AND THE OTHERS ARE MUCH MORE. OTHER THAT TO SAY. THE INVESTIGATION ON WHAT TO DO IS IN PROCESS. I WOULD AGREE WITH THE ESTIMATE FOR THE, YOU KNOW 9 TO \$10,000.

COUNCIL MEMBER.

MAURER.

I LIKE THE IDEA OF HONORING THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT. BUT THERE'S OTHER PEOPLE THAT WERE PART OF THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR SO MANY YEARS BEFORE THAT. IT WOULD SEEM BETTER TO HONOR THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT RATHER THAN THE SPECIFIC PEOPLE THAT ARE CURRENT. THAT'S MY THOUGHT. I MEAN THIS VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT IS 100 YEARS OLD. AND THAT, YOU KNOW TONIGHT FOR ME IT WAS KIND OF HAPPY SAD BECAUSE, YAY WE MERGED AND SAD BECAUSE IT'S REALLY AN ENDING OF A VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT WHICH HAS BEEN VERY HISTORIC. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IF WE'RE GOING TO PUT MONEY TOWARD IT, TO PUT IT TOWARD HONORING THAT VOLUNTEER, THE 100 YEARS OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. SOMETHING LIKE THAT. BECAUSE I KNOW THERE'S PEOPLE WHO VOLUNTEERED THAT WILL NOT BE ON THAT CURRENT LIST THAT ARE NOT CURRENT ON THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUT THEY'VE BEEN A VOLUNTEER. FIRE CHIEFS ETC.

SO THAT CAME UP AND IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT PLAQUES WITH FIREFIGHTERS NAMES ON IT BECAUSE WE, WE DISCUSSED THAT. AND IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT WE DO THE POINT IN TIME NAMES AND NOT, SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY ALREADY HAVE THINGS ALL OVER THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WITH THE FIREFIGHTERS NAMES AND FORMER CHIEFS AND ALL OF THAT IS ON, THEY'RE ON THE WALLS I UNDERSTAND. I DON'T KNOW IF ANYONE ELSE HAS ANYTHING TO ADD FROM THE AD HOC.

WE TALKED ABOUT A POINT IN TIME. BECAUSE THIS IS A TRANSITION. AND OF COURSE OVER 100 YEARS THERE'S TOO MANY TO NAME FOR SURE. AND AS JILL SAID, MANY OF THEM HAD ALREADY BEEN HONORED THROUGHOUT THE YEARS IN DIFFERENT WAYS AND DIFFERENT PLAQUES. SO, I GUESS, I'M OPEN TO EITHER I JUST, I DON'T KNOW, BUT I LIKE A LITTLE MORE PERSONAL. THAT'S MY PERSONAL PRESENCE BUT I WOULD LEAN TO THE WILL OF THE FULL GROUP. I MEAN JILL AND I WERE JUST LEANING TO THE MEMBERS WHO WERE HERE THROUGH THIS WHOLE PROCESS, THIS POINT IN TIME. SO ANY WAY.

THANK YOU, AND I CAN SEE BOTH SIDES. FOR ME IT'S LIKE I WOULD LIKE TO HONOR ALL. BEING CONSISTENT WITH WHAT COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER HAS SAID BECAUSE IT IS SAD. AND KIND OF, NOT JUST MARKING THE POINT IN TIME BUT JUST AS A TRANSITION AS A CITY FROM ALL THAT'S HAPPENED TO ALL THAT'S NEW COMING UP. SO THAT'S WHAT MY PREFERENCE IS. YES, SMILES. NO. OKAY.

CAN I MAKE A COMMENT.

SURE.

IF WE'RE JUST, I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW HOW THAT WOULD WORK LIKE FROM BEGINNING TO THIS DATE. BUT I WOULD LIKE IT TO BE A NICER PLAQUE THEN. I DON'T WANT IT TO BE SOMETHING CHEESY. MAYBE WE CAN HAVE A LITTLE FIRE ENGINE OR APPLE, THEN LET'S MAKE IT THAT PEOPLE TWO BY AND NOTICE.

OKAY.

WITH IT THAT WOULD BE, SO I WOULD LIKE TO SET ASIDE UP TO \$10,000 AND GET SOMETHING MORE ON IT.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

MY SUGGESTION IS TO SET ASIDE A CERTAIN BUDGET THEN ASK THEM WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE FROM US. WE WANT TO HONOR YOU. WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE.

THERE WON'T BE CROSS TALK. SO COUNCIL MAURER, PLEASE FINISH AND THEN TALK. WE JUST HAD A MOMENT TO GIVE PINS AND 10 MEMBERS SHOWED UP. SO I WOULD LIKE TO ASK.

I'M SORRY, I DIDN'T MEAN TO CROSS TALK. WE DID TALK TO THEM AND THE TABLES IS WHAT WAS REQUESTED. WE ASKED THEM AND WE ORIGINALLY SAID A BENCH. THEY SAID WE ALREADY HAVE A BENCH AND HOW MANY BENCHES DO WE NEED. THEY CAME BACK AND SAID THAT THEY HAD TALKED AND THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE TABLES SO THEY COULD ALL GATHER OUTSIDE. SO, I'M SORRY I DIDN'T MEAN TO CROSS TALK. I JUST WANTED TO SAY. WE DID THAT. WE DID THINK OF THESE THINGS AND THIS WAS OVER A NUMBER OF MEETINGS SO THAT'S, WHAT THEY REQUESTED. SO THAT'S WHY WE WERE BRINGING IT FORTH.

I WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT WHAT THEY'RE WANTING RATHER THAN A DINNER OR SOMETHING ELSE. I WOULD RATHER SUPPORT THE TABLE. ONE OR TWO TABLES.

AND I AM IN SUPPORT OF WHAT THEY WANT. AND YOU SPOKE TO THEM.

WE WENT BACK AND FORTH OVER A COUPLE OF MONTHS AND THAT'S WHAT THEY SUGGESTED.

THERE'S ONLY A COUPLE OF THEM BUT I THINK THIS WILL MEAN SOMETHING, NOT EVERYBODY SHOWS UP AS WE KNOW AT A COUNCIL MEETING. I THINK THESE THINGS ARE MEANINGFUL AND WE WILL MAKE SURE THAT THE CERTIFICATES AND PINS GET TO THEM AND SOMETHING LIKE THIS, I JUST THINK SHOWS THAT WE'RE HONORING THIS AS A MOMENT IN TIME. SO, THANKS.

GREAT. I THINK WE'RE IN CONSENSUS. SO IT WAS 10,000, LEFT UP TO STAFF TO WORK WITH. THOSE HEROS. IS THAT WHERE WE ARE? YES, OKAY? YES, OKAY.

YES.

NOW WE'RE MOVING ON.

NOW WE'RE MOVING ON TO THE BUDGET IS NOW OPEN FOR ANY ADDITIONAL ITEMS THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO.

YES, AND I WOULD LIKE TO THROW IN AND THANK YOU AGAIN TO SEBASTOPOL TIMES FOR BRINGING UP THE STATE OF THE GRAND JURY. WITH THE LAST ITEMS WE HAD AT THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING. THE ANIMAL CONTROL THING IS HUGE FOR ME. AND I KNOW THAT I HAVE SENT OUT QUESTIONS TO OUR POLICE CHIEF ABOUT WHAT HIS THOUGHTS ARE ABOUT WHAT'S IN THIS GRAND JURY. SO MARY, SHOULD WE TURN TO OUR POLICE CHIEF FOR HIS THOUGHTS OR WHAT'S YOUR RECOMMENDATION.

DO YOU HAVE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS WE COULD ADDRESS TO THE CHIEF OR IS IT JUST WHAT OUR THOUGHTS.

WELL I WOULD WANT TO HEAR FROM HIM ABOUT WHAT HE KNOWS ABOUT THE SITUATION WITH THE CONTRACTOR THAT PROVIDES THE ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES AND WHAT HIS THOUGHTS ARE THAT IF WE WENT WITH A DIFFERENT VENDOR, LIKE WHAT SHOULD WE ALLOCATE IF IT'S THE WILL OF THE COUNCIL TO ALLOCATE MONEY FOR IN THIS BUDGET.

I GUESS MAYOR IF I CAN JUMP IN. DEALING WITH THE GRAND JUROR, WE WILL BE COMING BACK IN SEPTEMBER TO TALK ABOUT ALL THOSE ISSUES. IF, AND JUST SO THE COUNCIL KNOWS, THERE IS A PLAN, WE DON'T HAVE A LONG TERM CONTRACT NOW SO THERE IS A PLAN TO DO A FORMAL RFP IN THE COMING YEAR. IT IS POSSIBLE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COST WILL BE. IT IS POSSIBLE THE COST OF A DIFFERENT VENDOR. IF WE SELECT A DIFFERENT VENDOR WILL BE HIGHER. SO YOU COULD BUDGET THAT MONEY NOW. YOU COULD ALSO WAIT UNTIL WE GET THOSE RESPONSES AND IF THEY'RE HIGHER WE NEED TO DO A BUDGET AMENDMENT AT THAT TIME.

MY OPINION IS GIVEN WHAT WE READ I WOULD LIKE TO GO OUT FOR RFP. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ENOUGH MONEY IN THE BUDGET TO GO FOR RFP. I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN STAFF'S PERSPECTIVE OF HOW MUCH DO WE ALLOCATE FOR AN RFP.

THE COST OF THE RFP IS JUST STAFF TIME. SO I'M ASSUMING WHAT YOU'RE ASKING HOW MUCH MONEY WOULD WE SET ASIDE ON THE BUDGET FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES. THE COUNTY, WHEN WE WENT TO NORTH BAY SERVICES WAS CHARGING US ABOUT 20,000 PER YEAR. WE'VE BEEN AVERAGING IN THE LAST YEAR BETWEEN 14 AND 16. I WOULD SAY IF WE WANT TO PUT IN 20,000. RIGHT NOW. THEN WE'LL DO THE RFP. WE HAVE ASKED OUR POLICE CHIEF TO GO IN AND REVIEW PETALUMA ANIMAL SERVICES. AS WELL AS THE HUMANE SOCIETY TO SEE IF THEY WOULD BE WILLING MONTH BY MONTH. BOTH ENTITIES ON A MONTH BY MONTH SO WE'RE NOT LOSING ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES WHILE WE'RE GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS. I WOULD ADD A MINIMUM DO THE 20,000 AND WE WOULD BRING IT BACK. THAT IS GOING TO BE AN ENTIRE COST.

THAT WOULD BE MY REQUEST OF MY COLLEAGUES TO DO THAT. BECAUSE WE ALL HAVE OUR FEELINGS ABOUT SUCH THINGS. I AM AN ACTIVE VOLUNTEER AND HAVE BEEN, FOR A VERY LONG TIME AT THE HUMANE SOCIETY. FOR ME, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT IF ANY ONE OF US LOST OUR PET. MY UNDERSTANDING IS YOU HAVE TO DRIVE TO PETALUMA TO GET YOUR PET. BECAUSE DURING THE WEEKEND THERE'S NO CUBICAL TO SAY, WE FOUND THIS PET AND WE'RE DISCLOSING IT. I WOULD AGREE TO ALLOCATE \$25,000 WHICH WE MAY OR MAY NOT USE. JUST TO HAVE IT.

I'M GOING TO BACK UP FOR A SECOND. SO WE HAVE 20,000 IN THE BUDGET ALREADY. I WOULD SAY PUTTING THAT UP TO 25,000 BASED UPON INFLATION AND WHERE THINGS ARE RIGHT NOW AND BASED ON WHAT THE COUNTY HAD THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. THERE'S 20,000. YOU WOULD BE ALLOCATING AN ADDITIONAL 5,000.

THAT WOULD BE MY REQUEST.

JUST A QUESTION FOR YOU AND THE PLAYER, ARE YOU UNHAPPY WITH THE SERVICES WE CURRENTLY HAVE.

I'M UNHAPPY AND NOBODY HAS SET ME STRAIGHT THAT IF WE LOST AN ANIMAL IN THE CITY THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO DRIVE ALL THE WAY DOWN PETALUMA TO WHATEVER THE SITUATION IS OF THE PETALUMA FACILITY. ACCORDING TO THE

GRAND JUROR REPORT IS NOT GREAT. I WOULD LIKE FOR US TO INVEST THE MONEY TO FIND OUT WHAT THE CONDITIONS ARE THERE AND BASED UPON WHAT WE FIND OUT DEFINITELY GOING OUT AND LOOKING FOR ANOTHER VENDOR.

SO IT WOULD BE AN EXTRA 5K JUST THE CLARIFY.

IT WOULD BE AN EXTRA 5K. WE HAVE 20,000 ALLOCATED.

COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

I'M FINE WITH 5,000. I ASSUME WE WOULD ADDRESS THIS. BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THE ISSUE TO WEIGH IN ON THIS AT THIS TIME. BUT AS A PLACE HOLDER, I WOULD BE FINE FOR PUTTING IT SET ASIDE AND WE WOULD STUDY IT FURTHER ON A SEPARATE AGENDA ITEM.

GREAT. THANK YOU. THEN WE HAVE CONSENSUS ON THAT. IS THERE ANY OTHER THOUGHTS, OFFERINGS VICE MAYOR.

I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO PUT UP THERE WHERE WE ARE PERCENTAGE WISE GIVEN THE DECISIONS WE'VE MADE. BECAUSE, IF WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON. I ASSUME WE WOULD BE MOVING ON TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT. IS THIS AFTER THIS.

WE HAVE THE PENSION AND IF THERE'S ANY OTHER ITEMS.

I JUST WANT TO KNOW TO SEE WHERE WE'RE AT.

YOU WANT ME TO GO THROUGH FROM TOP TO BOTTOM SO EVERYBODY IS ON THE SAME PAGE.

SURE.

FROM THE TOP 75 ADDITIONAL MEASURE U. THE COUNCIL AGREED FOR THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACT. THE TRASH CAN 20,000. THE ICE PARK ANOTHER \$44,400. DOWNTOWN BEAUTIFICATION, 10. WITH THE ADDITIONAL REVENUE THAT COMES TO 15, 485, 773. THAT LEAVES A SURPLUS OF 203. THERE'S YOUR BOTTOM LINE. HOW MUCH IS FOR MEASURE U.

WHAT DO YOU MEAN?

DID YOU, OH YOU MOVED.

150 WAS MEASURE U.

OH YOU MOVED IT UP.

DOES THIS INCLUDE THE 700 FOR THE PARK. FOR THE SIGNS FOR THE.

THAT'S FROM.

YOU'RE RIGHT.

AND WHICH PERCENTAGE.

27.4.

I HAVE ONE OTHER QUESTION. I KNOW I HAVE ADVOCATED FOR THE POLICE POSITION. WE DIDN'T HAVE A FULL NO. WHEN DO WE RECONSIDER THAT, IF THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING.

THAT IS WHAT YOU'RE DOING THAT RIGHT NOW.

I JUST WANTED TO BRING THAT FORTH AND SEE WHERE EVERYBODY IS AT WITH THAT. GIVEN THE SITUATION THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT.

GIVEN WHERE WE ARE, I KNOW WE HAVE THIS ISSUE TO DISCUSS AND THEN THE PENSION ONE AND TO COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON'S POINT AND GO TO THE CIP AND HEAR FROM TONY ABOUT WHATEVER ELSE WE CAN CHIP IN TOWARD. UNLESS I MISCHARACTERIZED.

I AM TAKING THE ADVICE OF THE CITY MANAGER. AND WE HAD ALLOCATED THIS OUT AND WE'RE GOING TO WRAP THIS BUDGET AND THEN WE WERE GOING TO ADD

THAT TO THE CIP. SO WE'RE WRAPPING THIS BUDGET. I AM NOT ADVOCATING TO FILL THAT POSITION. I FELT LIKE. MY POSITION WAS STATED CLEARLY PRIOR AND IT IS AS BROUGHT UP BY THE MAYOR ONCE YOU FILL IT. THEN IT'S A SET POSITION. I'M VERY INTERESTING IN GOING BACK TO OUR PENSION. OUR PENSION IS NOT GOING AWAY. WE'RE GETTING 5 ON THAT MONEY. I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO PLAY WITH THAT PENSION AMOUNT. ON THIS SLIDE IT SAYS \$1 MILLION. THAT SEEMS LIKE TOO BIG OF A TRANSFER. I THINK THAT WOULD PUT IT IN DEFICIT BUT I WOULD LIKE TO PLAY WITH SOME OF THE PENSION NUMBERS. WHAT WOULD BRING US UP TO 22, 23%.

I AGREE WITH COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON AND THE FACT THAT I TOO WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THE NUMBERS ALONG AT A MINIMUM ADD.

CAN WE JUST FINISH THE POLICE ONE FIRST. HAVE EVERYBODY WEIGH IN. BECAUSE I KNOW COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER WAS SUPPORTIVE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE -- I HEAR BUT COUNCIL MEMBER WAS TALKING ABOUT BO. BUT I WANTED TO BE PART OF THAT BUT WE CAN TAKE THEM UP INDIVIDUALLY. ADDING MORE.

