

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES**

Meeting of Tuesday – February 6, 2024

Special Meeting Start Time: 5:30 pm (Interviews)

Meeting Start Time: 6:30 pm (Regular Agenda Items)

MINUTES FOR City Council Meeting of February 6, 2024

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of February 20, 2024

Please note that these are action minutes only. Detailed raw transcript is attached to the minutes and made a part of the public record. These action minutes are the City’s record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City and City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

**Special Meeting Start Time: 5:30 pm Interviews**

**Call to Order:** Mayor Rich called the Special Meeting to Order at 5:35 p.m.

**Roll Call:**

- Present:** Mayor Diana Rich
- Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman
- Councilmember Neysa Hinton
- Councilmember Sandra Maurer
- Councilmember Jill McLewis
- Staff:** City Manager Don Schwartz
- Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
- Planning Director Kari Svanstrom

**INTERVIEWS**

**The City Council conducted the following interviews:**

Agenda Item Number 1. Interview for Opening on Climate Action Committee (One applicant)

5:30 pm – 5:45 pm Applicant Name: Phillip Carter

City Council Action: None Required. Conducted Interviews.

Reference Order Number: 2024-013

Agenda Item Number 2. Interview for Opening on Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizens Advisory Committee (Three Applicants for One Seat)

5:45 pm – 6:00 pm Applicant Name: Phillip Carter

6:00 pm – 6:15 pm Applicant Name: Silas Stafford

6:15 pm – 6:30 pm Applicant Name: Gavin Water

City Council Action: None Required. Conducted Interviews.

Reference Order Number: 2024-014

**Adjournment to Regular Meeting:** Mayor Rich adjourned the special meeting at 6:21 p.m. to the regular agenda items.

**Regular Meeting Start Time: 6:30 pm**

**6:30 pm** City Council Regular Meeting, In Person – Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA/Virtual Format (Zoom)

**Call to Order:** Mayor Rich called the Regular Meeting to Order at 6:35 p.m.

**Roll Call:**

**Present:** Mayor Diana Rich  
Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman  
Councilmember Neysa Hinton  
Councilmember Sandra Maurer  
Councilmember Jill McLewis

**Absent:** None

**Staff:** City Manager Don Schwartz  
City Attorney Larry McLaughlin  
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley  
City Engineer Mario Landeros/GHD Toni Bertolero  
Planning Director Kari Svanstrom  
CPT James Hickey

**SALUTE TO THE FLAG :** Mayor Rich led the salute to the flag.

**REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED):** To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). None Required. All Councilmembers were in attendance in person.

**PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:**

**The following were presented:**

- Proclamation – Black History Month
- Introduction of Ukraine Delegates: Sebastopol World Friends, the City of Sebastopol's Sister City organization is hosting a delegation from Ukraine through the US Congressional program Open World, which sponsors promising leaders from developing and former Soviet countries to study democratic processes and specialized topics to help them improve those processes in their home countries.

Reference Order: 2024-015

**STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST:** Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated Statements of Conflicts of Interest.

**PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):** Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an

additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following members spoke at public comment:

Keith Woods: Discussed his respect for the new City Manager Don Schwartz and stated Rohnert Park's loss is Sebastopol's gain.

Loretta: Thanked the Fire and Public Works Departments for their response to the storm and asked if the agenda item for zoom/in person meetings can be earlier in the agenda.

Adrienne: Requested that the item on SAVS be moved earlier in the agenda.

Kyle: Discussed the loss of the Planning Director and questioned what is the course for replacement; Discussed use of consultants for positions and hate to see the City go down that road again; and discussed messaging and lessons learned from the recent storms and that it should be made public.

Linda: Acknowledged first responders; stated the Mayor is ignoring the needs of the people, need for infrastructure and dismissing comments.

Jim: Appreciation for Mayor and for all Councilmembers; they are doing the best they can; discussed Ives park and path; needs more discussion and would like it revisited.

City Manager Schwartz thanked and appreciated the first responses for their response to the recent storm.

Mayor Rich requested Item Number 12 be placed ahead of the consent calendar. The Council was in consensus to move this item.

The Council hear item number 12.

**REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION) Continued:**

12. Receipt of Quarterly Informational Report (SAVS) and Discussion/Information on Future of Horizon Shine Residents (Responsible Department: Planning/Police Department)

Police Captain James Hickey presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the report and provide any feedback to SAVS and provided a summary:

City Manager Schwartz: Fluid situation; as of right now 20-23 people affected right now; 8 possible trailer locations; as well as persons looking at different options such as looking at shelters. Thanked everyone who attended the onsite meeting.

Mayor Rich: Weekly meetings; Department of Health Service involved; moving forward.

Adrienne Lauby, SAVS: Notice to persons; follow eviction process; clean site; following up with persons when leave; every day check in and looking for resources.

The Council asked questions of the applicant and staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke on the item.

Kyle  
Linda  
Lorett

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the agenda item and made the following motion.

**MOTION:**

Vice Mayor Zollman moved to direct the City Manager to work with the City Attorney with a report that definitively outlines what our options are at this point. There was no second; the motion fails.

There is no further action required other than to receive the report.

**City Council Action: Received the report and requested a report back at the next Council meeting.**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-025**

**CONSENT CALENDAR:** The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Councilmembers may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Mayor Rich read the consent calendar.

Mayor Rich asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

Councilmember's Request(s):

Councilmember Maurer requested item number 4 be pulled and had a question of the pathway on Item 8.

City Engineering Mario Landeros responded to the question.

Vice Mayor Zollman pulled item number 5 and questioned alternatives for fireworks.

Kiwanis representatives also responded to alternatives indicating possible transitions in the future.

Mayor Rich opened for Public Comment(s).

The following member(s) of the public spoke on the consent calendar items.

Kyle  
Linda

Rich

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Items 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.

Item number four has been removed and will be discussed at the end of the regular items.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

**CONSENT CALENDAR:**

- 3. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes for Meeting of January 16, 2024 (Responsible Department: City Administration)

**City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes for Meeting of January 16, 2024**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-016**

- ~~4. Approval of Action Minutes versus Detailed Minutes for City Meetings and Amendment to Records Retention Policy for Retention of City Meeting Videos (Responsible Department: City Administration)~~
- 5. Sebastopol Kiwanis Club to Conduct their Annual Fireworks Show on July 3, 2024 located on public property of the West Sonoma County Union High School District, Analy High School, 6950 Analy Avenue, Sebastopol and finding this action exempt under CEQA Section 15304(e) (responsible Department: Interim Fire Chief)

**City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Sebastopol Kiwanis Club to Conduct their Annual Fireworks Show on July 3, 2024 located on public property of the West Sonoma County Union High School District, Analy High School, 6950 Analy Avenue, Sebastopol and finding this action exempt under CEQA Section 15304(e)**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-018**

- 6. Adoption of Resolution authorizing the closure of Main Street during the 2024 Apple Blossom, Saturday, April 27, 2024 the use of the South High Street parking lot for the Apple Blossom Festival and the suspension of street vendors/solicitation licensing Section 201 of Ordinance No. 696 (Responsible Department: Police)

**City Council Action: Approved and adopted Resolution authorizing the closure of Main Street during the 2024 Apple Blossom, Saturday, April 27, 2024 the use of the South High Street parking lot for the Apple Blossom Festival and the suspension of street vendors/solicitation licensing Section 201 of Ordinance No. 696**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-019**

**Resolution Number: 6573-2024**

- 7. Approval to Authorize a Change of City Officials to Order Deposits and Withdrawals from Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) (Responsible Department: Administrative Services)

**City Council Action: Approved Authorization to Change of City Officials to Order Deposits and Withdrawals from Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-020**

**Resolution Number: 6574-2024**

- 8. Approval of Notice of Completion for Ives Park ADA Pathway (Responsible Department: Engineering)

**City Council Action: Approved Notice of Completion for Ives Park ADA Pathway**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-021**

- 9. Approve letter authorizing PG&E to donate City of Sebastopol work credits to Sonoma County

(Responsible Department: Engineering)

**City Council Action: Approved authorizing PG&E to donate City of Sebastopol work credits to Sonoma County  
Minute Order Number: 2025-022**

**REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):**

10. Consideration of Appointment to the Opening on Climate Action Committee (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)

Planning Director Svanstrom presented the agenda item recommending the Council appoint from the one interview conducted earlier in the evening and reappoint the two serving members.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. There were none.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke on this item.

