

Meeting of Tuesday, December 2, 2025

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES MINUTES FOR MEETING OF DECEMBER 2, 2025

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of December 16, 2025.

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Zollman called the meeting to order 6:01 pm

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Stephen Zollman
Vice Mayor McLewis Zoom – ADA Accommodation
Councilmember Phil Carter
Councilmember Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer
Absent: None
Staff: Interim City Manager Mary Gourley
City Attorney Associate Schuyler H. Schwartz
Administrative Services Director Kwong
Building Official Steve Brown
Jane Riley, Planning, Consultant, 4 Leaf
Police Chief Sean McDonagh
Public Works Operations Supervisor Billings

Vice Mayor McLewis replied she was attending by zoom via medical accommodation.

SALUTE TO THE FLAG

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). Assembly Bill 2302 (2024) ("AB 2302") revises rules for when members of local legislative bodies may participate in meetings remotely. Specifically, it amends the number of meetings that may be attended remotely for just cause and under emergency circumstances and clarifies the definition of the term "meeting," for purposes of remote attendance. AB 2302 caps the number of remote meetings a member can attend each year based on the frequency of a legislative body's meetings: Five meetings per year for those meeting twice a month. **None**

LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The City of Sebastopol acknowledges that we live and work within the unceded ancestral homelands of the Southern Pomo and the Coast Miwok people. We pay our respect to the past, present, and future generations of these peoples, including the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/INTRODUCTIONS: NONE

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated conflicts of interest.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD): *Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers. Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.*

Myriah

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read aloud the title of each consent item (either full agenda title or a simplified version of the agenda title), and ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to two (2) minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an item or items removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor. Council Members may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor.

Mayor Zollman read the consent calendar.

Mayor Zollman asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

Councilmember Maurer requested item number 5 be removed from the consent calendar.

Mayor Zollman opened for public comment. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

Kyle

Mayor Zollman called for a motion.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor McLewis seconded the motion to approve consent calendar items 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8.

Item number 5 was removed from the consent calendar.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

1. Approval of City Council Special Meeting Minutes - Closed Session - of November 17, 2025

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the minutes is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved City Council Special Meeting Minutes - Closed Session - of November 17, 2025
Minute Order Number: 2025-365

2. Approval of City Council Regular Meeting Minutes of November 18, 2025

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the minutes is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved City Council Regular Meeting Minutes of November 18, 2025

Minute Order Number: 2025-366

3. Receipt of Incoming Mayor's Request for Committee Assignments Submittals from Councilmembers for City Council Committee Assignments and Liaisons. Recommendation and Appointments will be made at the January 6, 2026 City Council Meeting. (Requests to be Submitted to Mayor No Later Than December 20th 2025) (Responsible Department: City Administration/Mayor)

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report and is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional financial impact is anticipated with approval of this item.

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Incoming Mayor's Request for Committee Assignments Submittals from Councilmembers for City Council Committee Assignments and Liaisons. Recommendation and Appointments will be made at the January 6, 2026 City Council Meeting. (Requests to be Submitted to Mayor No Later Than December 20th 2025)

Minute Order Number: 2025-367

4. Adopt a Budget Amendment Resolution, authorize the Interim City Manager to approve increasing the purchase order to Miksis to \$58,309 and Accept improvement and authorize staff to file a Notice of Completion for the Calder Creek Storm Drain Repairs Project. Notice of Completion is a routine item. Budget Amendment for increase of \$10,250 is due to additional work required. Budget amendment was presented to BC at meeting of 11/17/25

Responsible Department: Public Works/City Engineer

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report and is covered within existing budgeted salaries. Budget Amendment for increase of \$10,250 is due to additional work required. Budget amendment was presented to BC at meeting of 11/17/25

City Council Action: Approved Budget Amendment Resolution, authorize the Interim City Manager to approve increasing the purchase order to Miksis to \$58,309 and Accept improvement and authorize staff to file a Notice of Completion for the Calder Creek Storm Drain Repairs Project. Notice of Completion is a routine item. Budget Amendment for increase of \$10,250 is due to additional work required. Budget amendment was presented to BC at meeting of 11/17/25

Minute Order Number: 2025-368

Resolution Number: 6719-2025

5. ~~Approval of Grant of Easement Deed to PG&E for the Village Park Conversion Program and Authorizing the Mayor to sign the Grant Deed on behalf of the City Council. Grant of Easement is required by PG&E before they perform utility upgrade work at Village Park.~~

~~Responsible Department: Public Works/City Engineer~~

~~Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report and is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional fiscal impact but may have future savings since PG&E will be responsible for maintaining onsite utility lines.~~

6. Revised Employee Travel and Reimbursement Policy

Responsible Department: Administrative Services Director

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report and is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional fiscal impact. The proposed updates are not expected to increase overall travel expenditures but will improve clarity, compliance, and administrative efficiency and aligning with current standards for reimbursements.

City Council Action: Approved Revised Employee Travel and Reimbursement Policy

Minute Order Number: 2025-370

Resolution Number: 6721-2025

7. Receipt of Preliminary List of CIP Projects developed in the ongoing Water Master Plan Update. This provides Council an early review of the list of projects prior to the Final Draft Water Master Plan to be presented to Council at a future meeting in January/February 2026.

Responsible Department: Public Works/City Engineer

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report and is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional fiscal impact. This is a draft list of CIP projects. The final projects would be approved by Council during CIP budget hearing. This item as presented to BC at meeting of 11/17/25.

City Council Action: Approved Preliminary List of CIP Projects developed in the ongoing Water Master Plan Update. This provides Council an early review of the list of projects prior to the Final Draft Water Master Plan to be presented to Council at a future meeting in January/February 2026.

Minute Order Number:2025-371

8. Extension of Contract with 4LEAF for Planning Department Consulting Services.

Responsible Department: Interim City Manager

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report is covered within existing budgeted salaries; no financial impact to the General Fund as contract costs will be covered with salary savings from vacant positions. Recruitment has been underway for positions; but it is not anticipated that the positions will be filled by end of current contract.

City Council Action: Approved Extension of Contract with 4LEAF for Planning Department Consulting Services.

Minute Order Number: 2025-372

Resolution Number: 6718-2025

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: *Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).*

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

9. Public hearing for the proposed adoption by reference of portions of the 2025 Building Code with Local Amendments and Administrative Changes. The Building Department recommend that the Council: 1) introduce an ordinance adopting by reference the 2025 edition of the California Building Code as adopted and amended by the State of California, repeal existing sections not applicable to new codes and modify Chapters 15.04-15.08 of the City of Sebastopol City Municipal Code to reflect the new model code; and 2) adopt a resolution setting a public hearing on December 2, 2025 for adoption of the ordinance.

Responsible Department: Building Official

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report is covered within existing budgeted salaries; as well as costs for the Code are budgeted within the current building department budget

Steve Brown, Building Official, presented the agenda item recommending the Council receive the agenda report, ask questions, open the public hearing, and deliberate on the request to approve to approve waiving of first reading and introduction of ordinance for 2025 Building Code.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of the presenter/or staff. Council asked various questions of staff/presenter.

Mayor Zollman opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Mayor Zollman closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the agenda item.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve adoption of ordinance for 2025 Building Code.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved adoption of ordinance for 2025 Building Code.

Minute Order Number: 2025-373

Ordinance Number:

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

10. Resolution of Intent re: EIFD. The purpose of this item is to submit to the City Council a report out from the EIFD Ad Hoc Committee to the City Council. The action for consideration tonight is as follows:

- a) Receive an updated report from Kosmont on EIFD Feasibility Analysis Findings
- b) City Council consideration of a Resolution of Intention to form the Sebastopol EIFD; or
- c) Other direction as Council deems appropriate

Responsible Department: Planning/Consultant: Kosmont

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report is covered within existing budgeted salaries; Consultant work for the EIFD feasibility evaluation is being funded by the \$50,000 County allocation procured by Supervisor Hopkins, and an additional allocation of \$15,000 split evenly between the City and the County. If an EIFD is ultimately established, there would be no decrease in the amount of property tax revenue the General Fund receives; however, a portion of future City property tax increment from within the approved EIFD boundary would be restricted to fund targeted infrastructure investments in the City to catalyze economic development and provide public benefit. Further information regarding fiscal impacts is required to be analyzed as part of the preparation of the ultimate Infrastructure Financing Plan, which would be presented to the City Council, County Board of Supervisors, and EIFD Public financing Authority in future meetings for approval, prior to any binding actions for EIFD formation.

Jane Riley, 4 LEAF, City Planning Consultant, presented the agenda item recommending the Council receive the agenda report, ask questions, open the public comment, and deliberate on the request to consideration of a Resolution of Intention to form the Sebastopol EIFD.

Joe Dominguez, KOSZMONT, City Consultant provided a presentation.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of the presenter/or staff. Council asked various questions of staff/presenter.

Mayor Zollman opened the public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Kyle
Robert
Oliver
Member of the public
Steve
Mary

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the agenda item.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve a Resolution of Intention to form the Sebastopol EIFD.

Councilmember Maurer moved to delay the item for six months

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Resolution of Intention to form the Sebastopol EIFD.

Minute Order Number: 2025-374

Resolution Number: 6722 – 2025

5. **Approval of Grant of Easement Deed to PG&E for the Village Park Conversion Program and Authorizing the Mayor to sign the Grant Deed on behalf of the City Council. Grant of Easement is required by PG&E before they perform utility upgrade work at Village Park.**

Responsible Department: Public Works/City Engineer

Fiscal Impact: Staff time required to prepare the agenda report and is covered within existing budgeted salaries. No additional fiscal impact but may have future savings since PG&E will be responsible for maintaining onsite utility lines.

Mayor Zollman asked for questions of the presenter/or staff. Council asked various questions of staff/presenter.

Mayor Zollman opened the public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Kyle
Robert

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction:

The Council discussed the agenda item.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor McLewis moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve the grant easement deed.

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: Councilmember Maurer

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Grant of Easement Deed to PG&E for the Village Park Conversion Program and Authorizing the Mayor to sign the Grant Deed on behalf of the City Council. Grant of Easement is required by PG&E before they perform utility upgrade work at Village Park.

Minute Order Number: 2025-369

Resolution Number: 6720-2025

Vice Mayor McLewis departed the meeting at 7:46 pm.

SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT : None

COUNCILMEMBER(S) REQUESTS FOR FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS: None

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

11. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)
12. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)
13. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)

CITY COUNCIL REORGANIZATION/ELECTION OF MAYOR AND VICE MAYOR/MAYOR PRO TEMPORE

- Presentation of Plaque to Outgoing Mayor
- Message from Outgoing Mayor (Mayor Zollman)
- Confirmation of Incoming Mayor and Vice Mayor

MOTION

Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Hinton second the motion to confirm Jill McLewis as Mayor and Sandra as Vice Mayor.

Mayor Zollman opened the public comment. The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Kyle

Kate

Mayor Zollman called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Carter, Hinton, Maurer, Vice Mayor McLewis and Mayor Zollman

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved confirm Jill McLewis as Mayor and Sandra as Vice Maor.

- Message from Incoming Mayor (Former Vice Mayor McLewis)
- Cake and Coffee for Outgoing Mayor

Reference Order Numbers: 2025-375

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Mayor McLewis adjourned the December 2, 2025 City Council Regular Meeting at 8:11 pm to the next Regular City Council Meeting of Tuesday, December 16, 2025 at **6:00 pm**, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Respectfully submitted,



Mary C. Gourley
Interim City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment: Zoom Transcript

MY IMMUNE
SYSTEM IS STILL
FRAGILE.

I'M GOING TO CONTINUE

PARTICIPATING VIA ZOOM, BUT I

SPOKE WITH MY DOCTOR, ADVISED
I
CAN ATTEND BRIEFLY
AT THE END OF

THE MEETING.
I'LL DO SO IN A WAY TO

PRIORITIZE MY
HEALTH.
I CAN'T STAY FOR THE FULL

DURATION.
I'LL BE MASKING AND
FULL
DISTANCING THERE, SO I'LL
COME
TOWARD THE END OF THE MEETING.

I FEEL LIKE IT'S
SIGNIFICANT,
AND I WANTED TO HONOR
AND
RECOGNIZE OUR OUTGOING MAYOR
AND
WELCOME OUR INCOMING VICE
MAYOR,
SANDRA MAUER, AND ALSO
ACCEPT
THE ROLE AS MAYOR FOR 2026.

SO I JUST WANTED TO LET
ME
EVERYONE KNOW AHEAD OF TIME

WHY
I WILL SHOW
UP THERE FOR JUST A
FEW MINUTES.

THEY SAID I COULD AT LEAST DO

THAT SO LONG
AS I'M CAREFUL.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR.

IF YOU'LL JOIN ME.

SALUTE TO THE FLAG.

[PLEDGE RECITED]

WE'LL DO THE LAND

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

THE CITY OF
SEBASTOPOL
ACKNOWLEDGES THAT WE LIVE AND

WORK WITHIN THE UNCEDED
AN
SISTER TALL HOMELANDS OF
THE
SOUTHERN POMO AND COAST MIWOK

PEOPLE.
WE PAY OUR RESPECTS TO THE
PAST,
PRESENT, AND FUTURE
GENERATIONS
OF THESE PEOPLE, INCLUDING THE

FEDERATED INDIANS OF GRATON

RANCHERIA.

WE HAVE NONE PROCLAMATIONS AND
PRESENTATIONS.

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICT
OF

INTEREST.
LOOKING TO MY COLLEAGUES TO
MY
LEFT, LOOKING TO MY
RIGHT,
LOOKING TO THE VICE MAYOR.

SEEING NONE, WE WILL MOVE ON TO

PUBLIC COMMENT.
AND AGAIN, THIS IS
PUBLIC
COMMENT FOR ITEMS THAT ARE
NOT
ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA.

MARY?

THANK
YOU, MAY OFF.
AS STATED, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC

COMMENTS NOT ON TONIGHT'S

AGENDA, TWO-MINUTE TIME LIMIT,

20 MINUTE IN TOTAL.

I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS
FIRST,
THEN OUT TO ZOOM, THEN BACK TO

CHAMBERS.
IF THERE'S ANYONE IN
CHAMBERS
THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC
COMMENT, PLEASE COME UP TO
THE

PODIUM.

SEEING NONE, I CAN GO OUT TO

ZOOM.

MARIAH, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF,

PLEASE?

YEAH, HI, EVERY U.S.

JUST

WANTED TO HOP ON TONIGHT

BRIEF

HI TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND THANK

THE

CITY FOR THE BEAUTIFUL

DECORATIONS AND LIGHTS.

WE'VE HAD SO MANY PEOPLE

COMMENT

ON HOW FESTIVE IT LOOKS THIS

YEAR.

AND I THINK IT'S JUST EXCITING.

THANKS FOR DOING THAT.

WE'RE LOOKING

FORWARD TO THE

TREE LIGHTING CEREMONY ON THE

4th ON THURSDAY AT 5:00.

AND HOPEFULLY ANYONE

LISTENING

HERE CAN COME OUT

AND HOPEFULLY

ALL OF COUNCIL CAN COME OUT.

AND CITY STAFF.

YOU'VE ALL INVITED.

THANK YOU.

IS FROM ANYONE
IN CHAMBERS?
SEEING NONE, I WILL GO
BACK OUT
TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE
ON
ZOOM WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE
A
PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE
RAISE
YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.

SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS
CLOSED.

MOVING ON TO CONSENT CALENDAR
ITEM.

THE APPROVAL OF THE
MEETING MINUTES
CLOSED SESSION.

NUMBER TWO, APPROVAL OF THE
CITY
COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING MINUTES.

THIRD THE RECEIPT OF INCOMING

MAYOR'S REQUEST FOR COMMITTEE

ASSIGNMENTS, SUBMITTALS FROM

COUNCILMEMBERS FOR CITY
COUNCIL
COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS AND

LIAISONS.
NUMBER 4, ADOPT A BUDGET

AMENDMENT RESOLUTION,
AUTHORIZE
THE INTERIM CITY MANAGER
TO
APPROVE INCREASING THE

PURCHASE
ORDER TO MISCUS,
CALDER CREEK
STORM DRAIN REPAIR PROJECT.

MISS CALL IMPACT STAFF TIME IS
INCORPORATED.

\$10,250
IS DUE TO ADDITIONAL

WORK REQUIRED.
BUDGET AMENDMENT WAS
PRESENTED
TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AT ITS
MEETING ON NOVEMBER 17th, 2025.
NUMBER 5.

APPROVAL OF GRANT OF EASEMENT

DEED TO PG&E FOR THE
VILLAGE
PARK CONVERSION PROGRAM AND

AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO
SIGN
THE GRANT DEED ON BEHALF
OF THE
CITY COUNCIL.

GRANT OF EASEMENT IS REQUIRED BY

PG&E BEFORE THEY PERFORM UTILITY
UPGRADE WORK AT VILLAGE PARK.

MAY HAVE FUTURE SAVINGS
SINCE
PG&E WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR

MAINTAINING ON-SITE UTILITY

LINES.
NUMBER 6, REVISED EMPLOYEE

TRAVEL REIMBURSEMENT POLICIES.

STAFF TIME INCORPORATED.

NO ADDITIONAL FISCAL IMPACT.

THE PROPOSED UPDATES ARE
NOT
EXPECTED TO INCREASE
OVERALL
EXPENDITURES BUT WILL IMPROVE

CLARITY,
COMPLIANCE, AND
ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY IN

ALIGNING WITH THE
CURRENT
STANDARDS FOR REIMBURSEMENT.

NUMBER SEVEN, RECEIPT OF

PRELIMINARY LIST OF CIP
PROJECTS
DEVELOPED IN ONGOING
WATER
MASTER PLAN
UPDATE.
THIS PROVIDES COUNCIL AN EARLY

REVIEW OF THE LIST OF PROJECTS

PRIOR TO
THE FINAL DRAFT WATER
MASTER PLAN TO BE PRESENTED
TO
COUNCIL AT A FUTURE MEETING
DATE
IN JANUARY OR FEBRUARY OF THIS

YEAR.
STAFF TIME IS INCORPORATED.

THIS IS A DRAFT LIST OF CIP

PROJECTS.

THE FINAL PROJECTS WILL
BE
APPROVED BY COUNCIL DURING ITS

CIP BUDGET HEARING.

THIS ITEM IS PRESENTED TO
BUDGET
COMMITTEE AT ITS NOVEMBER --
WAS
PRESENTED TO THE
BUDGET
COMMITTEE AT ITS NOVEMBER 17th,

2025 MEETING.

NUMBER 8.

EXTENSION OF CONTRACT WITH
4LEAF

FOR PLANNING DEPARTMENT
CONSULTING SERVICES.

STAFF TIME IS INCORPORATED.

NO FISCAL IMPACT TO THE
GENERAL
FUND AS CONTRACT COSTS WILL BE SALARY SAVINGS
FROM
THE VACANT POSITIONS.

RECRUITMENT HAS BEEN UNDER WAY

FOR INFORMATIONS BUT IT IS
HAT
ANTICIPATED THE
POSITIONS WILL
BE FILLED BY THE END OF THE

CURRENT CONTRACT.
I'M LOOKING TO MY COLLEAGUES
TO
SEE IF THEY WOULD LIKE
TO PULL
ANY ITEMS?

COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER?

POLLING NUMBER FIVE.

LOOKING TO MY RIGHT TO SEE
IF
THERE'S ANY MORE THAT'S

REQUESTED.

NO.

LOOKING TO VICE MAYOR, NO.

GREAT.

WE'LL
GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT
THEN, MARY.

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
ON
THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS 1,
2,
3, 4, 6, 7, 8 AS ITEM
NUMBER 5
WAS PULLED AND WILL BE
ADDRESSED
LATER IN THE AGENDA.

IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A PUBLIC

COMMENT, I WILL COME
INTO
CHAMBER FIRST AND THEN GO
OUT TO
ZOOM.

ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD

LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT
ON

THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS?

SEEING NONE, OUT TO ZOOM.

KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE
YOURSELF,
PLEASE?

SAM, DO YOU HAVE THE TO

HAVE-MINUTE TIMER?

YES, ONE MOMENT.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER, KYLE?

YES, I CAN.

GO
AHEAD.

I WANT TO MAYBE GET A
LITTLE
BIT OF CLARITY FROM COUNCIL
OR
STAFF REGARDING THE 4LEAF

CONTRACT.

I CAN UNDERSTAND A
PERSPECTIVE
THAT THERE IS NO FISCAL
IMPACT
BECAUSE YOU ARE MAKING SOME
SORT
OF CONSIDERATION TO SALARY

SAVINGS.

HOWEVER, I THINK LOOKING
AT IT
WITH A LITTLE BIT MORE
FINER
DETAIL IN TERMS OF THE

ANALYSIS,
BECAUSE WHAT WE'RE TALKING
ABOUT
IS WE ARE CURRENTLY LACKING A

CITY STAFF EMPLOYEE.

SOMEBODY WHO IS DEDICATED TO OUR

WHO IS WORKING FULL-TIME.

SO IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO KNOW

THAT IF THE SO-CALLED
SALARY
SAVINGS IS A RESULT OF
TAPPING
INTO THE SAVINGS THAT'S A
RESULT
OF A VACANCY OF A POSITION.

IF WE WERE TO BREAK THIS THING

DOWN TO AN HOURLY BASIS FOR THE

CONTRACT, AS OPPOSED TO
WHAT
THAT EMPLOYEE WOULD BE
MAKING,
IS THERE SAVINGS IN THAT SPACE?

AND THEN SECONDLY, JUST
REALLY
TRYING TO THINK THROUGH
THE
DECISIONS THAT
WE'RE MAKING
REGARDING USING OUTSIDE CONTRACT

SERVICES.
AND CONTINUING TO LOOK AT WAYS

TO BE USING CONTRACT
SERVICES.
IT SEEMS TO BE A REPEATING REPEATING

PATTERN, THAT I WAS HOPEFUL THAT

OUR COUNCIL WOULD BEGIN TO
TRY
TO SWAY AWAY FROM.

THERE HAS BEEN SOME INDICATION

THAT COUNCIL -- I WOULD REALLY

HOPE THAT WE COULD ADDRESS
THE
ISSUE IN
A WIDER SCALE MOVING
FORWARD.

BEFORE WE GET TO THE
NEXT
ONE, IF I COULD REMIND
PEOPLE,
NOISE TRAVELS A LOT HERE IN THE

CHAMBERS.

IF WE CAN REDUCE THE
TALKING, THAT
WOULD BE GREAT.

ANYONE IN CHAMBERS?