CAN I JUST WRAP UP THE TRUST. I THINK THAT WOULD BE CLEAR ON WHAT THAT WOULD DO TO THE PERCENTAGE. I THINK WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. WE HAVE A PENSION SET ASIDE FUND. THAT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE. SO, IN THAT FUND YOU HAVE THE 115 TRUST. IN ONE BUDGET THERE'S TWO DISCRETIONARY. THERE'S THE ONE NONDISCRETIONARY WE DISCUSSED. THIS IS OUTSIDE OF GENERAL FUND.

OKAY, SO EVEN THOUGH IT'S LISTED IN HERE, IT DOESN'T IMPACT OUR PERCENTAGE. IT DOES NOT IMPACT YOUR PERCENTAGE. UNLESS YOU WANT TO TAKE ADDITIONAL MONEY FROM HERE AND MOVE IT TO THE TRUST. AND JUST LEAVE THE MILLION IN THAT ONE BUCKET ALONE. THEN IT WILL REDUCE YOUR PERCENTAGE.

I WANT TO HONOR WHAT VICE MAYOR SAID AND TALK ABOUT THE POLICE POSITION FIRST AND THEN GET INTO THIS. I THINK WE CAN SEPARATE THEM.

SURE WE WILL START WITH THE POLICE. I AM NOT INTERESTED IN UNFREEZING THAT POLICE DEPARTMENT POSITION. BECAUSE, FIRST AND FOREMOST AS I SAID LATER IN TONIGHT'S AGENDA IS TALKING ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH. AND IT'S NOT JUST MENTAL HEALTH FOR OUR UNSHELTERED IT'S MENTAL HEALTH FOR ALL OF US HERE. WHAT I'VE GOTTEN THROUGH THE STAFF REPORT IS THAT IT'S CLEARLY ONE AGAINST THE OTHER. I'M NOT FINE WITH THAT. SO THE POSITION THAT I FIND MYSELF IN NOW IS DEFINITELY A NO FOR UNFREEZING THIS POLICE POSITION. COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

CAN I ASK THE POLICE CHIEF TO COME UP HERE AND ASK A QUESTION.

SURE IF THAT'S THE WILL OF THE BODY. THEN YES. LET'S HAVE THE POLICE CHIEF COME UP.

MY QUESTION IS WHAT WOULD IT MEAN FOR YOUR POLICE DEPARTMENT TO UNFREEZE THAT POSITION.

I THINK OBVIOUSLY IT WOULD FREE US UP. THERE'S A PROCESS TO UNFREEZING THE POSITION. IF YOU WANT TO UNFREEZE IT NOW IT WOULD TAKE SOME TIME TO RECRUIT. YOU WOULD LOOK INTO THE FUTURE BY ACTING NOW IF THAT'S WHAT YOU CHOOSE TO DO. MY ADVICE WOULD BE TO ACT NOW. HAVING ADDITIONAL OFFICERS AS DIRECT CORRELATION TO THE PROACTIVE PUBLIC SECTOR SERVICES WE CAN PROVIDE TO THE CITY, TO OUR RESIDENTS, WE CAN ADDRESS THE COMPLAINTS THAT WE GET FOR TRAFFIC. MANY OF WHICH WE STRUGGLE TO GET TO. EXCUSE ME.

RATHER THAN JUST BEING REACTIVE AND RESPONDING TO CALLS FOR SERVICE. IT WOULD ALLOW US TO LOOK BEYOND THAT. AND WORK ON SOME OF THESE ADDITIONAL COMPLAINTS AND PROJECT AREAS THAT, THAT THE COMMUNITY MIGHT LIKE TO SEE AS INVESTING IN.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

I JUST KNOW THAT WE'VE SEEN THE INCREASED REPORTS. WHAT WE READ IN THE PRESS IS THAT'S PRETTY MUCH GOING TO BE A STATUS SITUATION. AND NOW COMMONS IS GETTING READY TO BREAK GROUND. WE'VE ALREADY SEEN IN THE PRESS THAT IT SAYS WE'LL HAVE THE SAME, COULD LIKELY HAVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF CALLS AND THAT'S JUST THE WAY IT'S GOING TO BE. SO HOW DO YOU SEE THAT PLAYING OUT FOR US HERE IN THE CITY WITH WHAT WE HAVE EIGHT POLICE OFFICERS. IS THAT.

WE HAVE POSITIONS BUT THEY'RE NOT ACTIVELY FULL. WE'RE WORKING TO FILL THOSE POSITIONS. WE HAVE AN ACADEMY RIGHT NOW THAT FINISHES IN AUGUST. BUT GETTING BACK TO YOUR POINT. NATURALLY YOU'VE SEEN THE RESULT OF THE STATISTICS THAT WE HAVE VERY DETAILED STATISTICS THAT WE CAN PULL FROM CAD. THAT CLEARLY DEMONSTRATED A MONOPOLY OF OUR TOWN BEING SPENT AT ALDERBERY COMMONS. FROM THE INFORMATION I RECEIVED IT WILL APPEAR THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE REPLICATED IF NOT WORSE AT THE ADDITIONAL PROPERTY. OBVIOUSLY THAT'S JUST A DETAILED GUESS WORK AND REFERRALS TO OTHER AGENCIES. IF THAT WAS TO OCCUR. WE'RE DEFINITELY GOING TO HAVE ISSUES WITH OUR POLICING SERVICES BEING DEPLOYED TO REACTIVE SITUATIONS AND BE UNABLE TO POLICE OTHER PARTS OF THE CITY AND PROVIDE PUBLIC SAFETY. ESPECIALLY PROACTIVE PUBLIC SAFETY PATROLS WHICH ARE AN IMPORTANT GOAL OF THE COUNCIL AND THINGS LIKE THAT THAT REQUIRE US TO HAVE AVAILABLE TIME TO DO THEM.

AND, I BRING THIS UP BECAUSE I, YOU KNOW, I SEE THE BUSINESSES TALKING. I HEAR THE AMOUNT OF SHOPLIFTING THEY DEAL WITH AND AS WE, AS THIS NEW PROJECT BREAKS GROUND AND ONCE IT DOES OPEN UP I SEE BOTH ENDS OF THE CITY PULLING OUR POLICE OFFICERS TO EACH END OF THE CITY. AND THEN WHO'S TAKING CARE OF THE CORE OF OUR CITY WHERE ALL OF OUR BUSINESSES ARE AT. WHERE YOU KNOW, A LOT OF OUR FAMILIES LIVE. I LIVE DOWNTOWN, RIGHT. RIGHT OFF OF 116. I SEE IT EVERY DAY IN THE AMOUNT OF TRANSIENTS THAT GO ON MY STREET. SO THE CONCERN I HAVE IS, WITH THIS AMOUNT OF CALLS, HOW WOULD YOU TYPICALLY UP STAFF ANY WHERE ELSE IF YOU HAD THAT INCREASE IN CALLS LIKE THAT. YOU KNOW, THE ELDERBERY COMMONS.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M UNDERSTANDING THE QUESTION. YOU'RE ASKING IF WE HAVE THESE TWO PROPERTIES THAT ARE SORT OF SIMILAR IN NATURE AND WE'RE RESPONDING TO CALLS AS WE HAD BEEN WITH ALDERBERY AT FIRST. HOW THAT WOULD IMPACT.

WHEN I WORKED IN THE HOSPITAL I DID STAFFING. I WOULD LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS WE HAD AND AT NIGHT SAY YOU HAVE 10 PEOPLE COMING IN. I WOULD THINK, I NEED TO UP STAFF BY ONE FTP OR 1-1/2 KNOWING THAT FORMULA. IS THAT SOMETHING THAT, YOU KNOW AS A POLICE DEPARTMENT WHEN YOU KNOW THAT YOUR CALLS ARE GOING TO BE GOING UP BY WHATEVER DUE TO INCREASED

POPULATION OR WHATEVER. IS THERE A FORMULA YOU USE THAT YOU WOULD UP STAFF.

I DON'T KNOW IT WOULD BE A FORMULA BECAUSE WE CANNOT PREDICT HOW LONG A CALL WOULD TAKE OR WHAT TYPE OF RESPONSE THERE WILL BE FOR IT. IF FIVE MINUTES, OFFICERS WILL RESPOND. AND DURING BRAWLS IT COULD TAKE MORE STAFF. THERE'S NO DOUBT IN MY MIND THAT TWO PROPERTIES THAT ARE SIMILAR TO EACH OTHER ARE GOING TO ABSORB ALL OF OUR AVAILABLE RESOURCES. AND WE DO HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THEFT. JUST TODAY I WAS NOTIFIED OF ANOTHER COUNTY IN CALIFORNIA ARRESTING A SUSPECT THAT HAD STOLEN \$1,600 WORTH OF MAKE UP FROM CVS HERE IN TOWN. AND HAD GOT AWAY WITH IT. SO, THERE'S DEFINITELY SOME SUBSTANTIAL FELONY THEFT OCCURRING IN THE CITY. WE HAVE A LOT OF ISSUES AT SAFEWAY. WE CAN'T BE EVERYWHERE WITH THE OFFICERS WE CURRENTLY HAVE. LOOKING AT THE HISTORY OF THE CITY. WE USED TO HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY MORE POLICE HERE THAN WE DO CURRENTLY.

OKAY, THANK YOU. AND THIS IS A QUESTION TO OUR CITY ATTORNEY. SO, BASED UPON HOW THIS WAS AGENDAIZED. THERE'S A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH. THE WAY THIS WAS AGENDAIZED THAT THAT'S NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THIS ITEM.

I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH.

I'M JUST SAYING WHAT I HEARD. I WANT CLARIFY FROM OUR CITY ATTORNEY ABOUT WHAT IS WITHIN SCOPE OF THESE VARIOUS AGENDAS.

ONE IS WHETHER TO ADD FUNDING FOR A NEW POSITION ON THE BUDGET. IF YOU WANT THE SECOND ITEM IS THERE'S A LINE ITEM ABOUT MENTAL HOMELESS OUTREACH SERVICES IN THE BUDGET. WHO WE CONTRACT WITH FOR THAT MONEY IS NOT PART OF THIS BUDGET AGENDA ITEM. IF YOU WANT TO DISCUSS INCREASING THAT BUDGET AMOUNT FROM 40 TO WHATEVER AMOUNT OR DECREASING IT THAT WOULD BE PART OF THIS AGENDA.

I'M NOT ABLE TO TALK ABOUT THAT PART OF THE AGENDA.

YOU CAN CERTAINLY TALK ABOUT WHETHER YOU WANT TO INCREASE THE BUDGET AMOUNT. WHO IS GETTING THAT CONTRACT IS NOT IN THIS BUDGET. BUT IF YOU WANT TO SAY WE SHOULD BE SPEND -- DISCUSSING MORE MONEY FROM HOMELESS OUTREACH SERVICES THAT'S PART OF THE BUDGET. IT'S A LINE ITEM IN THE BUDGET.

OKAY COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON THEN I'M GOING TO COME BACK AROUND.

CAN I CLARIFY. THERE'S FOUR OF US ON THE RIGHT NOW. IF IT'S 2-2 NOTHING HAPPENS IT STAYS OUT. AND DOES THE IMPACT THAT COUNCIL MEMBER CARTER DID WEIGH IN EARLIER ON THIS ITEM WAS THAT DOESN'T COUNT BECAUSE IT WASN'T AN OFFICIAL VOTE.

YEAH, IT WAS NOT A VOTE. SO WE WOULD STAY WITH WHAT IS IN THE BUDGET NOW. WHICH IS 0. IF IT'S A 2-2. OKAY THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

IF WE CLOSE TO UNFREEZE THIS POSITION NOW. HOW MUCH TROUBLE IS IT SAY IN TWO YEARS IF WE NEEDED TO BACKTRACK AND FREEZE IT AGAIN?

THAT WOULD BE A QUESTION I WOULD REFER TO THE CITY MANAGER.

YOU WOULD BE TALKING A LAY OFF THAT THE POINT. BECAUSE IF WE HAVE SOMEBODY IN A POSITION, AND THOSE POSITIONS ARE ALL FILLED THEN YOU ARE TALKING A LAY OFF OF A POSITION TWO YEARS DOWN THE ROAD.

BUT IF SOMEBODY QUIT, THEN MAYBE YOU CAN JUST NOT HIRE THAT POSITION AGAIN.

THAT'S CORRECT. I MEAN YOU CAN ALWAYS LEAVE ANOTHER POSITION VACANT IF THAT'S, I MEAN AND CURRENTLY RIGHT NOW WE HAVE TWO VACANT POSITIONS IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

YEAH AND WE'VE ALSO GOT A PROMOTION IN PROCESS AS WELL. SO THAT WILL PROBABLY BUMP IT BACK UP TO THREE.

KNOCK IT BACK UP TO THREE. SO YOU KNOW, IT MIGHT BE GOOD TO GO THROUGH THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS. WE DO HAVE A NEW SCHEDULE ON BOARD NOW TOO. SO, MAYBE A RECOMMENDATION COULD BE TO REVISIT IT. SO THAT WE CAN SEE HOW THIS NEW PROGRAM WORKS. THE PILOT PROGRAM THAT WE JUST STARTED. ONCE WE GET FULLY STAFFED. HOW THAT WOULD WORK. THEN ALSO IF YOU'RE CONSIDERING TO BRING IT BACK I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE LONG TERM FINANCIAL FORECAST OF HOW THAT WOULD IMPACT US AS WELL. I THINK THAT WOULD ALSO BE HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

SO I HAVE A QUESTION OF THE CHIEF. SO, FOR ME IT'S LIKE IT'S NOT A MATTER OF WHETHER YOU KNOW WE LOVE OR WE DON'T LOVE THE CURRENT PROVIDER OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES HERE IN THE CITY. I WANT TO ASK YOU IF WE DECIDE NOT TO GO WITH OUR CURRENT CONTRACTOR, WHICH IS A WHOLE OTHER LINE ITEM. WHAT CONTRACTOR WOULD WE GO FOR. OR IS IT JUST THE FACT THAT WE SHOULD KEEP ANY MENTAL HEALTH.

SO THAT'S A LATER AGENDA ITEM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS INCREASING THAT AMOUNT. THAT'S WITHIN THIS BUDGET ITEM. WHO WOULD BE THE PROVIDER THAT'S A DIFFERENT AGENDA ITEM.

FOR ME I NEED TO HAVE CLARITY. ARE YOU SAYING AS A WHOLE YOU WOULD REQUEST A NEW POSITION AND NOT WANT TO FUND ANY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES WITHIN YOUR DEPARTMENT. IS THAT WHAT I'M UNDERSTANDING. AND I'M GATHERING THAT FROM YOUR STAFF REPORT AND THE TONE OF THE STAFF REPORT.

I HOPE THERE'S NO TONE IN THE STAFF REPORT. I JUST TRY TO BE FACTUAL. I DON'T SELL HOPES AND DREAMS. I TRY TO BE, FACTUAL AND PROVIDE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE SO THAT THE COUNCIL CAN MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION FOR OUR CITY AND PUBLIC FUNDS. IF THERE APPEARS TO BE A TONE THERE THERE'S NO INTENTION OF THAT BEHIND THE INFORMATION THERE. IT WAS CONSTRUCTED IN A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. IT WAS DIFFICULT. I WAS VERY LUCKY TO HAVE CHRISTIE FROM WWS HELPING. AND SHE DILIGENTLY PROVIDED ME THE INFORMATION SO WE COULD PUT THE REPORT TOGETHER IN TIME. I AM NOT SURE IF I HAVE THE ANSWER FOR YOUR QUESTION.

MAYBE TONE WAS NOT THE RIGHT WORD. I WILL BRING THIS UP LATER. WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT IS YOU WOULD LIKE A NEW STAFF PERSON. THAT'S WHAT SEEMS TO BE THE DISCUSSION HERE. BUT HOW WOULD YOU ADDRESS MENTAL HEALTH. ARE YOU SAYING THE FACT YOU WOULD ADDRESS MENTAL HEALTH BECAUSE YOU GET A NEW STAFF PERSON AND THEREFOR YOU'RE RULING OUT NOT HAVING AN INDEPENDENT MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDER.

I'M NOT BY ANY STRETCH OF THE IMAGINATION PRETENDING TO BE A MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDER OR THAT ANY OF OUR OFFICERS ARE. I DON'T THINK THERE'S A DIRECT CORRELATION BETWEEN ANY SUGGESTION OF AN ADDITIONAL OFFICER

POSITION BEING UNFROZEN. OR MENTAL HEALTH THEY'RE NOT CORRELATED IN ANY PARTICULAR WAY. I'M PURELY LOOKING AT IT IN TERMS OF HOW OUR RESOURCES WILL BE MONOPOLIZED ON WHAT I'M PROJECTING TO BE ISSUES IN THE CITY IN THE NEXT, WHENEVER THEY FINISH THE PROJECT THEY'RE NOW BUILDING. PERHAPS STAFF COULD ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

THIS MAY HELP. ADDING THAT BUDGET LINE ITEM FOR AN ADDITIONAL, OR ADDING TO EXISTING BUDGET STAFF ITEM FOR A NEW OFFICER IF THAT'S WHAT THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO DO UNLESS COUNCIL WOULD TAKE A SEPARATE ACTION WOULD NOT ELIMINATE THAT IS A SEPARATE LINE ITEM. OF COURSE THAT COUNCIL COULD MAKE THAT DECISION BUT THEY'RE SEPARATE. THAT WOULD CONTINUE TO BE A LINE ITEM UNLESS THE COUNCIL PROACTIVELY DECIDES YOU WANT TO REMOVE THAT LINE.