Linda  
Kyle

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:  
There was no discussion.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve appointment of Phillip Carter from the one interview conducted earlier in the evening and reappoint the two serving members (Kenna Lee and Lisa Pierce)

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmember Maurer, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich  
Noes: None  
Absent: None  
Abstain: Councilmembers Hinton and McLewis

**City Council Action: Approved appointment of Phillip Carter from the one interview conducted earlier in the evening and reappoint the two serving members (Kenna Lee and Lisa Pierce)**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-023**

Mayor Rich called for a break at 8:23 pm and reconvened the meeting at 8:31 pm.

11. Consideration of Appointment to the Opening on the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizens Advisory Committee (Three Applicants for One Seat) (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)

Planning Director Svanstrom presented the agenda item recommending the Council consider appointment to the Opening on the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizens Advisory Committee.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff. There were none.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. There was no public comment.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the appointments.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to appoint Gavin Waters to the Opening on the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizens Advisory Committee and reach out to Silas to apply for the climate action committee.

The council provided additional comments.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmember Maurer, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: Councilmembers Hinton and McLewis

**City Council Action: Approved appointment of Gavin Waters to the Opening on the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) County Bicycle and Pedestrian Citizens Advisory Committee.**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-024**

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS:** Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item. **NONE**

**PUBLIC HEARING(s):** None

**REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION) Continued:**

13. Discussion of Appointment of Openings on the Design Review Board (Responsible Department: Planning)

Planning Director Svanstrom presented the agenda item recommending that the City Council schedule and Interview the three candidates who have reapplied, and who's applications were accepted within the window, and consider appointments based on interviews; or Readvertise any vacancies remaining as a new application period. Any candidates not selected or with late applications would be able to re-apply at that time.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke on the item.

Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the agenda item and made the following motion.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to schedule interviews at a future city council meeting with the three candidates who submitted applications within the deadline and limiting the interviews to ten minutes.

The Council provided additional comments.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

**City Council Action: Approved to schedule interviews at a future city council meeting with the three candidates who submitted applications within the deadline and limiting the interviews to ten minutes.**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-026**

14. Discussion and Consideration of Approval of Budget Amendments:

- a. Planning /Engineering Departments: (\$55,000: AmeriCorp Trail. The proposed budget amendment of \$55,000 to accommodate additional costs for project management, environmental permitting and construction engineering is needed due to discovery that environmental permits are needed and the delay to the start of the construction resulting from the environmental matter)

City Engineer Mario Landeros presented the agenda item recommending the City Council adopt the Resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2023-24 Capital Improvement Program budget for AmeriCorps Trail Extension Project CIP #0411-73.00. Council further directs the City Manager keep the Budget Committee informed of other potential budget changes as the environmental work and construction progresses.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke on the item.

Linda

Jim

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the budget request and made the following motion.

**MOTION:**

Mayor Rich moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to **approve and** adopt the Resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2023-24 Capital Improvement Program budget for AmeriCorps Trail Extension Project CIP #0411-73.00. Council further directs the City Manager keep the Budget Committee informed of other potential budget changes as the environmental work and construction progresses.



Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

**City Council Action:** Approved and adopt the Resolution amending the Fiscal Year 2023-24 Capital Improvement Program budget for AmeriCorps Trail Extension Project CIP #0411-73.00. Council further directs the City Manager keep the Budget Committee informed of other potential budget changes as the environmental work and construction progresses.

**Minute Order Number:** 2025-025

**Resolution Number:** 6575-2027

15. Consideration of Amendment to City Council Protocols: In Person or In Person/Zoom Virtual Format for City Meetings (Requestor: Agenda Review Committee/Responsible Department: City Administration)

City Staff presented the agenda item recommending the City Council maintain status quo.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke on the item.

Jolie

Sue

Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the budget request and made the following motion.

**MOTION:**

Councilmember Maurer moved and Councilmember McLewis seconded the motion approve the current city meeting format of in person and virtual format for City meetings.

The Council provided additional discussion regarding free speech and requested a legal opinion and guidelines from the City Attorney for future meetings.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

**City Council Action:** Approved the current city meeting format of in person and virtual format for City meetings.

**Minute Order Number:** 2025-0268

**Consent Calendar Item Number 4:**

**Action Minutes:**

City Staff presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve use of Action Meeting Minutes.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke on the item.

- Linda
- Robert
- Jim

**City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Direction to Staff:**

The Council discussed the budget request and made the following motion.

**MOTION:**

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Mayor Rich seconded the motion to: Approve use of action minutes as the Official Minutes for City meetings; providing the attachment of raw text minutes as information only to the Action Minutes; and approve amendment of the City’s records retention program to retain City meeting videos on the Cit website for three years (versus the current one year) as well as maintain DVD Media for three years, or until such time media type is no longer available or supported, whichever is first.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

**VOTE:**

- Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
- Noes: None
- Absent: None
- Abstain: None

**City Council Action: Approved Action Minutes versus Detailed Minutes for City Meetings and Amendment to Records Retention Policy for Retention of City Meeting Videos**  
**Minute Order Number: 2025-017**  
**Resolution Number: 6572-2024**

**ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA:** Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor’s discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

There was no additional public comment.

**CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:**

- 16. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

City Manager Schwartz reported on the following:  
Storm updates/thanked staff

Transition plan for Planning

Report out of Closed Session: Approved re-opening of recruitment for Fire Chief

17. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

The Council reported out as follows:

Councilmember Maurer:

Ad Hoc Committee Garbage Franchise  
Zero waste group  
Russian River Watershed Association

Vice Mayor Zollman:

CAL Cities policy meeting  
Library Ad Hoc Committee Meeting  
Senior Center Board Meeting  
115 Trust  
EIFD  
Budget  
Santa Rosa Plain GSA Meeting  
Resolution for Cease Fire  
Coffee with Councilmember  
Met with Chamber  
Sonoma Clean Power  
SCTA RCPA new leadership

Councilmember McLewis:

Ad Hoc committee Garbage Franchise Agreement  
Meeting with individual firefighters  
Thanked everyone for response to the storm

Mayor Rich:

Warming Center  
EIFD County moving forward with process  
Pool Contract  
Ukraine Visitors

- a. City Council Liaison to Unhoused (Vice Mayor Rich/Responsible Department: Planning)
- b. EIFD Report out from Ad Hoc Committee (Mayor Rich/Vice Mayor Zollman)

**City Council Action: Reports received.**

**Minute Order Number: 2025-029**

18. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)

19. Future City Meeting Dates/Events (Informational Only): (See City Web Site for Up-to-Date Meeting Dates/Times)

**CLOSED SESSION: NONE**

**ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

February 6, 2024 Council Meeting will be adjourned to the City Council Meeting of February 20, 2024 at 6:00 pm.