SEEING NONE, BACK
OUT TO ZOOM.
IF
THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT
WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC
COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR

ITEMS, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND

VIRTUALLY.
SEEING NONE, THERE IS NO
FOURTH
PUBLIC
COMMENT.

THANK YOU, MARY.

BACK UP TO THE DAIS TO SEE
IF
THERE'S A MOTION TO IMPROVE ALL

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS MINUS

NUMBER 5.

SO MOVED.

SECONDED.

SORRY, VICE MAYOR, SECONDED.

MARY?

THAT'S OKAY, NO WORRIES.

I'M
SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER

MAUER?

WHO
MOVED.

THANK
YOU.

SO MOVED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR

McLEWIS CALENDAR ITEMS 1, 2, 3,

4, 6,
7, 8.

NUMBER 5 HAS BEEN REMOVED.

CARTER?

YES.

HINTON.

AYE.

MAUER?

YES.

McLEWIS?

AYE.

ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MOTION PASSES NAP

MISCELLANEOUSLY.

WE DO NOT
HAVE ANY

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS OR
PRESENTATIONS TONIGHT.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE
PROPOSED
ADOPTION BY REFERENCE
OF
PORTIONS OF THE 2025
BILLING
CODE WITH LOCAL AMENDMENTS
AND
ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES.

THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT HAS
MADE
VARIOUS
RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEY
ARE LISTED ON THE AGENDA.

TURNING IT OVER TO STEVE.

SO WHAT I HAVE IN FRONT
OF
YOU IS A REQUEST TO APPROVE THIS

ORDINANCE THAT BASICALLY
ADOPTS
THE STATE CODES VARY BAIT TIP.

THERE ARE NO LOCAL AMENDMENTS
IN

THERE.
THERE ARE A COUPLE
APPENDICES
THAT AREN'T NECESSARILY
ADOPTED
BY THE STATE IF WE DON'T HAVE

TO.
ONE OF THEM DEALS WITH TINY

HOMES.

THE OTHER ONE DEALS WITH
INSULATION IN OUR CITY.

BUT THE PROPOSAL IS BASICALLY
STATE CODE THAT IF WE
DON'T
ADOPT IT NOW, IT
WOULD

AUTOMATICALLY BE ADOPTED JANUARY
1st.

INSPIRATION ON BUILDINGS AND AN
APPENDIX ON ADOPTING TINY HOMES,
WHICH WE'VE HAD IN THERE
THREE
YEARS.

SO YES, THE ANSWER TO
YOUR
QUESTION IS
HOPEFULLY IT WILL

SPEED THINGS UP AND MAKE IT
EASIER.

AND HOW WOULD THIS AFFECT
ANY
BUILDING
OF ADUs OR THINGS LIKE
THAT?

I THINK WE'VE DONE DONE
CLOSE
TO 50 ALREADY THIS YEAR.

WE MAKE THEM AS EASY AS WE
POSSIBLY CAN TO GET THOSE IN.
IT WON'T NECESSARILY CHANGE
ANYTHING.

THE SAFETY CODES OF THE
BUILDING
CODE WILL STILL BE THE SAME.

BUT WE DO PROCESS THOSE VERY
QUICKLY, WITHIN A WEEK OR SO.
GET THE PERMITS OUT.

WE TRY VERY HARD TO WORK WITH

THE DEVELOPERS AND THE OWNERS TO
GET THOSE IN THERE.

SO IT DOESN'T REALLY CHANGE
ANYTHING WITH THAT.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

I NOTICED THERE WAS A PERMIT
REQUIRED FOR A 10 BY 12
OUTBUILDING?

NOT 10 BY 12, BUT IN
THE
STATE CODE, ANYTHING BIGGER THAN

10 BY 12 DOES REQUIRE A
PERMIT.

YOU COULD PUT A 10 BY
12
WITHOUT A PERMIT IN THE CITY OF
SEBASTOPOL?

CORRECT.

THANK
YOU.

ANYTHING BIGGER WHEN IS WE
GET INTO THE PERMIT.

IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE
A

PUBLIC COMMENT, I'LL GO
TO
CHAMBERS FIRST THEN OUT TO ZOOM.

ANYONE IN CHAMBERS?

SEEING NONE,
ZOOM?
ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD
LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON
THE
INTRODUCTION OF THE
ORDINANCE
FOR BUILDING
CODES, PLEASE RAISE
YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.

SEEING NONE, THERE IS NO PUBLIC
COMMENT.

IS THERE A DISCUSSION AND/OR

MOTION?

I'D GO AHEAD AND MOVE THAT WE
ACCEPT THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT'S

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE
2025
BUILDING CODE WITH LOCAL

AMENDMENTS.
AND ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES.

I'LL SECOND THAT.

MOVED BY HINTON, SECONDED BY
MAUER, TO APPROVE THE STAFF

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WAIVING
A
FIRST READING
AND INTRODUCTION
FOR THE ORDINANCE OF THE
2025
BUILDING CODE.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

MAWER?

YES.

McLEWIS?

AYE.

ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MOTION PASSES
UNANIMOUSLY.

MOVING ON TO OUR FIRST ACTION

FOR THE EVENING WHICH IS
THE
AGENDA ITEM NUMBER
10,
RESOLUTION OF INTENT REGARDING

EIFD.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS ITEM IS
TO
SUBMIT TO THE CITY COUNCIL
A
REPORT OUT FROM THE EIFD AD
HOC
COMMITTEE TO THE CITY COUNCIL.

THE ACTION FOR
CONSIDERATION
TONIGHT IS AS FOLLOWS.

RECEIVE AN UPDATED REPORT FROM

KOSMONT ON
EIFD FEASIBILITY
ANALYSIS FINDINGS.

B, CITY COUNCIL CONSIDERS OF A

RESOLUTION OF INTENTION.

C, OTHER DIRECTION AS
COUNCIL
DEEMS APPROPRIATE.

TURNING IT OVER TO OUR PLANNING

DIRECTOR.

THANK YOU.

JANE RILEY, OUR CURRENT
PLANNING
DIRECTOR, CONSULTANT FOR
4LEAF,
HAS HELPED TO CREATE THIS
STAFF
REPORT FOR THE EIFD.

SHE CAN DO A FREE PRESENTATION.

WE ALSO HAVE JOE DUGGET FROM

KOSMONT PRESENTATION WHO IS
HERE
TO GIVE PRESENTATION ON THAT

EIFD AS
WELL.

GOOD EVENING.

THANKS FOR HAVING ME.

I WILL LET JOE DO THE
BULK OF
THE PRESENTATION.

I'M JUST HERE TO FACILITATE
AND
TO ENCOURAGE THE COUNCIL TO ASK

QUESTIONS OF JOE
WHEN
CONSIDERING THE EIFD.

READY TO GO, JOE?

JOE, CAN YOU HEAR US OKAY?

I HEAR YOU GREAT, THANK
YOU.

GREAT.

EVENING.

MAYOR, VICE MAYOR, MEMBERS OF

THE CITY COUNCIL, GOOD TO
BE
WITH YOU
ALL AGAIN.
I'M GOING TO BRING UP THE

PRESENTATION.

IT'S THE SAME DECK THAT
IS
ATTACHED TO THE STAFF REPORT.

AND I'M GOING TO FOCUS
VERY
IMPORTANTLY ON THE HIGHLIGHTS,

ADVANTAGES,
DISADVANTAGES, WHERE
WE ARE IN THE POTENTIAL PROCESS.

AND I'M GOING TO TALK
ABOUT
THINGS LIKE OFF-RAMPS ALONG THE

WAY.
AS A QUICK REFRESHER, PERHAPS TO

ALL IN ATTENDANCE, THIS IS ALL
A
CONVERSATION ABOUT A FUNDING
AND
FINANCING POOL KNOWN AS THE

ENHANCED
INFRASTRUCTURE
FINANCING DISTRICT, EIFD.

THE PROPERTY TAX-BASED FUNDING
TOOL.

IT DOES NOT INCREASE ANYBODY'S
TAXES.

THIS IS MORE ABOUT PUTTING
ASIDE
A PIECE OF FUTURE REVENUE INTO
A
SPECIAL FUND THAT WOULD BE

RESTRICTED FOR INFRASTRUCTURE.

AND IT WOULD BE SEPARATE
FROM
THE GENERAL FUND.

TONIGHT IS ONE OF WHAT I WOULD

CALL A SERIES OF
OFF-RAMPS.
SORT OF GO/NO-GO DECISION

POINTS.

IT'S A DISCUSSION GOING ON
THROUGH ABOUT NOVEMBER 2023.

THERE HAVE BEEN TOUCH
POINTS
ALONG THE WAY WITH THE CITY

COUNCIL.

LAST ONE WAS REALLY IN FEBRUARY
OF 2025.

WHERE WE PROVIDED AN
UPDATE.
I'LL DO A REVIEW OF THAT,
THEN
I'LL SPEND A BIT MORE TIME

TALKING ABOUT WHAT'S BEEN DONE

SINCE FEBRUARY.
THIS ALL STARTED WITH COUNTY

DISTRICT SUPERVISOR, CARA

HOPKINS FROM THE COUNTY,

PROCURING SOME FUNDING TO
STUDY
THIS TYPE OF TOOL, BOTH WITHIN

THE CITY OF
SEBASTOPOL AS WELL
AS UNINCORPORATED WEST COUNTY.

UNINCORPORATED COUNTY

JURISDICTION.

THERE WAS NO SCENARIO IT
WAS
FEASIBLE TO HAVE A SINGLE

FINANCING DISTRICT
THAT INCLUDED
BOTH
AREAS WITHIN THE CITY AND
AREAS OUTSIDE OF THE CITY.

WHAT KOSMONT AND STAFF HEARD
WAS
FOLKS WANTED TO KEEP THEIR WORK

SEPARATELY.

THEY WOULD BE
ONE WITHIN THE
CITY
LIMITS WHERE THE COUNTY CAN
BE A PARTNER TO THE CITY.

THAT GREW WITHIN CITY LIMITS.

THEN THE COUNTY CAN DO ITS
OWN

THING.
NUMBER TWO HERE, WE'RE
NOT
REALLY AFFECTING THE CITY AT

ALL.

THE COUNTY
COULD FOCUS ON AREAS
OUTSIDE OF THE CITY LIMITS.

THAT'S HOW IT'S BEEN MOVING
FORWARD SO
FAR.
WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS

NUMBER ONE.

IF IT MAKES SENSE,
SOMETHING

WITHIN THE CITY, HOW COULD IT
LOOK?

I'LL SHOW A MAP IN A MOMENT
THAT
FOCUSED NOT ON THE
ENTIRETY OF
THE CITY BUT JUST FOCUSED ON
THE
MAIN BRANDED CORRIDOR WITHIN
THE
CITY, IF YOU DID THIS AT ALL.

THE SECOND POINT "B" WAS HOW

MUCH OF THAT FUTURE GROWTH
THE
CITY MIGHT
CONSIDER ALLOCATING
TO A FINANCING DISTRICT.

IT'S ALWAYS A BALANCING ACT.

IF YOU GO ALL IN AND PLEDGE
ALL
OF YOUR FUTURE PROPERTY
TAX
WITHIN THAT BOUNDARY,
SURE, YOU
CAN GENERATE A WHOLE BUNCH
OF
FUNDING CAPACITY, PAY FOR SOME

INFRASTRUCTURE.
BUT IT WOULD BE AT THE RISK
OF
THE GENERAL FUND.

ANY DOLLAR THAT'S IN
THIS
INFRASTRUCTURE
FINANCING
DISTRICT IS A DOLLAR THAT'S
NOT
IN THE GENERAL FUND, AND
SO

THERE'S A BALANCING ACT BETWEEN

THEM.

ALMOST LIKE A RETIREMENT

ACCOUNT.

I'D LOVE TO PUT AWAY 25%

BECAUSE

THAT MEANS I CAN GET SORT OF

SAN

EMPLOYER MATCH FROM THE COUNTY

IN THIS CASE.

BUT IF THIS ANALOGY, THE

COUNTY

IS YOUR EMPLOYER MATCHING

WHAT

YOU MIGHT BE WILLING TO PUT

ASIDE, BUT IT'S STILL

AN

IMPORTANT QUESTION.

CAN YOU AFFORD TO SET ASIDE

25%

AND STILL KEEP YOUR LIGHTS ON?

KEEPING THE CITY RUNNING.

WE LOOKED AT THAT AND 25%

OF THE

FUTURE GROWTH IS KIND OF WHERE

THE ANALYSIS IS SETTling IN

WE

WERE ABLE TO THE CITY ALLOCATING

25% OF ITS FUTURE PROPERTY

TAX

GROWTH, JUST WITHIN THAT

BOUNDARY, THAT IT COULD

STILL

SUPPORT A POSITIVE NET FISCAL

IMPACT.

SORT
OF A POSITIVE RETURN ON
INVESTMENT FOR THE CITY.

NOTHING IS BEING BOUND TONIGHT.

SYMBOLIC ACTS AND YOU WOULD
BE

CONTINUING TOWARDS THE PATH OF
IMPLEMENTATION.

THE MAP AS A REFRESHER
IS THIS
LINE IN PURPLE.

I TALKED ABOUT THE
MAJOR
TRANSPORTATION CORDONS
WITHIN
THE CITY.

WHEN WE WERE LOOKING
AT
DIFFERENT BOUNDARIES.

IT WAS NOT A FISCALLY

SUSTAINABLE PICTURE TO
PUT THE
WHOLE CITY IN THE FINANCING

DISTRICT.
TO BE A LITTLE BIT MORE
FOCUSED
ON WHERE THERE ARE DEVELOPMENT

OPPORTUNITIES, LIKE HOTEL OR

RESIDENTIAL OR OTHERWISE.

SO THE PURPLE BOUNDARY
HERE,
ABOUT 290 ACRE,
24% OF THE CITY

IN TERMS OF ACREAGE.

SO THAT'S WHAT'S BEING
DISCUSSED

AS A POTENTIAL BOUNDARY
ALTERNATIVE.

JUST TO PUT DETAIL, IT'S HARD
TO
TALK ABOUT THIS TOPIC WITHOUT
A
SENSE OF REALITY.

WE DID SOME KIND OF YEAR BY

YEAR-REVENUE RUN TO MAKE
IT VERY
CLEAR TO THE PUBLIC,
IN THE
FIRST YEAR, THESE THINGS
TAKE
TIME TO RAMP UP.

SO IT'S NOT THE LARGEST DOLLAR

AMOUNTS IN THE EARLY YEARS.

WHAT WE'D BE TALKING ABOUT
IS
ABOUT \$21,000.

ALMOST \$2,000 BEING SET ASIDE
BY
THE CITY
IN THE FIRST YEAR AFTER
FORMATION.

THE COUNTY MATCH IS, AGAIN,
THE
SORT OF NET NEW DOLLARS THAT
YOU
WOULDN'T OTHERWISE
HAVE.
TO PUT IT IN PLAIN SPEAK IN
THAT
FIRST YEAR, WE'RE LOOKING
AT,

OKAY, WE'VE GOT TO PRESERVE
OUR
GENERAL FUND.

THAT \$21,000 THAT WOULD NOT
BE
IN THE GENERAL FUND,
BUT RATHER
IN THIS RESTRICTED FUND ONLY
FOR
INFRASTRUCTURE.

THAT'S THE KEY FISCAL
QUESTION
IN THIS
YEAR.
IF YOU ARE WILLING TO DO THAT,

WHAT DO YOU GET FOR IT?

YOU'RE BRINGING IN NET NEW

DOLLARS FOR THE COUNTY.

IN FACT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT
GETS
MOST OF THE PIE HERE.

SO THE PIECE OF THE PIE
THAT
WE'RE REALLY TALKING ABOUT
HERE
IS THE PIECE THAT THE CITY
GETS
AND THE PIECE THAT THE
COUNTY

GETS.
THAT'S WHAT'S IN PLAY HERE.

LOTS OF DIFFERENT REVENUE

SCENARIOS.
NONE OF THIS IS BEING DECIDED

TODAY.

I WILL JUST REINFORCE WHAT
THE
ANALYSIS HAS THUS FAR
CONCLUDED
IS A SCENARIO THAT IS LETTER "C"

ON THIS TABLE WHERE THE CITY

ALLOCATES \$ 25% OF ITS
FUTURE
SHARE OF PROPERTY TAX
WITHIN
THAT PURPLE BOUNDARY.

WHERE THE COUNTY MATCHES
THAT
DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR, THAT'S
KIND
OF THE TECHNICAL SWEET SPOT

GENERATING ENOUGH MONEY
WHERE
IT'S WORTH ACTUALLY DOING
THE
THING WITHOUT OVERBURDENING
THE
GENERAL FUND BY OVERCOMMITTING.

THAT'S WHERE THE ANALYSIS IS

POINTING
AS THE SORT OF SWEET
SPOT OF ALLOCATION.

WE'RE NOT IN ANY WAY SEEING

CITIES OR
COUNTIES DO THIS IF
IT'S NOT A POSITIVE RETURN ON

INVESTMENT FOR THE GENERAL FUND.

IT'S MEANT TO BE NOT SORT OF
A
LOSS
LEADER BUT AN INVESTMENT OF
DOLLARS THAT GENERATES
PRIVATE

SECTOR INVESTMENT, WHETHER
IT'S
HOUSING OR COMMERCIAL OR
OTHER
TYPES OF INVESTMENT THAT BRING

WITH IT JOBS, WAGES ASSOCIATED

WITH THOSE JOBS, AND THEN VERY

IMPORTANTLY, THE NET
FISCAL
BENEFIT TO THE GENERAL FUND AT
THE CITY LEVEL.

AND THE COUNTY ALSO EXPECTS
THAT
SAME LEVEL OF FISCAL BENEFIT
IF
THEY ARE GOING TO BE
A PARTNER
TO YOU.

WHAT'S BEEN DONE
THROUGH
FEBRUARY WAS NOT JUST A
CITY
ANALYSIS OF WHAT'S IN IT FOR
YOU
BUT THE COUNTY ANALYSIS
OF
WHAT'S IN IT FOR THEM?

THEY HAVE THIS
PARTICIPATION
POLICY IN PLACE THAT REQUIRES

THIS LEVEL OF ANALYSIS
THAT
WE'VE USED THE TIME
OVER THIS
LAST NINE AND TEN MONTHS TO
DO
THAT ANALYSIS FOR BOTH THE
CITY
AND THE COUNTY.

IF IT WAS A POSITIVE FISCAL
BENEFIT, EITHER AGENCY EVEN
ENTERTAINING THE CONVERSATION
FURTHER.

THE LAST BULLET POINT'S

IMPORTANT.
YES, THIS IS A REVENUE TOOL
THAT
YOU CAN BOND AGAINST IT.

YOU CAN PLEDGE IT FOR

REIMBURSEMENT FOR A
DIFFERENT
SOURCE OF
FUNDING SOURCES.
THAT LAST BULLET POINT IS ALSO

IMPORTANT.

IT ALSO
INCREASES YOUR SCORING
FOR GRIM.

TO GIVE YOU MORE POINTS ON

CITIES AND COUNTIES THAT HAVE

SPECIFICALLY THESE TYPES
OF
DISTRICTS IN PLACE.

IT IS A BIG ACT TO
RESTRICT A
PORTION OF FUTURE PROPERTY TAX

DOLLAR THAT WOULD OTHERWISE BE

UNRESTRICTED.

WRAPPING UP, A BIT MORE
DETAIL

ON THE FISCAL ANALYSIS.

HAPPY TO COME BACK IN Q&A.

WHEN WE SAY POSITIVE RETURN ON
THE GENERAL FUND, WE MEAN NEW
GROWTH, NEW DEVELOPMENT, CAN BE

CATALYZED.

THAT'S GREAT.
COMES WITH IT SOME NEW REVENUES.

THEN WE'RE SEEING WE'RE GOING
TO
TIE UP A PIECE OF THAT
FUTURE
PROPERTY TAX WITHIN
THE
FINANCING DISTRICT.

THOSE DOLLARS WOULD NOT
BE
AVAILABLE TO THE AT ANY JUBD.

AND TAKING INTO ACCOUNT
THE FACT
THAT NEW DEVELOPMENT ALSO
COMES
WITH NEW EXPENDITURES.

NOT JUST NEW
REVENUE.
WHEN WE SAY NET FIT CALL, WE
SAY
NEW REVENUE NET NEW

EXPENDITURES.
THAT'S DETAILED ANALYSIS
THAT'S
BEEN DONE OVER THE LAST
SEVERAL
MONTHS FOR BOTH THE CITY AND

COUNTY.

WOULD
SEEM TO SUPPORT A POSITIVE
RETURN ON INVESTMENT.

A LIST OF PROJECTS.

THINGS THAT
HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED.
LIBRARY EXPANSION.

CITY HALL COMPLEX.

PARKS IMPROVEMENTS.

THESE ARE JUST THAT INCLUSIVE

LIST OF IMPROVEMENTS.

AGAIN, NONE OF THIS IS
BEING
DECIDED TODAY IF IT
MOVES
FORWARD AT ALL.

THIS IS JUST
EXAMPLES OF WHAT'S

COME UP IN THE CONVERSATIONS SO
FAR.

A CENTRAL PATH FORWARD.

AGAIN, THIS IS AN OFF-RAMP.

A GO/NO-GO POINT.

MAYBE THE CONVERSATION
DOESN'T
CONTINUE AFTER TODAY.

IF IT DOES, WE ARE REALLY
AT
LETTER "D" IN THIS.

WHAT'S BEEN DONE IN "A," "B,"

"C" IS A LOOK UNDER THE
HOOD,
THE FEASIBILITY
ANALYSIS,
INITIAL DISCUSSIONS WITH
THE
COUNTY TO SEE IF
THEY'RE
INTERESTED IN BEING YOUR

PARTNER.

FORTUNATELY THOSE HAVE PANNED
OUT POSITIVELY.

YOU HAVE A COUNTY THAT
IS
WILLING TO BE YOUR PARTNER.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT'S THAT
IS
OFTEN NOT THE CASE IN OUR
WORK
ACROSS THE STATE.

HAVING DONE OVER 20 OF THESE
ACROSS THE STATE, OFTENTIMES THE
DEFAULT ANSWER FROM A COUNTY
IS,
NO, I DON'T WANT TO BE YOUR
PARTNER CITY IN THIS DISTRICT.

YOU, FORTUNATELY, DO
HAVE THE
COUNTY WILLING TO
BE YOUR
PARTNER IF YOU WANT TO GO
DOWN
THIS PATH.