OKAY, VICE MAYOR.

THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO CLARIFY. IT'S JUST ABOUT UNFREEZING A FROZEN POSITION THAT WE'VE HAD FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS. NOTHING ELSE. ARE WE GOING TO UNFREEZE THIS POLICE POSITION OR NOT. NOT ABOUT IS IT THIS OR THAT. JUST ARE WE GOING TO UNFREEZE IT AND ARE WE GOING TO HAVE THE NECESSARY STAFF. IN MY MIND PUTTING OUR BLINDERS ON AND PRETENDING IT'S NOT HAPPEN IS NOT BENEFICIAL TO ANYONE. MY QUESTION IS ARE WE GOING TO UNFREEZE IT OR NOT.

THAT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING. PROJECTING FUTURE CALL VOLUME. I DO PREDICT AN INCREASE IN THE CALL VOLUME. IT WILL STRETCH OUR RESOURCES.

SO IS THERE A MOTION? WE NEED A MOTION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.

RIGHT NOW WE'RE JUST SEEING IF THERE'S CONSENSUS ON THE COUNCIL TO UNFREEZE A POSITION AND ADD I THINK IT'S 180 SOMETHING LIKE THAT, ANA. 182 TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT BUDGET TO UNFREEZE THAT CURRENT.

SO WE'RE DOING THUMBS UP, THUMBS TOWN. STARTING WITH COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

I'VE ALREADY STATED MY POSITION. I'M NOT IN FAVOR OF UNFREEZING THIS POSITION. ONE OF OUR COLLEAGUES THAT HAD TO LEAVE THE MEETING WAS NOT AS WELL.

COUNCIL MAYOR.

I'M IN FAVOR OF UNFREEZING.

I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF UNFREEZING IT.

SO THERE'S NO CONSENSUS OR MAJORITY OF THE COUNCIL. SO IT WILL NOT BE ADDED TO THE BUDGET.

GREAT. SO, NOW WE'RE MOVING BACK TO THE PENSION BACK.

THE PENSION OR IF THERE'S ANY OTHER ITEMS. IF NOT THE PENSION IS THE LAST ITEM TO BE DISCUSSED.

OKAY, SO I'VE ALREADY MADE MY COMMENTS IN THE FACT THAT YES I WOULD LIKE TO ABIDE BY THE CONSULTANT THAT WE'RE PAYING GOOD MONEY FOR. THAT IS IN REFERENCE TO THE LETTER THAT WAS CIRCULATED BEFORE FROM SHUSTER. WHERE IT DOES RECOMMEND THE FACT THAT WE DO SHIFT MONEY INTO THE PENSION 115 PENSION TRUST. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS. COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE CONSULTANT IS ACTUALLY GETTING PAID FROM THE MONEY THAT WE INVEST IN THEIR COMPANY. SO OF COURSE THEY'RE GOING TO WANT US TO PUT MONEY INTO SOMETHING THAT PROBABLY MAKES MORE

MONEY TO THEM. SO I WOULD ASK THAT, FIRST OF ALL LOOKING AT THE PENSION TRUST DID ABOUT 7% INTEREST LAST YEAR. WHICH WAS GREAT. BUT THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY GOING TO HAPPEN EVERY YEAR. WHERE AS THE HIGH YIELD TREASURY ACCOUNT WHATEVER IT WAS CALLED WAS COMING IN AT 5% AND I THINK THAT CAN BE COUNTED ON. SO FOR ME, I WOULD RATHER STAY WITH SOMETHING THAT I CAN COUNT ON. RATHER THAN PUTTING IT INTO SOMETHING RISKIER BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF VOLATILITY IN THE MARKET RIGHT NOW. THERE'S A LOT OF VOLATILITY ECONOMICALLY RIGHT NOW. AND SO, I WOULD RATHER BE SAFE THAN SORRY.

OKAY. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

I THINK THERE'S TWO QUESTIONS LIKE ANA POINTED OUT. I AM INTERESTED IN SHIFTING MORE TO PENSIONS IN GENERAL. AND THEN THERE'S THE QUESTION OF, WHAT ACCOUNT DO WE KEEP IT IN. IF I'M UNDERSTANDING WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. SO I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE SOME OF THIS ADDITIONAL MONEY AND SHIFT IT. AND THEN MAYBE SPLIT THE DIFFERENCE AND SHIFT 500,000 OF OUR MILLION THAT'S FREED UP INTO THE HIGHER INTEREST EARNING AND PLAY WITH THIS PERCENTAGE CALCULATOR A LITTLE BIT TO GET US CLOSER TO OUR GOAL PERCENTAGE WISE. AND SHIFT SOME OF THIS INTO THAT OTHER ACCOUNT. AM I MAKING SENSE. I KNOW IT'S A LITTLE CONVOLUTED.

THERE'S TWO SEPARAT ISSUES. ONE IS THE MILLION DOLLARS THAT'S IN OUR INTERNAL PENSION FUND THAT THEORETICALLY IN THE FUTURE THE COUNCIL COULD TAKE IT OUT. DO YOU WANT TO MOVE THAT INTO THE 115 TRUST WHERE IT IS BOUND AND HAS TO STAY THERE. AND THEN THE SECOND ISSUE. DO YOU WANT TO ADD ADDITIONAL MONEY TO ONE OF THOSE TWO FUNDS.

VICE MAYOR.

I WAS JUST GO TO ASK WHAT OUR INTERIM CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDED AGAIN. IF YOU CAN REMIND ME. I'M SORRY IT WAS HOURS AGO.

I AM GOING TO SAY THAT I, IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO IT I WOULD SAY NOT PUTTING THE ENTIRE MILLION INTO IT. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE ECONOMY. EVEN THOUGH WE'RE GOING IN THAT DIRECTION. I DO THINK THERE ARE CONCERNS ABOUT HAVING IT RESTRICTED. ON THE CHANCE THAT WE HAVE A CRISIS AND YOU KNOW MILLION DOLLARS WELL, OR \$2 MILLION WELL GO DOWN. PERSONALLY I WOULD NOT LIKE TO PUT IT INTO A RESTRICTED. I KNOW THE DIRECTOR AND I DO NOT AGREE ON THIS. SHE'S RECOMMENDING THE TRANSFER. I'M NOT RECOMMENDING TRANSFER. AND WE CAN ALSO LOOK AT IT SIX MONTHS DOWN THE ROAD IF WE'RE IN A BETTER POSITION. WE CAN STILL TRANSFER IT AT THAT POINT AS WELL. I JUST WANT TO JUMP ON AT, IF YOU WANT TO USE THE FUNDS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TRYING TO BRING OUR PERCENTAGE DOWN WE CAN ALSO PUT MORE INTO OUR CIP. INTO OUR ENTERPRISE FUND AND GET SOME OF THAT DONE AS WELL. FOR THE PRESS, IF YOU WANT TO DO IT YOU CAN TRANSFER MAYBE HALF OF IT.

OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO, WE'RE NOT DOING PUBLIC COMMENT. WE'RE JUST DOING THUMBS UP, THUMBS DOWN AT THIS POINT.

I DON'T SUPPORT PUTTING MORE MONEY INTO PENSIONS. JUST SAYING. I WOULD PUT MORE INTO THE CIP.

WE'RE GOING TO DO THUMBS UP, THUMBS DOWN OR ANOTHER QUESTION VICE MAYOR.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY I WOULD RATHER PUT THE EXTRA MONEY INTO THE CIPs AT THIS POINT. THAT'S WHY WE WENT OUT FOR THIS TEXT SO WE COULD, TAKE CARE OF BUSINESS HERE. AND PERSONALLY. JUST LISTENING TO THE CONCERNS. I WOULD PERSONALLY SAY CAN WE REVISIT IT IN SIX MONTHS TO SEE WHERE WE CAN PUT MORE MONEY.

I COULD BE SWAYED FOR THE CIP. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT ON OUR LITTLE LIVE CALCULATOR. HOW MUCH MORE TO GET US DOWN TO 22% AND MAKE AN INVESTMENT AND MOVE IT OVER TO CIP. HOW MUCH IS THAT. HOW MUCH WOULD IT BE. WE HAVE 250, WHAT ELSE WOULD WE MOVE. WE COULD MOVE 400,000 MORE. YOU COULD MOVE 400,000 AND YOU GO DOWN TO 24.2.

THAT'S NOT ENOUGH.

THAT WOULD ALSO LEAVE US WITH A DEFICIT BUDGET.

ABSOLUTELY. YOU'RE JUST USING FUND BALANCE.

ONE OF THE THINGS WE HAD ALL AGREED TO. IT WOULD BE A UNANIMOUS VOTE THAT WE WOULD HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET. THAT DID NOT ADDRESS THE DEFICIT. TO ME THE BALANCED BUDGET IS REALLY IMPORTANT. SO IT'S MORE IMPORTANT TO ME TO HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET THAN TO HAVE A 20% RESERVE.

OKAY. I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND WEIGH IN ON THE FACT THAT, IF WE ARE A GENERAL MANAGER IS NOT COMFORTABLE WITH THE FULL MILLION I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AT LEAST HALF OF THAT. THE 50,000 MOVED INTO PENSION. BECAUSE I DO UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN ABOUT HONORING THE COMMITMENT TO INFRASTRUCTURE. BUT IF YOUR CITY IS GOING GO BANKRUPT, THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE MUCH OF A CONCERN ABOUT INFRASTRUCTURE. THAT ARE MY THOUGHTS.

WAS RECOMMENDING THAT WE SPEND DOWN THE PENSION TRUST. NOT ADD TO IT. I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS GOING TO MAKE YOUR DECISION MORE OR LESS COMPLICATED BUT THAT 115 TRUST WE HAVE TO SPEND THAT ON PENSION. EVERY YEAR WE SPEND MONEY OUT OF THE PENSION. IF THERE WAS A CRISIS IN THE FUTURE. WE COULD INSTEAD OF SPENDING THE MONEY WE CURRENTLY SPEND IN THE GENERAL FUND ON PENSIONS WE COULD TAKE MONEY OUT OF THE 115 TRUST TO SPEND ON PENSIONS. WE COULD REACH THAT PENSION PEAK AND START GOING DOWN.

THANK YOU VICE MAYOR.

I JUST WANT TO SAY, IT RESINATES WITH ME WHEN WE HEAR IF WE HAVE A WELL GO DOWN. PEOPLE NEED WATER. I'M WORRIED ABOUT TYING THAT UP SOME WHERE AND ONE OF OUR WELLS GO DOWN. WHICH WE KNOW, WE'VE TALKED ENOUGH ABOUT THEM TO KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF PROBLEMS WITH THEM. I'M UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THAT. I'M A NO, REVISIT THIS LATER. I DON'T WANT TO TRANSFER.

COUNCIL MEMBER.

I WOULD AGREE TO REVISIT IT IN SIX MONTHS.

LET'S GO DOWN. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON. THUMBS UP ON ADDING MORE MONEY TO THE FUND OR NO.

I WOULD BE WILLING TO MOVE IT OVER BUT IT SEEMS LIKE WE HAVE A DRAW. THAT'S WHAT HAPPENS WHEN A COUNCIL MEMBER LEAVES A MEETING. JUST EXPRESS.

I WOULD THINK IT WOULD BE PRUDENT TO MOVE 250 OR 500,000 OVER IF I WAS MAKING A DECISION TONIGHT AND WE HAD THE VOTES. JUST TO KNOW, BUT I'M

OKAY WITH VISITING IN SIX MONTHS AGAIN WHEN WE HAVE THE FULL COUNCIL. AND I'M OKAY WITH THIS, DOES THIS BRING US TO A BALANCED BUDGET ANA. IF WE MOVE THAT ADDITIONAL 200,000 TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS.

YOU DO HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET. YOU HAVE A LIFT OVER OF 400,000. YOUR RESERVED LEVEL IS 28.

IF IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAVE A BUDGET EVEN THOUGH OUR RESERVES ARE HIGH. I WOULD BE OKAY WITH THAT PROPOSAL. I COULD ADD MORE TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS.

MY UNDERSTANDING IS AS FAR AS WHERE YOU ARE NOW. WITH WHAT'S UP THERE YOU WOULD NOT BE INCLINED TO PUT MORE MONEY INTO THE 115 TRUST.

I WOULD BE, NOT OUT OF OUR CURRENT I THINK IT'S MORE IMPORTANT TO DO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT.

I WOULD BE OKAY REVISITING.

I'M AT THE SAME POSITION.

I'M AT THE SAME POSITION. I WOULD LIKE 400,000 PLACED INTO THE TRUST. THAT'S MY POSITION. AND THERE WE GO. IT SOUNDS LIKE WE'RE BRINGING IT BACK IN SIX MONTHS AND THERE'S NO ACTION. THERE WE GO. ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE BEFORE WE GET INTO THE CIP MARY THAT YOU'RE TRACKING.

NO THOSE WERE THE ITEMS.

OKAY, SO, WHERE WE ARE NOW. ARE WE GOOD WITH ENTERTAINING A MOTION PASS THIS BUDGET OR NO?

LOOKS LIKE WE'RE ALL IN CONSENSUS TO MAKE A MOTION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE ACTIONS REQUIRED. I CAN READ THEM ALL. I'M SORRY.

CAN YOU CLARIFY THEM ALL.

YES. SO THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION AT A CITY OF SEBASTOPOL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 25-26 WITH THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS. \$700,000 FOR THE SIGNS, 75,300 FOR THE OUTSOURCES OF THE LANDSCAPING CONTRACT. \$20,000 ADDITIONAL FOR THE TRASH CANS, 15,000 ADDITIONAL FOR HOLIDAY DECORATIONS AND LIGHTS FOR DOWNTOWN. \$10,000 FOR TABLES FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND \$5,000 FOR INCREASE IN THE ANIMAL CONTROL. THE RESOLUTION TO CITY COUNCIL ESTABLISHING THE APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 25-26, RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE USE OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES FUND AND THE C.O.P.S. GRANTS FUND FOR 25-26. INTERIM CITY MANAGER FOR CONTRACT SOLICITED AND APPROVED IN THE 25-26 BUDGET. THEN THE ADOPTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL USE OF TRAINING AND CONFERENCE FUND POLICY WITH \$10,000 SO \$10,000 TOTAL AND ALL TO BE DIVIDED EQUALLY BETWEEN THE CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS THAT WOULD BE THE MOTION.

SO MOVED.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER. I THINK THERE'S FURTHER DISCUSSION. COUNCIL MEMBER.

WE DID SPEND ALL OF THIS MONEY. I'M NOT HAPPY WITH EXTENDING THESE CONTRACTS AN ENTIRE YEAR. I HAVE BEEN ON THIS IT SITUATION FOR NOW A YEAR AND A HALF. AND WITH THIS PASSING, WE ARE EXTENDING THESE CONTRACTS FOR AN ENTIRE EXTRA YEAR. I JUST HAVE TO GO ON RECORD AND SAY, I'M VERY UNHAPPY ABOUT THIS. IT BREAKS OUR POLICIES, WE'VE BROUGHT IT FORTH TWICE. AND HERE IT IS AGAIN. AND I DID SAY I WOULD COMMENT ON IT. I'M SORRY THAT IT TOOK ME TO

READ THIS BECAUSE I WAS GOING TO MAKE THE MOTION THAT IT GOT AWAY FROM ME. BUT, I'M ON RECORD, I WOULD LIKE TO NOT VOTE FOR THAT PART, I DON'T KNOW

ABOUT THE FOLLOW UP TO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON'S CONCERN IS THAT IT'LL BE CONTINUED OVER

WE ARE GOING OUT FOR THE RFP'S.

THEY STILL GET THE WE EITHER -- I CAN'T ENSURE THEY WOULD SIGN A CONTRACT FRICTION IS MONTHS. CONTRACT FOR SIX MONTH.

WE ARE PUSHING IT AGAIN.

I WOULD DEFINITELY AGREE EVEN THOUGH IT STAYS IN THE STAFF REPORT WE WILL GO UP FOR RFP. THAT MEANS WE ARE CONTINUING IT FOR A YEAR I WOULD WANT TO GO FOR THE SIX MONTHS. IF THEY ARE DEDICATED THEY WILL BE WITH THE PROCESS I DO AGREE THE WAY IT'S PLAYED OUT IN THE PAST JUST IS A CARRY OVER YEAR AFTER YEAR. I WOULD AGREE.

THEY HAVE BEEN OUR CONTRACTOR FOR SO LONG. ARE THEY GOING TO SAY -- I UNDERSTAND THERE IS RISK THERE. I WOULD LIKE TO THINK THE RELATIONSHIP WE HAVE HAD WITH PEOPLE WE HAVE EIGHT TO TEN YEAR CONTRACTS WITH. THEY KNOW WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT IT. I'M NOT HAPPY AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT EXTENDED SIX MONTHS ON THESE.

I WOULD AGREE.

SO, SHOULD I AMEND MY MOTION TO INCLUDE THAT FORMER NIT SERVICES THAT THEIR CONTRACT WOULD BE EXTENDED FOR SIX MONTHS RATHER THAN A YEAR? AND THEN HAVE STAFF DISCUSS IT.