Mayor Rich adjourned the City Council Meeting of February 6, 2024 at 10:25 pm to the next regular City Council Meeting of February 20, 2024.

Respectfully Submitted:



Mary Gourley  
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Raw Text of Minutes Attached

THE NEXT ITEM IS OUR INTERVIEWS FOR THE NEXT POSITION, THE SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, AND THE CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE, WE HAVE THREE APPLICANTS FOR ONE SEAT. WE KNOW THAT PHILIP CARTER IS IN THE ROOM. ANYONE HERE BY THAT NAME? AND OUR FINAL ONE, WE HAVE GAVIN HERE, I AM SORRY, TURNING TO STAFF, ANY COMMUNICATION FROM SILAS ABOUT INTERVIEWS TONIGHT AND SILAS WAS AWARE OF THE MEETING? ANY DIRECTION ON WHETHER WE HAVE TO REACH OUT OR GIVE HIM A CALL? I THINK WE COULD GIVE HIM THE COURTESY OF A PHONE CALL. OKAY, ANY COMMENTS HERE FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? WE ARE GOING TO CHANGE IT UP AND GIVE PHILIP A BREAK, AND GAVIN IF YOU COULD PLEASE COME TO THE PODIUM, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

HELLO, KEVIN WATERS.

IT IS SO MUCH WORK WITH THE PUBLIC, WE REALLY APPRECIATE CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS. BECAUSE YOU GUYS ARE JUST AMAZING AND YOU ALLOW US THAT ONE ON ONE CONTACT THAT HELPS, IN TERMS OF DOING A BETTER JOB.

GLAD TO DO IT.

WE HAVE THE APPLICATION. TELL US ABOUT WHAT YOU WANT TO DO THIS POSITION AND WHAT DREW YOU TO IT.

SURE, GAVIN WATERS, I HAVE LIVED IN SEBASTOPOL FOR ABOUT A YEAR. I MOVED CLOSER TO BE WITH MY IN-LAWS WHO ARE IN THIS AREA. I HAVE A DEGREE IN POLITICAL SCIENCE, AND A MASTERS IN WHAT I LIKE TO CALL STRATEGY FROM THE NAVAL WAR COLLEGE. AND, YEAH, I AM PASSIONATE ABOUT SERVICE. AND I AM PASSIONATE ABOUT WALKING PLACES AND BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO DRIVING A CAR. I MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO GET OUT ON A BIKE OR ON FOOT. AS MUCH AS I CAN. AND I HAVE THREE KIDS, I TAKE THEM TO SCHOOL ON THE BIKE WHEN I CAN, AND OF COURSE, I TAKE THE BIKE DOWN TO THE FARMER'S MARKET, AND THINGS LIKE THAT. I GUESS MY MOTIVATION IS REALLY, I KNOW THAT, IF I WANT TO SEE CHANGE, I HAVE TO BECOME INVOLVED. I SAW THIS OPPORTUNITY AND IT ALIGNS WITH SOME OF MY INTERESTS OUTSIDE OF MY PROFESSIONAL LIFE SO I APPLIED.

THAT ALL SOUNDS GREAT. THANK YOU FOR MOVING TO OUR LOVELY AREA AND STEPPING UP.

I AM GLAD TO BE HERE. EVERY TIME I LAND AT THE AIRPORT.

MY RESPECT TO YOU FOR HAVING THREE CHILDREN. HOPEFULLY NONE OF THEM ARE TEENS, I AM JUST SAYING. ANY QUESTIONS FROM VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ?

THANK YOU ONCE AGAIN FOR GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS AND TAKING THE TIME. ON YOUR APPLICATION, HAVE YOU ATTENDED ANY OF THE ACTIONS? I GOT CONFUSED WHAT WE WERE INTERVIEWING FOR.

I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED QUITE EXTENSIVELY WITH SOME LOCAL ORGANIZATIONS THAT ADVOCATE FOR BICYCLE AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, I HAVE NOT BEEN TO ANY OF THE SCTA MEETINGS, BUT I HAVE REVIEWED ALL OF THEIR DOCUMENTATION ON THEIR WEBSITE, SOURCES OF FUNDING, AND SO FORTH. I HAVE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH SOME OF THE STAFF MEMBERS. I HAVE BEEN EXPRESSING MY OPINION ABOUT THINGS THAT ARE OF INTEREST TO ME, AS I DO WITH THE CITY COUNCIL AS WELL.

TERRIFIC, GIVEN WHAT YOU KIND OF KNOW ABOUT THE ACTUAL COMMITTEE, I WILL ASK YOU THE SAME QUESTION, AS FAR AS YOUR THOUGHTS ON HOW YOU CAN VOICE SUPPORT FOR THE COMMITTEE THAT YOU ARE ASKING TO JOIN.

I THINK THAT SEBASTOPOL SHOULD HAVE A SEAT AT THE TABLE. AND WHOEVER HAS THAT SEED SHOULD ADVOCATE FOR ITEMS OF INTEREST TO PEDESTRIANS, AND CYCLISTS, AND REALLY ANY FORM OF TRANSPORTATION, OBVIOUSLY THAT IS FOR THIS SPECIFIC AREA. I AM LOOKING FOR THE ADVOCACY, AS I AM PASSIONATE ABOUT BIKING AND CYCLING.

GREAT, THANKS. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ?

I KNOW THAT WE SEND YOU VIDEOS, ABOUT SAFER BICYCLE INFRASTRUCTURE, IN SOUTH SEBASTOPOL. THIS BOARD IS SONOMA COUNTY, SO IT WOULD REALLY BE

COUNTYWIDE, AND SO, HOW DOES THAT FIT WITH HOW YOU WANT TO DO THINGS FOR SEBASTOPOL ?

I WANT TO REPRESENT SEBASTOPOL. I CLEARLY UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS COUNTYWIDE AND I THINK THAT WE LIVE IN PROBABLY ONE OF THE MORE PROGRESSIVE COUNTIES IN THE NATION. AND YET, IT IS PAINFULLY OBVIOUS, AS I RIDE MY BIKE PLACES, IT IS APPARENT THAT THIS PLACE IS VERY CAR DEPENDENT. I THINK THAT THIS KIND OF OVERLAPS WITH THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE, IN A WAY, TOO. THE CITY, AND THE COUNTY AND THE STATE WILL NOT ACHIEVE THEIR OBJECTIVES IF CYCLING AND WALKING ARE NOT CONSIDERED, AT LEAST ON A SIMILAR FOOTING AS DRIVING IN CARS.

ARE YOU A MEMBER OF THE BIKING SEBASTOPOL GROUP?

I AM.

WHAT ARE YOUR TOP COUPLE OF IDEAS FOR MAKING A DIFFERENCE HERE IN SEBASTOPOL?

IN TERMS OF SEBASTOPOL, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE EMPHASIS PUT ON THE SAFETY OF CYCLISTS AND PEDESTRIANS. I MEAN, I THINK, YOU KNOW, IT IS OBVIOUS TO ME. IN FACT, BEFORE I EVEN MOVED HERE, THERE IS A VERY STRONG INTEREST IN CYCLING IN THE AREA. THERE IS HEAVY USAGE OF THE TRAILS AROUND HERE AND THERE IS SOME GREAT QUOTE-UNQUOTE INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE FORM OF BIKE PATHS. THAT IS A GOOD START AND I THINK THERE CAN BE MORE DONE. YOU KNOW, I WOULD LOVE TO SEE SOME DEDICATED CYCLING PADS ALONG THE MAJOR THOROUGHFARES IN SEBASTOPOL . LIKE I SAID, THERE ARE GREAT TRAILS. BUT I SEE THESE MORE AS A RECREATIONAL FACILITY THAN AS SOMETHING TO HELP YOU GET WHERE YOU ARE GOING. I MEAN, I CAN TELL YOU, LAST WEEK, I WAS WRITING DOWN MAIN STREET. AND, TWO PEOPLE OPEN THEIR DOORS RIGHT INTO THE BICYCLE LANE. AND I HAVE READ ENOUGH TO KNOW THAT I NEED TO BE ON THE LOOKOUT FOR THAT. IT TURNED OUT TO BE NOT AN ISSUE. BUT SOMETHING LIKE THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE CHANGED.