SO YOU'RE AT LETTER "D."
AGAIN, THIS IS A
NONBINDING

RESOLUTION OF INTENTION.

YOU'RE NOT BOUND TO IT.

IF YOU WERE TO MOVE
FORWARD, I
WILL PUT IT VERY PAIN
PLAINLY,
THERE ARE
COSTS.
TO MOVE FORWARD BEYOND
TODAY,
DOWN IMPLEMENTATION, THERE ARE

ONE-TIME COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH

THE NEXT STEP.
THE STAFF REPORT ENUMERATES
A
LEVEL BETWEEN \$55,000 AND
\$75,000 THAT WOULD BE INCURRED.

TO DRAFT THE REQUIRED

DOCUMENTATION,
THE
INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING PLAN,
A
MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION BY
A
LICENSED SURVEYOR, AND
YEAH,

TYPICALLY THE CITY ADVANCING
THOSE COSTS.

THAT WOULD BASICALLY TAKE
YOU
NOT FINISH LINE OF THAT LETTER

"K.
"

THAT WHOLE PROCESS INVOLVES
A
SERIES OF PUBLIC MEETINGS AND

HEARINGS, PUBLIC NOTICES BY

MAIL, BY
NEWSPAPER, BY WEBSITE.
THERE'S NO VOTE, THERE'S
NO
OFFICIAL VOTE OF THE PUBLIC FOR

THESE.
YOU'RE NOT INCREASING
ANYBODY'S
TAXES AT
THE LAST PUBLIC
HEARING.

LETTER "J."
"

THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY
FOR
FOLKS WITHIN THE
DISTRICT
BOUNDARIES TO PROTEST.

THEY DON'T LIKE REALLY
ANYTHING
ABOUT IT.

THE CONCEPT, THE FINANCING
PLAN,
THE WAY THE PROCESS
WAS

CONDUCTED.

THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO
PROTEST.

EVEN THOUGH FOLKS ARE
NOT
REQUIRED TO VOTE.

IT TENDS TO BE VERY TRANSPARENT.

NOWHERE TO HIDE WHAT
YOU'RE
GOING TO DO WITH THE
MONEY,

FINANCIAL IMPACTS, AND ALL
OF

THAT.
SO THAT'S THE BULK OF IT.

THIS EVENING IS BEFORE THE

COUNCIL AN OPPORTUNITY TO,
IF
NOTHING ELSE, RECEIVE THIS

UPDATE.
TO TAKE NO ACTION.

YOU CAN, INSTEAD OF
THIS
RESOLUTION OF INTENTION, KEEP

THIS PROCESS MOVING FORWARD.

YOU CAN
CHOOSE TO PERHAPS
REVISIT AT A FUTURE DATE.

OR CUT BAIT ENTIRELY.

I WILL REMAIN FOR
QUESTIONS BUT
PASS IT BACK
TO YOU, MAYOR, FROM

HERE.

THANK YOU.

TURNING TO MY COLLEAGUES FOR
QUESTIONS?

LOOKING TO
MY LEFT FIRST?
COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

SO THIS FEELS LIKE A
\$100,000

OPTION TO GET WHAT IS BASICALLY
LIKE A HOME EQUITY LOAN, YOU
KNOW, SO TO SPEAK, ON FUTURE TAX
REVENUES.

WHAT, YOU KNOW -- WE CAN
DECIDE
ON DOING, LIKE, REBUILDING THE
KITCHEN, LIKE PRODUCTIVE THINGS

OR.
WE CAN DO INFRASTRUCTURE THINGS
LIKE REBUILDING THE ROOF OR THE
FOUNDATION.

INFRASTRUCTURE-TYPE THINGS.

ONE THING THAT, YOU KNOW,
ON
YOUR MAP, IT DIDN'T INCLUDE

PARTS OF MAIN STREET AND --
UP
TO THE FIR CREST MARKET AREA.

DIDN'T GO DOWN THAT CORRIDOR.

IT KIND OF WENT UP
BODEGA AND
OUT TOWARDS HEALDSBURG.

WONDERING WHY YOU
DIDN'T
CONTINUE ALONG THE DOWNTOWN

CORRIDOR
THROUGH SOUTH TO THE
FIR CREST MARKET AREA.

THE BOUNDARY AT THE TIME WAS

A BALANCING ACT.

WE STARTED LARGER -- I THINK
WE
DID HAVE ONE SNORE THAT

CONTINUED TO THE
SOUTHERN
BOUNDARY ALONG 115.

TRYING TO ARRIVE AT THAT SPEED

STUFF, STUFF IN THE PIPELINE
ON
ONE HAND, ALSO NOT
OVERBURDENING
THE GENERAL FUND BY
HAVING TOO
LARGE OF AN AREA, AT
LEAST AT
THAT TIME MONTHS AGO, WHAT THE

DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE WAS LOOKING

LIKE, PIPELINE
DEVELOPMENT
TRACKER THE CITY MAINTAINS.

WE WEREN'T AT THAT TIME
SEEING
ANYTHING BIG COMING ON
THAT
SOUTHERN PORTION.

SO IT WAS DECIDED AT THAT POINT
TO LEAVE IT OUT.

THANK YOU.

VICE MAYOR?

SO I UNDERSTAND
YOUR
DEFINITION OF WHY YOU DEFINED

THAT, BUT AT THE SAME TIME,
I'M
JUST WONDERING, HOW DID YOU

DETERMINE THAT DEVELOPMENT
WOULD
HAPPEN IN THIS AREA?

BECAUSE MY CONCERN ALL
ALONG
WITH THIS IS JUST THAT
WE DON'T
HAVE A LOT OF DEVELOPMENT, AND

WE'RE TINY.

IT'S NOT LIKE WE HAVE A VAST

AMOUNT OF LAND, AND WE CAN'T

EXPAND, SO HOW DID YOU
DETERMINE
THAT THAT WOULD BE THE BEST
AREA
WHERE DEVELOPMENT ACTUALLY COULD
TRULY OCCUR?

ONE STARTING POINT WAS
THE
ACTUAL PIPELINE FACTOR,
WHICH I
BELIEVE IS BASED ON
THE
PROPOSALS AND THE
ACTUAL
CONCEPTS THAT ARE GIVEN TO
CITY
STAFF BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR
OF
PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS.

VERSUS JUST GRASP THE
BROADER

CONVERSATION THAT WAS MEANT TO

BE OBJECTIVE, NOT
CRYSTAL
BALLING IT, BUT STUFF
THAT'S
ACTUALLY ON RECORD OF BEING

SUBMITTED.

THAT'S MAINLY WHAT WAS
USED AT
THE TIME.

HOW FAR BACK DID THAT GO TO,

THEN?

REALISTICALLY?
I JUST WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW

REALISTIC THIS IS.

IS THIS A PERIOD OF 20 YEARS

BACK?
OR RECENTLY?

I MEAN, HOW WAS THAT, YOU
KNOW,
THAT INFORMATION -- HOW DO
YOU
COME TO THAT DECISION?

YEAH, THANK YOU.

STAFF CAN OBVIOUSLY
CORRECT ME
IF I MISCHARACTERIZE IT.

SO IT'S PRETTY FRESH, THE

INFORMATION.

THE SUBMITTALS, I BELIEVE,
WERE

WITHIN SIX MONTHS TO A YEAR.

I BELIEVE THAT'S HOW OFTEN
THAT
PIPELINE TRACKER IS UPDATED
THAT
PLANNING MAINTAINS OR AT
LEAST
MAINTAINED AT THAT TIME.

AND THOSE ARE PROPOSALS THAT

WOULD BE WITHIN NOT THE
NEXT
NECESSARILY ONE YEAR,
TWO YEAR,
BUT THEY COULD STILL BE
OUT AS
FAR AS FIVE OR TEN YEARS
INTO
THE FUTURE.

BUT AS FAR AS HOW RECENT
THE
INFORMATION WAS
SUBMITTED, I
BELIEVE IT WAS MORE ON THAT ONE
YEAR.

LET ME ASK YOU,
JANE --

YES, WE TRACK BOTH.

SO THIS IS BOTH ENTITLED

PROJECTS, SUCH AS THE HOTEL

SEBASTOPOL, WHICH IS
PROBABLY
FIVE YEARS OLD.

BUT THAT'S ALREADY AN ENTITLED
PROJECT.

SO BOTH THOSE ENTITLED ONES
AND
THE MOST RECENT APPLICATIONS TO

DEVELOP IN THE CITY.

IT REALLY GOES BACK ABOUT FIVE

YEARS, BUT THE STUFF THAT
WE'RE
LESS SURE ABOUT IS MORE
RECENT
IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS OR SO.

THANK YOU.

FURTHER TO MY
LEFT,
COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

THANK YOU.

DOES THE COUNTY NEED TO AGREE TO

THIS?
FOR EXAMPLE, THE CITY
SAYS,
OKAY, WE WANT TO GO FORWARD
WITH
THIS.

DOES THE COUNTY THEN
NEED TO
TAKE A VOTE ON
IT?
OR ARE THEY AUTOMATICALLY IN?

COUNCILMEMBER,
THEY WOULD
HAVE TO DO THIS --
THE
EQUIVALENT OF THIS SAME ACTION.

THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO ADOPT

A
RESOLUTION OF INTENTION, SORT
OF
A COMPANION MOTION, TO
INDICATE
THEIR CONTINUED COMMITMENT TO BE
YOUR PARTNER.

SO JUST TO CLARIFY, THEY'VE
ALREADY DONE THAT FOR THE
COUNTY?
THEY'VE STARTED A NEW ONE FOR
THE COUNTY THAT DOES
NOT INCLUDE
SEBASTOPOL?

CORRECT.

OKAY.

YOU ESTIMATED IN THE FIRST YEAR
IT WOULD COST GIVEN \$55,000 TO
\$70,000 TO DO THE
STARTUP.
MY QUESTION IS WHAT ABOUT THE
ANNUAL, EVERY YEAR?

YOU PROBABLY HAVE TO
HAVE
MEETINGS AND STUFF LIKE THAT.

WHAT DO YOU ESTIMATE THE COST
IS
FOR YOUR SERVICES, THEN ALSO
THE
COST
OF STAFF TIME AND MEETINGS

AND SUCH?

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER.

OBTAINING, WE KNOW WE WOULD --

TO BE OF SERVICE TO THE
CITY,
WE'D COMPETE AND ALL THAT
STUFF.
THE ADMINISTRATION IN THE
EARLY
YEARS FOR THESE TYPES
OF
DISTRICTS IS ON THE LOWER
SIDE
IN THE LARGER WORLD OF
PUBLIC
FINANCING POOLS.

OUR CONTRACT FOR ANNUAL

ADMINISTRATION IN THE FIRST
FEW
YEARS ARE MAYBE \$5,000, MAYBE
\$7,500.

WHEN YOU START GENERATING THE

LARGER DOLLARS, LIKE YOU'RE IN

THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS
OF
REVENUES TO SPEND ON
PROJECTS,
MAYBE THE ADMIN GETS UP TO 20,
\$25,000.

ANNUALLY.

THAT'S CONSULTING TIME.

THE NOTICING COSTS, THESE
ARE
REQUIRED TO MEET JUST ONCE A

YEAR.
SOME OF THESE DISTRICTS
WILL
CHOOSE TO MEET TWICE A YEAR.

ONLY ONE OF THOSE, ONLY THE
ONCE
A YEAR REQUIRED MEETING, IS

REQUIRED TO BE DULY NOTICED
AND
HAVE SOME MAILING COSTS

ASSOCIATED WITH IT.

I WOULD ESTIMATE MAYBE
\$1,500,
MAILING ANY PROPERTY OWNER OR

OCCUPANT WITHIN THE
DISTRICT
BOUNDARY ONCE A YEAR WITH
AN
ANNUAL REPORT.

I COULD ADD PART OF WHAT I

MENTIONED IN THE HOST, 5,000,

\$7,500, THAT'S
FOR PREPARATION
OF A REQUIRED ANNUAL
REPORT
WHICH IS REQUIRED TO SAY HOW
YOU
DO VERSUS WHAT YOU SAID
YOU
WOULD DO.

ACTUAL VERSUS PROJECTED.

PROJECTS ACTUALLY FUNDED AND
ALL
OF THAT.

SO WHAT THAT RANGES,

\$75,000

INITIAL YEARS, MAYBE UP TO

\$25,000 MAYBE YEARS TEN AND

BEYOND ANNUALLY, WHAT IT
DOESN'T
INCLUDE IS STAFF TIME.

THAT ONE IS HARDER FOR ME TO

ESTIMATE, THE COST OF THAT, WHEN

OUR FIRM IS INVOLVED WE TRY
TO
MINIMIZE THE STAFF TIME.

WE TYPICALLY TRY TO DRAFT
THE
STAFF REPORTS, ANY
RESOLUTIONS,
AND HAVE CITY ATTORNEY OR
STAFF
REVIEW ALL THAT STUFF.

BUT MAYBE WHEN IT COMES TO COST

OF STAFF TIME, I
WOULD
COMPLETELY DEFER TO CITY
MANAGER
OR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR.

THERE WOULDN'T BE ANY
OTHER
COSTS
REQUIRED.
A LOT OF THE HEAVY LIFTING
IS
DONE BY COUNTY
AUDITOR
CONTROLLER WHEN IT COMES
TO
DOING THE CALCULATIONS AND
THETHE
OF

THESE.

OKAY.

I'M GOING TO GO TO MY RIGHT

BECAUSE I HAVEN'T BEEN TO MY

RIGHT YET.

ANY QUESTIONS ON THE RIGHT?

BACK TO THE LEFT?

EVERYBODY HAS THEIR HAND UP.

I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY,
HAVING
SAT ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE
WITH
MAYOR ZOLLMAN, IT'S NOT A

SURPRISE, AND I'D BE -- TO ME,

ANYWAY, BUT I'D BE CURIOUS YOUR

FEELING, THAT THE COUNTY WENT

AHAD AND PASSED THE
RESOLUTION
FOR THE UNINCORPORATED.

BECAUSE THERE WAS A LOT
OF TALK
ABOUT COLLECTING TAXES IN
A
CERTAIN AREA AND THEN
DOING A
PROJECT IN A DIFFERENT AREA.

SO I THINK THAT WAS A
NATURAL
CONCLUSION OF SEBASTOPOL, IF
WE
WENT WITH ONE, WANTED TO
COLLECT
OUR OWN MONEY, AND DO A

PROJECT
IN OUR OWN JURISDICTION,
VERSUS,
YOU KNOW, HAVE -- DO
SOMETHING
IN UNINCORPORATED.

I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY
THAT.
THAT'S KIND OF
HOW IT'S BEEN
DOING.

RIGHT?

KIND OF THIS RESPONSE
TO
COUNCILMEMBER MAUER'S
QUESTION?

CORRECT.

OKAY.
FURTHER QUESTIONS?

SO IF THE COUNTY JOINS THE

EIFD -- RIFD, WILL THEY SHARE

THE 55 TO \$75,000 ANNUAL
STARTUP
COST?

AND THEN THE ANNUAL COSTS?

OR IS THAT JUST SEBASTOPOL'S
AND
THE
COUNTY WILL HAVE THEIR OWN
ADDITIONAL COST?

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER.

FOR THE INITIAL STARTUP COSTS,

TYPICALLY THE CITY WOULD PAY
FOR
IT, ADVANCE THE FUN
FUNDING,
THEN IT GETS REIMBURSED BY
THE
DISTRICT AND THE
DIRECTORS
DOLLARS HAVE THOSE TWO FLAVORS.

HALF CITY MONEY, HALF
COUNTY

MONEY.
YOU WOULD BE DOING THE
ADVANCE
YOURSELF, TYPICALLY.

NOTHING PRECLUDES YOU AS A
CITY
FROM ASKING THE COUNTY,
MIGHT
YOU BE WILLING TO HELP
SHARE
THIS ADVANCE OF DOLLAR,
THAT
IT'S NOT TYPICALLY DONE, BUT

HEY, YOU DON'T GET WHAT YOU
DON'T ASK FOR.

JUST SAYING WHAT TYPICALLY

HAPPENS.

THE ONGOING COSTS THAT I
MENTION
WOULD BE THE COSTS REALLY TOTO

DISTRICT.

THE CITY STAFF TENDS TO
BE IN
THE LEAD OF IT.

WHATEVER, UP SO \$25,000 A YEAR.

BUT TYPICALLY, THAT IS PAID
FROM
THE DISTRICT REVENUE,
WHICH
WOULD BE, AGAIN, HALF CITY,
HALF

COUNTY.
HOPEFULLY THAT ANSWERS THE

QUESTION.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR?

YES, AND I APOLOGIZE IF I
MISSED IT IN HERE ANYWHERE.

I UNDERSTAND THE TOTALS WE HAVE

HERE.
WHAT IS THE TIME VALUE OF
THE
MONEY WE WOULD BE, YOU
KNOW,
SETTING ASIDE HERE?

I MEAN, BECAUSE WE'RE LOOKING AT
50 YEARS, RIGHT?

AND AGAIN, CAN YOU REMIND
ME,

WHEN IS IT THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY
UTILIZE THIS?

OVER 50 YEARS, WE'RE
SEEING
THE TIME VALUE OF THAT
MONEY IS
ABOUT \$21 MILLION.

WHERE HALF OF THAT
TECHNICALLY
IS CITY DOLLAR AND HALF OF
THAT
IS COUNTY DOLLAR.

THE TIMING, YOU KNOW, WE
ALWAYS
HAVE TO SET THE EXPECTATION.

IT'S PRETTY ANTI-CLIMACTIC.

THE FIRST TWO YEARS, \$40,000.

SECOND YEAR, \$90,000.

THIRD YEAR, \$140,000.

YOU'RE REALLY NOT CHURNING
UNTIL
YEAR FOUR OR YEAR FIVE
WHERE YOU
COULD EVEN THINK ABOUT
DOING
SOMETHING LIKE THAT SOMETHING

LIKE AN ISSUANCE OF A BOND
FROM
THE DISTRICT BASED ON, YOU
KNOW,
THE YEAR FIVE REVENUE.

SO IN THOSE FIRST FIVE YEARS

WITH THOSE TENS AND HUNDREDS OF

THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS,
WHAT'S
TYPICALLY GOING ON IS YOU
COULD
PAY FOR PLANNING AND DESIGN

WORK.

SO IF THERE ARE INFRASTRUCTURE

COSTS -- ENTIRELY MAKING THINGS

UP.

IF THERE WERE LIBRARY
EXPANSIONS
YOU WERE CONSIDERING TO PAY
FOR,
PLANNING AND DESIGN COSTS
THAT
NEEDED TO BE IN, THOSE WERE
BE
AN ELIGIBLE AND
PERHAPS
APPROPRIATE USE OF
THOSE SMALLER
DOLLARS IN ADVANCE OF
ACTUALLY
BUILDING OR EXPANDING A LIBRARY.

THAT'S HOW THAT WORKS.

JOE, TO REFRESH MY MEMORY,
IF
WE MOVED AHEAD AND PUT
THIS
MONEY IN, WE'RE TRAPPED.

WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES
OF
EVEN TRYING TO TAKE ANY OF IT

BACK?

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

YEAH, SO YOU FORM IT.

LET'S SAY, I DON'T KNOW,
THREE
YEARS IN YOU HAVEN'T ISSUED ANY

BONDS BUT YOU DECIDE IT'S NOT

WORKING OUT, LET'S CLOSE UP
SHOP.

YOU ARE ABLE TO DISSOLVE AND
REDISTRIBUTE THE DOLLARS, ANY
DOLLARS ACCRUED BACK TO THE CITY
AND THE COUNTY WITH
ANYTHING
ACCRUED AT THAT TIME.

OR COST TO YOU AT THAT POINT
IS
OBVIOUSLY THE TIME AND
RESOURCE
YOU'VE ACTUALLY SPENT UP TO
THAT
TIME.
WE'D CALL THAT SORT OF THE LESS

INTENSE DISSOLUTION SCENARIO.

THE MORE CRASS SCENARIO
IS SAY
YOU'RE IN YEAR SIX, LET'S SAY
IN
YEAR FIVE YOU ACTUALLY ISSUED

SOME BONDS TO PAY FOR \$2.
5
MILLION OF FLOOD CONTROL

IMPROVEMENTS.
THEN YOU REALLY ARE TRAPPED
IN
THE SENSE THAT YOU HAVE TO
HONOR
THE PAYMENTS FOR THAT \$2.
5
MILLION BOND.

THOSE WERE 30-YEAR BONDS,
YOU'VE
GOT TO AT LEAST SET ASIDE THE

ANNUAL PAYMENT FOR THAT \$2.
5

MILLION OF BOND OR 30 YEARS.

WHAT YOU WOULD THEN BE DOING IS

SAYING, THAT'S IT, I'M

NOT

SPENDING ANYTHING ELSE, I'M

NOT

ENTERING INTO ANY OTHER

OBLIGATIONS, ANY

ADDITIONAL

MONEY BEYOND THAT BOND PAYMENT.

IT'S COMING BACK TO ME AS A

CITY, BACK TO THE COUNTY

SEPARATELY.

BUT YOU WOULD BE TRAPPED

FOR

WHATEVER YOU HAVE COMMITTED FOR

THINGS LIKE A BOND.

THAT IS ABSOLUTELY A SORT

OF

UNTOUCHABLE.

IT'S

OBLIGATED.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER

CARTER.

ALSO INCREMENTALLY,

I'M

WONDERING WHAT THE COST IS

TO

THE CITY YEAR ON, YEAR ON.

IT LOOKED LIKE SOMETHING

A

LITTLE OVER \$20,000 A YEAR?

CORRECT, COUNCILMEMBER,

CORRECT.

FURTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE
WE
GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT?

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

IF YOU TAKE --
SO I'M LOOKING
AT PAGE 6 OF YOUR REPORT.

AND IT SAYS YEAR
ONE, WE'D SET
ASIDE \$22,000.

IT'S GOING TO COST 55 TO 75.

THAT GETS WIPE OUT IN THE FIRST

THREE YEARS.

YOU CAN'T REALLY -- YOU
CAN'T
ADD INTEREST TO THAT.

BECAUSE WE'D ALREADY SPENT THAT

MONEY.
SO -- I DON'T KNOW, KIND OF

SKEWS THE WHOLE CHART HERE THAT
YOU HAVE.

I WOULD AGREE, COUNCILMEMBER,

THAT'S RIGHT.
YOU WOULDN'T BE FULLY

REPAID
BACK EVEN AT THE YEAR OF END
ONE
IF YOU'RE SPENDING, LET'S SAY,

\$60,000.