I DON'T SEE THESE ALL IN THE SAME CATEGORY. WE HAVE BUILDING OFFICIAL RIGHT HERE I'M FINE WITH THAT CONTRACT. WE KIND OF GROUPED THEM ALL TOGETHER. THAT SEEMS A LITTLE UNFAIR. I KNOW WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE OUTREACH COMMUNICATIONS CONTRACT AND THE MARIN IT. I'M NOT SURE WHAT STONE CREEK ENVIRONMENTAL IS AS OF RIGHT NOW. I WOULD ASK THAT THOSE BE EXTENDED SIX MONTHS.

AND I --

JUST BEFORE THE MOTION -- I JUST HAD TO CLARIFY. IN THAT -- WAS ABOUT THE TRAINING. I KNOW THERE WAS A MISTAKE IN THE BUDGET. IT SAID THERE WAS -- 3500 BUT IT WAS -- IT WAS ANALYZED 2,000 PER COUNCIL

THAT'S CORRECT.

FOR ANYONE THAT WOULD WANT TO ATTEND EVEN THE OCTOBER ONE IT'S IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. EVERY COUNCILMEMBER WOULD HAVE TO PUT OUT SOME MONEY TO GO. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY KNOW ITSELF WE ARE GOING TO START, YOU KNOW -- IT JUST -- IT JUST SEEMS TO ME WE WANT TO HAVE OUR COUNCILMEMBERS EDUCATED WITHOUT ME ATTENDING ALL THESE CAL CITY THINGS. I WOULDN'T HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO IT. THE ADVOCATING THAT I -- THAT I DID WITH THE WHOLE TAX MAJOR AND THEN, YOU KNOW, OUR MAYOR DID AS WELL. I JUST THINK \$2,000 IS NOT A LOT TO -- IT'S NOT ENOUGH FOR ANYONE TO ACTUALLY GO TO SOMETHING AND NOT HAVE TO PUT OUT THEIR OWN MONEY FOR IT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY.

OKAY.

I HAD A COMMENT. I HAVE ONE TOO.

YOU ARE REFERRING TO --.

SHE IS --.

OKAY.

SO, SO -- I DON'T KNOW IF THIS WILL HELP WITH THE DECISION. WE DID -- I JUST WANT TO BACK UP A LITTLE BIT WE WENT OUT FOR THE RFP AND AUDIT -- FOR THE IT AUDIT WE DID DO THAT AND ARE PLANNING TO GO OUT FOR RFP FOR -- GIVING THE INTERIM CITY MANAGER THE AUTHORITY TO APPROVE THE ENTIRE YEAR CONTRACT SO THERE IS NO DISRUPTION IN SERVICE. WHAT WE HAVE DONE AND THE COUNCIL AWARDED A NEW FIRM YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO CANCEL THE MARIN IT. YOU JUST NEED TO GIVE THEM 30 NOTICE THAT YOU ARE AWARDED TO SOMEONE ELSE BECAUSE YOU WENT OUT FOR RFP HERE ARE THE FIRMS THAT PUT IN THE AWARD AND YOU INTERVIEWED THIS FIRM AND LIKE THIS FIRM AND THIS IS WHAT THEY WILL PROVIDE SERVICE GOING FORWARD. DO YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO CANCEL WHEN YOU DO AWARD TO A NEW SERVICE PROVIDER.

ON THESE CONTRACTS WE HAVE THE RIGHT -- WE HAVE CANCELLATION.

YOU DO HAVE CANCELLATION WITH ALL CONTRACTS. I'M CERTAIN WE HAVE CANCELLATION. IT'S JUST A MUTUAL 30 DAYS, 60 DAYS, SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT. WHEN YOU GO OUT FOR THE RFP THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO BID.

SURE. I UNDERSTAND EVERYBODY'S RIGHT TO BID.

SURE.

CONSIDERING THAT INFORMATION I WANT TO LEAVE MY MOTION AS IT STANDS AND NOT AMEND IT.

IS THERE A SECOND?

TO APPROVE THE BUDGET?

I WILL SECOND.

THE TRAINING.

I WOULD OBVIOUSLY LIKE AN INCREASE IN THE TRAINING AS WELL. I DEFINITELY DO THINK IT BRINGS VALUE AND BRINGING THE NETWORK WITH THAT. I FEEL LIKE WE HAVE HAD THIS DISCUSSION AND IT'S NOT GOING TO RESULT IN ANYTHING. I WOULD WANT TO SAY I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF INCREASING IT.

I WAS JUST GOING TO SUGGEST CAN WE ADD \$500 PER COUNCILMEMBER TO ATTEND ONE CONFERENCE WITHOUT HAVING TO, YOU KNOW, PAY OUT OF OUR OWN DIME TO REPRESENT THE CITY? WE ALREADY SPEND COUNTLESS HOURS FOR \$300 A MONTH.

AND SO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE DID DISCUSS THIS ITEM AND IT WAS SLATED FOR A MUCH HIGHER AND WE DISCUSSED AND CAME UP WITH THIS NUMBER OF 10,000 FOR THE COUNCILMEMBERS IN PART TO ADDRESS THE INEQUALITY THAT HAPPENED THIS LAST FISCAL YEAR FOR A COUPLE COUNCILMEMBERS RECEIVED RECEIVED MORE TRAINING AND BUDGET FOR TRAVEL. THIS WAS A WAY TO MAKE IT MORE EQUAL.

I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT WE ALL RECEIVE THE SAME INVITATION FOR THE SAME OPPORTUNITY TO SIGN UP FOR THESE THINGS. THERE'S NO INEQUALITY. EVERYBODY CAN CHOOSE TO ATTEND OR NOT AND I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE IDEA THAT THERE IS INEQUALITY IN THERE. WE ALL HAVE CHOICE. WE CAN CHOOSE NOT TO ATTEND AND NOT TO, YOU KNOW, GO TO IT. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT. I THINK IF WE ARE SENDING ANY OF US THERE AND -- FURTHER MORE I UNDERSTAND THAT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE DECIDED THAT BUT COUNCILMEMBER CARTER IS NEW AND HAS NEVER BEEN TO A CONFERENCE AND DOESN'T HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT IT COSTS AND I DON'T

KNOW IF YOU HAVE BEEN OR NOT. AT THE END OF THE DAY IT COSTS ABOUT \$2,500 BY THE TIME YOU GO TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, YOU FLY THERE, DRIVE THERE, YOU HAVE A HOTEL, THE CONFERENCE, THERE'S, YOU KNOW, ADDING \$500 PER COUNCILMEMBER WE WOULD STILL HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET.

I WOULD AGREE. THAT WOULD BE MY REQUEST AS WELL. SINCE YOU ARE THE ONLY ONE HERE ON BEHALF OF THE BUDGET AND YOU HAVE A PENDING MOTION WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS?

I MEAN I'M -- I'M GOING TO HOLD WITH THIS FOR NOW. I KNOW WE DID TALK IT IS A LAST YEAR. AGAIN I WILL JUST STATE EARLIER THAT EVEN THOUGH COUNCILMEMBER CARTER HAD TO LEAVE EARLY I KNOW WHICH WAY HE WOULD LEAN ON THIS. BASED ON THAT AND JUST THAT WE HAVE THE ABILITY THAT IF ONE OF US ISN'T ATTENDING SOMEONE ELSE CAN ASK FOR THE FUNDS. THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED LAST YEAR. WE GRANTED THEM TO PEOPLE THAT WANTED TO DO EXTRA SO MY SECOND REMAINS ON THE BUDGET APPROVAL.

SOUNDS LIKE IF WE PUSH THIS IT WOULD GO NOWHERE. IF THERE IS NOT FURTHER DISCUSSION I GUESS WE ARE GOING TO ROLL CALL.

OKAY. THEN THERE WE GO. MOTION.

CAN I ASK FOR AN AMENDED MOTION INTO ADDRESS THE.

SHE STUCK WITH HER ORIGINAL MOTION, I SECONDED IT.

I UNDERSTAND THAT. NOT ALL OF OUR CONTRACTS HAVE 30 DAY NOTICE. CAN WE ADD TO INCLUDE A 30 DAY INTO THE CONTRACT? THAT'S ALL I'M ASKING. NOT ABOUT --.

YES OR EVEN 60 I WOULD BE COMFORTABLE WITH. 30 IS PRETTY TIGHT FOR SOMEONE.

CAN WE CHANGE IT TO 60 DETERMINATION?

YES.

THANK YOU.

SO MOVED AND SECONDED TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE CITY BUDGET WITH THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS. THE \$700 FOR PARK AND -- FROM PARK AND LIEU FOR THE STARTLY -- THE 75,300 FOR OUTSOURCING LANDSCAPING, \$20,000 FOR TRASH CANS, \$15,000 FOR HOLIDAY AND LIGHTS, \$15,000 FOR TABLES AND FIRE DEPARTMENT, \$5,000 FOR ANIMAL CONTROL, THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE CONTRACTS WITH THE AMENDMENT TO INCLUDE A 60 DAY TERMINATION CLAUSE AND THE ADOPTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL CONTENSE AND TRAINING POLICY FOR A \$10,000 LIMIT TO BE DIVIDED AMONG THE COUNCILMEMBERS.

AYE.

YES.

VICE MAYOR.

MAYOR.

CARTER IS ABSENT SOT MOTION PASSES 4- 1.

YAY.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

THANK YOU TO ALL THE HARD WORKING STAFF AND ALSO TO MY COLLEAGUES THAT'S BEEN IN MANY, MANY, MANY HOURS ON THIS BUDGET. SO, YAY. OKAY. THERE'S BEEN A REQUEST FOR A FIVE MINUTE BREAK AND THEN WE WILL COME BACK AND THEN FOR THOSE THAT ARE STILL AWAKE AT HOME WE ARE MOVING

ONTO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 7. NO OKAY. FOLKS, WE'RE GOING TO NEED AN ID PROGRESS. IS IT GOOD IN NOW, WE ARE WAITING ON OUR WONDERFUL INTERIM CITY MANAGER AND OUR JUST AS WONDERFUL CITY ATTORNEY.

WE ARE GIVING ANOTHER FEW MINUTES BECAUSE WE ABSOLUTELY CANNOT START WITHOUT OUR GENERAL MANAGER. IT'S OKAY. DO NOT RUN. DO NOT FALL. THAT WOULD BE REALLY BAD. WE'RE ALL ON YOUR END?

WE'RE GOOD TO GO. NOW WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE ONTO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 7 -- OR NUMBER 6. WE HAVEN'T DEALT WITH THE CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN. PUBLIC HEARING CONTINUED. CAPITOL IMPROVE HELICOPTER PLAN FOR 25-26. NO CHANGES TO THE CIP OF ANY AND ADOPTION OF THE RESOLUTION. AS FAR AS FISCAL IMPACT IT STATES THE PROPOSED EXPENSES FOR 25, 26 TOTAL 10,000,132-\$200 FOR SPECIFIC FUNDS, SEE GLOBAL SUMMARY IN THE DRAFT CIP BUDGET DOCUMENT. THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY IS DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING. YES.

GOOD EVENING. SO, I HAVE TONY HERE TO PROVIDE INPUT INTO THE UPDATES THAT WERE REQUESTED.

THAT'S GREAT. WHEN WE SPEAK WE NEED TO SPEAK DIRECTLY IN TO THE MICROPHONE. I WANT TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY AT HOME IS FOLLOWING. THAT IS VERY SOFT.

OKAY. HOW DOES THAT SOUND?

I'M HOPING IT SOUNDS GOOD.

OKAY. THANK YOU. TONY WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT. THE CAPTAIN IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM BUDGET IS THE SAME PROGRAM BUDGET THAT YOU SAW SINCE JUNE 3rd. THERE ARE SOME CHANGE THAT WE HAVE DISCUSSED ON JUNE 17th AND I WOULD LIKE TO GO OVER SOME OF THEM IT'S REALLY ONE MAIN CHANGE WHERE THE FUEL FILLING STATION AT THE CORPORATION YARD. THE BUDGET THAT WAS -- RECOMMENDED FOR THIS PROJECT WAS \$60,000. COMING OUT OF FUND 103 WHICH IS THE BUILDING AND INFRASTRUCTURE RESERVES FUND. HOWEVER, WE JUST RECENTLY GOT A QUOTE FROM OUR VENDOR FOR THIS WORK AND IT'S ACTUALLY HIGHER. THE 60,000 WAS THE ESTIMATE BUT A BETTER ESTIMATE IS THE 85,000 THAT WE RECEIVED THE QUOTE FOR. WE ARE REQUESTING TO INCREASE THAT FUEL FILLING STATION PROJECT BY ANOTHER 25,000 FOR A TOTAL OF 85,000 FOR THAT PROJECT.

SHOULD WE JUST ASK --.

THAT'S -- THAT'S BASICALLY THE ONLY CHANGE TO THE BUDGET THAT YOU SAW BACK ON JUNE 3rd AND ON JUNE 17th. SO, IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ON ANY PART OF ANY OF THE PROJECTS THAT YOU HAVE ALREADY SEEN I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS.

I THINK I SAW THE VICE MAYOR.

SO WE ARE STARTING AT 350 IS THAT CORRECT WITH THE -- IF WE TOOK 200 OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND IN ADDITION TO THE 40% WE HAD? THAT'S THE EXTRA THAT WE -- ADDITIONAL? AM I --

SO, TOTAL MEASURE U FOR THE 40% IS \$600,000.

PLUS THE OTHER AMOUNT THAT WE TOOK OUT.

I'M JUST SAYING -- WE WENT THROUGH THIS ONCE. I'M JUST WONDERING WHAT -- SHE IS ASKING -- SAYING THE ONE PROJECT IS 25 MORE. HOW MUCH ARE WE STARTING

OUT WITH IT IS ABOVE WHAT WE ALREADY SET ASIDE EVERYWHERE WITH THE MEASURE U AND THEN THE 200 THAT WE -- DID I DID THE MATH RIGHT? 350?

WE COULD PUT THE SPREAD SHEET BACK UP. IT WAS THE 200 AND THE --.

THE SCREEN BACK UP BECAUSE I THINK THAT THE --.

I WANT TO KEEP TRACK AS WE TALK ABOUT THIS.

YEAH.

ARE YOU -- ASKING ABOUT THIS --.

150 PLUS THE 200 THAT WE PULLED OUT FROM CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT. RIGHT.

350? WE SET ASIDE IT RIGHT? YEAH. THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE EXTRA.

350 TO SPEND.

LET ME JUST BACK UP A LITTLE BIT. ORIGINALLY YOU PULLED OUT 450 FOR MEASURE U FOR 40%. YOU SET ASIDE 200 FOR STREET PAYMENT.

NO. NO. TONIGHT WE SET ASIDE ANOTHER 40% OF OUR OVERAGE WHICH IS THE 150 AND THEN AT THE VERY END WE JUST ADDED 200.

CORRECT.

THAT TOGETHER IS 350.

OKAY. GREAT.

IF WE SET ASIDE ANOTHER 350 WILL WE STILL HAVE THAT BALANCED BUDGET?

YOU HAVE THE 350 THAT YOU PULLED OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND ALREADY. NOW IT'S A MATTER OF WHAT IS THAT 350 GOING TO USE FOR? WHAT PROJECT FROM THE CIP THAT YOU WANT TO USE THAT 350 FOR? NOT TAKING ANY MORE MONEY OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND. YOU DID ADOPT THE BUDGET THAT HAS A -- A TINY SURPLUS THAT'S STILL A SURPLUS AND IT'S A BALANCED BUDGET FOR ABOUT \$4,000.

OKAY. OKAY. SO WE CAN SET ASIDE 350 ON CIP.

YOU ARE TAKING THE 350 AND THEN YOU -- YOU EAR MARK FOR PROJECTS.

EXACTLY. JUST WANT TO CLARIFY.

I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT YOU AREN'T TAKING ANOTHER 350.

WE KNOW. WE KNOW. WE KNOW.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY SO TONY IS SAYING THAT 25K OVER WAS -- WHAT WAS ORIGINAL BY BUDGETED. IS THAT -- IF WE APPROVE IT THAT 25K WOULD COME OUT OF THIS 350 EXTRA?

YES.

SHE IS SHAKING HER HEAD.

I THOUGHT IT WAS COMING OUT OF THE FUND 103.

THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING. WHERE IS IT COMING FROM?

THERE WAS A DISCUSSION IF YOU WANTED TO USE AT THE LAST MEETING WHEN WE DISCUSS THE CIP. THERE WAS DISCUSSION IF YOU WANTED TO USE THAT 25 FOR THE FUELING -- FROM THE PLEASURE U OR DID YOU WANT IT DO IT FROM THE RESERVE? THE AMOUNT IS THE SAME NO MATTER WHAT.

I UNDERSTAND. THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING. WHERE ARE WE TAKING THAT MONEY SO WE UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH MONEY WE HAVE IT?

IT'S IN THE RESENT IT'S ALREADY IN THERE. IT'S JUST THE ALLOCATION IF YOU WANT TO PUT IT ACROSS THE 40%. THIS THE NO MOVING OF MONEY. IT'S JUST THE ALLOCATION. IF YOU TAKE THAT 25 THAT'S SET ASIDE AGAINST MEASURE U IT'S COMING THE SAME FUND. WE WILL JUST DEDUCT THE 25 FROM THE 40%.