MY QUESTION, I HAD A COUPLE. ONE IS ZERO BUSINESS SOLUTIONS. WHAT DOES THAT DO?

IT IS A FEDERAL CONTRACTING COMPANY IN HERNDON, VIRGINIA. OBVIOUSLY, I WORK REMOTELY AS A PROGRAM MANAGER. SO, I MANAGER -- MANAGE TWO DEPARTMENT OF STATE CONTRACTS AND THOSE CONTRACTS ARE I.T. SUPPORT FOR PROCESSING.

WITH THE TITLE, I THOUGHT IT MAY HAVE HAD SOME KIND OF ENVIRONMENTAL BEEN. VERY CLEVER. THANK YOU FOR THE ANSWER. HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED HERE I KNOW THAT YOU TOLD US?

IT HAS BEEN ABOUT A YEAR AND THREE-QUARTERS IN THE AREA. IN SEBASTOPOL, IN OUR CURRENT HOUSE, ABOUT A YEAR AND A QUARTER.

YOU HAVE BEEN HERE LONG ENOUGH, YOUR KIDS, THEY ARE KIND OF NAVIGATING TOWN. YOU HAVE SOME DREAMS, AND WHAT DO YOU SEE AS THE BARRIERS THAT YOU SEE HERE, IN TERMS OF ACHIEVING YOUR DREAMS?

I WOULD SAY THE MULTIPLE OWNERS. OBVIOUSLY, THE TWO MAIN ROUTES THROUGH TOWN ARE COMPARED -- CONTROLLED BY THE STATE. THERE IS FUNDING THAT COMES FROM THE COUNTY AND THE STATE. SO, MAKING ALL OF THOSE THINGS HAPPEN, AND OF COURSE, BUDGET IS AN ISSUE, TOO. I AM AWARE OF THE CITY FINANCES. AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT THERE IS PROBABLY SOME OTHER PRIORITIES, TO COMPETE WITH THINGS LIKE WALKING AND BIKING. SO, I WOULD SAY THAT IS A CHALLENGE.

THANK YOU, THAT IS VERY HELPFUL TO GET A SENSE OF THE DEPTH OF YOUR THOUGHT ABOUT THESE ISSUES. DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR GAVIN? NO? ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO SHARE?

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY. IT IS GREAT TO BE INVOLVED AND I WILL LOOK FOR FURTHER OPPORTUNITIES, REGARDLESS OF THE OUTCOME OF THIS.

NO MATTER HOW THIS PANS OUT.

NOW I CAN PUT A FACE TO ALL OF THE EMAILS THAT I SEND.

WE HEARD BACK FROM SILAS? OKAY, SO PHILLIP CARTER, I THINK THAT YOU ARE ON FOR AN INTERVIEW ABOUT THE SCTA SLOT. THANK YOU. IT HAS BEEN A HOT MINUTE AND IT IS NICE TO SEE YOU BACK AGAIN. IT IS A PLEASURE.

I DID NOT KNOW THERE WAS MORE THAN ONE PERSON APPLYING. ADD I AM KIND OF, LIKE, WELL, BRINGING PEOPLE IN IS A GOOD THING. IF THERE IS SOMEONE ELSE WHO WANTS TO DO THIS AND PROMISES THAT THEY WILL COME BACK AND INFORM US ABOUT WHAT IS HAPPENING AND KIND OF STAY IN TOUCH, WE CAN BRING THAT OTHER PARTY INTO THE FOLD.

OKAY. [ LAUGHTER ] DEMONSTRATING A COLLABORATIVE BENT. THANK YOU. BUT PLEASE DO TELL US. THIS IS A DIFFERENT SLOT. WHAT DREW YOU TO THIS ONE?

I AM AN ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PERSON. IN FACT, I MADE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION, BEFORE I REALIZED THERE AND PURE WERE DOING IT FOR THE COUNTY. I DID NOT UNDERSTAND THAT, IN THE TRANSPORTATION WORKING GROUP, WE WERE WORKING WITH TOURING BIKES SEBASTOPOL, WITH PEOPLE WHO WERE TRYING TO PUT THINGS IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY. WHEN WE BIKE TO SCHOOL, CROSSING BODEGA IS KIND OF A BEAR. NINE OF -- 9 AND 11, THEY ARE, SO I AM WORRIED ABOUT THEM CROSSING. HAVING THOSE HIGHWAYS IS SIGNIFICANT. AND I HAVE TRIED TO GIVE IT A LONG THOUGHT ABOUT WHERE OUR SAFETY ROUTES SHOULD BE, HOW CAN WE MAKE DOWNTOWN MORE BY GLOBAL, AND HAVE A MORE, MORE OF A VIBE DOWNTOWN. I WOULD PREFER MORE TRAILS ALWAYS. THERE ARE NEVER ENOUGH. AND THAT IS AWESOME, BUT WHEN I TRIED TO GO TO THAT MILLS STATION SEGMENT, TWO LANES, WHEN YOU ARE GOING BY SEBASTOPOL CHARTER , IT ABRUPTLY ENDS AT, ROUTE 16 OR WHATEVER. AND THEN YOU GET TO RIGGLE PARK, WHICH IS KIND OF LIKE A BIKE PATH. YOU HAVE TO GET ON MILL, WHICH IS KIND OF A HECTIC ROAD FOR A BIKE. AND I ALSO FEEL LIKE CONNECTIVITY WITH THE REST OF THE COUNTY IS SUPER IMPORTANT, BECAUSE THIS IS A COUNTY ENDEAVOR, GETTING COUNTY FUNDING TO HELP US CONNECT IS PREFERABLE. I DO NOT TOTALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT BIKE SUPERHIGHWAYS ARE GOOD AND WHICH ARE BAD. HOW MUCH DO WE WANT TO CONNECT WITH A BIKE PATHWAY, WHERE THE BUSES PICK UP? IS THAT, THAT, I DON'T KNOW. BUT I AM ALSO KIND OF A FAN. I WISH THERE WAS A WAY TO BARK -- BIKE A LITTLE EASIER. I DON'T KNOW IF THE COUNTY CAN THINK ABOUT THAT OR NOT, BUT I THINK THAT WEST COUNTY TRAILS OR ROADS CAN BE A LITTLE BIT SAFER, THEY CAN BE A DESIGNATED ROUTE BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF ROAD BIKES THAT USE THOSE WHITE A BIT. YOU KNOW, MY NEIGHBORS WOULD PREFER A LITTLE BIT OF A SAFER ROUTE.

OKAY. I THINK WE HAVE A SENSE OF YOUR PASSION ABOUT CYCLING AND I CAN SEE HOW IT OVERLAPS INTO CLIMATE. ANY QUESTIONS? ANYTHING, DON'T GO ANYWHERE PLEASE. ANY QUESTIONS, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ?