YOU ONLY HAVE \$43,000 TO REPAY

YOU, THROUGH ALL OF YEAR
ONE,
MAYBE HALFWAY THROUGH YEAR
TWO,
THAT IS ABSOLUTELY
TRUE.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS
BEFORE
WE GO TO PUBLIC?

I
DO.

COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER.

CAN YOU EXPLAIN ON
YOUR
REPORT, PAGE 6 AT THE
BOTTOM,
YEAR 1 TO 50 TOTAL.

THEN IT SAYS BELOW THAT, IT

SAYS, PRESENT VALUE.
WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?

THANK YOU.

FIRST ROW, 1 THROUGH 50 TOTAL,

IS WE CALL FOR THE UNADJUSTED,

NOT ACCOUNTING FOR INFLATION.

ECONOMISTS WOULD CALL

THE
NOMINAL DOLLAR AMOUNT.

ADD UP THE DOLLAR WHERE A
DOLLAR
IN YEAR 50 IS SORT OF WORTH
THE
SAME AS A DOLLAR IN YEAR ONE.

A SIMPLISTIC WAY OF LOOKING
AT
IT BUT USEFUL TO SOME.

THE PRESENT VALUE
IMMEDIATELY
BELOW THAT ACCOUNTS FOR THE
TIME
VALUE OF MONEY.

WE'RE SAYING A DOLLAR 50
YEARS
FROM NOW BUYS YOU A LOT
LESS
THAN A DOLLAR TODAY.

THE MOST VALUABLE DOLLAR IS
THE
DOLLAR TODAY.

WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE DISCOUNT

RATE TO SAY, INFLATION, THE
TIME
VALUE OF THE DOLLAR
DECREASES
OVER TIME.

WE WOULD SAY IT'S AN

OVERSTATEMENT TO SAY YOU GET
\$56
MILLION, \$56.3 MILLION.

THAT'S REALLY ONLY
WORTH ABOUT
\$1.4 MILLION TODAY.

JUST TO REITERATE THAT,
JUST
FOR FOR
PARTICULARITY, WHAT
YOU'RE SAYING IS WE SET ASIDE

\$28 MILLION, THE COUNTY
SETS
ASIDE \$28 MILLION, RESULTING IN

\$56 MILLION TO WORK WITH.

BUT THE VALUE IN 50 YEARS IS
NOW
ONLY WORTH
\$21 MILLION?

CORRECT.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

DO WE GET INTEREST ON THAT

MONEY?
LIKE A BANK
ACCOUNT?
THE MONEY'S SITTING THERE,

RIGHT?

SO IT'S NOT THAT -- I MEAN --

CORRECT.

SO IT WOULD BE GAINING
INTEREST AS IT COMPOUNDS?

RIGHT, APPLES TO
APPLES,

TYPICALLY DOLLARS -- THE
CITY
MANAGES ITS OWN FLOW, ITS CASH

MANAGEMENT.
OUR PUBLIC AGENCIES WOULD
BE
PUTTING THIS MONEY ASIDE
JUST
LIKE A GENERAL FUND DOLLAR
ASIDE
IN DIFFERENT INSTRUMENTS SO
IT'S
NOT SITTING THERE LIKE A
0%
CHECKING ACCOUNT, IF IT'S NOT
BEING INVESTED.

COUNCILMEMBER MAWER?

ARE THESE NUMBERS ON PAGE
6,
DO THEY INCLUDE INTEREST?

NO.

I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION

CORRECTLY, NO.

WE'RE NOT SAYING WHAT IF YOUR

CITY'S LIKE \$10.7 MILLION
GETS
INVESTED IN A MONEY MARKET

ACCOUNT, GENERATING -- NO,
WE'RE
LEAVING INTEREST OUT OF IT.

ADDITIONAL
QUESTIONS,

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

I'D LIKE TO HEAR ABOUT
SOME
OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE
GONE
THROUGH THIS OR SOME EXAMPLES.

IF YOU'VE DISCOVERED ANY
UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES OR --
WHAT ARE THE PARALLELS WE MAY
NOT BE SEEING DIRECTLY?

LIKE, YOU KNOW, SOME OF THESE
PAYMENTS TOWARDS YOU KIND
OF
TAKE AWAY -- BECAUSE IT'S SUCH A

SMALL AMOUNT, IT'S A LARGE
PERCENTAGE.

THANK YOU.

THESE HAVE BEEN DONE ABOUT
35
ACROSS THE STATE.

WE'VE DONE ABOUT 23 OR SO
OF
THEM IN CITIES LIKE MOUNT

SHASTA, POPULATION 1,000.

TO CITIES LIKE SAN DIEGO,

SACRAMENTO, SAN FRANCISCO.

OBVIOUSLY MUCH
LARGER.
ALL SIZES.

UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES.

WE'VE HAD SOME, LIKE THE CITY
OF
PLACENTA IN ORANGE COUNTY
WHERE
THEY TRIED TO OVERPRESCRIBE THE

THING, AND THEY SAID, OKAY,

WE'RE GOING TO DO THIS AT
ALL,
WE WANT TO BE SUPER SURE WHAT

WE'RE GOING TO DO WITH THE

MONEY.

WE'RE GOING TO
CHOOSE
SPECIFICALLY AN \$8 MILLION
FREE
SKATE MASTER PLAN IMPROVEMENT

PROJECT.

WELL, LIFE HAPPENS.

COVID HAPPENED.

THAT WAS FORMED IN 2019.

AND AN \$8 MILLION FREE
SCAPE
IMPROVEMENT PLAN TURNED INTO A

\$21 MILLION, ALL
THE PROJECTIONS
ONLY BASED ON \$8 MILLION.

THAT LED TO HAVING TO
REVISIT
THE WHOLE PLAN AND
ADJUST
ALLOCATION LEVELS, DURATION,
AND
DIFFERENT ASSUMPTIONS.

BECAUSE IT WAS SORT OF

OVERCONSTRAINED.

IT WAS TOUGH.

THEY WERE THE FIRST CITY/COUNTY

PARTNERSHIP IN THE WHOLE STATE.

THE LESSON LEARNED IS TRY TO

GIVE OURSELVES A LITTLE BIT MORE

FLEXIBILITY ON THE LIST

OF

PROJECTS AND EXACTLY THE

TYPES

OF THINGS WE WANT TO PAY FOR.

KEEP IT INCLUSIVE.

EXPECTATION SETTING.

WE'VE GOTTEN A LOT BETTER AT IT.

WE HAVEN'T ALWAYS HAD SLIDES

LIKE THE ONES I WALKED THROUGH

THAT SAYS 20,000 OR 40,000

IN

YEAR ONE.

WE'VE SOMETIMES HAD

MEMBERS OF

THE PUBLIC, HEY, I

THOUGHT WE

COULD AUTOMATICALLY PAY FOR

\$5

MILLION FREE SCAPE IMPROVEMENT

PLAN.

NO.

WHAT WE'RE -- WHAT WE'RE TRYING

TO PROACTIVELY AVOID IS MAKING
EXPECTATIONS CLEAR.

IT IS PRETTY QUIET IN THE
FIRST

FEW YEARS.
IT'S NOT A LOT OF MONEY.

I WOULD AGREE IT MAY FEEL
OVERLY
ADMINISTRATIVELY
BURDENSOME
HAVING ONE-TIME COSTS TO GET
THE
THING
GOING.
THAT IS JUST THE WAY THESE GO.

THEN MORE BROADLY TO THE FIRST

PART OF YOUR QUESTION ON

SUCCESSSES, YEAH.

WE'VE HAD THE LAVERNE ONE IN LOS

ANGELES.
THEY STARTED WITH SMALLER

THINGS.

\$3 MILLION FREE SCAPE.

OLD TOWN LAVERNE.

THEN BIG STUFF LIKE
WEST
SACRAMENTO EARLIER THIS YEAR,

\$55 MILLION, EIFD BONDS TO
PAY
FOR A VARIETY OF FLOOD CONTROL,

WATER-SEWER-ROADWAY

IMPROVEMENTS.

SOME OF THOSE THAT HAVE
BEEN
FORMED IN 2017 TO
2019, THOSE
ARE THE ONES THAT HAVE THE
MOST
PROGRESS TO REPORT
IN PLACES
LIKE LAVERNE, SAN DIEGO,
MESA,
WEST
SACRAMENTO.

THANK YOU.

I'M GOING TO TURN TO STAFF.

BECAUSE, MARY, AND OUR
WONDERFUL
CITY MANAGER, YOU'VE
BEEN
WORKING ON THIS PROJECT SINCE
IT
STARTED, BASICALLY.

IN ONE FASHION OR ANOTHER.

WHAT IS YOUR TAKE ON THIS?

IS IT EVEN POSSIBLE MOVING

FORWARD TO CONSIDER THE BURDEN

THAT IT WOULD TAKE ON STAFF?

I THINK THE BURDEN ON STAFF

WOULD BE -- HI.

WE ARE LIMITED ON STAFF.

WHETHER WE HAVE
PERMANENT
STATUTE ON OR CONSULTANTS
ON

RIGHT NOW, IT WOULD BE
AN
ADDITIONAL BURDEN THAT
CITIES
HAVE TO TAKE.

THE FINANCES AS WELL.

YOU KNOW, THE 50 TO \$75,000.

I UNDERSTAND WE WOULD GET IT

REIMBURSED AFTER THE
PROBABLY
THIRD
YEAR.

SO AT A MINIMUM,
YOU'RE LOOKING
AT THE SAVINGS NOT
STARTING

UNTIL THE FOURTH.
JOE, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, IF

I'M STATING SOMETHING INCORRECT.

MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
IS
REIMBURSEMENT WON'T OCCUR
UNTIL
THE THIRD OR FOURTH YEAR.

SO WE WON'T START SEEING
ANY
SAVINGS UNTIL THE FIFTH YEAR,

BASICALLY.

THE COUNCIL COULD DO
A
RESOLUTION NOW, LOOK AT
THE
BUDGET NEXT YEAR TO SEE IF
THIS
IS SOMETHING THAT THEY WANT
TO
INCLUDE INTO THE
BUDGET.

BUT I WOULD RECOMMEND
UNTIL WE
CAN GET FULLY STAFFED,
I
WOULD -- MY
RECOMMENDATION WOULD
BE POSTPONE UNTIL WE CAN
GET
FULLY
STAFFED.

GREAT, THANK YOU.
VICE
MAYOR?

YOU'RE
MUTED.

SORRY.

I WAS JUST WONDERING, JUST
AN
ESTIMATE OF -- LIKE ON
THE
AVERAGE, HOW MUCH MONEY WOULD
WE
BE SETTING ASIDE
PER HOUSEHOLD
THAT'S HERE IN SEBASTOPOL?

PER PROPERTY, YOU KNOW,
THAT
WOULD BE TAXED?

DID ANYONE DO THOSE NUMBERS
SO
THAT WE CAN UNDERSTAND THAT?

DOES THAT MAKE SENSE TO YOU?

TO CLARIFY, JUST TO CLARIFY,
NO ONE'S PAYING MORE TAXES.

WHETHER YOU'RE IN THE DISTRICT

OR OUT OF THE DISTRICT --

I UNDERSTAND THAT, I'M
JUST
ASKING PER HOUSEHOLD, IF
WE'RE
SETTING THIS MONEY
ASIDE, HOW
MUCH
IS THAT ACTUALLY PER
PROPERTY, I SHOULD SAY, NOT

HOUSEHOLD?

I'M GOING TO COME UP
WITH
THAT HERE ON THE FLY.

BUT THERE
ARE --

I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE THAT
OUT MYSELF.

I THOUGHT MAYBE YOU HAD THAT.

DID NOT, I'M SORRY.

AND THEN THE OTHER -- I
GUESS
THE ONLY OTHER QUESTION
IS, ALL
THE CITIES THAT YOU
LISTED AS
FAR AS EXAMPLES, THEY ARE
ALL
VASTLY LARGER THAN SEBASTOPOL.

AND WE OFTEN, IN MANY
DECISIONS
THAT WE MAKE, WE LIKE TO
COMPARE
TO OTHER CITIES THAT ARE

SIMILAR
IN SIZE.

I MEAN, THE SMALLEST CITY I
SAW
THAT YOU MENTIONED WAS A 30,000.

WE HAVE 7,500 PEOPLE HERE.

I WAS JUST CURIOUS IF
YOU
ACTUALLY HAVE EXAMPLES
OF
SMALLER CITIES SIMILAR TO US,
TO
GIVE US THE POSITIVES AND
THE
NEGATIVES OR
UNINTENDED
CONSEQUENCES FOR SUCH A SMALL
PLACE?

SO THE SMALLER CITIES THAT
HAVE DONE THIS, LIKE MOUNT

SHASTA,
BANGOR, SOME OF THOSE
TEND TO BE THE NEWER ONES.

FORMED IN THE LAST TWO OR
THREE
YEARS WHERE THEY MAY ONLY BE
GENERATING, DUE TO THEIR SMALL
SIZE, MAYBE ONLY TEST OF
THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.

MAYBE THE LOW 100s.

NO MASSIVE TO REPORT.

WHERE SOME OF THOSE LIKE TANKER

HAVE BEEN PARTICULARLY

ENTREPRENEURIAL,
SCRAPPY,
WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT,

BUT THEY'VE BEEN DOING WITH
A
SMALLER DOLLAR AMOUNT, ARE USING

THEM IN GRANT PROPOSALS,
USING
THEM AS DEMONSTRATIONS OF LOCAL

MATCH.

NOT MATCH DOLLARS TODAY BUT
A
COMMITMENT OF FUTURE DOLLARS
AS
A MATCH WHICH THE GRANT
PROVIDER
LIKES BECAUSE IT'S SEPARATE
FROM
THE GENERAL FUND.

SO IT'S NOT AS HARD IN TERMS
OF
SUCCESS OF HAVING
A
MILLION-DOLLAR BOND LIKE WEST

SACRAMENTO.

BUT SOMEONE LYCRA DONDO BEACH,

THEY WEREN'T EVEN
FULLY THROUGH
THE FORMATION PROCESS OF OF
THIS

EIFD.
THEY HAD ZERO DOLLARS IN
THE
BANK ACCOUNT.

THEY WERE ORDERED PROP 68,
OPEN

SPACE GRANT, \$3.5 MILLION IN THE

AWARD LETTER FROM DEPARTMENT
OF
PARKS AND REC CITED THEIR USE
OF
THAT INNOVATIVE EIFD TOOL.

HAVING A CITY-COUNTY
PARTNERSHIP
WITHIN THAT POOL IS HAVING A LOT

TO DO WITH THEIR AWARD.

THAT'S PROBABLY THE CLOSEST
I

CAN TALK ABOUT AS A SUCCESS FOR
A NEWER ONE WITH NOT
A LOT OF
THE
MONEY.

GREAT.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER CARTER.

I'M JUST KIND OF CURIOUS.

CAN A CITY TAKE OUT A BOND?

SOME OF THESE BONDS ARE
VERY
LONG LENGTH.

50 YEARS IS CRAZY.

A
LOT.
AND YOU KNOW, IS THAT -- 6, 7,

8%.
IF YOU DID A SHORTER
BOND, COULD
IT BE LESS?

CAN CITIES TAKE OUT A
BOND
WITHOUT
THIS FACILITY?
WITHOUT EIFD?

YES.

WE CAN'T USE THE
GENERAL FUND
TO -- THE CITY CAN'T USE
THE
GENERAL FUND FOR A BOND DIRECTLY

WITHOUT A VOTE OF THE PEOPLE.

THERE ARE OTHER BONDS, YOU
KNOW,
IF WE USE RATE REVENUE, FOR

EXAMPLE.

THE ISSUE OF BONDS OR
SIMILAR
DEBT IN ORDER TO FUND
SEWER
IMPROVEMENTS OR THINGS LIKE

THAT.

I DON'T KNOW IF THAT FULLY
ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION.

YEAH, I WAS BASICALLY
ASKING
IF THERE ARE OTHER WAYS
TO
ACCESS CAPITAL IF WE HAVE
A
LARGE CAPITAL PROJECT THAT
WE
SHOULD DO NOW TO MAKE
AN JECHLT
IN OURSELVES IS.

ARE THERE OTHER
FACILITIES --

THERE ARE
OTHER WAYS TO
ACCESS CAPITAL, YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

SO YOU TALKED ABOUT
THE
SMALLER CITIES, AND YOU LISTED
THEM OFF.

BUT CAN YOU TALK ABOUT SONOMA

COUNTY?
HOW MANY
EIFDs ARE MOVING

FORWARD?
YOU MENTIONED ONE TONIGHT
IN
STAFF REPORT FOR
UNINCORPORATED
WEST COUNTY.

I'M FAMILIAR WITH SANTA ROSA.

IS THERE OTHER EIFDs THAT
HAVE
BEEN --
ARE BEING FORMED IN OUR
OWN COUNTY?

CAN YOU LIST
THOSE?
THOSE ARE CERTAINLY SMALL.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER.

SO FAR ONLY ONE HAS BEEN FULLY

FORMED.

THAT IS THE CITY OF SANTA
ROSA
PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COUNTY OF
SONOMA.

IN THE EVALUATION PHASE, IS THE
UNINCORPORATED WEST COUNTY
ONE
MENTIONED IN A STAFF REPORT?

THAT ONE IS NOW THEY
JUST
AUTHORIZE THE ACTUAL WORK
TO
TAKE IT TO THE FINISH LINE.

MAYBE NOT DONE UNTIL AUGUST OF
NEXT CALENDAR YEAR.

CURRENTLY UNDER
EVALUATION IS IN
THE SONOMA VALLEY.

THE EAST COUNTY
PORTION,
POTENTIALLY INCLUDING
PORTIONS
OF THE CITY OF SONOMA AND

UNINCORPORATED PORTIONS
OF
SONOMA COUNTY IN DISTRICT 1
JUST
NORTH OF THE CITY OF SONOMA.

THAT ONE IS CURRENTLY IN THE
EVALUATION PHASE, INCLUDING
MEMBERS FROM BOTH CITY
AND
COUNTY WORKING TOGETHER.

AND THEN PROBABLY MOST
RECENTLY
ARE THE CITIES OF KATADI AND

ROHNERT PARK JUST NOW
AND I
DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO REPORT,

ON WHAT THE BOUNDARIES
MIGHT
LOOK LIKE.

IT'S LITERALLY JUST GETTING
OFF
THE GROUND IN KETATI AND ROHNERT

PARK.
WE'VE HEARD SUPERVISOR GORE,
THE
POTENTIAL OF LOOKING AT ONE
AT
CLOVERDALE AT SOME
POINT, BUT

THERE'S BEEN NO ACTION ON THAT
ONE YET.

THANK YOU.

OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO
TO
PUBLIC COMMENT?

SEEING NONE, MARY, PUBLIC
COMMENT?

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE
EIFD, POTENTIAL RESOLUTION OF
INTENTION.

IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC

COMMENT, IT IS A TWO-
MINUTE
PUBLIC COMMENT.

I'LL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST,
THEN
GO
OUT TO ZOOM.

KYLE?

OVER THE LAST NUMBER OF YEARS

I'VE WATCHED THE CITY AND

COUNCIL VERY HEAVILY
CRITICIZE
THE COUNTY AND ALSO JUST
REALLY
NOT HAVE A GOOD WORKING

RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COUNTY.

AT LEAST PUBLICLY FACING.

SO CRITICISM ABOUT
THE
MANAGEMENT OF HOMELESS SERVICES.

CRITICISM ABOUT THE
SEBASTOPOL

HOTEL.
THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.

IF YOU THOUGHT THAT OUR
WORKING
RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COUNTY
WAS
BAD NOW, WAIT UNTIL ALL
THESE
OTHER EIFDs AROUND THE
COUNTY
ARE GOBBLING UP PROPERTY
TAX
DOLLARS AND THE COUNTY IS

GOING
TO BE THEN LOOKING AT THE

REMAINING PROPERTY TAX
INCOME
THAT ISN'T ALLOCATED TO
EIFDs,
AS WE SAW TONIGHT, AND
THEN DO
YOU THINK SEBASTOPOL'S GOING TO

BE ABLE TO KIND OF GET SWAY IN

TERMS OF THE COUNTY
PROVIDING
SOME OF THOSE PROPERTY
TAX
DOLLARS TO
INFRASTRUCTURE
PROBLEMS IN SEBASTOPOL?

I DON'T THINK SO.

UNLESS THERE'S A RADICAL
CHANGE
IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
OUR
CITY AND THE COUNTY,
WHICH I
DON'T SEE HAPPENING ANY TIME

SOON.

THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY
TO
ESTABLISH A RELATIONSHIP THAT

GIVES US CHUNKS OF THAT PROPERTY

TAX DOLLARS THAT THE COUNTY
IS
COLLECTING AND PUTTING IT TO A

PROJECT THAT WE HAVE SWAY ON
ANDAND
DON'T TO GET THEIR
APPROVAL
IN THE SENSE THAT WE DON'T

HAVE
TO COME TO THEM AFTER THE
FACT
AND BE ASKING FOR THEM
TO
CONTRIBUTE TO SOMETHING.

AND THE IDEA THAT YOU'RE

BUILDING THIS THING UP AS A

LARGER-SCALE PROJECT.

DON'T MISS OUT ON OPPORTUNITY
TO
DO THIS JUST BECAUSE YOU'RE

CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT IT'S GOING

TO LOOK LIKE FIVE YEARS DOWN
THE
LINE.

I UNDERSTAND THE IDEA
THAT MAYBE
THIS IS A BURDEN ON STAFF.

BUT THAT IS A SELF-
FULFILLING
PROP PROPHECY IN THE
SENSE THAT
YOU HAVE RADICALLY REDUCED
THE
ABILITY FOR STAFF TO
BE
FUNCTIONAL IN THIS -- IN
OUR
CITY BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF

DEVELOPMENT OF EMPLOYEES, YOU

KNOW.

GROWTH OF EMPLOYEES.

AND SO THE IDEA THAT
YOU'RE
GOING TO MAKE A DECISION BASED

ON THAT OPINION I DON'T
THINK IS
A WORTHWHILE APPROACH TO TAKE.

YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY,
DON'T
MISS
IT.

THANK YOU, KYLE.
NEXT OUT TO ZOOM.

IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM.

ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF,
PLEASE?

CAP YOU SEE
THE TIMER?
GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I GO BACK AND FORTH ON THIS.

IN A SENSE, IT SEEMS
LIKE IT
WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO DO IT.