I UNDERSTAND THAT.

I JUST WANT TO MECHANIC SURE.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE KNOW WHAT WE HAVE SO WE CAN ASK QUESTIONS AND DECIDE WHERE TO PUT THIS MONEY.

WE KNOW WE HAVE A POT OF 350. I THINK STAFF SHOULD TELL US. WE KNOW THAT IT'S 350. MOVE IT AROUND THE WAY YOU SEE ON THE BUDGET.

WE HAVE A RECOMMENDATION.

IT'S LIKE DID WE DECIDE WHAT WE WANT TO DO WITH THE 25,000? DID WE DECIDE THAT?

THERE WAS -- YOU HAVE NOT DECIDED. IT WAS THE DISCUSSION. WE DIDN'T STATE AT THAT TIME THANK YOU WANTED TO USE IT FOR MEASURE U.

SO THAT'S UP FOR DISCUSSION. IS IT RECOMMENDATION TO -- AT LEAST DECIDE THAT SO WE CAN START WITH THE AMOUNT IN THE PIGGY BANK? OKAY. WHAT IS YOUR PREFERENCE WITH THE 25,000? FROM THE MEASURE U OR FROM THE REGRESSIVE?

IT DOESN'T IMPACT THE MONEY AT ALL. IT JUST IMPACTS THE 40%.

I DON'T CARE. STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

I WOULD SAY PUT IT INTO THE RESERVE.

WHATEVER IS RECOMMENDED.

WHATEVER IS RECOMMENDED. WHATEVER.

I HAVE A QUESTION.

WE ARE GOOD WITH THAT. VICE MAYOR.

YOU CAN REMIND ME AND I'M SORRY. SO MUCH INFORMATION. WE SET ASIDE FOR THE -- FOR OUR INTEREST WAS IT THE WASTEWATER? THE SILT AND ALL THAT WE HAVE IN ALL OF OUR PIPES AND -- I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M TRYING TO REMEMBER.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

WE HAVE WCC HERE ALL NIGHT.

I KNOW.

WE ARE ABOUT TO GET PAST 9:30.

I KNOW. I KNOW.

I FEEL REALLY UNCOMFORTABLE STARTING ANOTHER AGENDA WITH THEM NOT BEING HEARD.

THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT UP.

I'M WILLING TO STAY LATER.

OR IS THE REQUEST THAT WE PAUSE THIS AND -- AND THEN COME BACK TO THIS? I WOULD BE COMFORTABLE BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN HERE THREE AND A HALF HOURS.

IS THERE A PROBLEM IF THIS DOESN'T GET APPROVED TONIGHT? THE CIP? IS THERE A PROBLEM?

YES THERE IS.

OKAY.

WAIT. WE DID AGREE TO THE RESOLUTION TO CONTINUE BOTH OF THEM FOR 60 DAYS.

WE CONTINUE -- MY UNDERSTANDING WE CONTINUED IT THROUGH JULY 15?

THAT'S JUST FOR THOSE CONTRACTS. THE CIP WE HAVE EXISTING CIP PROJECTS THAT --.

THAT -- YES. NEED TO APPROVE THE BUDGET.

SO WE IT HAS TO HAPPEN BETWEEN NOW -- BECAUSE IF WE WAIT TO THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING AFTER JULY 15th IS THAT WHAT RU SAYING?

THAT IS CORRECT.

THEN WE WOULD HAVE TO COME BACK AND -- IT'S TO LATE. YEAH.

VICE MAYOR.

I JUST -- IT'S NOT A LOT OF MONEY FOR A CAPITOL PROJECTS. I MEAN I WANT TO HEAR WHAT THE RECOMMENDATION IS AND THEN I JUST WANT TO ANSWER TO MY QUESTION ABOUT THE SILT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT -- I JUST -- AM I WRONG IN THAT -- CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS CAN SUCK THAT DOWN WITH ONE RECOMMENDATION.

BEFORE WE FIRST OF ALL WE HAVE TO GO UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. WE ARE IN THE QUESTION PART RIGHT NOW. STAFF DOES HAVE -- WE DISCUSSED DURING THE BREAK. THERE'S A RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHAT THE FUNDS COULD BE USED FOR. TONY YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THE SB1?

YES. THE RECOMMENDATION IS THERE'S \$350,000 AVAILABLE FOR VCIP. CIP PROJECTS ARE EXPENSIVE SO OUR RECOMMENDATION IS TO HAVE A PAVING PROJECT. ANOTHER PAVING PROJECT. I KNOW YOU DID ONE FOR DIG OUTS AND REPAIRS. THOSE ARE TWO TOO MULTIPLE POT HOLE REPAIRS THROUGHOUT THE CITY. WE REALLY WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH OUR PAYMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. IF THE REPORT THAT WENT TO COUNCIL STATED THAT IF WE WANT TO MAINTAIN OUR EXISTING PAYMENT CONDITION INDEX AT 55 WHICH IS BY THE WAY NOT A GREAT SCORE BUT WE DON'T WANT IT TO GET LOWER. IF WE WANT TO MAINTAIN THAT THE RECOMMENDATION IS TO DO \$600,000 PER YEAR IN PAYMENT MANAGEMENT REPAIR PROJECTS AND SO THE RECOMMENDATION THAT WE HAVE IS TO TAKE A BIG BITE OF THAT AND TO HAVE THE 300,000 AND WE CAN ACTUALLY USE SOME SENATE BILL 1 MONEY THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE THAT WE WILL USE FOR FUTURE PAVING PROJECTS. ADD 150,000 OF THAT AND SO WE WOULD HAVE A -- A \$500,000 PROJECT PAVING PROJECT. THAT'S A BIG -- THAT'S A BIG CHUNK THAT WE CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN PAVING WORK IN THE CITY.

OKAY. OTHER QUESTIONS OF TONY

I JUST WANT A ANSWER ABOUT THE PIPE WITH THE SILT. I'M TRYING TO REMEMBER.

THE PIPE WORK. THAT WAS THE TWO MILLION DOLLARS PROJECT WHICH IS PRETTY EXTENSIVE. SO WHAT WE ARE DOING RIGHT NOW IS WE ARE ACTUALLY DOING OUR -- OUR STORM DRAIN MASTER PLAN THAT'S HAPPENING RIGHT NOW. WITH RECOMING NOW. THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT WE COULD COVER OURSELVES.

GREAT. OTHER QUESTIONS OF TONY BEFORE WE GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT. LOOKING TO THE RIGHT AND LEFT. NO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO INTO CHAMBERS AND THEN OUT TO ZOOM. SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. I'M SORRY. MY ZOOM IS NOT WORKING. ROBERT YOU CAN UNMUTE YOURSELF?

YEAH. THE SILT THING. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE CREEK DRAIN IS FULLY SILTED. IT IS BEEN FLOODING FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS NOW. I JUST HEARD TONIGHT SOMEONE SAY THAT -- THAT THE POST OFFICE SUED US FOR THAT FLOODING. IF

THAT'S THE CASE I DON'T THINK THAT YOU CAN IGNORE PUTTING MONEY TOWARD MAKING SURE THAT PROJECT GETS GOING FASTER THAN TWO OR THREE YEARS WHILE WE LOOK AROUND FOR GRANT MONEY. SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE TO STOP THE FLOODING. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE CAN YOU UP MUTE YOURSELF PLEASE.

YES.

THANK YOU.

YOU CAN SEE THE TIMER?

YEAH. I CAN SEE THE TIMER.

OKAY. GO HEAD.

SO, I KEEP THINKING MORE ABOUT SOME OF THE BUILDING FEES THAT WE GET AND I THINK ABOUT CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT AND I THINK ABOUT THE WAY THAT WE DON'T ACTIVELY REQUIRE WITH A LOT OF BUILDING PROJECTS TO BE PREFORMING CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT ON OR NEAR THE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT IS ON THE PROPERTIES. SURE, MAYBE IT'S LIKE -- YOU HAD A SIDEWALK TO THIS PROPERTY OR SOMETHING. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THESE PROJECT THAT ARE BRINGING IN LARGE NUMBERS OF RESIDENTS OR LARGE NUMBERS -- CHANGING PEDESTRIAN AND BIKE TRAFFIC FLOW. VEHICLE TRAFFIC FLOW, I'M THINKING LIKE WOOD MARK AS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THIS. WE AREN'T ACTIVELY PREFORMING CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEVELOPMENTS AND WE ARE TAKING IN FEES AND THEN JUST THROWING THEM INTO A GENERAL FUND AND MAYBE THAT STUFF GETS INTO CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT BUT THEN IT'S LIKE BLANKET LIKE LET'S GO PAVE SOME STREETS. SO, I WOULD JUST REALLY HOPE THAT WE COULD BINTENTIONAL ABOUT THE PROACCOUNT JUDGES WE ARE ASSOCIATING WITH THE FUNDS SO WE ARE SEEING IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE HAPPENING ASSOCIATED WITH DEVELOPMENTS, THINGS LIKE SIDEWALKS, THING THAT ARE INCREASING TO -- PEDESTRIAN WALKING, TRANSPORTATION NETWORKS RATHER THAN THOSE THINGS BEING AFTER THOUGHTS AND THEN WE STILL HAVE SIDEWALK APPS ALL OVER THE CITY. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONELY GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM F YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. IF YOU COULD PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. CAN YOU -- UNSHARE PLEASE?

IS THERE FURTHER DISCUSSION OR A MOTION?

WAS SIDEWALK ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF McKINLEY COMPLETING THAT -- WAS THAT A CONDITION OF THE HOTEL? EITHER THE BARLOW OR THE OTHER HOTEL APPROVED? WHEN THOSE HOTELS WERE APPROVED? DID THEY AGREE TO MAKE THAT SIDEWALK?

ITS PART -- THE PART IN FRONT OF PROPOSED BASKETBALL HOTEL. THAT'S A REQUIREMENT OF THAT PROJECT. THERE'S NOTHING UNFINISHED SO THERE'S NO NEXUS TO REQUIRE THEM TO DO THAT. I THINK WHEN THE APPLICANT WAS HERE THEY EXPRESSED A WILLNESS TO SUPPORT THAT. IF THE OTHER PROPERTY OWNERS AGREE. HE CAN'T INSTALL -- THE BARLOW CAN'T BUILD A SIDEWALK ON SOMEONE ELSE'S PROPERTY. WE NEED THOSE PROPERTY OWNERNES TO DEVELOP SO WE CAN CONDITION IT OR AGREE TO ALLOW SOMEONE TO DO THAT.

WE CAN'T PUT A SIDEWALK THERE AT THAT TIME UNTIL THE -- THE NEXT HOTEL PEOPLE DEAL WITH THAT?

CORRECT.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

OTHER QUESTIONS AND/OR MOTION?

VICE MAYOR.

I WAS JUST GOING TO MAKE A MOTION TO GO WITH THE PAVING PROJECT WITH THIS MONEY.

CAN I CLARIFY THAT YOU SAID \$300 THE PAVING PROJECT BUT THE 50,000 FROM OUR 350. WHERE IS THE 50 GOING?

IT'S THE FULL 350. TO THE PAVING PROJECT AND 150,000 FROM SB # ROAD REPAIR FUND.

WHAT WERE YOU TALKING ABOUT -- 25,000 WE STARTED TALKING ABOUT IN THE BEGINNING.

THE 25,000 IS GOING TO BE FROM -- NOT PART OF THIS MONEY. ITS GOING TO BECOMING A DIFFERENT FUND.

THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING.

THANK YOU.

OTHER QUESTIONS, MOTION. VICE MAYOR?

THE ONLY OTHER QUESTION IS I'M SURE YOU DON'T KNOW TONIGHT BUT WE WILL KNOW WHAT -- WOULD BE PAVED OR WE WILL COME BACK TO US I'M ASSUMING.

YOU WILL SEE IT. > PERFECT. I MAKE A MOTION TO GO WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF PAVING IN LINE WITH WHAT WE TOLD OUR PEOPLE WE WANTED TO DO WITH THE TAX MONEY THAT THEY VOTED FOR.

AND SECONDED. ROLL CALL. UNLESS --.

JUST A QUESTION.

A QUESTION. I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE STILL HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET FOR WHATEVER WE ARE PUTTING IN TO PAVING. WILL WE STILL HAVE THE BALANCED BUDGET?

. WE AREN'T TOUCHING THE GENERAL FUND. THE GENERAL FUND STILL HAS \$4,000 SURPLUS.

PERFECT. OKAY. THEN YES.

IF I MAY IS THE MOTION TO ADOPT APPROVE THE FISCAL YEAR CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, ALL OF THAT PLUS THE ALLOCATION

YES.

SORRY.

SO --.

AND I'M SORRY. THERE ARE TWO. RIGHT? THE 25,000 PLUS THE 500,000.

ALL OF THAT. SO MOVED.

SECONDED. ROLL CALL.

SO MOVED BY VICE MAYOR AND SECONDED TO APPROVE THE CAPITOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR 25, 26 AND TO SET ASIDE ANOTHER \$350,000 TO THE PAVING AND TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION.

YES.

150 FROM -- 150,000 FROM SB1 FUND TO 17.

AND 150 FROM SB ROAD 1 REPAIR FUND AND THE 25,000 FOR THE FUEL STATION TO BE MOVED AWAY FROM THE MEASURE U BUT STILL FROM THE RESERVE FUND. RIGHT? CORRECT.

THANK YOU. SORRY. JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I HAD IT CORRECT.
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

YES.

VICE MAYOR.

MAYOR.

YES.

CARTER IS ABSENT. MOTION PASSES.

YAY FOR US. I KNOW PEOPLE HAVE EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT REARRANGING THINGS. I WOULD LIKE TO GET TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 11 -- OR EXCUSE ME 10 WHICH IS THE WEST COUNTY AND OUR POLICE CHIEF. WOULD ANYBODY OPPOSE JUST GOING RIGHT NOW TO 10? WE ARE CALLING AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 10 WHICH IS THE DISCUSSION CONSIDERATION OF AGREEMENT WITH WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES FOR HOMELESS OUTREACH SERVICES WITH THE CITY. IT INCLUDES A PRESENTATION FROM WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES ON A SCOPE OF WORK AND SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE CITY FOR HOME REACH, HOMELESS OUTREACH. THE FISCAL IMPACT. \$40,000 RECOMMENDED IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET. THE RESPONSIBLE PARTY FOR THIS IS OUR POLICE CHIEF. CHIEF. YEAH. THANK YOU, CHIEF.

MY APOLOGIES. I WAS DEALING WITH A PHONE CALL. THIS WAS A STAFF REPORT PUT TOGETHER VERY QUICKLY. I WOULD LIKE TO THANK CHRISTY FOR HER SESSIONS SANS IN ASSISTANCE. THERE'S BEEN SOME BACK AND FORTH WITH SOME CHANGE THAT HAVE GONE BACK AND FORWARD. I WILL RELY ON HER TO CLARIFY IF ANYTHING I HAVE PRESENTED ISN'T ENTIRELY ACCURATE. IT'S NOT THROUGH ANY MALICE. THIS IS THE EXISTING CONTRACT WITH WCCS AND THE CITY SINCE 2021. THAT WAS ONE OF THE PIECES OF INFORMATION THAT SEEMED TO BOUNCE BACK AND FORTH BETWEEN 2021-2022. I UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS 2021. WCCS, THEY WORK CLOSELY WITH THE COUNCIL COMMITTEES, POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND SUPPORT INDIVIDUALS THAT -- ARE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS THROUGH THEIR OUTREACH SERVICES. SERVICE CONNECTION AND HOUSING, NAVIGATION, THEY DO HAVE A LICENSED CLINICIAN, I BELIEVE MORE THAN ONE. THAT DOESN'T SPECIFICALLY RELATED TO THE 40,000 THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS BUDGETED TO CONTRACT WITH WCCS AND THE SERVICES THAT THEY PROVIDE FOR THAT \$40,000. I'M NOT SURE IF IT RELATES SEPARATELY TO SERVICES PROVIDED TO ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THE COMMONS OR NOT. YOU WOULD HAVE TO SEEK CLARITY ON THAT FROM KRISTY. I SOUGHT SOME INFORMATION FROM WCCS ON A NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS ENGAGED AND SEVERAL OTHER QUESTIONS SINCE 2022 AND SHE WAS VERY GOOD ABOUT PROVIDING THAT, THAT LOOKS LIKE THE INFORMATION PROVIDED 407 INDIVIDUALS ENGAGED 100 REFERRALS TO COORDINATED ENTRIES SINCE MARCH OF 2023 WITH NO DATA LOGGED THAT APPARENTLY. 133 CLIENTS WERE ASSISTED WITH HOUSING APPLICATIONS. 1384 INDIVIDUALS WERE CONNECTED TO PERMANENT TEMPORARY HOUSING. 377 INCREASED ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES. THERE'S A QUESTION ABOUT VOLUNTEER EVENTS HOSTED AND THAT INITIALLY WASN'T PROVIDED HOWEVER, SINCE THEN KRISTY HAS PROVIDED SOME CLARITY THAT 15 VOLUNTEER HOURS WERE PROVIDED AND 66 COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENTS NOT