YES, THANK YOU FOR HELPING US. I THINK THAT YOU ARE DEFINITELY MORE INTERESTED IN THE CRIME AND ACTION COMMITTEE. THE OTHER AVAILABLE SEATS ON SCTA, THE APPLICANTS, THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE OUT THERE, THEY CAN KIND OF TAKE ON WHAT IS HAPPENING DOES THAT SOUND RIGHT?

I THINK THAT SINGING FROM THE SAME SONGBOOK IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO, IF THAT CAN BE COORDINATED, THAT IS PART OF THE PROBLEM ANYWAY.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ?

[ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ] IF THEY JUST CONTACTED SOMEONE AND GAVE THEM THE INFORMATION, RATHER THAN COMMITTING TO A MEETING?

WE CAN FIGURE OUT A WAY TO COMMUNICATE.

IS THAT AGREEABLE TO YOU?

TOTALLY. WE CAN FIGURE OUT A WAY TO COMMUNICATE THE MESSAGE.

THIS IS A LITTLE BIT OFF TO THE SIDE. ONE OF THE ISSUES IS THE USE OF E-BIKES AND HOW E-BIKES CAN BECOME DANGEROUS TO PEDESTRIANS AND REGULAR BICYCLE USERS, BECAUSE EVERYTHING GO SO MUCH FASTER. I WAS WONDERING IF YOU HAD ANY THOUGHTS ON THAT?

I HAVE LOTS OF THOUGHTS. BUT, I AGREE WITH YOU, THE DEDICATED BIKE LANES SHOULD BE HUMAN POWERED, RIGHT? AND E-BIKES FIT A LITTLE BIT BETTER ON ROADS, BUT NOT GREAT. AND SO, THERE ARE SEVERAL DIFFERENT WAYS THAT YOU CAN SEPARATE BIKES FROM THE ROAD. ONE OF THEM IS TO SAY, HEY, THAT IS NOT GREAT. YOU CAN PROVIDE THE ELEVATED BIT SO, SOME CITIES ARE PUTTING A SLIGHTLY ELEVATED SPACE FOR A BIKE PATH ON THE ROAD. FOR E-BIKES. BUT THERE IS A TRENDING URBAN PLANNER PHILOSOPHY OF SLOWING THE ROADS DOWN. YOU WANT TO INVOLVE TRAFFIC CIRCLES. WHAT I SAW ON THE WALK ABILITY SCORE FOR

SEBASTOPOL, MY AREA IS A 90, BUT IT DROPS QUICKLY AND THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO GET TO STORES OR SCHOOLS, I MEAN, BROOKHAVEN IS THERE. BUT STORES OR SCHOOLS AS QUICKLY OR SAFETY AS IN DOWNTOWN. AND THAT COMES RIGHT AT THE EDGE OF WHERE SEBASTOPOL IS. THE INCREASING WALKABILITY SCORE FOR CITIES, I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING E-BIKES ARE SUCCESSFUL AT. AND THERE SHOULD BE A WAY TO HAVE A ROUTE.

[ LAUGHTER ] YOU OBVIOUSLY HAVE A LOT OF GREAT IDEAS WHICH IS GREAT. I THINK IT DEMONSTRATES THAT YOU HAVE SOME SPECIFIC IDEAS, SO THAT IS REALLY WONDERFUL. I JUST WANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT WE DO HAVE COUNCILMEMBER JILL McLEWIS , WHO IS JOINING US. AND JUST AS AN UPDATE, WE INTERVIEWED OUR APPLICANT, WHICH WAS PHILLIP CARTER , FOR THE CLIMATE COMMISSION AND NOW WE ARE INTERVIEWING CANDIDATES FOR THE SCTA SLOT. JILL McLEWIS, DID YOU WANT TO ASK ANY QUESTIONS ?

ONE CLICK I SHOULDN'T -- QUICK QUESTION, ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY BIKE CLUBS? NO, I KNOW THEY ARE NEEDED, BUT I DO NOT HAVE THE SPACE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ANSWERS AND YOU ARE OFF THE HOOK. NOW, THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE SILAS IN THE ROOM? [ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ] HE IS ONE OF THREE APPLICANTS FOR THE SCTA -- THANK YOU, SILAS, FOR COMING HERE AND COMPLETING THE APPLICATION AND STEPPING UP TO BE A VOLUNTEER CITIZEN. IT IS PRETTY AMAZING.

THANK YOU. I AM EXCITED.

WE HAVE RECEIVED YOUR APPLICATION AND WE HAVE READ IT. WE ARE TAKING ABOUT 15 MINUTES OF EACH APPLICANT AND WE WILL GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO SHARE WITH US WHATEVER INSPIRATIONAL COMMENTS YOU MIGHT WANT TO SHARE ABOUT WHY YOU HAVE BEEN DRAWN TO THIS AND THEN WE WILL HAVE SOME QUESTIONS. A DEPARTMENT DECISION IS LATER ON, AS PART OF OUR REGULAR AGENDA, WHICH WILL BE STARTING VERY SOON AT 6:30. YOU ARE ON. WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE TO SHARE?

I AM A PASSIONATE AND AVID BICYCLIST. I BIKE MY SON TO SCHOOL. I BIKE INTO TOWN EVERY MORNING. IF YOU SEE A CRAZY PERSON ON HIGHWAY 116, SOUTH OF TOWN, IT IS PROBABLY ME. FOR ME, BICYCLES ARE A WAY OF LIFE AND A PASSION. AND I WANT TO FIGURE OUT WAYS TO MAKE THAT PASSION, THAT WAY OF LIFE, MORE ACCESSIBLE TO EVERYONE. I RECOGNIZE THAT I AM A ZEALOT. I AM REALLY EXCITED ABOUT RIDING BIKES. NOT EVERYONE IS AS EXCITED AS I AM. HOW DO WE FIND WAYS TO MAKE SEBASTOPOL A PLACE THAT ALMOST EVERYONE, REGARDLESS OF THEIR ABILITIES, REGARDLESS OF THEIR ATHLETIC ABILITIES, REGARDLESS OF WHERE THEY LIVE, THAT THEY FEEL COMFORTABLE GETTING ON A BICYCLE AND COMMUTING AROUND TOWN? WITH A CLIMATE LENS, WITH A COMMUNITY LENS, ANY SORT OF WAY OF KIND OF MAKING OUR COMMUNITY SAFER, THERE ARE UPSIDES WITH RIDING BICYCLES AND OBVIOUSLY THE SAME IS TRUE, BEING A PEDESTRIAN. I WORK DOWNTOWN AND I WALK CONSTANTLY DOWNTOWN AND I WANT TO FIGURE OUT WAYS TO MAKE SEBASTOPOL A MORE FRIENDLY PLACE TO WALK AROUND, DOWNTOWN. I WILL STOP THERE.

EXCELLENT. YEAH, YOU TOOK ADVANTAGE OF YOUR TIME. THAT IS GREAT. VICE MAYOR STEPHEN ZOLLMAN ?

THANK YOU FOR FILLING OUT THE APPLICATION AND FOR YOUR TIME. ONE OF THE THINGS I PROBABLY OVERLOOKED WAS YOUR LENGTH OF TIME IN THE CITY.

I GREW UP IN SONOMA COUNTY. I HAVE BEEN HERE ABOUT TWO YEARS.

HAVE YOU HAD AN OCCASION TO COME TO ANY OF THE SCTA MEETINGS ?

I HAVE NOT.

HAVE YOU HAD A DIALOGUE WITH ANYONE TO GET THE VIBE OF WHAT THE MEATS ARE THE

YES, I MET WITH JOHN, I TRIED TO KIND OF UNDERSTAND THE LAY OF THE LAND TO SOME EXTENT, AND A COUPLE OF PEOPLE POINTED ME TO THIS BEING A PLACE WHERE I CAN MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS AS A CITIZEN.