I THINK IF THE HOUSE WAS IN

ORDER, SO TO SPEAK,
THAT THERE
WAS STAFF THAT HAD CAPACITY
AND
KNOWLEDGE AND ABILITY TO WORK ON

IT AND DO THE THINGS THAT
NEED
TO BE DONE WITHOUT INTERFERING

WITH THE REST OF THE WORK OF
THE

CITY.
THE OTHER SIDE IS THE

FINANCES.
RIGHT NOW YOU'RE FEELING RICH

BECAUSE OF THE TAX INCREASE.
BUT IF YOU GO BACK,
WHEN THIS
FIRST STARTED WE WERE
IN
FINANCIAL CRISIS.

THAT FINANCIAL CRISIS
WAS
TRIGGERED BY THE FACT
THAT SALES
TAX REVENUES WERE DECLINING.

IT'S A LITTLE
HARD BECAUSE OF
THE NEW SALES TAX.

BUT LOOKING AT THE FINANCES
THAT
WERE RELEASED RECENTLY, IT
STILL
LOOKS LIKE THE SALES
TAXES PRIOR
TO -- PRIOR TO THE LAST INCREASE

ARE DECLINING OR MAYBE JUST

STARTING TO INCREASE BY A
TINY,
TINY FRACTION.

THAT MEANS THAT
THE REVENUE
GROWTH THAT YOU NEED
TO MEET
YOUR GROWING EXPENSES
HAS TO
COME FROM PROPERTY TAX GROWTH.

AND THAT HAS BEEN, ACCORDING TO

ANA, SHE'S PROBABLY RIGHT, ABOUT

2% A YEAR THAT WE SEE IN GROWTH

IN THAT.

THAT GROWTH IS WHAT'S
GOING TO
FUEL YOU FOR THE NEXT FEW
YEARS
UNTIL YOU FIND A WAY TO GROW THE

SALES TAX BASE AND START THAT
IN
A POSITIVE WAY.

GIVING AWAY PART OF THE
PROPERTY
TAX GROWTH MIGHT TURN OUT TO
BE
A FINANCIAL DISASTER.

AND YOU DON'T HAVE A LOT
OF
FLEXIBILITY TO FIX IT
BECAUSE
YOU CAN'T RAISE SALES TAXES

AGAIN.

SO, YOU KNOW, THERE'S A LOT OF
THE QUESTIONS HERE.

I THINK IF I WAS GOING TO
DO
THIS, NUMBER ONE, I
PROBABLY
WOULDN'T DO IT RIGHT NOW
UNTIL
YOU GET STAFF.

NUMBER TWO, I WOULD ASK
FOR
SOMEBODY TO DO A REALLY
CAREFUL
ANALYSIS AND VETTED
FORECAST FOR
THE FUTURE ABOUT WHERE
EXPENSES
ARE LIKELY TO BE REALISTICALLY,

WHAT OUR REVENUE INCREASES ARE

LIKELY TO BE REALISTICALLY,
WHAT
IT LOOKS LIKE IN TEN
YEARS,
TAKING \$10,000 OUT OF THE
BUDGET

THEN?
IS IT GOING TO BE
OKAY OR NOT?
I SUSPECT IT'S NOT GIVEN
CURRENT
CIRCUMSTANCES.

IF WE GET A HOTEL, ALL BETS ARE

OFF.

ROBERT, THAT'S TWO
MINUTES.

MAYBE THAT'S WHAT YOU WAIT

FOR,
THANKS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC

COMMENT.
NEXT, I WILL COME INTO CHAMBERS?

I'M THE OPPOSITE OF KYLE.

I TRUST THE GUARANTEES
ABOUT AS
FAR AS I CAN THROW A TRUNK.

HERE'S THE BASICS.

14% OF PROPERTY
TAX IF WE'RE

LUCKY.

SALES TAX.

7,500 PEOPLE, WHATEVER
IT IS
SQUARE MILES AWAY, TINY.

I DON'T THINK THIS IS THE
TIME
TO BLEED ANY MORE MONEY OUT ON

SOMETHING WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT
YIELD SOME MONEY IN THE FUTURE.

I JUST FEAR THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF
GRANTS AND SO ON IS VERY
QUESTIONABLE.

SO WHO KNOWS WHERE WE'LL BE IN

FIVE, SEVEN YEARS WHERE
THERE'S
A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY, SEE
IF WE
CAN START TO EXPLORE GRANTS

BECAUSE WE'VE GOT A COUPLE
OF
EXTRA STARS IN THE, YOU
KNOW,
GRANTS APPLICATION COLUMN.

SO I'M JUST NOT SEEING
WHERE
THIS MAKES ANY KIND OF SENSE.

THIS HUGE OVERHEAD, A LOT
OF
EXPENSE IN ACTUALLY DOING
THE
BUREAUCRACY TO GET INTO BED
WITH
A COUNTY AND WHOEVER ELSE.

I CAN'T SEE THE BENEFIT OF IT.

WHO KNOWS WHERE WE'LL BE IN

SEVEN OR EIGHT YEARS'
TIME,
WHERE THE DOLLAR MAY HAVE BEEN

SEVERELY DEPRECIATED.

I'M NOT SEEING ANY KIND OF
CLEAR
PATH HERE.

ALMOST BE BETTER TO TAKE
THAT
MONEY AND INVESTMENT IT IN
AN
ACCOUNT SOMEWHERE AND GET

INTEREST.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON SAID IT.

I CAN'T GET MY HEAD AROUND IT.

LIKE ON A BUSINESS
PRESENTATION
AND YOU CAN'T GET THE
SLIDES.
NOTHING REALLY KIND OF
MAKES
SENSE HERE.

SOMEWHERE THERE MIGHT
BE
SOMETHING GOOD BUT YOU'RE NOT

SURE.

AND THERE'S OTHER
PEOPLE
PARTICIPATING IN THE DEAL
WHO
YOU DON'T TRUST BY EITHER

COUNTY.
THAT'S KIND OF HOW I FEEL ABOUT

IT.
I FEEL LIKE THIS IS A NO-GO
AND
WE SHOULDN'T WASTE ANY MORE TIME

ON IT.
THAT'S MY FEELING.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC
COMMENT.

NEXT, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO

ZOOM.
IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM
THAT
WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC
COMMENT ON THE DISCUSSION OF
THE

EIFD, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND
VIRTUALLY.

SEEING
NONE, I WILL COME BACK
INTO CHAMBERS.

MY THIRD COMMENT IN THREE
YEARS.

IS IT ON?

OKAY.

SO -- YOU KNOW, I DON'T

UNDERSTAND.
I MOVED HERE THREE YEARS AGO.

I LIVED HERE BACK IN THE '90s.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE
SIZE OF
THIS COMMUNITY.

I LOVE IT.

I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU --

MAYBE I DIDN'T SEE THE
FIRST
PART OF THIS -- ABOUT HOW
THIS IS
SUPPOSED TO
ENCOURAGE,
ESPECIALLY THE
SMALL CORRIDOR
THAT HE JUST DREW OUT --
I DON'T
UNDERSTAND HOW THAT'S
GOING TO

GET ALL THAT MONEY.
AND I'M NOT AN ECONOMIST OR
A
BANKER OR ANYTHING.

IT JUST SEEMS REALLY IRRATIONAL.

AND I'M COMING INTO THIS LATE.

THE OTHER THING THAT I
FIND
REALLY INTERESTING IS, I DIDN'T

HEAR WHETHER THAT COMMENT
ABOUT
THE COUNTY, WILL THEY BE THERE

FOR YOU LATER?

IS IT A COMMITMENT ON THEIR
PART
ETERNALLY FOR THE TIME FRAME?

I DON'T KNOW.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC

COMMENT.

NEXT BACK OUT TO ZOOM.

IF THERE'S ANYONE ON THE

ZOOM

THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A

COMMENT ON THE EIFD,

PLEASE

RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.

STEVE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF

PLEASE?

YES, THANK

YOU.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN,

THANKS.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

WELL, I'M INTERESTED IN THE

EIFD.

I AM CONCERNED THAT IF WE

USE

MORE STANDARD DISCOUNT

RATES OF

THE HIGHER END, THE

MORE

CONSERVATIVE WOULD BE 5%.

INSTEAD OF THE 3%.

HOW DO THINGS LOOK THEN AT

THE

10 AND 50-YEAR LEVEL?

I THINK WE'D BE LOOKING AT THE

VALUE OF THAT DOLLAR
BEING QUITE
A BIT LESS.

AND WHEN YOU STACK IT UP --
I
JUST WONDER WITH THIS LONG
LIST
OF THINGS THAT WE'RE INTERESTED

IN, TEN YEARS DOWN THE
ROAD, HOW
MUCH MONEY ARE
WE REALLY TALKING
ABOUT HAVING AVAILABLE?

\$2 MILLION DOESN'T TAKE YOU
VERY
FAR IN MODERN
CONSTRUCTION
COSTS.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC

COMMENT.

NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO

CHAMBERS, ANYONE THAT WOULD
LIKE
TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE

EIFD?
SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK
OUT
TO ZOOM.

MARY, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF,

PLEASE?

YES.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I
CAN.

GO AHEAD,
PLEASE.

I JUST WONDER WHAT HAPPENS
IF
THE PROPERTY TAXES DON'T GO UP.

BECAUSE THIS IS DEPEND ANTANT
PROPERTY TAXES.

THAT'S
MY UNDERSTANDING.
AND THEY DON'T ALWAYS GO UP.

LOOK AT WHAT HAPPENED IN 2008,
2010.

I THINK
WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT

THAT ACTION TOO.
THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC

COMMENT.
NEXT BACK INTO CHAMBERS,
ANYONE

THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC
COMMENT?

SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK

OUT

TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE ON
ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE
A

PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE
YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.

SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT
IS
CLOSED ON THIS
ITEM.

THANK YOU, MARY.

BRINGING IT BACK UP TO THE
DAIS
FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR MOTION.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YEAH, I WANT TO MAKE SOME

COMMENTS.
FIRST OF ALL, I'VE
BEEN
SUPPORTIVE OF THIS SINCE IT
WAS
INTRODUCED IN 2023.

WE MOVE FORWARD ANDAND

SUPERVISOR SUPPORTED US
BY
PAYING I BELIEVE ALL OUR
COSTS
TO DATE TO INVESTIGATE THIS.

WE HELD OFF THIS YEAR OR LAST

YEAR BECAUSE WE WERE WAITING
FOR
OUR TAX MEASURE.

WE DIDN'T WANT TO PUT MORE

DEMAND ON OUR GENERAL FUND
WHEN
WE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WOULD
HAPPEN
WITH OUR TAXES PASSING.

SO WE'VE
BEEN KIND OF DRAGGING
THIS ALONG.

AND I JUST REALLY
DON'T SEE --
IF YOU DON'T ASK, YOU DON'T

GET -- WHY SHE'D NOT
CONSIDER
HELPING US FUND THE NEXT PHASE.

I'M SURE THE COUNTY IS
FUNDING
THE UNINCORPORATED PART OF
IT
AND WE'RE PART OF THE 5th

DISTRICT.

I ALSO AGREE WITH
THE MEMBER OF
THE PUBLIC THAT AS I ASK THE

QUESTION, BUT I ALREADY KNEW
THE
ANSWER, THERE ARE DISTRICTS

BEING FUNDED IN EVERY
SINGLE
SUPERVISOR DISTRICT EXCEPT
FOR
DISTRICT 4, AND THAT'S
BECAUSE
THERE'S GOING TO BE A RACE THERE

BECAUSE JAMES GORE IS NOT
GOING
TO RERUN.

EVERY OTHER FOUR OUT OF

FIVE
SUPERVISORS ARE TRYING TO
MOVE
FORWARD WITH THIS KIND
OF
FINANCING DISTRICT.

AND IT IS A MATCH.

SO SOMEBODY ASKED, ARE WE
IN
BUSINESS FOREVER WITH
THE
COUNTY?

YES.
THEY ARE GOING TO MATCH US
IF
THEY PASS THE RESOLUTION.

THEY'RE GOING TO DO DOLLAR FOR

DOLLAR.

SO THERE'S NO DIFFERENT THAN
THE
OPEN
SPACE MATCHING GRANT THAT
THE COUNTY DOES AS WELL.

SO I TELL MY
KIDS TO START THEIR
401(k) IN THEIR 20s.

THAT'S WHAT WE'RE
DOING.
WE'RE ESTABLISHING A
SAVINGS
PROGRAM THAT IS FOR A RAINY
DAY,
THAT WE COULD DISSOLVE IT AT
ANY
TIME IF WE GOT IN TROUBLE.

PAY THE COUNTY BACK THEIR
MONEY,
WE TAKE OUR

MONEY.
I'M IN FAVOR OF IT.

SO I'M GOING TO SUPPORT,
AND
I'LL MOVE TO PASS THE
RESOLUTION
TONIGHT AND TRY TO MOVE
FORWARD
ON THIS.

OTHER DISCUSSION?

MOUNTAIN SILL MEMBER MAUER?

SO THERE'S A MOTION ON
THE

TABLE --

I WOULD HAVE TO SAY IT

OFFICIALLY, BUT
YEAH.

CAN I MAKE A COUNTER-MOTION?

YOU CAN ASK THE MOVER OF
THE -- WELL, THERE'S NO SECOND
YET ON THAT MOTION.

SO WE COULD SEE IF THERE'S A
SECOND.

IF NOT, YOU CAN MAKE ONE,
OR YOU
CAN ASK COUNCILMEMBER IF SHE'D

BE WILLING TO AMEND HER
MOTION.

SO MY AMENDMENT

REQUEST WOULD
BE
TO WAIT SIX MONTHS AND BRING
IT BACK.

AND THAT WAY, WE'D HAVE A
BETTER
IDEA WHAT WAS OUR BUDGET WAS

LOOKING
LIKE --

CAN WE TALK ABOUT
THIS
NEGOTIATION?

YEAH.

SO I LIKE TO MAKE
THE
RESOLUTION TONIGHT SO WE
COULD
GO TO OUR SUPERVISOR AND ASK IF

SHE'S WILLING TO FUND.

BUT OBVIOUSLY WE'RE SHORT ON

STAFF.

AND WE COULD, YOU KNOW, WAIT
SIX
MONTHS TO FINALIZE IT.

MOVING FORWARD ON THE
RESOLUTION
TONIGHT, I DON'T THINK,
HAS TO
BE ACTED UPON IMMEDIATELY.

FURTHER DISCUSSION?

NOW I KIND
OF DON'T

UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

SO IF WE GO FORWARD WITH
THIS
RESOLUTION TONIGHT, IT'S KIND OF

KICKING THE CAN, BUT IT'S
REALLY
ASKING IF THE COUNTY IS GOING TO

CONTRIBUTE.

BUT WILL WE IMMEDIATELY INCUR

\$100,000 IN WORK?

NO, MY UNDERSTANDING
IS
OPTION "B" IS CITY
COUNCIL
CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION OF

INTENTION TO
FORM A SEBASTOPOL
EIFD.

SO WE'RE JUST DOING A

RESOLUTION, AND THEN WE'RE

TRYING TO FIGURE IT
OUT.
THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING
OF
OPTION "B" AS PRESENTED BY STAFF
IN THE AGENDA TONIGHT.

SO APPROVING THIS
TONIGHT
IMMEDIATELY INCURS NO FINANCIAL

BURDEN IMMEDIATELY?

OR LIKE INITIALLY?

AND IT WILL ONLY BECOME
IN
EFFECT AFTER WE EXPLORE
THIS
FURTHER AND BRING IT BACK UP AND

VOTE ON IT FORMALLY?

MARE YOU, CAN YOU EXPLAIN?

YES, THAT -- WERE YOU
JUMPING
IN?

SORRY.

THAT IS CORRECT.

THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION
JUST
GIVES THE COUNCIL THE INTENT.

YOU DON'T HAVE TO ACT ON IT.

THIS RESOLUTION CAN STAY IN
PLACE.

YOU CAN LOOK AT IT A FEW
MONTHS
DOWN THE ROAD.

YOU CAN TALK TO THE
SUPERVISOR
IF YOU WANTED TO SEE IF
THEY
WOULD BE WILLING TO HELP
FUND
THE NEXT STEPS, WHICH WOULD
BE
THE 55 TO \$75,000.

BUT YES, IT DOES NOT HAVE
ANY
FINANCIAL IMPACT TONIGHT FOR

THIS RESOLUTION.
IT WOULD JUST BE THE
RESOLUTION
OF YOUR INTENTION, AND
THEN WE
COULD
REVISIT IT TWO MONTHS,
FOUR MONTHS,
SIX MONTHS, A YEAR

DOWN THE ROAD.

SEE, THIS IS MY MAJOR CONCERN

IS WE PAY \$78,000 FOR THE
OPTION
OF BORROWING \$2 MILLION.

WHICH DOESN'T SOUND LIKE A
GREAT

DEAL.

IF WE'RE -- THE WAY I SEE
THE
RESOLUTION, IF WE'RE
NOT WILLING
TO PASS A RESOLUTION TO
SAY
WE'RE STILL INTERESTED IN
MOVING
FORWARD, WE'RE GOING TO LOSE

MOMENTUM, AND WE NEED TO GO SAY

WE STILL HAVE INTENT AND TALK
TO
OUR SUPERVISOR.

THAT'S THE WAY I SEE
THE

RESOLUTION WE'D BE PASSING
TONIGHT.

WHAT'S BEEN PROPOSED IS

THAT
JOE, ARE YOU STILL ON THERE?

I'M ASSUMING YOU'VE BEEN

FOLLOWING THIS CONVERSATION?

SO WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO SAY
ABOUT
IT?

THANK
YOU.
COMPLETELY SUBJECT TO YOUR
OWN
THOUGHTS AND STAFF THOUGHTS.

FROM THE IDEA IF
YOU'RE WILLING
TO DO THE RESOLUTION
OF
INTENTION AT ALL IS YOU CAN
DO
THE ACTION, NO CURRENT FISCAL

IMPACT, AND NOTHING HAPPENS

UNTIL AND UNLESS YOU DO A BUDGET

APPROPRIATION AT SOME POINT.

MAYBE THAT IS SIX MONTHS
DOWN
THE LINE OR SOMETIME SOONER.

PERHAPS WITH COUNCILMEMBER

HINTON'S SUGGESTION IN THAT SIX

MONTHS, YOU WOULD HAVE SOME
IDEA
OF WHETHER THE COUNTY'S IN OR

NOT.

IN SHARING THE COSTS.

YOU
WOULD AT LEAST KNOW WHAT
THAT BUDGET APPROPRIATION IS.

HALF OF 60 OR ALL
OF 50 AND IT
WOULD BE A DECISION PERHAPS
IN
YOUR BUDGET DISCUSSIONS TO

ACTUALLY FUND THAT NEXT PHASE OR
JUST STOP AT THAT POINT AS

ANOTHER OFF-RAMP IN YOUR

PROCESS.
THAT COULD BE A WAY TO SORT OF

ADVANCE THE BALL BUT NOT COMMIT

ANY MORE DOLLARS IF THERE'S AN

INTERIM BEING
SOUGHT.

SO IF WE DO WHAT'S BEING

DISCUSSED HERE, AGAIN,
YOU'RE
SAYING THAT IT WOULD INCUR
THE
CITY ABSOLUTELY NO MONEY?

IS THAT A YES?

CORRECT.

THAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING

TOO, MARY?

THAT IS CORRECT, THAT IS
MY

UNDERSTANDING AS WELL.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

WHAT ABOUT STAFF TIME?

THE ONLY STAFF TIME WOULD
BE
TO EITHER HAVE A
COUNCILMEMBER
DISCUSS WITH THE SUPERVISOR OR

WHEN THIS -- WHEN IT GOES TO

BUDGET.
THAT'S WHEN WE'D BE
DISCUSSING
THE NEXT
OPTION.

SO MINIMAL?

EXACTLY.

I BELIEVE WE STILL HAVE

SUBCOMMITTEE MEETINGS
GOING
FORWARD IF WE WANT TO CONTINUE

TO MEET, CORRECT, STEVE?

I MEAN, I'M JUST ASSUMING,

THIS AD HOC THAT WE'VE
BEEN
SERVE ONNING, ALEX?

YES, IT CAN CONTINUE.

OKAY.

I'LL SECOND THE
MOTION.

OKAY.

AND
THERE WE GO.
SO ROLL CALL.

SO MOVED BY
COUNCILMEMBER
HINTON, SECONDED
BY
COUNCILMEMBER MAUER,
TO APPROVE
THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION
TO
FORM THE SEBASTOPOL EIFD.

CARTER?

YES.

HINTON.

AYE.

MAUER.

YES.

McLEWIS.

AYE.

ZOLLMAN.

YES.

MOTION PASSES
UNANIMOUSLY.

MOVING BACK ON TO AGENDA
ITEM
NUMBER 5 THAT WAS PULLED

EARLIER.

AND AGAIN, FOR THOSE FOLLOWING
ALONG AT HOME, THAT IS THE

APPROVAL OF GRANT OF
EASEMENT
DEED TO PG AND E AND
AUTHORIZING
THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE GRANT
DEED
ON BEHALF CITY COUNCIL.

COUNCILMEMBER MAWER?

I PULLED THIS ITEM
BECAUSE
PG&E IS PLANNING TO --
RIGHT
NOW, THAT VILLAGE PARK IS, THIS

IS A HIGH-DENSITY, LOW-INCOME,

HOUSING-INSECURE
RESIDENTIAL
AREA THAT THE CITY OWNS.

AND PG&E IS PLANNING TO --
IT
HAS ONE MASTER METER AT THIS

POINT, AND THE REST ARE
ALL

ANALOG SUBMETERS.

AND THEY'RE PLANNING TO GO
IN
THERE AND SWAP OUT ALL
THE
METERS FOR SMART METERS.

AND BACK IN 2019, THEY
WERE
DOING SOMETHING SIMILAR TO
FIR
CREST MOBILE HOME PARK.

AND FIR CREST MOBILE HOME
PARK
RESIDENTS ORGANIZED, AND THEY

STARTED A PETITION -- THEY
HAD
ADVANCE NOTICE OF THIS

HAPPENING.
THEN THEY BROUGHT THE PETITION

TO THE CITY.

THE CITY IN TURN, IN
2019,
SIGNED BY MAYOR HINTON AT THE

TIME, ASKED PG&E OR THE
PARK
OWNERS TO ALLOW THE TENANTS TO

MAINTAIN THEIR ANALOG METERS.

AND IN THIS SITUATION,
THESE
RESIDENTS HAVE NOT BEEN
NOTIFIED
OF THE CHANGEOUT.