VOLUNTEERED -- I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE CLARITY IS BETWEEN THOSE. NONETHELESS THE COMMUNITY RELATED EVENTS. THEN SUPPORT PROVIDED AT SAFE PARKING SITES. INITIALLY THAT WASN'T PROVIDED, HOWEVER, THERE'S BEEN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION THAT -- THAT WASN'T ACTUALLY SEGREGATE FROM THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ENGAGEMENTS. I THINK THAT'S FORMULATED IN THAT 66 ENGAGEMENT EVENTS. SAFE PARKING. I WILL LET YOU CLARIFY WHAT YOU MEAN BY THAT STATEMENT THERE. IN MY REPORT I PUT THAT IN THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2024-25, THE WCC ADVISED THAT 40,000 FUNDING PROVIDED FOR .45 OF A FULL TIME EMPLOYEE FOR OUTREACH SERVICES THROUGH OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. YOU CLARIFIED THAT WAS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 25-26. THE EMAIL SAID 24-25. I WANTED TO STATE WHY I PUT THAT IN MY REPORT. THE MOU ON HAND FOR THE 24-25 STATES THAT THE \$40,000 BUDGETED MONEY, FUNDED .5 OF THE FULL TIME EMPLOYEE. SHE THE STAFF INCREASE COSTS OF \$40,000. PROJECTED TO THIS COMING FINANCIAL YEAR WILL PROVIDE FOR .45. SLIGHTLY LESS OF FULL TIME EMPLOYEE. THEY HAVE ADVISED THAT THE OUTREACH SERVICES OF THE CITY PROVIDED FOR THE FOLLOWING SERVICES. STREET OUTREACH PROVIDING ESSENTIAL SERVICES NECESSARY TO REACH OUT TO THE UNSHELTERED HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS, CONNECTING THEM EMERGENCY SHELTER, HOUSING, CRITICAL SERVICES, NONFACILITY BASED CARE. WCCS CITED THAT STREET OUTREACH WORK IS VERY UNIQUE AND HAS THE ABILITY TO REACH THOSE WHO WILL NOT OR CANNOT REACH FOR SERVICES ON THEIR OWN. THEY WORK TO OBTAIN APPROPRIATE SHELTER HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. THEY DEAL WITH THE INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF NEEDS AND ELIGIBILITY SLEEPING INDIVIDUAL HOUSING SERVICE PLAN INCLUDING PLANNING TO PERMANENT HOUSING STABILITY. ACTIVELY CONNECTING AND PROVIDING PROGRAMS TARGETED TOWARD HOMELESS PEOPLE. DEVELOPING, SECURING COORDINATING SERVICES, FOOD, MENTAL HEALTH, PHYSICAL HEALTH AND DOCUMENTS AND TURNING FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL BENEFITS MONITORING VALUATING PARTICIPANT PROGRESS.

ARE YOU -- IT SOUNDS LIKE RU TAKING YOU ARE TAKING A LOT.

THERE IS A LOT OF INFORMATION. IF YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO TRY TO SOMEHOW CONDENSE THAT I CAN.

ALL OF IT WAS POSTED.

I UNDERSTAND THAT.

IF YOU COULD -- IF THERE IS ANYTHING -- IN ADDITION TO WHAT YOU PUT IN THIS STAFF REPORT I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

I COULD STICK WITH THE EMAIL -- I DON'T BELIEVE THAT WAS PUBLISHED. WOW LIKE ME TO DO THAT?

SURE OR HAVE KRISTY STATE THE CHANGES HERSELF.

I CAN PASS THIS OVER TO YOU. YOU ASKED MANY HE ASKED ME TO PRESENT.

IN ADDITION TO WHAT WE HAVE READ AND WHAT THE PUBLIC HAS ACCESS TO. IF YOU ARE GOOD IN PASSING IT OVER. THEN ASK VARIOUS QUESTIONS OF BOTH OF OF YOU.

I DON'T HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO REPORT. WOULD YOU LIKE THIS -- PAPERWORK THAT THIS IS WHAT YOU -- WHAT YOU ADDED.

GOOD EVENING.

REALLY I THINK AS THIS INFORMATION WAS COLLECTED WE HAVE HAD A DAY AND IN CONVERSATIONS WITH OUR STAFF OUR OUTREACH SERVICES HAVE NOT RECEIVED FUNDING FROM THE COUNTY. WE HAVE HAD REDUCTIONS FROM ONE OF OUR FUNDING SOURCES AND REALLY LOOKING AT THE \$40,000 THE PROPOSED LIKE -- RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SCOPE OF WORK THIS IS GOING TO BE AN 18 HOUR A WEEK POSITION. THIS IS REALLY HARD REVIEWING THIS. WITH THE AVAILABLE FUNDING AND THE LACK OF THIS YEAR THIS POSITION ALONG WITH THE PROPOSED SCOPE OF DESCRIBABLES FOR THE UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR -- WE HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE MONEY -- THE \$40,000 IS REALLY -- IT'S NOT FEASIBLE TO PROVIDE THE SCOPE OF WORK WITH 18 HOURS A WEEK. I READ IN THE REPORT THAT THERE IS RECOMMENDATION TO KEEP THE \$40,000 WITH IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR MENTAL HEALTH TRAINING WHICH I LOVE AND ADVOCATE FOR AND REALLY WE ARE RECOMMENDING THAT YOU DON'T CONSIDER THE \$40,000 FOR WEST COUNTY. I THINK THE MONEY WOULD BE BEST SERVED AND STRETCHED BETTER STAYING IN THE DEPARTMENT FOR THAT TYPE OF TRAINING.

OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THAT. I'M GOING TO OPEN IT UP TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR QUESTIONS AND I HAVE A NUMBER OF THEM. I THINK I SAW YOUR HAND FIRST.

DO YOU KNOW THE HISTORY OF WHEN -- WE ORIGINALLY FUNDED THIS AT A FULL TIME POSITION AND THEN I THINK IT WAS MATCHED BY THE COUNTY AND THEN IT'S -- YOU KNOW RECENT YEARS HAD A LOT OF DIFFERENT STAFF TURNOVERS AND NOW IT'S DOWN TO HALF TIME. I DON'T RECALL WHEN IT SWITCHED TO HALF TIME. RU STILL MANAGING PARK VILLAGE FOR US. YOU HAVE SOMEONE ON SITE THERE. I ASSUME THIS PERSON WOULDN'T BE WORKING WITH PARK VILLAGE BECAUSE YOU HAVE SOMEONE ON SITE. DO YOU RECALL WHEN IT SWITCHED TO HALF TIME?

I DON'T RECALL. THIS IS MY FIRST YEAR IN THIS POSITION. I DON'T -- IT WAS DIFFICULT FINDING THE INFORMATION IN HIS EMAILS AND DRIVES. IT WAS NOT REALLY WELL ORGANIZED. I COULDN'T EVEN FIND THE EXECUTED CONTRACT FOR THE 21-22 YEAR THE DATA COLLECTED IN 22 SO THERE'S A WHOLE YEAR THAT'S NOT A PART OF THE -- SINCE THE INCEPTION OF SERVICES THAT'S NOT INCLUDED IN THE TOTALS THAT'S IN THIS REPORT. I DON'T -- I DON'T KNOW. ITS BEEN A COUPLE YEARS THAT WE HAVE HAD MATCHED FUNDING.

OKAY. VICE MAYOR.

ACKNOWLEDGING THAT YOU HAVE HAD CHALLENGES WITH FINDING ALL THE INFORMATION ONE THING THAT I JUST WONDERED WHEN I SAW THE NUMBERS INITIALLY IS DO YOU KNOW -- THIS HAPPENS FREQUENTLY. DO YOU KNOW IF THE NUMBERS THAT YOU HAVE ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO JUST THE CITY VERSES --. THEY ARE.

OKAY THE REASON I ASK IS JUST BECAUSE THAT 13, 84 NUMBER CONNECTING TO PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY HOUSING -- I'M ASSUMING THAT MUST BE LIKE DUPLICATION WITH THE SAME FOLKS LIKELY. BECAUSE THE NUMBERS OF APPLICATIONS WERE ONLY 377 OVER THAT TIME. IT SEEMED OFF. I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WAS THAT, YOU KNOW, PART OF THE COUNTY OR WAS IT WHAT I MENTIONED WHERE -- YOU KNOW THE SAME FOLKS YOU ARE CONNECTING OVER AND OVER AGAIN. COULD THAT BE --.

THE DATA COLLECTED WAS FOR THE CITY SERVICES ONLY. THAT NUMBER PROBABLY WAS -- IT WAS DUPLICATED. YOU KNOW IN THE -- IN -- THERE IS WORK FOR

ENTERING PEOPLE INTO COORDINATED ENTRY. REALLY A LOT OF THE PEOPLE THAT ARE UNHOUSED, THEY ARE ALREADY IN -- IN ORDER FOR THEM TO STAY ACTIVE IN COORD NATURED ENTRY OUR STAFF WHO ARE TRAINED IN AND AUTHORIZED TO KEEP THEM UPDATED IN THE HMIS COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM. THEY HAVE TO PROVIDE NOTES, DOCUMENTATION, GO TO COORDINATION MEETINGS WITH IN 90 DAYS AND IF THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN THEY BECOME INACTIVE AND NOT ELIGIBLE FOR HOUSING. SO REALLY THE CRITICAL WORK IS KEEPING THOSE CONNECTIONS AND HELPING THEM GAIN THE DOCUMENT -- THE DOCUMENTATIONS, THEY NEED TO BE HOUSED AND KEEPING THEM ACTIVE IN COORDINATED ENTRY.

I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION.

HOW MUCH WOULD IT TAKE TO HAVE WCCS CONTINUE WITH THIS AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY? HOW MUCH MONEY ARE YOU THINKING?

THE FULL TIME POSITION IT WOULD BE 88,000.

IT'S NOT POSSIBLE TO DO IT WITH THE HALF TIME?

WE CAN -- WE CAN PROVIDE OUTREACH SERVICES BUT -- THE PROPOSED CHANGE OF SCOPE IN THAT'S -- IN THE PRESENTATION WITH THE 18 HOURS A WEEK. FOR US TO COMPLETE ALL THAT.

SO -- WHAT'S IN -- IN -- IN THE REPORT IS THERE'S A LOT MORE DELIVERABLES THAT WASN'T IN PROBABLY PREVIOUS CONTRACT.

CORRECT.

THAT'S WHY -- TOO STEEP.

RIGHT.

THE -- THE ORIGINAL WHEN WE HAD OUR ORIGINAL FIRST YEAR TWO THERE WERE DELIVERABLES IN THERE BECAUSE OF THE NEED THAT THE CITY HAD WORKING ON MORRIS STREET, THERE WAS -- IT WAS ALSO A PART OF ESTABLISHING THE OUTREACH PERSON WITH IN THE COMMUNITY SO THERE WAS THE ELEMENT OF HAVING VOLUNTEER ENGAGEMENT. PROVIDING COMMUNITY EVENTS, AND HELPING COORDINATE THOSE. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN AS NEEDED AND WE HAVE PULLED BACK ON SOME OF THOSE DELIVERABLES. THE OUTREACH HAS MORE TIME IN THEIR OUTREACH EFFORTS WITH THE UNHOUSED COMMUNITY.

I WILL JUMP IN HERE FOR MY OWN QUESTION. IT DOES SOUND LIKE AS THE CHIEF SAID THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF CHANGES. WE HAVE SEEN THE EMAIL BACK AND FORTH. IT ME IT WASN'T CLEAR EITHER ABOUT IF YOU ARE ON NOTICE TO EVEN BE HERE TONIGHT. THAT WEIGHS ON ME BECAUSE WITH PART OF GOVERNMENT DUE PROCESS IS IMPORTANT, ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE QUALITY OF SERVICES. GIVEN THE UNCLARITY ABOUT IF YOU HAD NOTICE ABOUT IF YOU WOULD BE HERE PRESENTING IN THE INFORMATION THAT YOU JUST PUT FORWARD WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO WORK WITH OUR STAFF, OUR INTERIM GENERAL MANAGER AND POLICE CHIEF FOR THE NEXT 30 DAYS TO REALLY FIGURE OUT IF IT IS JUST A FINANCIAL THING OR IF IT -- THING FOR YOU? IF -- MY COLLEAGUES AGREE TO PUT IT OVER FOR 30 DAYS WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO WORK WITH OUR CHIEF AND MARY TO FIGURE OUT WHAT IS VIABLE OR IS THIS JUST IT FORYOU NOW?

NO WE ABSOLUTELY LOVE. WE LOVE OUR SERVICES AND THE PEOPLE WE ARE SEVENNING. THERE ARE THINGS THAT THE OUTREACH DOES THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CANNOT DO. IT IS TIME INTENSIVE. THEY TAKE PEOPLE TO APPOINTMENTS. THEY SIT WITH THEM TO FILL OUT APPLICATIONS AND THE CRITICAL

PART IS KEEPING PEOPLE ACTIVE IN COORDINATED ENTRY WHICH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS NOT AUTHORIZED TO DO AND HAVE ACCESS TO HMIS. SO HE WHY OF COURSE WE WOULD.

OKAY. I WILL GO TO THE VICE MAYOR AND BACK TO COUNCILMEMBER -- I'M NOT -- SORRY AND THEN HINTON. I DON'T -- WELL -- I -- WERE YOU ABLE TO ASK A QUESTION? I'M TRYING TO GO THROUGH IT EVENLY WITH QUESTIONS AND TIMING.

I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE STAY ON QUESTIONS BECAUSE I WILL HAVE COMMENTS. IT SEEMS LIKE WE ARE KIND OF MIXING A LITTLE BIT OF THE TWO. SO, I'M GOING TO MORE ON WANTING TO COMMENT BUT I'M GOING TO WAIT UNTIL THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO WEIGH IN ABOUT THOUGHTS ABOUT THE FUTURE BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S COMMENTS NOT NECESSARILY.

VICE MAYOR.

SO, ACKNOWLEDGING WHAT YOU SAID ABOUT LIKE THINGS HAVE CHANGED OVERTIME LIKE MORRIS STREET AND ALL THE DIFFERENT THINGS. WE HAVE EVOLVED. YOU CAN REMIND BE YOU ARE YOU'RE LICENSED RIGHT?

I'M A LICENSE MARRIAGE AND FAMILY THERAPIST.

SORRY. I ALWAYS WANT TO KNOW. I HEAR WHAT YOU ARE SAYING ABOUT HOW CHALLENGING IT IS AND THE -- ALL THE DIFFERENT FACTORS. DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS OR -- IT'S BOTHERING ME THAT I JUST SEE WE ARE PUTTING THE MONEY INTO THIS, DOING THESE SERVICES AND BUT THE NUMBERS FOR THE UNHOUSED HERE JUST KEEP GOING UP AND UP AND UP. I WITNESSED A WOMAN IN A TOTAL ALTERED STATE YESTERDAY AT SAFEWAY. IT WAS JUST -- ANY WAY. I'M JUST WONDERING, WE HAVE THE OUTREACH COORDINATOR BUT THE NUMBERS KEEP GOING UP. I DON'T UNDERSTAND IT. THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING THAT QUESTION IF WE HAVE FOLKS HERE ARE THEY JUST MAKING IT TO EASY FOR EVERYBODY HERE SO DO YOU HAVE ANY -- THOUGHTS ON THAT OR LIKE SOMETHING TO HELP US UNDERSTAND WHY THEY KEEP GOING UP EVEN WITH ALL THIS AND ALL THESE NUMBERS BUT WE STILL HAVE MORE PEOPLE LIVING ON THE STREETS HERE THAN EVER?

I DON'T. I DON'T. I DON'T KNOW, YOU KNOW THE POINT IN TIME COUNT THAT JUST WAS PUBLISHED SHOWS THERE ARE LESS PEOPLE. I DON'T KNOW. I THINK IT'S -- I DON'T KNOW HOW RELEVANT IT IS FOR THE CITY AND MAYBE IT IS BUT, YOU KNOW, OUR SHELTER IN GERVILLE IS THE CLOSEST AND WE HAVE BEEN OVER SERVING BY DOUBLE -- OUR CONTRACT IS FOR 27 PEOPLE AND WE HAVE BEEN SEVENNING SERVING 48 BECAUSE IT'S COLD AND RAINING. WE FIGURED IT OUT BUT WE HAVE HAD REDUCTIONS IN FUNDING THIS YEAR. WE HAVE A 17 AND A HALF REDUCTION AND WE HAVE HAD TO CUT BACK TO WHAT THE CONTRACT IS SO NOW THOSE ADDITIONAL PEOPLE ARE OUT ON THE STREET, RIGHT? I THINK IT'S -- A DIFFICULT TIME. I DON'T -- I DON'T KNOW IN THERE ARE MORE HOMELESS. I DON'T KNOW WHY.

OKAY. THANK YOU.

YEAH. ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS YOU SAID -- IT WAS THAT YOU JUST COULDN'T DO IT AND THAT YOU THOUGHT WE SHOULD GIVE THE MONEY TO POLICE. I WANT TO CLARIFY WITH YOU IS THE ISSUE THE DELIVERABLES? IS THAT -- IS THAT A STUMBLING BLOCK? YOU COULD MEET WITH THE STAFF AND NEGOTIATE ABOUT WHAT YOU COULD DO AND IF SO IS 40,000 FOR A .45 POSITION NEGOTIATING THE DELIVERABLES. WOULD THAT WORK FOR YOU?