I AM GOING TO ASK YOU THE SAME QUESTIONS I ASKED THE OTHER APPLICANTS. WHAT DO YOU THINK, IF YOU ARE SERVING ON THIS PARTICULAR COMMITTEE, WHAT WOULD YOU WANT, BEARING IN MIND THIS SITUATION?

YEAH. I MEAN, I THINK, I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. OBVIOUSLY, THIS, ALL OF CALIFORNIA, THE GRANT MONEY IS NECESSARY, TO DO REALLY ANYTHING



MEANINGFUL. AND ON TOP OF THAT, THERE IS BUT YOU KNOW, OBVIOUSLY COMPLICATED POLITICAL DIMENSIONS AROUND, HOW DO WE MAKE ANY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECT HAPPEN? AND I AM NOT BLIND TO SAY, HEY, WE ARE GOING TO MAGICALLY TRANSFORM SONOMA COUNTY INTO A BICYCLE LISTS PARADISE. I THINK IT ALREADY IS, MAYBE NOT FOR MANY LESS AMBITIOUS CYCLISTS. BUT FOR ME IT IS REALLY ABOUT SHOWING UP. AND I HAVE WORKED AS A CONTRACTOR FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR A LONG TIME, THESE GRAND SWEEPING VISIONS ABOUT HOW DO WE MAKE CALIFORNIA BETTER, HOW DO WE MAKE THE NATION BETTER? THEY SOUND GOOD AND THEY WIN ELECTIONS, BUT IN MY MIND, THE ACTUAL CHANGE COMES FROM SHOWING UP. INCREMENTAL CHANGES, WINNING THIS GRANT TO IMPROVE THIS INTERSECTION HERE. AND SO, I THINK THAT I AM INTERESTED IN FINDING WAYS THAT SEBASTOPOL CAN BECOME MORE COMPETITIVE AND WAYS IN WHICH THE COUNTY CAN BASICALLY WORK WELL WITH SEBASTOPOL TO BUILD THEIR PLAN FOR BICYCLING AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

DO YOU BELONG TO ANY OF THE BICYCLING GROUPS?

IT IS A PRETTY LOW BIRD. I AM A MEMBER OF THE BICYCLE COALITION. I AM PRETTY FRIENDLY WITH THE LAND, WHO HAS BEEN PART OF THE TRAIL MAKERS GROUP.

I KNOW THAT YOU CAME TO A LARGE AND ASKED FOR SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THE BICYCLING SITUATION. SO, [ INDISCERNIBLE - LOW VOLUME ].

I MENTIONED MY INCREMENTAL VISION OF HOW WE IMPROVE SEBASTOPOL. I THINK IT IS A MAGICAL PLACE. AND I AM SO PROUD TO BE PART OF THIS COMMUNITY AND LIVE HERE AND EVERY DAY I AM CHARMED BY THIS BEAUTIFUL CITY AND I AM CHARMED EVERY TIME I GET ON MY BIKE AND I RIDE ALONG THE COUNTRY ROADS. AND, YOU KNOW, I THINK WE CAN, WE CAN MAKE IT BETTER, WE CAN MAKE IT A PLACE WHERE, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE FEEL SAFE WHEN THEY ARE WALKING AND CROSSING STREETS. PEOPLE FEEL SAFE WHEN THEY GET ON THEIR BIKE. MY COMMUTE TO MY KID'S SCHOOL, IT IS A NARROW ROAD, FIGURING OUT WAYS TO MAKE THAT SAFER FEELS LIKE A VERY OBVIOUS PLACE TO TRY TO PLUG MY EFFORTS INTO.

GRADE, SO, I WANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAVE COUNCILMEMBER HERE. WE ARE FINISHING UP OUR INTERVIEWS, MY QUESTION AND IS, I AM CURIOUS, I THINK I KNOW THE ANSWER, BUT WHAT DID YOU DO AS A DEPLOYMENT STRATEGIST?

RIGHT NOW I WORK IN SUPPLY CHAIN. THE BIG WAREHOUSES THAT YOU SEE OFF THE SIDE OF THE FREEWAY THAT NO ONE EVER THINKS ABOUT IT UNTIL A PACKAGE SHOWS UP ON YOUR DOORSTEP. I WORK WITH THOSE FACILITIES TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT, HOW DO WE MAKE THEM GET IN AND OUT FASTER, HOW DO WE REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF TIME THEY ARE IDLING, HOW DO WE REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT THEY ARE IDLING AND WAITING. IT TAKES HOURS AND HOURS TO DROP THEIR LOADS AND GO BACK OUT. I TALKED TO TRUCK DRIVERS EVERY DAY, I TALKED TO FORKLIFT DRIVERS ALMOST EVERY DAY. AND SO, IT IS A FUN JOB.

YEAH, MAYBE YOU ARE BALANCING ALL OF THOSE TRUCKS, SPEWING INTO THE ENVIRONMENT, I DON'T KNOW, WHATEVER WORKS, BUT MY QUESTION IS, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE SITUATION IN SEBASTOPOL, YOU HAVE THESE VISIONS AND THESE GOALS ABOUT HOW TO EXPAND CYCLING, AS IF MY VOICE IS NOT ENOUGH. SO, WHAT DO YOU SEE AS KEY CHALLENGES TO DOING ALL OF THOSE THINGS?

YEAH, THERE ARE A LOT OF CHALLENGES. I UNDERSTAND THAT. FUNDING IS PROBABLY THE MOST OBVIOUS ONE AND LIKE I SAID, I WANT TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE SEBASTOPOL MORE COMPETITIVE IN THOSE APPLICATIONS. THERE ARE ALSO, I THINK, POLITICAL DIMENSIONS. WE HAVE TO BRING PEOPLE ALONG TO REALIZE THAT, YOU KNOW, THIS IS A VISION FOR SEBASTOPOL THAT IS GOING TO MAKE IT HEALTHIER AND SAFER FOR EVERYONE. THERE MAY BE THINGS THAT SEEM LIKE A COMPROMISE INITIALLY, BUT IN THE LONG TERM VISION, OF HOW TO MAKE THIS TOWN GREAT, THEY ARE INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT.

GREAT. THANK YOU FOR THE ANSWER. ANY QUESTIONS, JILL McLEWIS ?

I HAVE JUST A COMMENT.

WE WILL DO THE INTERVIEWS AND WHEN WE COME BACK, ON THE AGENDA, WE WILL HAVE DISCUSSION. I DO NOT HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS. BUT WE HAVE THESE THREE APPLICANTS AND I WANT TO JUST REITERATE THAT WE CAN ONLY APPROVE ONE PERSON

FOR THIS SLOT, BUT NOW WE HAVE CONNECTED WITH ALL THREE OF YOU [ LAUGHTER ] SO WE SEE EACH OF YOU AS CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS. WE CAN ALWAYS REACH OUT TO YOU LATER. WE WILL BE MAKING A DECISION, AND PUBLIC, WILL COME, WHEN WE START THE REGULAR AGENDA. SO YOU ARE WELCOME TO STICK AROUND FOR THAT.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ?

I HAVE A QUESTION FOR STAFF, ARE THERE MORE OPENINGS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE?

[ INDISCERNIBLE - LOW VOLUME ]

SORRY, EVERYONE. THERE ARE ACTUALLY SEVEN OPENINGS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE THAT WERE ADVERTISED. SO, WE DID GET THAT ONE APPLICATION, IT IS LIKE 11 TO 13 MEMBERS, AND TWO ARE YOUTH POSITIONS THAT ARE AVAILABLE, THERE IS A BUSINESS REP, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, AND A SORT OF ANOTHER POSITION THAT OPEN.