AND MY CONCERN IS THE HEALTH
AND
SAFETY OF THE RESIDENTS.

WE HAVEN'T HEARD FROM ANY OF
THE
RESIDENTS, BUT ALSO,
THEY
HAVEN'T BEEN NOTIFIED, SO
THEY
WOULDN'T EVEN KNOW TO EXPRESS

CONCERNS.

SO I WOULD LIKE TO POSTPONE THIS

ISSUE SO THAT WE CAN --

I
BELIEVE THE CITY REALLY HAS A

RESPONSIBILITY, BECAUSE
THEY'RE
THE PARK OWNERS, TO ENSURE
THE
HEALTH AND SAFETY OF
THE
RESIDENTS IN THAT PARK.

AND THAT PG&E ALSO HAS THAT

RESPONSIBILITY.

BUT I -- MY EXPERIENCE
OVER
PROBABLY A DOZEN YEARS
WORKING
ON ISSUES OF SMART METERS, ET

CETERA, AND WORKING WITH
PG&E,
IS THEY'RE JUST GOING TO COME
IN
AND SLAM THOSE METERS ON
WITHOUT
NOTIFYING AND WITHOUT
GIVING
PEOPLE THE RIGHT TO OPT
OUT,
WHICH THEY DO HAVE.

SO MY OTHER CONCERN IS THAT THE

OPT-OUT DOESN'T -- IS NOT REALLY

PROTECTIVE OF COMMUNITIES
LIKE
TRAILER PARKS WHERE YOU
COULD
HAVE METERS IN CLOSE SUCCESSION.

BECAUSE LIKE ONE TRAILER
PARK,
ONE TRAILER SLOT COULD BE TEN

FEET
WIDE.
THEN YOU'VE GOT ANOTHER
METER,
ANOTHER METER.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE SITUATION
IS THERE.

BUT I DO KNOW IT'S HIGH DENSITY.

AND THAT
MAKES IT POTENTIALLY
MORE PROBLEMATIC.

SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE
IS

TO POSTPONE THIS TO BE SURE THAT PG&E
NOTIFIES EVERYONE, AND
THAT
GIVES US SOME TIME TO
NEGOTIATE
WITH P G&E OR HEAR FROM
THE
RESIDENTS AND SEE WHETHER OR
NOT
THERE ARE CONCERNS.

BECAUSE IF THE OPT-OUT IS
NOT
REALLY PROTECTIVE IN THOSE

HIGH-DENSITY SITUATIONS,
BECAUSE

ONE PERSON CAN OPT OUT ON
THEIR
OWN BUT THEY COULD HAVE ANOTHER

NEIGHBOR'S METER AIMING RIGHT
AT
THEIR BEDROOM.

AND IT'S HARD TO KNOW, I
HAVEN'T
BEEN INTO THE PARK, BUT I
KNOW
THOSE WERE SOME OF THE
CONCERNS
OF THE FIR CREST PARK RESIDENTS

FROM 2019.

OTHER DISCUSSION, QUESTIONS?

IS THERE A MOTION?

I'M KIND OF WONDERING,
LIKE,
THESE -- WOULD DELAYING THIS

INCUR, LIKE, IMMEDIATE PG&E

RESPONSE?

SO, I HAVE NOT BEEN
INVOLVED
IN THIS, BUT I DO HAVE PG&E ON

THE LINE TO ADDRESS THESE

QUESTIONS.

THEY ARE ACTUALLY HERE ON
ZOOM.
PUBLIC WORKS HAS BEEN
GOING BACK
AND FORTH WITH THEM AS
TO
POTENTIAL ISSUES IF WE DODO

VOTE IN SUPPORT OF THIS.

THE CITY WOULD BE
RESPONSIBLE
FOR THE FINANCES OF WHAT THEY'VE

DONE TO DATE IS MY UNDERSTANDING
ON THIS.

SO I WOULD RECOMMEND -- ERIC,
IF
YOU HAVE ANY
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

ON THIS.
OTHERWISE, WE CAN ASK PG&E TO

PROVIDE COMMENTS AS WELL.

MARLENA'S ON THE LINE
TO
ANSWER QUESTIONS.

THEY HAVE STARTED THE
PROJECT,
SO THERE'S BEEN DESIGN
COSTS
INCURRED BY PG&E SO
FAR.
IF WE CHOOSE TO BACK OUT OF
THE
PROJECT, WE WILL BE ON THE
HOOK
TO PAY THOSE COSTS.

I'M NOT SUGGESTING WE BACK
OUT.

I'M JUST SUGGESTING WE
BRING
THIS ISSUE
BACK SO THAT WE CAN
HOLD PG&E ACCOUNTABLE

FOR
NOTIFYING THE NEIGHBORS
AND
GETTING MORE
INFORMATION.

RIGHT.

I DID REACH OUT TO
PARK
MANAGEMENT STAFF.

THE GUY I REACHED OUT TO
WAS OUT
OF THE OFFICE AND WON'T BE
BACK
TILL TOMORROW.

CURRENTLY IS RESIDENT MANAGER IS

IN A TRANSITION.

ONE'S LEAVING, ANOTHER ONE

HASN'T STARTED YET, SO
I HAVEN'T
HAD A CHANCE TO TALK WITH
THEM.
BUT PG&E WILL
NOTIFY BEFORE THE
PROJECT STARTS CONSTRUCTION.

AND MARLENA CAN SPEAK MORE TO

THAT.

BUT THERE IS AN OPT-OUT
FOR
RESIDENTS AS WELL THAT WILL
BE
GIVEN DURING THAT PUBLIC

NOTIFICATION.

GREAT.
OTHER QUESTIONS?

ERIC, DO YOU KNOW IF THERE'S
A DEADLINE FOR THIS WITH
PG&E?
IF WE DELAY IT, DOES THAT
HINDER

US?

I'M NOT SURE.

MARLENA WOULD BE MORE IN LINE
WITH
THAT.

VICE MAYOR, DO YOU HAVE A

QUESTION?
OR TALK TO THE REPRESENTATIVE ON

PG&E?

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

THIS IS A RELATED QUESTION.

MARLENA, IF WE DELAY TO GIVE US
THE OPPORTUNITY TO KIND OF
ADDRESS THIS AS COUNCIL
PEOPLE --

YEAH, I DON'T THINK THIS IS,
LIKE, A DROP-DEAD, HAS TO
BE
DECIDED TONIGHT.

BUT THIS HAS BEEN A PROJECT

ONGOING SINCE 2021.

IT'S BEEN A LONG PROCESS.

OKAY.

SO CAN WE HEAR FROM
MARLENE?
IS SHE STILL THERE?

YEAH.
SIMON HAS HIS HANDS UP.

HE'S FROM PG&E
AS WELL.

WHOEVER CAN ANSWER THE
QUESTION, WHAT ARE
THE
DETRIMENTS FOR DELAYING?

IN ORDER TO GIVE NOTICE TO
THE
LIVING THERE?

ANYONE?

THANK YOU, SIMON.

CAN YOU HEAR US OKAY?

YES, I CAN.

CAN YOU GUYS HEAR ME?

WE CAN.

CAN YOU PLEASE ADDRESS COUNCIL'S

QUESTIONS REGARDING
THE TIMING

IF WE DELAY THIS FOR A
FEW

WEEKS?

SURE.

CURRENTLY, WE'RE WORKING ON
A
SCHEDULE THAT SHOWS GETTING

STARTED LATE DECEMBER
WITH SOME
OF THE PREDUCTION
ACTIVITIES.
SO THAT WOULDN'T BE ACTUAL

CONSTRUCTION.

PUT THAT WOULD BE STAGING FOR

CONSTRUCTION IN ADDITION TO
THE
ADDITIONAL NOTIFICATION.

WE TYPICALLY SCHEDULE
WHAT I
THINK WAS REFERENCED AS FAR AS

THE PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND OPEN

HOUSE MEETING THAT WOULD ALLOW

MORE INFORMATION TO RESIDENTS.

AND IT WOULD ALSO
INCLUDE I
GUESS WHAT'S BEING REQUESTED AS

KIND OF
THE OPT-OUT FOR THE
SMART METER.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OF THE --

VICE MAYOR?

I HEARD THE MENTION
THAT THE
CITY WOULD BE ON THE HOOK FOR

COSTS.

IF WE DID THIS DELAY.

ARE THERE ANY COSTS THAT
WE
WOULD INCUR?

IT SOUNDS LIKE THIS HAS BEEN

ONGOING FOR SO
LONG.

SO YEAH, NO, THERE'S --
AT
THIS POINT, THERE WOULDN'T
BE
COSTS INCURRED FOR THE DELAY.

PER SE.

TYPICALLY THE COSTS INCURRED
ARE
FOR CANCELTION OF THE
MHP
AGREEMENT.

IT SOUNDS LIKE MAY HAVE BEEN

SIGNED BY A PREDECESSOR,
CITY
FOLKS THAT WERE INVOLVED
WITH
THIS WHEN IT FIRST STARTED
BACK
IN 2021.

SO WE'RE CURRENTLY THROUGH

DESIGN.

WE'VE EXECUTED A CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT WITH OUR CONSTRUCTION

PC.
THAT'S BASICALLY WHERE
THINGS
ARE.

WE UNDERSTAND THE FACT THAT
IT
SOUNDS LIKE THERE'S A
NEED TO
HAVE MORE INFORMATION PROVIDED.

AND I BELIEVE THERE WERE
A
NUMBER OF QUESTIONS THAT
WERE
SENT OVER IN REGARD TO THE

CUSTOMER NOTIFICATION
PORTION IN
ADDITION TO THE EASEMENT AND
THE
REMOVAL OF SOME VEGETATION.

SO WE WANTED TO DEFINITELY
BE
INVOLVED ON THIS CALL TO GET ANY

OF THOSE QUESTIONS
ANSWERED SO
THAT THE COUNCIL COULD VOTE ON

THE APPROVAL OR
DISAPPROVAL OF
THE EASEMENT, WHICH IS
REQUIRED
FOR US TO MOVE FORWARD WITH
CONSTRUCTION.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

HOW MANY UTILITY METERS
IN
THE PARK WILL YOU BE SWAPPING
OUT?

SO THIS IS A UNIQUE PROJECT.

TYPICALLY, THE MOBILE HOME
PARKS
ARE OWNED BY AN INDIVIDUAL.

THIS ONE IS OWNED BY THE CITY.

SO YOU GUYS HAVE PERMITTED
A
TOTAL OF 28 METERS TO BE
REPLACED, GAS AND ELECTRIC.

SO 28 GAS, THEN 28 ELECTRIC?

THAT'S CORRECT.

AND I BELIEVE THERE ARE A COUPLE
OF LOCATIONS THAT ARE ALREADY
BUNCHED TOGETHER THAT ARE --

WERE PREVIOUSLY BEING
SERVED
DIRECTLY BY PG&E.

SO THAT'S KIND OF THE
INTENT OF

THE PROGRAM.
IT'S FOR SAFETY.

AND THAT WOULD BE TO REPLACE
THE
INFRASTRUCTURE TO THE METER AND
THEN BEYOND THE METER, WHICH

WOULD INCLUDE A DIRECT PG&E

METER AT EACH OF
THOSE 28
SPACES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES, SO IN THE STAFF
REPORT,
THERE'S A CONTRACT AMOUNT ON
VETERAN
PIPELINE CONSTRUCTION OF

\$228," 248?

THAT IS WHAT THE CITY'S PAYING?

OR THAT'S WHAT PG&E IS
PAYING,
AND WE COULD BE ON THE
HOOK FOR
A PORTION OF
THAT.

THAT IS THE PORTION
THAT'S
BEING PAID FOR BY PG&E.

IN ESSENCE, IT'S RATE
PAYER
MONEY THAT'S
BEING REIMBURSED
FOR THIS SCOPE OF WORK.

EARLIER, OUR INTERIM CITY

MANAGER WAS GATHERING ANSWERS.

SHE SENT ME THAT PG&E HAS DONE

\$100,000 OF PRELIMINARY
WORK,
AND THE CITY WOULD BE ON

THE
HOOK FOR THAT.

ABOUT A HALF-HOUR LATER,
THAT
COST WAS BUMPED UP TO
\$330,000
OF PRELIMINARY WORK.

SO CAN YOU JUSTIFY WHAT
\$330,000
WAS SPENT ON?

AND PLEASE EXPLAIN IF
THE
PROJECT IS ONLY GOING TO COST

\$228,000, HOW IS IT THAT
WE
ARE -- YOU'VE ALREADY SPENT

\$330,000?

THAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME.

CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THAT?

I'M THINKING THAT'S BASED
ON
THE
PROJECT COSTS, ENGINEERING
AND CONSTRUCTION.

SO WHY ISN'T THAT
INCLUDED IN
THE TOTAL COST?

I BELIEVE THAT IS THE TOTAL
COST.

BUT IN OUR STAFF REPORT, IT
SAYS THE TOTAL COST IS \$228,000.

MARLENE, IS THERE
A
DISCREPANCY THERE FOR
THE

INFORMATION THAT WAS SENT OUT
YESTERDAY?

I JUST WANT TO CONFIRM,
BECAUSE
IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE MIGHT
HAVE
BEEN A DIFFERENCE.

SOUNDS LIKE THE TOTAL
COST
VERSUS WHAT WAS ISSUED AS FAR AS
THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TO GET
TO THAT \$330,000 NUMBER.

SO WHEN YOU PULLED
OUR
REPORTER FOR THE \$330,000,
THAT
WOULD BE ALL THE COSTS FOR
THE
CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERING
HOURS UP TO DATE.

SO THAT WAS FOR BOTH GAS
AND
ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE.

I'M NOT SURE THE
\$200,000, WHERE
THAT'S COMING FROM.

THE INFORMATION THAT I
PROVIDED
TONY WAS \$300,000.

\$330,000, APPROXIMATELY, WHAT IS

I LET HER KNOW.

SO I'M
NOT SURE WHERE THE OTHER
VALUES ARE COMING FROM.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

SURE, GO AHEAD.

SORRY.

VICE MAYOR?

SORRY, I'VE JUST BEEN WAVING.

I WAS JUST WONDERING, I'M

LOOKING AT THIS, AND IT SAYS

VETERAN PIPELINE IS
TENTATIVELY
SCHEDULED TO START IN FEBRUARY

2026.
SO ANY KIND OF DELAY HERE,
WHAT
RIPPLE EFFECT DOES THAT
HAVE,
AND ARE THERE CONSEQUENCES?

WHERE WOULD THE CONSEQUENCES BE,

IF ANY, WITH THIS
PROJECT, WITH
THE RESIDENTS?

I JUST WANT TO BETTER
UNDERSTAND
THIS AS A PROJECT MANAGER

MYSELF.

SURE.
SO THE IMPACTS TO -- OBVIOUSLY

THERE'S A PRETTY TIGHT
SCHEDULE
THAT WE'RE TRYING TO
MAINTAIN
BASED ON FUNDING FOR THE
CURRENT
YEAR AND THEN INTO 2026.

ANY POTENTIAL HOLD OR DELAY
MAY
RISK US HAVING TO SHELF THE

PROJECT.

MEANING IT WOULD BE ON
HOLD
UNTIL WE COULD GET IT BACK IN

THE SCHEDULE FINANCIALLY.

SO THAT WOULD
BE THE OTHER

ALTERNATIVE.
AND THAT COULD BE THE
POTENTIAL
IMPACT OF A DELAYED DECISION.

BECAUSE WE NEED TO MAKE --

OBVIOUSLY MAKE BUDGET PLANS FOR
2026

IF THIS PROJECT ISN'T GOING
TO MOVE FORWARD.

FIND ANOTHER CANDIDATE
THAT
MEETS THAT BUDGETARY
FRAMEWORK
IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO
MAINTAIN
THAT FOR THIS UPCOMING YEAR.

SO THAT WOULD BE
THE POTENTIAL
OF A DELAYED
DECISION AT THIS
POINT.

OBVIOUSLY, TO THE
RESIDENTS,
THERE HAS BEEN
THAT
COMMUNICATION VIA
OUR
CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR AND

PG&E, AS FAR AS THE
INFORMATION
GOING OUT.

WE'RE OBVIOUSLY TRYING TO GET A
MEETING SCHEDULED FOR

PRECONSTRUCTION TO KIND OF GET
A
LEVEL OF ENGAGEMENT SO
THAT
THERE'S AWARENESS OF
WHAT'S
GOING TO HAPPEN OVER THE
NEXT
SEVERAL MONTHS IF
THE PROJECT
DOES
PROCEED.
SO THOSE ARE THE POTENTIAL

IMPACTS.
LIKE I SAY, IT
WOULDN'T
NECESSARILY BE
FINANCIAL AT THIS
POINT UNLESS THE CITY DECIDED
TO
OPT OUT.

BUT, YOU KNOW, THE IMPACT
TO

CONSTRUCTION AND POTENTIALLY NOT

MOVING
FORWARD IN 2026 ARE HIGH
AT THIS POINT.

SO WHAT IMPACT --
SO THE
QUESTION I HAVE IS, WHEN DO
YOU
DEFINE CANCEL?

IF IT'S BUMPED OUT OF THE

BUDGET, NOT BACK IN THE BUDGET?

I JUST WORRY ABOUT ANY, YOU

KNOW, RESPONSIBILITY OF THE
CITY
WOULD HOLD AT ANY POINT.

SURE.

IT WOULD BE
CONSIDERED CANCELLED
IF THE CITY WERE EITHER
UNABLE
TO COME TO A DECISION
ON WHETHER
OR NOT TO PROCEED, AND YOU
KNOW,
OBVIOUSLY I THINK THERE
ARE
OTHER CONSIDERATIONS THAT WERE

MENTIONED.
THE FIRST OF WHICH WAS THE

EASEMENT.

SO WE WOULD
DEFINITELY NEED TO
GET THAT EASEMENT
ESTABLISHED

AND UNDERSTOOD BEFORE WE
COULD
GET STARTED IN CONSTRUCTION.

BUT YOU KNOW, AGAIN, IF YOU GUYS

WERE TO DECIDE TO CANCEL AND

SAY, WE DON'T WANT TO MOVE

FORWARD, THIS IS THE BEST
FOR
THE COMMUNITY OR FOR THE

RESIDENTS, THEN THAT WOULD BE
WHEN COSTS WERE INCURRED.

SO IT WOULD REALLY NEED
TO BE
THE UNDERSTANDING FROM THE
CITY
ON WHETHER OR NOT YOU GUYS
ARE
ANTICIPATING PROCEEDING.

OR IF THERE'S JUST
MORE
CLARIFICATION THAT'S
NEEDED
BEFORE YOU'RE ABLE TO
PROCEED.

MARLENE, I SEE YOUR HAND UP.

DID YOU WANT TO SAY ANYTHING,

MARLENA?

YES, SORRY.

I WAS TRYING TO GET UNMUTED.

I HAD TO DO THE PERMISSION.

I WAS ABLE TO FIND
INFORMATION

FOR THE \$228,000.

AND I THINK THAT THERE IS A
MISUNDERSTANDING.

TONI HAD ASKED TO GET A COPY OF
THE AGREEMENT THAT WAS SIGNED.

AND THAT DOLLAR VALUE IS FOR
THE
CONSTRUCTION PORTION OF IT.

SO WITHIN THAT AGREEMENT ON
PAGE
24, IT OUTLINES THE COST THAT
IS
GOING TO BE COVERED FOR THE NEW

ELECTRIC SYSTEM.
AND THE NEW GAS SYSTEM.

THE COST THAT I GAVE HER
YESTERDAY WAS FOR ALL THE

ENGINEERING SERVICES, DESIGN

WALKS, PG&E COSTS,
CONTRACTOR
COSTS UP UNTIL THAT POINT.

SO THE OVERALL TOTAL
PROJECT
WOULD BE GETTING OVER
\$500,000
WHEN YOU COMBINE
CONSTRUCTION
AND DESIGN.

BUT I BELIEVE THAT'S WHERE THAT
\$228,000 VALUE IS COMING FROM.

SO I THINK THAT'S WHAT
CAUSED A

LITTLE BIT OF THE CONFUSION.

THAT IS NOT WHAT YOU GUYS --

WHAT WE HAVE SPENT TO DATE.

THAT IS SPECIFICALLY
BREAKING
OUT WHAT IT'S GOING TO COST
TO
PUT THE NEW ELECTRIC SYSTEM
AND
THE NEW GAS SYSTEM, AND THEY'RE

BOTH BROKEN OUT ON PAGE 24 OF

THE CONTRACT THAT WAS
ALREADY
SIGNED BETWEEN THE CITY AND

PG&E.

GREAT.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE
GO
TO PUBLIC COMMENT?

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

SO I WANT TO ASK ABOUT YOUR
REMOVING SOME TREES IN THE PARK.

CAN YOU PLEASE SAY HOW
MANY
TREES YOU'RE REMOVING AND
WHAT
TYPE OF TREES THEY ARE?

WE HAVE NOT IDENTIFIED
ANY
TREES TO BE REMOVED AT THIS
TIME.

I KNOW THIS WAS A QUESTION
THAT
TONI HAD SENT OVER.

SO I HAD REACHED OUT TO OUR

VEGETATION MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT
TO PROVIDE THE NOTIFICATION

PROCESS.
IF THERE WOULD BE A TREE
THAT
WOULD NEED TO BE REMOVED.

BUT AT THIS TIME, WITH
OUR
CURRENT DESIGN, THERE HAS
NOT
BEEN ANYTHING IDENTIFIED FOR A

TREE TO BE REMOVED THAT I'M

AWARE OF.

I LOOKED THROUGH ALL OF OUR

DOCUMENTS, BUT I
UNDERSTAND THAT
IS SOMETHING WITHIN
PG&E'S
EASEMENT THAT THEY DO STATE,
IF
IN FACT IT NEEDS TO BE
REMOVED,
TO WHERE THEY CAN MAINTAIN
AND
OPERATE THEIR SYSTEM.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

SEEING NONE, MARY, PUBLIC

COMMENT?

THANK YOU,
MAYOR.
THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR
THE
PG&E EASEMENT DATE FOR THE
SMART
METERS AT VILLAGE PARK.

IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC
COMMENT, KYLE?

PY REALLY WANT TO THANK THE CITY

AND PG&E FOR THIS GREAT PIECE
OF
ENTERTAINMENT FOR TONIGHT.