YES.

OKAY.

OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE. OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU. YOU CAN SIT DOWN.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

THIS IS THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES AND POLICE CHIEF'S PRESENTATION. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST. DANIEL.

HI. I'M DANIELLE. I WORK FOR WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES. AS YOU KNOW I DON'T REALLY HAVE A DOG IN THE RACE HERE BECAUSE WE ARE WILLING TO GIVE UP THE MONEY. THERE WAS SOME QUESTIONS ASKED HERE TODAY AND I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW IF WE WORK THIS OUT IN SOME FASHION OR NOT. I HAVE A VESTED INTEREST. I'M THE HMIS EVACUATOR FOR OUR AGENCY. I'M ON THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE. I'M ON THE COC. SOME OF THESE QUESTIONS THAT YOU ASKED I WOULD BE HAPPY TO MEET AND HAVE COFFEE WITH ANY ONE OF YOU AND I HAVE DONE THIS IN THE PAST TOO, TO EXPLAIN HOW THE PROCESS WORKS. IT IS SOMETHING ELSE. IT I WILL ALSO SPEAK TO HOW PEOPLE GET PRIORITIZED THOUGH WE PUT 103 PEOPLE, APPLICATIONS IN WHY DIDN'T -- HOW DOES COORDINATED ENTRY WORK TO PRIORITIZE THOSE PEOPLE AND ALL OF THAT. I'M MORE THAN WILLING ANY TIME. DOESN'T MATTER IF I HAVE A CONTRACT WITH YOU I'M WEST COUNTY. I HAVE A VESTED INTEREST IN PEOPLE AND ESPECIALLY POLICY MAKERS UNDERSTANDING THE SYSTEM AS MUCH AS I CAN. IT'S ALWAYS CHANGING. THERE'S A COUPLE OF THINGS I HAVE HEARD TODAY. ONE IS I JUST WANT TO MAKE IT -- DISTINCTION. I HAVE HEARD MENTAL HEALTH WORKER AND OUTREACH WORKER USED -- WE AREN'T A MENTAL HEALTH WORKER. WE ARE HOMELESS OUTREACH. THAT IS VERY BIG DIFFERENCE. THERE ARE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AND WE DO HELP HOOK THEM UP.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

ANY WAY I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT OFFER. I'M HAPPY TO REMEMBER. I REMEMBER WHEN WE PUT THIS TOGETHER AND THE DELIVERABLES AND WHEN IT WENT TO HALF TIME.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. ROBERT YOU CAN UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE.

YEAH. YOU CAN HEAR ME?

I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GO HEAD.

I -- I'VE SENT PUBLIC COMMENTS GOING BACK TO MORRIS STREET WHEN I FIRST GOT INTERESTED IN WHAT WAS GOING ON IN THE CITY SAYING THAT THE CITY NEEDS A PLAN. THE PLAN AROUND MORRIS STREET STREET WAS A REACTION. LET'S FIND SOMEONE -- SOME GROUP THAT CAN BE FORMED IN TO A NONPROFIT AND MOVE THE MORRIS STREET CAMP AND GET THEM SET UP AND GET THEIR CARS WORKING AND WITH IN A YEAR THEY WILL BE OUT AND ABOUT AND FREE. TWO YEARS LATER WHEN THEY WENT TO CLOSE IT THERE WERE STILL VEHICLES THAT CAN'T BEEN REGISTERED, STILL PEOPLE THAT HADN'T BEEN LICENSED. IT DIDN'T WORK. WE ARE STILL -- WE REACTED. WE WANT TO PUT ANOTHER COMMONS THERE. WE REACTED TO

THAT. YOU VOTED ON IT. AT THE SAME TIME YOU WERE TOLD THAT -- THERE WOULD BE ISSUES AND MORE WITH THE VISITORS. THE RESULT BEING POLICE CALLS. NOW YOU HAVE TO FACE THE FACT YOU DOUBLED THE NUMBER OF POLICE CALLS IN THE CITY. HOW RU GOING TO ADDRESS THAT? IT HAS TO BE ADDRESSED IN THE BUDGET OR IN THE PLAN. WEST COUNTY HAS BEEN HERE NOW SINCE 21, 22. DURING THE UPGRADED TIME WE WENT FROM 40 HOMELESS IN THE CITY TO 108. THAT'S 170% INCREASE AT THE SAME TIME IN THE COUNTY THE NUMBER OF HOMELESS WENT DOWN. SOMETHING GOING OPEN THAT IS MAKING US THE NEXUS FOR HOMELESS. AGAIN HAVE YOU TO HAVE A GOAL. IS THE GOAL TO BE THE PREFERRED DESTINATION FOR HOMELESS? THAT'S THE WAY THE NUMBERS GOING. WE NEED SERVICES, WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO MANAGE IT, NOT HAVE IT DISRUPT PEOPLE IN THE SEE YOU WHO WANT TO DO THEIR BUSINESS. YOU NEED A PLAN. AND IF IT'S NOT THAT THEN IT'S A DIFFERENT PLAN F YOU WANT TO GET PEOPLE TO GO TO PLACES IN THE COUNTY WHERE THERE ARE SERVICES FOR THEM THEN THAT TAKES A DIFFERENT APPROACH AND A DIFFERENT PLAN. I THINK CONTINUING TO DO WHAT WE ARE DOING IN WEST COUNTY DOESN'T MAKE A LOT OF SENSE IN THAT IT HASN'T SOLVED THE PROBLEM. WE HAVE MORE HOMELESS AND MORE PROBLEMS THAN EVER. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME --.
I HAVE TO TIME IT GOT IT.

YEAH. THIS ONE IS VERY DIFFICULT. I MEAN I THINK WE ARE IN A DIFFERENT ERA NOW. I JUST -- I THINK THE THE HUGE PROBLEM. I HAVE SAID IT. IT SHOULD STAY THE CITY -- THIS IS VERY MUCH A COUNTY PROBLEM. I KNOW THE CONTINUING CARE AND THE RED TAPE OF THE COUNTY. THE PROBLEM WE HAVE HERE IS THE CITY IS TRYING TO SOLVE A COUNTY PROBLEM. PARTICULARLY INCLUDING THE EARLIER DISCUSSION ABOUT -- THE UNFREEZING THE POLICE OFFICER AND THE MAYOR MISUNDERSTANDING ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH WHICH WCCS DON'T PROVIDE AS DANIELLE JUST SAID. EVERYBODY WANTS, YOU KNOW THIS PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED. NOBODY WANTS THE HOMELESS OR WHOEVER THEY ARE TO BE OUT IN THE RAIN IN THE WINTER AND SO ON. THE CITY CAN'T SOLVE THE PROBLEM. IT'S A COUNTY PROBLEM. THE CITY CAN WORK WITH THE COUNTY AND THE CITY CAN BANG HEADS TOGETHER AT THE COUNTY BUT THE MORE WE DO THE LESS THE COUNTY DO AT THE MOMENT AS THE PROVE PERSON SAID THE MORE PEOPLE COME HERE BECAUSE WE ARE PROVIDING THE SERVICES THAT THE COUNTY AREN'T. EXCUSE ME. THE MORE PRESSURE IS PUT ON THE POLICE AND ON WC CS WHO ARE GOT LESS AND LESS FUNDING FROM THE COUNTY AND OTHER PLACES AS WELL. REALLY THE BATTLE I THINK HAS IT BE LITERALLY WITH THE COUNTY AND THE STATE TO ACTUALLY SOLVE THIS PROBLEM. OTHER WHICH IS WE WILL JUST DIG OURSELVES DEEPER AND DEEPER AND THEN WE WILL HAVE FEWER FEWER TOURISTS AND LESS AND LESS SALES TAX AND ALL THE THING WAS HE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IN PAST COUNTY MEETINGS. THIS IS A COUNTY PROBLEM. I THANK WCCS. I SPOKE TO DANIELLE ABOUT A TOWN HALL WITH -- THE HOMELESS LIASON. A THE LOCATION OF THINGS FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS. THERE GOES MY TIMER. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXTLY GO OUT TO ZOOM. MARY CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE.

YES.

THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GREAT. GO HEAD.

OKAY. SO, OUR MAYOR KEEPS TALKING ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH FOR OUR CITY CITIZENS ALSO. SO, I HOPE THAT PEOPLE LISTENING IN OR WATCHING THIS AGAIN UNDERSTAND THIS ISN'T FOR THE CITIZENS. IT'S FOR THE HOMELESS. I THINK THAT IF - - I THINK THIS MONEY SHOULD GO TO THE POLICE AT THIS POINT. THEY HAVE -- THEY HAVE WAYS OF GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE HOMELESS AND PROBABLY MORE THAN WEST COUNTY WOULD DOING WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE AT THIS POINT. I HAVE BEEN IN TOUCH A LOT WITH JASON CUNNINGHAM. I THINK THAT WEST COUNTY DOES A LOT OF REALLY GOOD THINGS BUT I SORT OF THINK THAT -- I FORGET HER NAME, SORRY. KRISTY HAS ALREADY SAID THEY CAN'T DO THIS AND SO I THINK WE SHOULD JUST BE GIVING THE MONEY TO THE POLICE, LET THEM -- I LIVE WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING. I THINK THEY ARE -- I THINK THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE THE OFFICERS TRAINED IN MENTAL HEALTH AND THAT'S PROBABLY WHAT IS NEEDED. SO, I HOPE YOU ALL THINK ABOUT VOTING TO DO THAT BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO DOUBLE THAT OR DOUBLE IT PLUS \$8,000. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONELY GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. MICHAEL YOU CAN UNMUTE YOURSELF?

YES I'M UNMUTED.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIME NEVER

I CAN.

GREAT. GO HEAD.

GOOD EVENING. SO, AS A BUSINESS OWNER FOR 31 YEARS ON MAIN STREET I HAVE A PRETTY HIGH PROFILE AND I HAVE SEEN WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON WITH THE HOMELESS. ITS BEEN CONSTANTLY SINCE WE HAVE -- INCREASED OUR SERVICES FOR THE HOMELESS THE HOMELESS HAVE INCREASED. WHEN YOU ENABLE THEM BY GIVING THEM THE MEANS TO GET THE STATE AND LOCAL AND THE FEDERAL AID THAT IS ENABLING THEM TO BASICALLY DO NOTHING AND TO BE NOT VERY PRODUCTIVE MEMBERS TO SOCIETY. JUST THIS MORNING WHEN I WENT INTO MY BOOT SHOP, YOU KNOW MY FRONT FOYER WAS COMPLETELY A MESS, YOU KNOW, WITH EMPTY CONTAINERS AND THROWN IN THE TRASH AND I HAD TO GET OUT THERE AND WASH IT DOWN. IT WAS HORRIBLE. THEY WERE EATING FROM WHOLE FOODS. THEY WERE EATING CRAB AND SEAFOOD SALAD. I THINK THAT GIVEN WHAT THEY KNOW ABOUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND WHAT THEY HAVE TO DEAL WITH EVERY DAY AND DEALING WITH THESE PEOPLE THAT ARE OUT ON THE STREET AND MORE NUMBERS THAN EVER THAT THEY REALLY DO NEED THE TRAINING IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH THIS IN A REAL EDUCATED AND ALSO -- IN A -- WHAT DO I WANT TO SAY? IN A STRONG AND DEFINITELY KIND OF AT A LOSS FOR WORDS HERE. TO ENFORCE OUR LAWS AND TO MAKE IT KNOWN THAT WE WON'T TOLERATE, YOU KNOW YOU COMING IN TO OUR CITY AND MAKING A MESS AND LEAVING TRASH BEHIND AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT --

MICHAEL THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. SEAMUS CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN. THANK YOU.

GO HEAD.

I DO WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT ON A PREVIOUS COMMENT. WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES IS NOT WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY HEALTH. JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT VERY CLEAR. WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY HEALTH DOES HAVE HEALTH CARE AND ARE ABLE TO PROVIDE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, NOT WCCS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEGLIGENT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. RAY, YOU CAN UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES. THANK YOU.

IT YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES I CAN.

GO HEAD PLEASE.

THANK YOU. I JUSTIN TO SHARE ANY COMMENT SUPPORTING THE -- TO GO TO THE -- THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND IT -- FURTHER THEIR EDUCATION ROUND THE ITEMS LISTED IN THE AGENDA WITH REGARDS TO TREATING THROUGH POST OR OTHER COURSES OR CERTIFICATIONS, CONFERENCES, AS -- IT'LL PROVIDE THEM THE KNOWLEDGE AND SUPPORT TO CONNECT WITH THE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE UNDER HOMELESS OR, YEAH, TRAVELING THROUGH AND DEAL WITH THE SITUATIONS MORE CLEARLY AND BE ABLE TO PROVIDE INFORMATION TO THE WEST COUNTY SERVICES AND BE ABLE TO TRACK WHERE INDIVIDUALS ARE TRAVELING FROM AND HAVE A BETTER IDEA IF THEY ARE COMING FROM THE BAY AREA AS CITIES ARE BEING CLEANED OUT OF THE HOMELESS SO THEY ARE RELOCATING. YOU KNOW -- THE STATE PATROL AND THEN I WAS JUST CURIOUS HOW MUCH TRAINING THE CURRENT POLICE DEPARTMENT STAFF HAVE HAD IN THESE AREAS LISTED IN THE AGENDA, CRISIS INTERVENTION, OFFICER CERTIFICATION, LAW ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE TO THE HOMELESS AND IF THE 40,000 CAN HELP SUPPORT -- FURTHER THAT EDUCATION TO SUPPORT THE EFFORTS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM F THERE IS NO ONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU. BRINGING IT BACK UP TO US. QUESTIONS, COMMENTS.

A QUESTION. IF THE COUNCIL DECIDES NOT TO FUND THIS POSITION WILL SOMEONE LOOSE A JOB? IS SOME EMPLOYED IN THIS POSITION?

YES.

VICE MAYOR.

I WAS JUST INTEREST JUST OCCURRED WE HAVEN'T ASKED THIS QUESTION. WHAT KIND OF TRAINING DOES YOUR LIASON RECEIVE?

OUR OUTREACH?

SORRY. YOUR OUTREACH COORDINATOR OR -- IS -- I WANT TO GET THE TERM RIGHT. WHO IS IT AND WHAT KIND OF TRAINING? I KNOW DANIELLE HAS A LOT OF TRAINING. THE PERSON WORKING HERE. WHAT KIND OF TRAINING DO THEY RECEIVE?

THEY HAVE -- WE HIRE PEOPLE WITH EXPERIENCE, PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE. THEY HAVE TRAINING WITH -- COORDINATED ENTRY IN HMIS. WE HAVE IN HOUSE MENTAL HEALTH TRAINING EVERY MONTH. I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS ADDITIONAL TRAINING. DANIELE IS IN CHARGE OF THAT. SHE COULD SPEAK TO THAT MORE DIRECTLY.

ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BECAUSE IF NOT I DO --

YEAH. COMMENT TIME. IT MAKES ME SAD THAT WE ARE HERE. I HAVE BEEN HERE WITH YOU GUYS FOR A LONG TIME. I WILL SAY I WAS VERY DISAPPOINTED IN THE REPORTS WE RECEIVEDRECEIVE RECENTLY. I THOUGHT THEY WERE SPARSE. THEY DIDN'T LOOK LIKE THEY HAD EFFORT AND THOUGHT PUT INTO THEM AND THERE WASN'T A LOT OF NUMBERS THERE FOR EVEN A PART TIME POSITION WHICH LEADS ME TO BELIEVE THAT YOU ARE MAXED ON BANDWIDTH. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE TWO INDIVIDUAL -- NOT ONE BUT TWO SEEMED TO WORK TOGETHER. I'M NOT CONVINCED TONIGHT WE SHOULD MAKE A DECISION AT 10:15 AT NIGHT WITHOUT THE FULL COUNCIL HERE TO MOVE FUNDING TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. GENERALLY THE POLICE DIDN'T EVEN MANAGE THIS CONTRACT UNTIL VERY RECENTLY AS YOU KNOW. WE HAVE KIND OF PUT IT OVER THERE BECAUSE WE ARE AT BANDWIDTH AT CITY HALL AND THOUGHT THE DEPARTMENT -- YOU WORK CLOSELY TOGETHER AND IT MADE SENSE. WE HAVE A NEW POLICE CHIEF SOT HISTORY ISN'T THERE. I'M MORE APT TO TAKE YOUR RESIGNATION OF THE CONTRACT AND HOLD THIS MONEY FOR A TIME TO BRING BACK PROPOSALS WHEN WE CAN MAKE A MORE THOUGHT OUT DECISION. YOU STANDING UP THERE TODAY SAYING THAT YOU DON'T HAVE THE ABILITY AND YOU ARE WILLING TO LET THE CONTRACT GO -- THAT'S NOT NORMALLY WHAT I HEAR. MAYBE THAT'S WHERE YOUR ORGANIZATION IS AT. I DON'T KNOW OR MAYBE YOU FEEL A LOT OF PRESSURE TONIGHT TO DELIVER AND THERE'S -- OBVIOUSLY PEOPLE THAT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON WITH YOUR STAFF OR FUNDING OR WHAT'S AT RISK OR NOT AT RISK. I WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THE 40,000 IN THE BUDGET AND KIND OF PUSH THE DECISION. NOT WILLING TO VOTE. WE HAVE AGREED TO EXTEND YOUR CONTRACT 30 DAYS IF YOU THINK YOU COULD FILL THAT. I THINK THAT VOTE STANDS. I WOULD BE LOOKING AT THE CITY ATTORNEY ON THAT. I DON'T PLAN TO -- TO TRANSFER THIS MONEY TO ANOTHER DEPARTMENT. I THINK IT'S TO SOON. I HAVE BEEN DISAPPOINTED WITH YOUR FULFILLMENT OF THE CONTRACT WITH WHAT I HAVE SEENED AS A COUNCILMEMBER IT IS NOT THE LEVEL THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED FROM YOUR ORGANIZATION IN THE PAST. THAT MAKES ME QUESTION WHETHER WE SHOULD CONTINUE WITH YOU OR NOT. I'M JUST NOT -- I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO MAKE A DECISION.