ANY OTHER INPUT BEFORE WE CLOSE OFF THE INTERVIEWS? THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SILAS. MY PLEASURE, THANK YOU FOR COMING DOWN. SO, NOW WE ARE GOING TO GO INTO OUR REGULAR AGENDA. NO, WE ARE NOT GOING TO GO INTO OUR REGULAR AGENDA.

YOU ARE GOING TO ADJOURN UNTIL 6:30, UNTIL THE REGULAR MEETING STARTS.

WHAT SHE SAID. WE ARE GOING TO ADJOURN UNTIL THE REGULAR MEETING TIME. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

RECORDING STOPPED.

[ THE EVENT IS ON A RECESS. THE SESSION WILL RECONVENE AT 6:30 PM PACIFIC STANDARD TIME. CAPTIONER ON STANDBY. ]

♪ HAPPY BIRTHDAY TO YOU ♪

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

RECORDING IN PROGRESS AND

LOOK AT THAT, RECORDING IN PROGRESS. THANK GOODNESS IT WAS NOT RECORDED. THIS IS NOT THE FIRST COUNCIL MEETING ON MY BIRTHDAY, IT IS MY SECOND.

A WOMAN WHO IS COMMITTED TO HER APPOINTMENT. OR WHO SHOULD BE COMMITTED. WE ARE NOT SURE. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. NOW WE WILL GO INTO OUR REGULAR AGENDA. OUR REGULAR MEETING. WE BASICALLY NEED TO START OVER. SO, THIS IS THE CALL TO ORDER, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:35. AND MARY GOURLEY, PLEASE DO THE ROLL CALL FOR US.

[ ROLL BEING CALLED ]

PLEASE NOTE, ALL COUNCILMEMBERS ARE IN ATTENDANCE.

NOW, WE WILL DO THE SALUTE TO THE FLAG. STAND UP, PLEASE.

I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS ONE NATION UNDER GOD INDIVISIBLE WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

OKAY. ALL RIGHT. OUR NEXT ITEM IS PROCLAMATIONS. I AM TRYING TO CORRECT THAT FLAG RIGHT THERE. BECAUSE -- SO, OUR NEXT ITEM IS PROCLAMATIONS. WE HAVE ONE PROCLAMATION THAT WE ARE GOING TO READ. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN IS GOING TO DO THAT FOR US. AND WE HAVE A MOMENT TO RECOGNIZE OUR UKRAINIAN DELEGATES.

THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL PROCLAMATION, PROCLAIMING BLACK HISTORY MONTH, FEBRUARY, 2024. IT BEGAN IN 1926 AS BLACK HISTORY WEEK. IT IS A CELEBRATION INITIATED BY DR. CARTER WOODSON, A BLACK SCHOLAR AND THE SON OF FORMER SLAVES. WHEREAS, IN 1976, AS PART OF THE NATION'S BICENTENNIAL, BLACK HISTORY WEEK WAS EXPANDED AND BECAME ESTABLISHED AS BLACK HISTORY MONTH.

AMERICANS OF ALL WALKS OF LIFE HAVE COME TOGETHER DURING THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY TO HONOR THE TOO-OFTEN NEGLECTED ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF BLACK AMERICANS THROUGHOUT OUR HISTORY. BLACK CULTURE IS AMERICAN CULTURE. BLACK STORIES ARE ESSENTIAL TO THE ONGOING STORY OF THE UNITED STATES. IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT WE TAKE TIME TO CELEBRATE THE IMMEASURABLE CONTRIBUTIONS OF BLACK AMERICANS AND HONOR THEIR LEGACY. WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO WORK TOWARD REVERSING INJUSTICES OF THE PAST. BLACK HISTORY MONTH, OF 2024, AFRICAN AMERICANS AND THE ARTS, EXPLORING THE KEY INFLUENCE THAT AFRICAN AMERICANS HAVE MADE IN LITERATURE, FASHION, FOLKLORE, FILM, ARCHITECTURE, CULINARY, AND OTHER FORMS OF CULTURAL EXPRESSION. PEOPLE HAVE ACHIEVED SUCCESS AND

PROGRESS, SINCE THE END OF SLAVERY, DISMANTLING JIM CROW IN THE SOUTH, AND INCREASING REPRESENTATION AT ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. THE PASSAGE OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, THE OPENING OF THE SMITHSONIAN NATIONAL MUSEUM OF AFRICAN AMERICAN HISTORY IN WASHINGTON, D.C. AND THE DIVERSE REPRESENTATION OF BLACK PARTICIPATION IN THE MEDIA. THE LEGACY AND IMPORTANCE OF THESE ACTIONS CANNOT BE UNDERSTATED. AND WHEREAS BLACK PEOPLE HAVE HAD TO CONSISTENTLY PUSH THE UNITED STATES TO LIVE UP TO ITS IDEALS OF FREEDOM, LIBERTY, AND JUSTICE FOR ALL. NOW THEREFORE BE IT HEREBY PROCLAIMED, THE MAYOR, DIANA GARDNER RICH, AND THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL COUNCIL PROCLAIM FEBRUARY OF 2024 BLACK HISTORY MONTH, APPRECIATING AND HONORING THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF AFRICAN AMERICANS TO IMPROVING THE HEALTH, WELL-BEING, AND SAFETY FOR ALL WHO LIVE IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. AND BE IT FURTHER PROCLAIMED THAT THE SEBASTOPOL CITY COVERED CALLS UPON MEMBERS TO RECOGNIZE BLACK HISTORY MONTH. IN LIGHT OF THE THEME, BLACK AMERICAN HISTORY AND THE ARTS, YOU ARE URGED TO STUDY THE INFLUENCES THAT AFRICAN AMERICANS HAVE HAD IN THE FIELD OF ARTS, CULINARY, ARCHITECTURE, AND OTHER FORMS OF CULTURAL EXPRESSION. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I ADHERE TO PUTTING THE HAND ON THE GREAT SEAL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL, ON THE 6 DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2024.

WE APPRECIATE ALL OF THAT. ALL RIGHT, SO OUR NEXT ITEM, OUR NEXT ITEM IS THE INTRODUCTION OF OUR UKRAINIAN DELEGATES. I AM GOING TO ASK STEVE. I THINK THAT WE HAVE SOMEONE TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF OF THE UKRAINIAN DELEGATES. IF YOU COULD COME TO THE PODIUM. THIS IS THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL SISTER ORGANIZATION, HOSTING A DELEGATION FROM UKRAINE THROUGH THE U.S. CONGRESSIONAL PROGRAM CALLED OPEN WORLD WHICH SPONSORS PROMISING LEADERS FROM -- THERE THEY ARE. FROM DEVELOPING AND FORMER SOVIET COUNTRIES TO STUDY SPECIALIZED TOPICS TO HELP THEM IMPROVE PROCESSES IN THEIR HOME COUNTRIES. AND I AM GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO STEVE TO TALK ABOUT THE INCREDIBLE VISIT THEY HAVE HAD. AND THE WELCOMING TOWN THAT THEY HAVE FOUND HERE. GO AHEAD, STEVE.

[ INDISCERNIBLE - LOW VOLUME ] [ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ] EXPERIENCE SO FAR. [ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ]

[ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ]

I AM GOING TO ASK YOU, IS THAT GREEN LIGHT ON, ON YOUR MIC?

NOW IT IS ON. PERFECT OKAY. THAT HELPS.