FIRST OFF, THIS WAS
PLACED
DELIBERATELY ON THE
CONSENT
CALENDAR WITH FULL KNOWLEDGE

THAT, ONE, THERE
WASN'T
NOTIFICATION THAT
WAS HAPPENING
TO RESIDENTS OF CITY-OWNED

PROPERTY, THAT WE HAD FAULTY

INFORMATION COMING FROM A STAFF

REPORT, FROM A CONTRACTED

PLANNING DIRECTOR TO GROSS,

GROSS OVERESTIMATION OF COSTS.

LIKE THE IDEA THAT PRECOSTS ARE

\$300,000, BRINGING A TOTAL
COST
TO \$500,000?

WHAT THAT ACTUALLY

MEANS FOR THE
NUMBER OF RESIDENTS OF THE CITY

OF SEBASTOPOL, IF YOU LOOKED
AT
EACH HOME, WE'RE ALL PAYING
AN
EXTRA PG&E BILL JUST TO PAY FOR

THIS PROJECT.

BECAUSE AGAIN, THESE ARE RATE

PAYER-FUNDED PROJECTS HERE.

SO WE ARE PAYING FOR THIS,
EVEN
IF THE CITY IS NOT INCURRING THE

COST.

WE, THE CITY -- SORRY, NOT
THE
BUDGET GENERAL FUND --
ARE
PAYING THE COST.

SO I APPRECIATE
COUNCILMEMBERS
LOOKING AT THE CONSENT
CALENDAR
WITH GOOD EYES TO MAKE SURE
THAT
THEY ARE ACTIVELY PULLING THOSE

ITEMS.
BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF
ITEMS
THAT ARE BEING THROWN ON

CONSENT.

REGULARLY.

AND HERE'S AN EXAMPLE OF
WHERE
WE HAVE AMAZING

ENTERTAINMENT
ABOUT THE PUBLIC IMAGE OF PG&E

ON DISPLAY AND THE WAY THAT THEY

ARE INTERACTING WITH THE
PUBLIC
AND THE WAY THAT THEY
ARE
ENGAGING WITH PUBLIC PROJECTS.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR
YOUR
PUBLIC COMMENT.

OUT TO ZOOM.

MARGARET, CAN YOU UNMUTE
YOURSELF, PLEASE?

THANK YOU.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER STILL?

I
CAN.

GREAT, GO AHEAD,
PLEASE.

WHERE'S LINDA BERG WHEN
WE
NEED HER?

SHE'S DISAPPEARED.

ISN'T THERE AN ORDINANCE
IN

SEBASTOPOL THAT PROHIBITS SMART
METERS?

I'M NOT SURE WHEN IT WAS PASSED.

OR THAT IT HAD ANY REAL TEETH

TO

IT.

IN PRINCIPLE, I THINK

THE LAST

TIME THAT THE CITY -- A

CITY

COUNCIL GOT TOGETHER AND

TALKED

ABOUT THIS, THEY VOTED NOT TO

HAVE AS A MATTER OF FACT SMART METERS IN SEBASTOPOL.

SANDRA MAY KNOW THE ANSWER TO

THAT.

THE SECOND PART,

I HATE TO AGREE

WITH KYLE SOMETIMES, BUT I

WAS

WITH HIM THERE.

\$300,000 FOR THE DESIGN WORK?

IF YOU TOTAL UP THE TOTAL

COST

OR VALUE OF ALL THE UNITS

IN

PARK VILLAGE, I DOUBT IF IT'S

\$300,000.

NOW IT'S A \$500,000 PROJECT.

ORIGINALLY, IT WAS JUST

TO PUT

IN SMART METERS.

THAT WAS THE EARLY DISCUSSION.

IT CAME WHEN -- I FORGOT HER

NAME, I THINK MARLENE CAME

ON,

SHE SAID THIS IS TO REPLACE

THE

GAS AND ELECTRIC SERVICE
INTO
THAT VILLAGE.

SO THAT'S KIND OF A DIFFERENT
STORY.

IT MIGHT EXPLAIN WHY IT'S
COSTLY.

WHY ARE WE REPLACING THE GAS AND
ELECTRIC INTO THE VILLAGE?

IS IT IN POOR CONDITION AND THE
INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS TO BE

UPGRADED?
IS IT A SAFETY ISSUE?

IS THERE SOMETHING ELSE GOING
ON
THERE?

OR IS THIS A SMART METER
PROJECT?

IF IT'S A SMART METER
PROJECT,
DON'T
WE HAVE AN ORDINANCE?
THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC

COMMENT.
NEXT, ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT

WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC

COMMENT?

PLEASE COME UP TO THE PODIUM.

SEEING NONE, BACK OUT TO ZOOM.

IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM
THAT
WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC

COMMENT FOR THE SMART METERS
AT
VILLAGE PARK, PLEASE RAISE YOUR

HAND VIRTUALLY.

SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS
CLOSED.

THANK YOU.

BRINGING IT BACK UP TO THE
DAIS
FOR DISCUSSION AND/OR MOTION.

COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER.

THERE WAS A MAN HERE
EARLIER,
PAUL ANDRE.

HE DID HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT.

I'M NOT SURE WHERE HE WENT.

HE HAD WRITTEN TO ME ABOUT THIS
ISSUE.

SO I DID THE
MATH.
AND \$500,000 DIVIDED BY 28 IS

\$17,857.

IF I DID THAT MATH

CORRECT, I
DON'T KNOW THAT SOUNDS LIKE

INSANE FOR HOW MUCH THIS
IS
COSTING FOR THIS LITTLE
TINY
PARK VILLAGE RESIDENCE.

SO -- ANYWAY, MY REQUEST IS TO
POSTPONE THIS ISSUE.

THE PURPOSE BEING IS TO
ENSURE
THAT PG&E NOTIFIES THE PUBLIC.

AND I JUST THINK IT'S ONLY
FAIR
THAT THE CITY OVERSEE THIS
AND
DON'T JUST LET PG&E DO WHAT
THEYTHEY
BECAUSE I'VE SEEN
THEM
COMING IN, SLAMMING ON THE
METERS WITHOUT NOTIFICATION.

THIS IS HOW THEY BEHAVED BACK IN
2010, 2011, EARLY ON.

AND I'D LIKE TO SEE THEM

NOTIFYING THE RESIDENTS
THERE
AND INFORMING THEM OF AN OPT-
OUT
AND POSSIBLY HAVING THE CITY BE

PART OF THAT TO TRY TO
WALK
THROUGH HOW TO BE MORE

PROTECTIVE OF ANYONE WHO'S
IN
THAT PARK THAT MIGHT BE MORE

VULNERABLE.

BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
56
NEW WIRELESS TRANSMITTERS IN A

TINY AREA.

AND SOME OF THESE METERS
THAT
PG&E HAS, THE SMART
METERS,
ESPECIALLY THE ELECTRIC
ONES,
THEY CAN BE TRANSMITTING

CONSTANTLY THROUGHOUT THE
DAY,
DEPENDING ON WHERE THEY ARE
IN
THAT MESH NETWORK.

I WORK AT THE CPUC ON THIS
I
HELPED GET THE OPT-OUT FOR
ALL
CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS.
AND
I JUST CAN'T -- I JUST THINK

THE CITY HAS A RESPONSIBILITY
TO
BE RESPONSIBLE ON THIS
AND MAKE
SURE PG&E IS ACCOUNTABLE.

THAT'S MY REQUEST, TO
POSTPONE
IT TO ENSURE THAT -- THAT'S MY

MOTION, TO POSTPONE IT AND
HAVE
THE CITY BRING IT BACK TO TELL

PG&E TO MAKE SURE THEY DO
THAT

MEETING AND GIVE THE RESIDENTS
NOTICE.

VICE MAYOR?

I WONDERED IF OUR INTERIM
CITY MANAGER COULD HELP, OR OUR
ATTORNEY.

IT SEEMS TO ME
THERE'S SOME
CONFUSION ABOUT THE
ACTUAL
ORDINANCE WE HAVE.

JUST AS A COUNCILMEMBER, I
WOULD
LIKE TO HEAR FROM THEM
EXACTLY
WHAT THAT ORDINANCE IS AND JUST
FOR THE PUBLIC TO UNDERSTAND
WHAT THAT MEANS.

IT SEEMS AS THOUGH
THAT'S

IMPORTANT FOR ALL OF US TO
UNDERSTAND.

COUNCIL ADOPTED A
MORATORIUM,
I BELIEVE IN 2013.

BEFORE MY TIME HERE.

RELATED -- ENACTING A

MORATORIUM.
MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IT WAS

TIED TO THE COMPLETION OF
PHASE
TWO PROCEEDING AT THE CUPC.

AND EXPIRED WHEN THAT OCCURRED.

WE CAN CERTAINLY GET
THAT
INFORMATION, AND IF THE COUNCIL

DECIDES TO POSTPONE
THIS, COME

BACK WITH A MORE COMPLETE ANSWER
TO THAT QUESTION.

FURTHER DISCUSSION AND/OR

MOTION?

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I SAW YOU

FIRST.

I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I
AM
BUILDING AN ADU, AND I JUST
HAVE
DEALT WITH PG&E A LOT ABOUT

UPGRADED METERS.

TO PULL UPGRADED METERS JUST FOR

MY STREET, TO MY LITTLE
HOUSE,
IS 20 TO \$25,000.

IF YOU WANT TO FULLY UPGRADE.

AND I KNOW ABOUT
THEIR
ENGINEERING COSTS AND ALL THESE

EXPENSES.

SO \$17,000 DOESN'T
SOUND, BASED
ON THIS CONTRACT, THAT

OUTRAGEOUS.

BASED ON MY RECENT
EXPERIENCE.
JUST WANTED TO CONTRIBUTE THAT.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

I'M SORRY YOU HAD TO PAYPAY

THAT.
AND I'M SORRY PG&E FEELS
LIKE
THEY HAVE TO PAY THAT.

I'M KIND OF CONCERNED ABOUT
PG&EPG&E
GENERAL PASSING ALONG
THESE
COSTS AND JUST DOING WORK TO DO

WORK.
BUT OTHER THAN THAT, I
DON'T
REALLY HAVE A PROBLEM
POSTPONING
IT OR PASSING IT.

BUT I DEFINITELY WANT TO
ENFORCE
THE -- PG&E'S OPEN HOUSE AND

BEING ABLE TO, YOU KNOW, JUST
BE
FAIR AND FULL AND GIVE THEM THE

OPPORTUNITY TO OPT OUT.

THE PG&E REPRESENTATIVE
HAS
HER HAND
UP.

OKAY, MARLENA?

YES, I JUST WANTED TO GIVE
A
LITTLE BIT OF CLARITY
TO SOME OF
THE COMMENTS THAT WERE BEING
MADE.

JUST TO LET YOU GUYS KNOW.

YOU CAN TOTALLY OPT OUT, THE
RESIDENTS.

I HAD ALREADY CONFIRMED THAT
FOR
TONI, GAVE HER THAT INFORMATION.

THERE IS AN OPTION FOR
ANALOG
METERS THAT THEY CAN OPT OUT FOR

THAT.
THAT'S NOT AN ISSUE.

I EVEN PROVIDED ALL
THE
INFORMATION REGARDING THE EMF.

SO THAT CAN BE PROVIDED.

THE OPEN HOUSE.

WE'RE VERY, VERY CLEAR
ABOUT
THAT IN LETTING OUR
RESIDENTS
KNOW THAT THAT IS AN OPTION.

WE'RE NOT FORCING THEM TO HAVE A
SMART METER.

IN ADDITION, I WANTED TO
POINT
OUT THAT THIS PROGRAM WAS NOT A
REQUIREMENT OF PG&E, THIS
IS
ACTUALLY A CPUC PROGRAM.

AND IT WAS VOLUNTARY THAT THE
CITY OF SEBASTOPOL HAD
REQUESTED
TO BE A PART OF THAT
DURING
THEIR APPLICATION PROCESS
WITH
THE CPUC.

I HAD ALREADY PROVIDED
THAT
INFORMATION TO TONI AS WELL.

SO IF SHE CAN GIVE YOU GUYS A

COPY OF THE APPLICATION THAT
WAS
SUBMITTED BY THE CITY TO THE

CPUC TO BE A PART OF
THE
VOLUNTARY
PROGRAM.
AND THAT IS WHY YOU GUYS WERE

SELECTED.
IT WASN'T THAT PG&E HAS SELECTED
YOU GUYS.

AND YES, THIS WAS BOTH A GAS
AND
ELECTRIC UPGRADE.

BECAUSE THIS PARK IS
CURRENTLY
SERVED BY BOTH GAS AND ELECTRIC

INFRASTRUCTURE.
SO THAT'S WHY IT QUALIFIES TO
BE
ALLOWED TO HAVE BOTH
UPGRADES,
NOT JUST CHANGING OUT APRIL

ANALOG CENTIMETER TO SMART

METER.
THAT IS CURRENTLY METERED BY
A
SMART METER.

I PROVIDED THAT INFORMATION
TO
TONI AS
WELL.

OKAY, GREAT.

SIMON, I SEE YOUR HAND
UP.

KIND OF JUST TO DOVETAIL
OFF
WHAT MARLENA IS SAYING, THIS

PROGRAM'S COMPLETELY OPTIONAL.

NO ONE'S FORCING THE CITY
TO
MOVE FORWARD.

THE CITY DID APPLY TO BE PART
OF
THIS PROGRAM.

ADDITIONALLY, I KNOW THERE
WAS
SOMEONE THAT STATED KIND OF THE

COST.
AND AGAIN, THIS IS
SOMETHING
THAT THERE'S FULL TRANSPARENCY
ON.

THE INFORMATION IS INCLUDED IN
THE HP AGREEMENT AS FAR AS WHAT

WE SPENT.
WE CAN BREAK OUT THAT
CONTRACT
COST SO THERE'S MORE
VISIBILITY
AS FAR AS THE
ENGINEERING AND
THE INTERNAL RESOURCES FOR
PG&E
TO GET TO THIS POINT OVER THE
LAST FOUR YEARS.

AND THEN ALSO, TOO, JUST SO
THAT
THERE'S NO
MISUNDERSTANDING,
THIS ISN'T A PROGRAM TO
SWAP OUT
THE METERS.

THIS IS A PROGRAM TO PUT
NEW GAS
AND ELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE IN.

AND IT'S PREDICATED ON SAFETY,

RIGHT?
SO PG&E'S GOAL OBVIOUSLY
THROUGH
LESSONS LEARNED IN WHAT WE
EXPERIENCED IN THE PAST IS THAT,

YOU KNOW, THIS PROGRAM IS
GOING
TO BRING A LEVEL OF SAFETY
AND
RELIABILITY TO RESIDENTS
IN
COMMUNITIES THAT ARE TYPICALLY,

YOU KNOW, OVERLOOKED AND
UNDERSERVED.

SO THOSE ARE THINGS TO KEEP IN
MIND.

I KNOW THAT THERE'S ALSO
THE
NOTIFICATION PORTION THAT'S
BEEN
CONSTANTLY BROUGHT UP AGAIN.

THIS IS SOMETHING THAT
THE
PROGRAM HAS OUTLINED AND
WE'RE
HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

BOTH NOW AND THEN AFTER THE FACT

AS FAR AS WHAT MOTIVATION
IS
GOING OUT TO RESIDENTS.

BECAUSE THE
RESIDENTS AT THIS
POINT ARE NOT PG&E CUSTOMERS,
WE
CAN'T INFORM THEM.

HOWEVER, THE CITY AS THE OWNER
OF THE PARK AND AS OUTLINED
IN
THE MHP
AGREEMENT IS RESPONSIBLE
FOR THAT LEVEL OF NOTIFICATION.

SO THAT CAN HAPPEN IN
THE
BACKGROUND WHILE WE'RE STILL

WORKING THROUGH THE
EASEMENT

PORTION.
SO JUST AN ENCOURAGEMENT TO
NOT
LET THAT PARTICULAR POINT HINDER

YOUR GUYS' DECISION.

WE JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE

THERE'S THAT LEVEL OF

TRANSPARENCY BOTH WITH THE
CITY
AND THEN ALSO THE
UNDERSTANDING
THAT THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN
WITH
THE RESIDENTS AS
WELL.

THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER MAWER?

YOU SAID THAT THIS IS

OPTIONAL, BUT PG&E TODAY
TOLDTOLD
CITY THAT
THEY'VE ALREADY
INVESTED \$330,000.

SO THAT DOESN'T SEEM LIKE A
REAL
OPTIONAL OPTION HERE, I DON'T

THINK.

AND THE OTHER THING

IS,
SOMETHING YOU SAID, I'M JUST

CURIOUS.

I WANT TO DOUBLE-CHECK.

ARE YOU WILLING TO LEAVE
THE

ANALOG METERS IN PLACE AS THEY
ARE, RATHER THAN SWAP
SWAPPING
THEM OUT FOR SMART METERS?

TO ANSWER YOUR FIRST

QUESTION, THE CITY, MAYBE
NOT
WITH THE FOLKS INVOLVED ON THIS

CALL, BUT THE CITY CAME INTO

AGREEMENT WITH PG&E ON
KIND OF
THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE
PROGRAM
AND
THE COSTS INCURRED.
IT WAS IN THE
APPLICATION
PORTION, YOU KNOW, OF
THIS
ENDEAVOR IDENTIFIED THAT, YOU

KNOW, AN OPT-OUT
COULD
POTENTIALLY INCUR THE COSTS
TO
DATE.

AND AGAIN, PG&E WOULD HAVE
TO
SHOW FULL TRANSPARENCY.

I CAN SAY FROM PREVIOUS

EXPERIENCE IN ALL THE PARKS
THAT
I'VE CHANGED OVER THE LAST TEN

YEARS, WE'VE NEVER ACTUALLY
GONEGONE
ANY PERSON THAT HAS CHOSEN

TO OPT OUT.

I WOULD SAY EVEN THIS LATE
IN
THE PROCESS.

SO I DON'T THINK
THAT'S
SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE A HUGE

CONCERN.

I THINK, AGAIN, WITH
THE
UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS
A
PROGRAM THAT'S RELATED TO

SAFETY, AND WE'RE TRYING TO DO

WHAT'S IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF

THE CUSTOMER.

I DON'T SEE THAT HAPPENING

MOVING FORWARD.

AND YOUR SECOND QUESTION?

ARE YOU WILLING TO LEAVE
THE
ANALOG METERS IN PLACE AND
NOT
SWAP THEM OUT FOR SMART METERS?

SO THE ANALOG METERS THAT
ARE

THERE ARE CURRENTLY OWNED BY
THE
CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.

WE WOULD BE ABLE TO SWAP OUT
THE
METERS, BUT THEY WOULDN'T
BE
OWNED BY CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.

IF THE RESIDENT, YOU
KNOW,
DECIDED THAT THAT THEY DID NOT

WANT A SMART METER, THEY
HAVE
THE ABILITY TO GET A METER

THAT'S NOT A SMART METER.

BUT WE WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO

LEAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE
THAT'S
IN
PLACE.

I'M GOING TO GO TO THE VICE

MAYOR.

MAYOR.

I OF ALL PEOPLEPEOPLE
SKEPTICAL
OF PG&E BECAUSE OF HISTORY.

AT THE SAME TIME, LISTENING
TO
ALL OF THIS BACK AND FORTH, I

JUST DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH
MORE WE
CAN DO TO VERIFY THAT
THEY'RE
GOING TO DO WHAT THEY'RE
GOING

TO DO.

THE CITY'S ALREADY PAID FOR
IT.

I MEAN, COMMITTED TO
IT.

WE'VE SIGNED IT.

WE'RE ON THE HOOK FOR THE
COSTS
IF WE DON'T DO THIS.

SO I PERSONALLY AM OKAY WITH

MOVING THIS FORWARD SIMPLY

BECAUSE, I MEAN -- HOW
MUCH MORE
CAN WE GET INTO THE WEEDS WITH

THIS?

WHEN WE'VE ALREADY AS A
CITY
APPLIED FOR THIS AND SIGNED
AND
COMMITTED TO IT ALREADY?

I KNOW THAT WE DID NOT DO IT.

IT MAY NOT ALIGN
WITH SOME OF
THE VALUES THAT WE HAVE

CURRENTLY.
I JUST DON'T KNOW AT THIS
POINT,
FOR ME PERSONALLY -- I'M
OKAY
WITH MOVING IT FORWARD BASED
ON
ALL THE INFORMATION WE'VE HEARD

HERE
TODAY.
AND AGAIN, I'M ALWAYS
SKEPTICAL

OF PG&E.

BUT AT THIS POINT, AS FAR
AS
BEING A STEWARD OF
THE CITY AND
NOT GETTING THESE COSTS.

AND ALSO, WE'RE
CONSTANTLY
TALKING ABOUT STAFF TIME AND IF

WE'RE TRYING TO GET INTO
THE
WEEDS MORE AND PUT MORE STAFF

TIME INTO THIS, WHAT ARE WE

PULLING AWAY FROM ON THE THER
PROJECTS
OF TRYING TO MANAGE MG AND
E
EVEN MORE?

I'M OKAY WITH
MOVING FORWARD.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

YEAH, IN 2019, THE
RESIDENTS
OF FIR CREST MOBILE HOME PARK

HAD REQUESTED TO KEEP
THEIR
ANALOG METERS AND PG&E DID
GRANT
THEM THE ANALOG METERS.

SO I KNOW THAT YOU CAN DO
IT IF
YOU WANTED TO DO IT.

SO THAT'S JUST ALL I HAVE TO

SAY, THANK YOU.

SO THERE'S A MOTION.

I SAW HANDS, BUT
WE'RE GOING TO
GET BACK TO THE PROCEDURAL PART

OF ALL THIS.

OF THIS PROCESS TONIGHT.

THERE'S A MOTION ON THE
TABLE
FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAUER.

IS THERE A SECOND?

SEEING NONE, THEN WHERE ARE WE?

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I THOUGHT
COUNCILMEMBER
McLEWIS MADE ANOTHER
MOTION?

YES, YOU'RE
RIGHT.

I WAS JUST STATING MY

POSITION.
I DON'T KNOW.

I MEAN, WE CAN MAKE A MOTION IF

YOU WANT.

BUT I THOUGHT WE HAD TO
DEAL
WITH THE FIRST MOTION
FIRST.

YES, SO BASICALLY, IT
DOESN'T
SEEM LIKE THERE WAS A SECOND ON

COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER'S.
VICE MAYOR, ARE YOU MAKING
A

MOTION?

YEAH, I JUST DON'T HAVE IT IN
FRONT OF ME.

JUST TO
APPROVE MOVING ON WITH

THIS PROJECT.
I'M SORRY.