THANK YOU. AND PLEASE HAVE A SEAT. THIS ISN'T THE NORMAL PROCESS WHERE WE JUST HAVE SOMETHING UP THERE FOREVER. I WILL FOLLOW UP AND MAKE MY OWN COMMENTS. IT'S A MATTER OF EDUCATION ON THE PROCESS. OUR FORMER PRIMARY LIASON FROM THE CITY NOT TRYING TO THROW ANYONE UNDER THE BUS. IF I HAD TO REDO IT I WOULD HAVE INSISTED SHE COME AND PROVIDE EDUCATION ON HOW THIS SYSTEM WORKS 100%. I HAVE TRIED TO DO THE BEST I CAN AS THIS LIASON. IT'S NOT EASY AT ALL. I WOULD ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY WHO IS WANTING TO SORT OF SAY WELL THE CITY, WE WON'T HAVE MENTAL HEALTH. WE WON'T PROVIDE SERVICES TO THE UNSHELTERED. WE WILL JUST LEAVE IT TO THE POLICE I WOULD ENCOURAGE THEM TO COME TO A HOMELESS COALITION MEETING, A BOARD MEETING ITSELF. YOU CAN FIND MANY OF THESE REPORTS ONLINE. EVERYTHING

THAT KRISTY AND DANIELLE SAID IS TRUE. OUR CHIEF IS WELL INTENTIONED TO WANTING TO THINK HE CAN DO MORE THAN HE CAN DO. MIS. THE DATABASE IS IN FACT A REQUIREMENT. WE THINK WE HAVE A PROBLEM NOW WITH OUR UNSHELTERED? WAIT UNTIL WE DECIDE NOT TO GIVE IT BACK TO KRISTY. GIFT HER GIVE HER 30 DAYS. THE NUMBERS WILL INCREASE. IT'S JUST NOT A MATTER OF LIKE I WILL JUST LIKE DROP IN AND FIGURE OUT AT HMIS AND BE ABLE TO DO IT IN ENOUGH TIME TO MAKE SURE THAT'S PEOPLE WHO ARE IN SYSTEM CONTINUE TO RECEIVE SERVICES. IT IS NOT THAT EASY. AGAIN I'M ASKING MY FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS TO PUT THIS OVER FOR 30 DAYS, HAVE THE GENERAL MANAGER WORK WITH THE POLICE CHIEF. WORK WITH KRISTY TO SEE WHAT IS VIABLE. MY LAST COUPLE COMMENTS WE ALL VOTED IN OUR RESPECTIVE WAYS TO SEND A MESSAGE UP TO THE MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS ASSOCIATION OF SONOMA COUNTY. THAT VOTE CAME OUT AS HOMELESS AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. THAT WAS THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY. I'M NOT SURE WHAT WE ARE GOING TO SAY WHEN THE NEXT MAYOR, WHETHER IT BE ME OR THE VICE MAYOR, WHOEVER, IS GOING TO COME TO THE MEETINGS AND SAY, YES, WE ARE CONTINUING TO ENVEST WITH THE COUNTY WIDE SOLUTION AROUND HOUSING FOR OUR UNSHELTERED WHEN WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO OFFER LIKE NOTHING. NOT TO MENTION THE FACT THAT WE ARE GOING TO ENDANGER A NOFA GRANT. IF WE DON'T HAVE ANY TYPE OF MENTAL CONNECTIONS WE WON'T BE POSITIONED FOR THAT FUNDING. THAT WILL HAVE A HORRIBLE EFFECT. I'M SAYING THAT THE FACT THAT -- EVERYBODY WHO COMES IN TO THIS PROCESS AT THE LAST MINUTE SHOULD REALLY TRY TO UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS BEFORE YOU DECIDE THAT A PROVIDER IS NOT UP TO SPEED AND WE SHOULD REPLACE THEM AND PUT IT INTO A DEPARTMENT THAT'S JUST BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED AS HAD THIS RESPONSIBILITY FOR, I DON'T KNOW, SIX WEEKS, IT'S NOT BEEN THAT LONG. THAT IS MY RECOMMENDATION AS YOUR HOMES LIASON PRIMARY ON THIS BODY TO THE HOMELESS COALITION IS TO GIVE IT 30 DAYS AND FIGURE IT OUT AT THAT POINT. I THINK IT WAS COUNCILMEMBER -- THAT -- THE VICE MAYOR.

OKAY. I HAVE BEEN IN THESE MEETINGS FOR YEARS WITH ALL OF THIS. I DON'T FEEL LIKE I'M COMING IN AT THE LAST MINUTE HERE. A COUPLE THINGS. TRYING TO GATHER MY THOUGHTS HERE ONE IS THAT LISTENING TO KRISTY AND WHAT SHE SAID. I PERSONALLY AM NOT QUILL WILLING TO AWARD ANYTHING TONIGHT WITH WEST COUNTY. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS OUR STAFF LOOKING AT ALL OPTIONS AVAILABLE. NOT JUST ONE. I ALSO WANT TO HONOR WHAT SHE SAID AND I HAVE BEEN READING ALL OF THESE REPORTS SINCE I BE KIM A COUNCILMEMBER AND I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT THERE HAS BEEN A TREND WHERE THE NUMBERS HAVE GONE DOWN OVERTIME. SOMETIMES YOU WILL WILL SEE A BLIP UP WE WENT FROM MORRIS STREET TO UP ON THE NORTH SIDE. I THINK WE NEED TO EVOLVE AND LOOK AT OUR SITUATION AND LOOK AT WHAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE. I ALSO AM A FIRM BELIEVER THAT THE MORE WE DO TO SOLVE THE COUNTY'S PROBLEM THEY WILL CONTINUE TO HAVE US SOLVE FOR PROBLEM. THEY ARE THE PEOPLE WHO RECEIVE THE FUNDS AND WE HAVE ALL VOTED THAT IS A PRIORITY THAT IS ALSO WHY WE ARE PUTTING THE COUNTY ON NOTICE, OUR MAYORS THAT THEY NEED TO CONTRIBUTE MORE AND THEY NEED TO HELP SOLVE THIS PROBLEM FINANCIALLY, THEY RECEIVE MORE MONEY THAN ANY OF US. THEY SHOULD BE PRIORITIZING THIS.

THE MORE WE TRY TO SOLVE THEIR PROBLEM THEY ARE HAPPY WITH THAT. I ASK THE QUESTION ABOUT WHY HAVE THE NUMBERS GONE UP? I BELIEVE LIVE AND WALK DOWNTOWN. I'M SEEING MORE AND MORE AND MORE NEW FACES. I KNOW THEIR NAMES. I CAN CALL THE POLICE OFFICERS AND SAY SO AND SO IS HERE DOING THIS, HAVING A -- YOU KNOW THEY ARE ALTERED. THAT'S HOW -- MUCH I KNOW THE PEOPLE DOWNTOWN. THEY ARE GROWING I HAVE HAD OTHER PEOPLE SAY THE SAME THING. THEY SEE MORE AND MORE NEW PEOPLE WE ARE CHANGING. WE NEED TO RELOOK AT WHAT WE ARE LOOKING. I'M NOT SUPPORTIVE OF NEGOTIATING NECESSARILY WITH WEST COUNTY BUT I THINK WE NEED TO JUST DO A LOOK OVERALL. IN ADDITION WE DO NOT HAVE MORE FUNDS SET ASIDE FOR THAT. I JUST THINK THAT AT THIS POINT I'M OKAY WITH SETTING THE MONEY ASIDE BUT I WANT OUR STAFF TO ACTUALLY LOOK AT ALL OPTIONS BECAUSE AS I KEEP SAYING THE SITUATION HAS CHANGED AND WE NEED TO CHANGE AND IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN WE SHOULD KEEP DOING THE SAME THING OVER AND OVER. THE RESULTS ARE NOT THERE. I DON'T EVEN THINK THAT AT THIS POINT AS WAS STATED THAT WEST COUNTY HAS THE ABILITY TO GIVE THE SERVICES THAT WE NEED. I'M OKAY WITH KEEPING THE MONEY. YOU KNOW IN THERE WITH -- NOT EAR MARKING FOR ANYONE AND WE WILL SEE WHAT THE STAFF COMES BACK WITH. I JUST WANT TO SAY FINALLY IDENTIFY THOUGHT I HAD THE VOTES MY VERY FIRST CHOICE IS TO GIVE IT TO THE POLICE FOR A YEAR JUST TO SEE WHAT DIFFERENCE COULD BE MADE AND I AM ALWAYS AN ADVOCATE FOR EDUCATING ANY OF OUR FIRST RESPONDER THAT ARE OUT THERE AND IF THEY COULD HELP US -- EITHER WAY THEY ARE INTERFACING WITH OUR HOMELESS AND -- ANYONE ON THE STREET EVERY DAY. WHY NOT HAVE THEM BETTER EDUCATED ON HOW TO HANDLE THIS. IF I THOUGHT I HAD THE VOTES I THOUGHT I WOULD PUSH HARD TO SET ASIDE THAT MONEY FOR THE POLICE.

THANK YOU. MY UNDERSTANDING IF I UNDERSTANDING THIS CORRECTLY IS THAT IT'S ACTUALLY SAFER FOR THE CITY IN TERMS OF LIABILITY TO HAVE A THIRD PARTY IN THIS POSITION. SO, I'M REALLY -- I REALLY HONORED WCCS AND ALL THE WORK YOU HAVE DONE AND THE FACT YOU RUN VILLAGE PARK AND IT'S RUN REALLY WELL. WE HAVE VERY FEW PROBLEMS THERE. THINGS HAVE REALLY LEVELED OUT THERE. THE MAYOR SAID EARLIER THAT WE DON'T HAVE -- WE WON'T HAVE ANY SERVICES FOR THE HOMELESS BUT WE HAVE -- I WOULD WANT TO STATE THIS FOR THE RECORD. WE HAVE TWO CURRENT HOUSING PROJECTS AND ONE PLANNED FOR THE HOMELESS. WE HAVE PARK VILLAGE. WE HAVE ELDERBERRY COMMONS AND THE PLANNED ONE WE HAVE SERVICES. THAT'S A LOT FOR A TOWN OUR SIZE. I WOULD -- SO I THINK -- MAYBE TO HAVE YOU COME BACK AND RENEGOTIATE WITH THE CITY IN TERMS OF THE DESCRIBABLES BECAUSE WHEN I READ THOSE I FELT OVERWHELMED WITH WHAT WAS BEING REQUIRED OF HALF TIME PERSON AND YOU KNOW -- PEOPLE HAVE DIFFERENT STRENGTHS. SOME PEOPLE MAY BE REALLY GOOD ON THE STREET DEALING THE HOMELESS AND GETTING THEM THE SERVICES THEY NEED BUT NOT GREAT AT WRITING A REPORT. I KNOW WE NEED THE REPORTS. MAYBE THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE SUCH A STEEP SO I THINK IT WAS STATED THAT TO -- EXTEND IT FOR ONE MONTH AND THEN HAVE YOU NEGOTIATE AND THEN BRING IT BACK IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SUGGESTING?

YES.

AND I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT.

AS A STEP TOWARD VICE MAYOR. SORRY. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

WE HAVE ALREADY VOTED ON THAT THE ONE MONTH AS LONG AS YOU CAN -- AND THEN THAT LEAVES US A -- IN OUR NEW BUDGET CORRECT?

I JUST WANT TO SAY IT IS NOTHING AGAINST WHAT I THINK IS A WONDERFUL LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT BUT POLICE DEPARTMENTS GENERALLY AS A RULE OF THUMB THEY AREN'T THE SAME PEOPLE MANAGING THESE CONTRACTS. THERE'S A REASON FOR THAT RIGHT? YOU CAN GO NAME THEM OFF. YOU CAN TREND IT ANY WAY YOU WANT. IT IS NOT NORMAL TO HAVE A POLICE DEPARTMENT TAKE THIS KIND OF PROJECT ON. I DO HAVE CONCERNS MOVING FORWARD ABOUT BANDWIDTH AND ALSO THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED THE SAME PERSON WHEN WE FIRST STARTED THIS WE HAD A GREAT -- COUPLE GREAT PEOPLE THAT WORKED IN THE CITY. THEY LOVE THIS COMMUNITY AND LOVED HELPING THE PEOPLE THAT AS WILL HAS MENTIONED WE KNOW AUTHORITY NAMES A LOT OF THEM. WE WANT TO GET BACK WITH SOMEONE THAT'S COMMITTED IN THE CONTRACT IF WE ARE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE COMMUNITY. I JUST -- SPEAK FOR MYSELF. I DO AGREE THAT WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING AND I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT IT IS. I'M NOT CONVINCED ITS GIVING THE MONEY TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR MORE TRAINING IN THIS AREA.

CAN WE CLARIFY. I'M GOING WITH EAR MARKING THE MONEY BUT NOT WITH JUST MAKING THE THOUGHT THIS WE WILL NEGOTIATE WITH WEST COUNTY. I WOULD LIKE US TO -- OUR CITY STAFF TO EXPLORE WHAT OTHER OPTIONS WE HAVE. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY BEFORE WE VOTE BECAUSE I'M NOT WILLING TO VOTE FOR JUST -- YOU KNOW GOING WITH THE STATUS.

THE MONEY HAS BEEN EARMARKED.

I KNOW.

SO IT'S UP -- IN THE ONE MONTH FOR JULY TO WCCS. IT IS UP TO THE COUNCIL WHAT DIRECTION YOU WANT TO GIVE THE STAFF. DO YOU WANT STAFF TO WORK WITH WCCS TO COME UP WITH A SCOPE FOR 40,000, WHAT CAN THEY DO FOR 40,000? DO -- BEFORE YOU DO THAT WE ARE APPROACHING 10:30. I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S THE WILL OF THE COUNCIL TO EXTEND FOR 15 MINUTES TO FINISH THIS ITEM.

LET'S DO THAT. IN THE MIDDLE OF SOMETHING AND CUTTING SOMEONE OFF IS NOT GREAT. IS THERE A WILL OF THE BODY TO AT LEAST FINISH THIS ITEM? LOOKING TO MY RIGHT.

CAN I MAKE A MOTION ON THIS ITEM?

SURE.

WE HAVE ONE MINUTE?

JUST IN CASE IT GOES OVER. ARE YOU OKAY WITH FINISHING THE ITEM?

OKAY.

YES.

SO, WHAT HE SAID. SO MOVED.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE SAID

TO DIRECT STAFF TO WORK WITH WCCS ON A POTENTIAL CONTRACT FOR NEXT YEAR. YEAH.

YES AND I SECOND THAT.

IF THERE IS NO DISCUSSION DO ROLL CALL.

BRING IT BACK.

YES. SO, I DON'T SEE QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION.
SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER AND SECONDED TO DIRECT STAFF TO WORK WITH
WCCS ON A CONTRACT FOR NEXT YEAR. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.
AND BRING IT BACK.
YES.
YEAH.
OKAY.
AND RETURN TO CITY COUNCIL IN A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING.
THANK YOU. > COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.
AYE.
YES.
VICE MAYOR.
NO.
MAYOR.
YES.
COUNCILMEMBER CART CERTIFY ABSENT SOT MOTION PASSES 3-1-1.
THANK YOU. I DEFINITELY DID GET A AGREEMENT TO GO BEYOND TO FINISH BUT
COULD YOU JUST DOUBLE CHECK. IS THERE ANYTHING TIME SENSITIVE THAT'S
WORLD WOULDEND IF WE CONTINUED TO THE NEXT MEETING IN
THE ONE ITEM HAS BEEN CONTINUED TO AUGUST 5th WHICH WOULD BE THE
OVERSIGHT. THE DISCUSSION OF CREATION OF OVERSIGHT. THE LIBRARY.
FINE TO PUSH THAT OVER.
THOSE ARE THE ONLY TWO.
I THINK COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.
AND THE PROTOCOLS.
I WAS FINE WITH PUSHING IT.
GREAT. IF THERE IS NOTHING FURTHER. YOU DON'T NEED ANYTHING, YOU DON'T
THEN WE ARE ADJOURNED.
MINE IS MOVING TO THE NEXT MEETING.
MINE AS WELL. WE ARE ADJOURNED. THANK YOU EVERYBODY. [Event Concluded]