IT WOULD BE THE APPROVAL OF
THE GRANTING OF THE EASEMENT
TO
PG&E FOR THE VILLAGE
PARK
CONVERSION PROGRAM
AND
AUTHORIZING THE CURRENT DEED
ON
BEHALF OF THE
CITY.

OKAY, YES.

THAT.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I'M WILLING TO SECOND
THAT.

MARY, ROLL CALL?

MOVED BY VICE MAYOR
McLEWIS,

SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER

HINTON, TO APPROVE A GRANTING
TO
PG&E AND AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR
TO SIGN THE GRANT DEED.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

YES.

HINTON?

AYE.

MAUER?

NO.

McLEWIS?

AYE.

ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MOTION PASSES 4-1 WITH

.

ON THE AGENCY, I DON'T SEE
THE SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT
PERIOD.

I THINK THAT DEFINITELY
DOES
NEED TO HAPPEN, RIGHT?

YES, THANK YOU,
MAYOR.

IF WE CAN MOVE TO THAT.

THIS IS SECOND PUBLIC
COMMENT
PERIOD FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE

AGENDA.

MARY?

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

SO THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY
FOR
THE SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT
PERIOD
FOR ITEMS THAT ARE NOT ON
THE
AGENDA.

AGAIN, A TWO-MINUTE TIME LIMIT.

I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS
FIRST,
THEN GO TO ZOOM.

KYLE?

I'M GOING TO TRY TO
FIGURE
OUT HOW TO MAKE THIS BE NOT AN

ITEM THAT'S ON THE AGENDA.

WE'RE ABOUT TO MOVE INTO A
NEW
TRANSITION OF POWER.

WE'RE ABOUT TO RECEIVE
NEW
LEADERSHIP IN YOU'RE CITY.

AND I LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING
THE
DIRECTION THAT THINGS GO.

WHAT I'M REALLY HOPING FOR IS

CONSISTENCY.
JUST WITHIN THE LAST MONTH,

WE'VE HAD COUNCILMEMBERS THAT

DELAYED PROCESS IN ORDER TO

INFORM LARGE-SCALE
PROPERTY
OWNERS OF MULTI UNITS SO
THAT
THEY HAVE THE INFORMATION
AND
ARE INFORMED AND HAVE
THE
ABILITY TO PROCEED.

BUT YET TONIGHT, THAT SAME

ACCOMMODATION, THAT SAME
REQUESTREQUEST
INFORMING STAKEHOLDERS WAS

IGNORED AND DENIED.

SO WHAT I'M REALLY HOPING FOR IS

THAT WE CAN HOLD OUR
LEADERS
ACCOUNTABLE TO BEING
CONSISTENT
IN THEIR ACTIONS, ESPECIALLY
IN
PROTECTING THOSE THAT ARE
THE
MOST VULNERABLE AND NOT

THOSE

THAT ARE THE MOST WELL TO DO.
THANKS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC
COMMENT.

NEXT OUT TO ZOOM.

IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM
THAT
WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC
COMMENT DURING THE
SECOND PUBLIC
COMMENT PERIOD FOR ITEMS NOT
ON
THE AGENDA?

SEEING
NONE, I'LL COME BACK INTO
CHAMBERS.

SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS

CLOSED.

MOVING ON TO THE PORTION OF

COUNCILMEMBER REQUESTS
FOR
FUTURE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

ITEMS.

LOOKING TO MY LEFT TO SEE
IF
THERE'S ANY REQUESTS?

LOOKING TO MY RIGHT?

SEEING NONE, VICE MAYOR,
ANY
REQUESTS FOR FUTURE

AGENDA
ITEMS?

SEEING NONE, WE ARE MOVING ON TO

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF
REPORTS.

MAYOR?

I JUST WANT TO
LET YOU KNOW
THAT I'M LOGGING OFF HERE, AND
I
WILL MAKE MY WAY TO THE
ANNEX.

TO
THE ANNEX, OKAY.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

TURNING TO OUR WONDERFUL INTERIM

CITY MANAGER FOR STAFF UPDATES?

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

JUST TO GIVE -- SO IN
GENERAL,
COMMITTEE MEETING TOMORROW,
THE
CHRISTMAS TREE LIGHTING AS
THE
CHAMBER KNOWS ON THURSDAY.

WE HAVE DONE RECRUITMENT FOR THE

PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR POSITION,

WHICH WILL BE CLOSE TO
AN
ANNOUNCEMENT ON THAT NEXT

WEEK.
WE WILL BE INTERVIEWING
PLANNING
DIRECTORS IN TWO WEEKS.

SO WE'LL HAVE MORE AFTER THOSE.

WE DO HAVE TWO
NEW
ADMINISTRATIVE
TECHNICIANS THAT
ARE COMING ON BOARD.

ONE'S COMING ON DECEMBER 15th.

ONE SHOULD BE ON THE END OF

DECEMBER.
ONE WILL BE DOWN IN THE
PUBLIC

WORKS DEPARTMENT.
ONE WILL BE IN THE BUILDING
AND
PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT
HAS
DONE A CONDITIONAL OFFER FOR
AN
OFFICER AS WELL AS A DISPATCHER.

WE ARE STARTING TO COME TO
STAFF

STRENGTH.
THAT'S ALL I
HAVE.

GREAT.

AND SO MY COLLEAGUES WHO WOULD

LIKE TO REPORT ON ANYTHING?

LOOKING TO MY LEFT?

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

I HAVE NOTHING TO REPORT.

OKAY.

WELL, THERE WE GO.

LOOKING TO MY RIGHT?

NOTHING TO REPORT.

BUT I DO HAVE NEXT MONDAY A
VERY
LONG SCPCA MEETING THAT
STARTS
AT NOON AND WILL RUN ALL
AFTERNOON.

COUNCILMEMBER CARTER?

JUST A HEADS-UP THAT AS YOU
KNOW, I'M A SOCCER PLAYER.
AND AS SONOMA COUNTY ZERO WASTE
IS WEIGHING THE OPTION
OF
PUTTING A MORE -- OF BUILDING
A
MORATORIUM ON ARTIFICIAL TURF.
SO THAT'S JUST BEING STUDIED.

AND MY NORMAL
MEETINGS,
ACCELERATED BY THE ISSUANCE OF
A
NEW NOFA FROM
OUR FEDERAL

ADMINISTRATION IS CAUSING
THE

COALITION BOARD TO HAVE
EMERGENCY MEETINGS BECAUSE

THERE'S NOT ENOUGH TIME TO HAVE
STAFF WADE THROUGH ALL
THOSE
APPLYING FOR
PERMANENT
SUPPORTIVE HOUSING.

US SUPPORT MEMBERS
ARE WEIGHING
IN FROM GROUND ZERO.

THAT'S ON -- NOT CHRISTMAS
EVE,
THE DAY BEFORE CHRISTMAS EVE.

23rd.

SO THERE YOU HAVE IT.

THERE'S A LITTLE SNAPSHOT INTO
THAT.

AND SEBASTOPOL COMMONS
MEETINGS
ARE GOING STRONG.

THE
SUBCOMMITTEES ARE MEETING ON
THE REGULAR.

SO PLEASE DIAL IN IF YOU'RE
INTERESTED.

ON THAT.

AND THEN ALSO PLEASE
COME TO THE
TREE LIGHTING.

IT'S
FABULOUS.
SEND ALL THE LITTLE
CHILDREN.
IT'S GOING TO BE AMAZING.

SO THAT'S ALL I SEE.

OH, ADDITIONAL STAFF
REPORTS?

MARY, I'M GETTING A MOTION.
DID YOU NEED TO SAY
ANYTHING
MORE, WANT TO SAY ANYTHING MORE?

NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.

JUST A REMINDER, I DID RECALL

SOMETHING THAT, WE DO HAVE

CLOSED SESSION ON CITY COUNCIL

CLOSED SESSION MONDAY
NIGHT,
STARTS AT 5:30.

SO THAT IS
IT.

GREAT.

SO THEN WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO

THE LAST PORTION OF THIS,
WHICH
IS THE CITY COUNCIL

REORGANIZATION/ELECTION
OF MAYOR
AND VICE MAYOR, AND I'M TURNING

THIS OVER
TO MARY.

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

SO THIS IS THE TIME THAT WE
GET
TO RECOGNIZE OUR OUTGOING MAYOR

FOR ALL THE SERVICE
AND
DEDICATION THAT HE HAS DONE
FOR
THIS COMMUNITY IN THE LAST YEAR.

AND WE WILL BE DOING THE --
I'LL
HAVE THE CITY ATTORNEY WHEN
WE
GET TO THAT IDENTIFY THE
PROCESS
FOR THE NEW MAYOR AND VICE

MAYOR.
SO I AM GOING TO PROVIDE
A
PLAQUE TO OUR OUTGOING
MAYOR,
AND I'M GOING TO READ IT REALLY

QUICK.
SO IT IS OUR OUTGOING
MAYORAL
PLAQUE WHERE IT SAYS,
PRESENTED
TO STEVEN ZOLLMAN ON THE 2nd DAY

OF DECEMBER, 2025 IN
GRATEFUL
APPRECIATION AND RECOGNITION
OF
YOUR LEADERSHIP AND DEDICATED

SERVICE TO THE CITY OF

SEBASTOPOL.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICES,

MAYOR, THE CITY

OF SEBASTOPOL
FROM DECEMBER 3rd, 2024
TO
DECEMBER 2nd, 2025.

I WANT TO SAY PERSONALLY,
I
HAVE -- WORKING WITH YOU
THE
LAST YEAR, IT HAS BEEN TRULY AN

HONOR, IT'S BEEN AN
INSPIRATION,
AND THE AMOUNT OF WORK YOU'VE

PUT IN IS TRULY ADMIRABLE.

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU,
OUR
COMMUNITY THANKS YOU, OW
STAFF

THANKS YOU.

THIS IS FOR YOU.

OH.

GETTING ALL CHOKED UP.

GOODNESS.

SO NOW IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY
TO
PROVIDE COMMENTS AS THE
OUTGOING
MAYOR.

WELL, THANK YOU.

JUST VERY BRIEFLY, I WANT TO
SAY
THANK YOU SO MUCH,
FIRST AND
FOREMOST FOR THE TEAMWORK.

IT TAKE TEAMWORK AND I
AM
GRATEFUL FOR EACH
AND EVERY ONE
OF YOU, AND I AM
GRATEFUL FOR
OUR ONGOING COLLECTIVE
WORK
TOWARDS OUR SIX COUNCIL GOALS.

AND IN FURTHERANCE OF THE
THREE
SONOMA COUNTY MAYORS AND
COUNCILMEMBERS ASSOCIATION
GOALS.

MOST IMPORTANTLY, THOUGH, I
AM
ETERNALLY GRATEFUL FOR ALL THE
MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY WHO
EVEN VOTED FOR ME, MUCH
LESS HAD
ANY FAITH THAT I COULD DO

ANYTHING.
AND IT DOES MEAN A LOT BECAUSE
I
TYPED THIS HERE, I
SAID I
WOULDN'T GET EMOTIONAL, BUT
FOR
A SMALL GAY BOY WHO GREW UP
IN
AN ISOLATED RURAL AREA,
WHO
NEVER IN HIS WILDEST
DREAMS
THOUGHT ANY OF THIS WOULD EVER
HAPPEN.

IT'S VERY IMPACTFUL TO

THINK
THAT I WILL REMEMBER FOREVER.

MOVING FORWARD TO, I HAD

MENTIONED BEFORE, AND
THIS MAY
BE THE CHANGE OF VONE
SEASON
WHEN WE ALL GET SENTIMENTAL.

MARGARET MEAD'S QUOTE, NEVER

DOUBT A SMALL GROUP OF

THOUGHTFUL, COMMITTED
CITIZENS
CAN CHANGE THE WORLD.

IN FACT, THAT'S THE ONLY
THING
THAT EVER
HAS.
THAT I DO FEEL ABOUT OUR

COMMUNITY IN LIGHT OF
EVERYTHING
THAT'S GOING ON IN THE WORLD.

AND I'LL JUST SAY
IT.
I KIND OF REFER TO WHAT'S GOING

ON, ON A FEDERAL
LEVEL, IT'S
JUST -- IT'S A -- IT'S A LOT.

SO WE CAN CREATE OUR OWN WORLD

HERE.
AND TO THAT END, WHAT
GIVES ME
CONSISTENT HOPE IS THE FACT THAT

WE ALWAYS UNITE AROUND OUR YOUTH

IN PARTICULAR.

I AM EXTREMELY PROUD,
THE FACT
THAT THIS CITY DID
YOUTH
INTERNSHIPS AND IT'S BEEN

AMAZING.

AND I CONSISTENTLY HEAR THAT.

OUR ONGOING WORK AROUND MICAH

HUG BOXES TO MAKE SURE OUR
YOUTH
ARE AS PROTECTED AS WE POSSIBLY

CAN.

AND OUR ONGOING EFFORT, WHICH
IS
STILL OUT THERE, TO
MEET WITH
OUR SCHOOL DISTRICTS WHO
MIGHT
BE STRUGGLING, WHO COULD
MAYBE
WANT TO MEET WITH US US
EVERY
NOW AND AGAIN TO JOINTLY
HELP
OUR YOUTH.

ALSO HAVING OUR VARIOUS
POLICIES
TO UPLIFT THE VOICES AND
MAKE
SURE THAT THEY'RE SEEN AND
THAT
THEY'RE HEARD AND
THAT THEY'RE
HOPEFULLY FEELING VALUED.

IT MIGHT SOUND SMALL, BUT
OUR
FLAG POLICIES, OUR OTHER

POLICIES, TO RECOGNIZE

THE
MARGINALIZED PARTS OF OUR

COMMUNITY WHICH I HAVE TO SAY

IT, IT'S THE QUEER,
OUR TRANCES
BROTHERS AND SISTERS,
THOSE THAT
ARE DIFFERENTLY ABLED,
THOSE
WITH FEW FINANCIAL MEANS.

I CAN'T THANK MARY ENOUGH.

YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE
TO
DO ANYTHING, LITERALLY, AND
YOU
SHOULD BUY MARY ALL THE ROSES
IN
THE WORLD AND ALL THE MEALS IN

THE WORLD AND ALL THE
OTHER
THINGS SHE NEEDS IN THE
WORLD,
BECAUSE
YOU'LL LITERALLY NOT DO
ANYTHING WITHOUT HER.

SO, THANK
YOU.
THE VICE MAYOR DUCKED OFF.

BUT I WILL LET HER KNOW
AGAIN
THAT IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE

WORKING WITH HER AND ON AGENDA

REVIEW, AND I'M LOOKING FORWARD

TO HER LEADERSHIP.

MOST IMPORTANTLY -- I
THINK MY
PARTNER IS ON THERE SOMEWHERE.

HE'S SHY.

ANYWAY, NONE OF THIS WOULD
HAVE
BEEN POSSIBLE, TRULY NONE OF US

POSSIBLE WITHOUT THE
LOVING
SUPPORT OF MY PARTNER,
JIM.
AND OF COURSE, I ALWAYS MAKE
A
PLUG FOR OUR WONDERFUL RUSTY

CAT, BEN.

THANK YOU, THANK
YOU, THANK YOU.
THEN WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON
TO
OTHER THINGS.

COUNCILMEMBER
MAUER.

I WANTED TO THANK
YOU BECAUSE
YOU HAVE SET THE BAR FOR RUNNING

VERY EFFICIENT MEETINGS.

AND I'M VERY GRATEFUL FOR THAT.

SO YOU DID A GREAT JOB
RUNNINGRUNNING
EFFICIENTLY.

THANK YOU.

AGAIN, IT'S ALL TEAL WORK.

IT'S ALL TEAMWORK.

SO WITH THAT, THANK YOU FOR

LISTENING TO ALL OF

THAT.
I'M TURNING IT BACK OVER TO
MARY
FOR THE REST WHAT WAS WE NEED
TO
MOVE THROUGH OR
WHICHEVER.

I'LL TAKE FROM IT HERE.

JUST AS THE COUNCIL IS AWARE,

LAST YEAR YOU ADOPTED A
POLICY
ON THE SELECTION OF THE
MAYOR
AND VICE MAYOR.

IT IS SUMMARIZED IN THE
AGENDA,
AND I'LL SUMMARIZE IT EVEN MORE

BRIEFLY HERE.

THAT THE VICE MAYOR SHALL
BECOME
THE MAYOR, AND THE SENIOR-
MOST
MEMBER WHO HAS NOT YET SERVEDSERVED

MAYOR SHALL BACK THE VICE MAYOR.

ACCORDING TO THAT ADOPTED POLICY

THIS YEAR THE MAYOR WOULD
BE
COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS,
CURRENTLY
VICE MAYOR McLEWIS,
AND
COUNCILMEMBER MAUER WOULD BECOMEVICE MAYOR.

WE DO NEED A MOTION AND A VOTE

AS A FORMALITY TO APPOINT
THOSE

TWO INDIVIDUALS TO THOSE

POSITIONS.

THAT'S JUST A FORMALITY TO

IMPLEMENT THIS ALREADY ADOPTED

POLICY.

THANK YOU, ALEX.

WITH THAT, YES, I'D

BE

PRIVILEGED TO MOVE THAT OUR

CURRENT VICE MAYOR,

JILL

McLEWIS, BECOME OUR MAYOR AND

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER TO BE OUR

VICE MAYOR.

I'LL SECOND IT.

MARY, ROLL CALL?

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

SO MOVED BY -- SORRY, I FORGOT

PUBLIC COMMENT.

OH, YES,

SORRY.

THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY

FOR

PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE

CONFIRMATION OF THE INCOMING

MAYOR.

MAYOR McLEWIS.

AND THEN THE INCOMING VICE

MAYOR, VICE MAYOR MAUER.

IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC

COMMENT, I
WILL COME TO CHAMBERS

FIRST.
KYLE?

I REALLY APPRECIATE
COUNCIL
COMING TO THE
DECISION THAT THEY
HAD IN TERMS OF THE SELECTION OF

MAYOR AND VICE MAYOR.

MANY MAY NOT REMEMBER A
FEW
YEARS BACK, THE TRAVESTY AND
THE
REALLY, REALLY
DRAMATIC
SELECTION OF MAYOR AND VICE

MAYOR THAT WAS JUST REALLY,

REALLY I THINK IN POOR TASTE.

JUST A BAD
REFLECTION OF
LEADERSHIP FOR OUR CITY.

SO I THINK THIS MOVE IS
VERY,
VERY HOPEFUL AND I'M
VERY
PLEASED THAT THERE WAS A
VERY
SMOOTH MOTION AND SECOND.

AND I'M REALLY LOOKING

FORWARD
TO JUST MOVING FORWARD.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR
YOUR
PUBLIC COMMENT.

NEXT, I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM.

IF THERE'S
ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT
WOULD LIKE TO MAKE AA

COMMENT ON THE CONFIRMATION OF

INCOMING MAYOR McLEWIS AND

INCOMING VICE MAYOR MAUER.

KATE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF,
PLEASE?

THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE
TIMER?

KATE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

THERE YOU GO.

THANK YOU.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN, THANK
YOU.

GO
AHEAD.

I JUST WANT TO SAY,

CONGRATULATIONS
TO
COUNCILMEMBERS McLEWIS AND

MAUER.
THEY'VE BOTH DONE
AN EXCELLENT
JOB SERVING THE COMMUNITY.

AND IT'S REALLY A MOMENT OF

PRIDE TO SEE TWO WOMEN
IN
LEADERSHIP IN THE UNITED
STATES
AT THIS MOMENT.

SO BEST
WISHES TO YOU BOTH.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE.

THANK YOU, KATE, FOR YOUR
PUBLIC COMMENT.

NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO
CHAMBERS.

IF THERE'S ANYONE IN
CHAMBERS
THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A
PUBLIC

COMMENT?
SEEING NONE, I WILL GO
BACK OUT
TO ZOOM, IF THERE'S ANYONE
ON
ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A

PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE
RAISE
YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY?

SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS

CLOSED.

THANK YOU.

SO THERE'S A MOTION ON THE

FLOOR FROM MAYOR ZOLLMAN

AND

SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON

TO CONFIRM McLEWIS AND MAUER?

CARTER?

YES.

HINTON.

AYE.

LEWIS.

YES.

ZOLLMAN.

YES W WE HAVE A NEW MAYOR

AND

VICE

MAYOR.

CONGRATULATIONS.

WITH

THAT, I THINK WE ARE

ADJOURNED, RIGHT?

NO?

OH, SORRY.

THE INCOMING MAYOR
WOULD LIKE
TO MAKE INCOMING
COMMENTS.

YES, PLEASE, COME
UP.

THANKS, YOU
ALL.
I REALLY APPRECIATE IT.

I WENT BACK AND FORTH WHETHER
TO
COME HERE OR NOT, GIVEN

EVERYTHING.

IT'S BEEN A ROUGH SIX MONTHS.

I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT I'M

TRULY HONORED TO SERVE AS

SEBASTOPOL'S MAYOR FOR 2026.

IN THE YEAR AHEAD, I REALLY
AM
COMMITTED TO ADVANCING OUR

SHARED GOALS THAT WE'VE
ALL
WORKED ON THIS YEAR.

STRENGTHENING OUR FISCAL

STABILITY.

A VIBRANT DOWNTOWN
SUPPORTED
THROUGH OUR COLLABORATION
WITH

COMMUNITY PARTNERS.
THE WELL-BEING OF OUR
SEBASTOPOL
RESIDENTS.

I JUST THANK YOU ALL
FOR
CONFIRMING ME TONIGHT.

I KNOW IT'S BEEN ODD WITH ME ON

ZOOM AND EVERYTHING.
AND I REALLY JUST APPRECIATE
YOU
ALL UNDERSTANDING THAT.

I ACTUALLY ONLY HAVE TWO
MORE
WEEKS BEFORE MY
LAST CHEMO
TREATMENT.

THEN I HAVE NO OTHER TREATMENT

REQUIRED AFTER THAT, SO I'M

HOPING TO BE BACK HERE IN

JANUARY, ACCORDING TO
THE
DOCTORS, TO GIVE MY
IMMUNE
SYSTEM A LITTLE BIT OF TIME TO

RECOVER.
THANK YOU ALL SO MUCH FOR

CONFIRMING.

I REALLY APPRECIATE IT.

IT MEANS A LOT TO ME.

THANK YOU.

AND WITH THAT?

WITH THAT, WE CAN
ADJOURN TO

EAT CAKE AND COOKIES.

CAKE!

ALL RIGHT.

THANK YOU, ALL.
HAVE A GOOD SEASON.

[ADJOURNED AT 8:11 P.M. PST] [Event Concluded]