ON BEHALF OF THE GROUP, I WOULD LIKE TO THANK EVERYONE FOR THE WONDERFUL EXPERIENCE THAT WE HAVE HAD AND FOR ORGANIZING THIS ORGANIZATION WHICH IS HOSTING US. TO OUR HOST FAMILIES AND THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL, AND ALL OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE GREETED US HERE, WE ARE HAVING A WONDERFUL TIME. WE ARE MAKING A LOT OF FRIENDS AND WE ARE SEEING VERY BEAUTIFUL PLACES. WE WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU AGAIN FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY. THANK YOU.

[ SPEAKING IN A NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ]

Translator: SEBASTOPOL IS MANAGING THEM WITH THE SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT. SHE IS ENJOYING THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.

[ SPEAKING IN A NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ]

Translator: MANAGER OF THE VETERAN ORGANIZATION, THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THE WONDERFUL EXPERIENCES BACK HERE.

[ SPEAKING IN A NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ]

WE ARE REPRESENTING THE CENTRAL PART OF UKRAINE. AND SVETLANA REPRESENTS ORGANIZATIONS WORKING FOR CHILDREN WITH VARIOUS DISABILITIES.

MY NAME IS DANIELLE. I AM FROM THE CENTRAL REGION OF UKRAINE. I AM A PSYCHOLOGIST IN THE LEADING SOCIAL CENTER. THANK YOU FOR ALL OF THE AMAZING THRILLS IN MY LIFE.

[ SPEAKING IN A NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ]

Translator: HELLO, EVERYONE, IT IS NICE TO BE HERE. I REPRESENT ONE OF THE EASTERN CITIES OF UKRAINE. AND I AM PART OF A NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION THAT WORKS WITH EDUCATORS.

[ SPEAKING IN A NON-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ]

Translator: HELLO, EVERYONE. I REPRESENT THE SISTER CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. I AM HAPPY TO BE HERE. I WORK IN THE SOCIAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT.

THANK YOU. I THINK WHAT WE CAN SAY IS, WELCOME. WHAT A FABULOUS DELEGATION. ARE THEY GREAT? I THINK ABOUT 6000 MILES AWAY AS THE CROW FLIES AND IT HAS BEEN A GREAT EXPERIENCE. AND I WANT TO SHARE THAT MY EXPERIENCE WITH THIS GREAT GROUP OF PEOPLE, IT HAS GONE BOTH WAYS. AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS WAS NOT ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN A LOT OF WENT ON, BECAUSE YOU ARE ILL, AND I THOUGHT THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE SOME COMMENTS.

I THANK YOU FOR COMING. I AM SO SORRY THAT I WAS NOT ABLE TO PARTICIPATE, I HAD A LOT OF TRAVEL IN GERMANY, MANY YEARS AGO AT A VERY YOUNG AGE. IT MADE SUCH AN IMPRESSION ON ME, IT HAS TOTALLY IMPACTED MY LIFE, AND I AM SO GLAD THAT YOU ARE ABLE TO COME HERE.

I WILL SAY, THAT COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS DID A GOOD JOB REPRESENTING OUR INTERESTS HERE. ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? ANY OF THEM? I WANT TO WISH YOU A WONDERFUL BLESSED OF YOUR WEEK FOR THE REMAINING TIME THAT YOU HAVE FOR US AND THANK YOU FOR JOINING US HERE.

WE DO HAVE, THEY HAVE BEEN HANDING OUT GIFTS. WE DO HAVE SOME GIFTS THAT ARE GOING TO BE HEADED OUT OF THE BACK. WE HAVE A LOVELY SEBASTOPOL T-SHIRT. THAT IS VERY NICE. SEBASTOPOL, YOU GET IT , IN CASE YOU FORGET. A BOOKMARK, AND OF COURSE, THE SEBASTOPOL STICKER. HOPEFULLY THERE WILL BE ENOUGH. AND THEN, FROM THE LIBRARY. I WANTED TO IDENTIFY SOMEONE FROM THE LIBRARY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND WE HAVE NINA, FROM FARM TRAILS, WHO IS THE ADVOCATE. IF YOU CAN STAY UP THERE, THAT WOULD BE JUST GREAT.

[ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ] THANK YOU, THANK YOU. WELCOME. I AM HERE ON BEHALF OF SONOMA COUNTY FARM TRAILS. I HAVE SOME GIFTS THAT ARE COMMEMORATIVE ITEMS FROM OUR FUNDRAISER THAT HAPPENS EVERY YEAR. SO, WE ARE JUST HOPING TO WISH YOU SAFE TRAVELS, BEST WISHES, AND COME BACK.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, EVERYONE, FOLLOW NINO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND ANY OTHER COMMENTS? STEVE, ARE YOU GOOD?

I SHOULD MENTION, YESTERDAY MORNING WE HAD A VERY HISTORIC MEETING, I THINK. I THINK, IT WAS BETWEEN MAYOR GARDNER RICH AND CITY REPRESENTATIVES. AND I THINK THAT THERE WAS GOOD ENERGY FOR A FUTURE COLLABORATION.

APPARENTLY, I AM GOING TO BE SENT AN MOU THAT I AM EXPECTED TO SIGN. BUT THAT WAS AN ABSOLUTELY GREAT MOMENT IN HISTORY. YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE BENEFITS OF ZOOM IS THAT YOU CAN CONNECT WITH SOMEONE SO FAR AWAY. THANK YOU EVERYONE, FROM UKRAINE, FOR BEING HERE. AND I THINK THAT WE WILL NOW MOVE ON TO SOME MORE MUNDANE BUT IMPORTANT ISSUES ON THE AGENDA. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT, FOLKS. NEXT, WE HAVE STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. DO WE HAVE ANY STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST? SEEING NONE, WE WILL MOVE ON. WE ARE NOW AT PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. MARY GOURLEY, CAN YOU FACILITATE THAT ?

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, YOU WILL HAVE TWO MINUTES, WE WILL ALLOW UP TO 20 MINUTES. WILL GO TO IN-CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN THE CHAMBERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE GO AHEAD. [ LAUGHTER ]

MY NAME IS CHIEF WOODS AND I LIVE IN SANTA ROSA. I WANT TO ESTABLISH MY CREDIBILITY. I CAME UP FOR 18 YEARS TO SEE MY GRANDMOTHER, DAISY, EVERY SINGLE HOLIDAY. [ INDISCERNIBLE - MUFFLED ] AT THE CORNER OF CALDER AND VINE, IF YOU ARE FAMILIAR, I'M SURE, WITHOUT AREA. I HAVE BEEN VISITING IT FOR MANY YEARS. I AM HERE SIMPLY TO TAKE A MINUTE OR SO TO SAY CONGRATULATIONS. I SPENT, I SPENT 20 YEARS, BEFORE RETIREMENT AS THE HEAD OF THE NORTH COAST PUBLISH EXCHANGE. WE REPRESENTED CITIES AND COUNTIES IN MENDOCINO AND NAPA. I AM HERE TO CONGRATULATE YOU, BECAUSE IN ALL OF MY YEARS OF WORKING WITH LIBERAL GOVERNMENT STAFF PEOPLE, I HAVE NEVER APPRECIATED AND RESPECTED ANYBODY MORE THAN YOUR NEW CITY MANAGER, DON SCHWARTZ. I HAVE DEALT WITH SO MANY DIFFERENT PUBLIC OFFICIALS. BUT I FOUND DON TO BE THE MOST CREATIVE AND VISIONARY OFFICIAL I KNEW. CONSCIOUS ABOUT BUDGET. HE WAS VERY SENSITIVE TO

THE NEEDS OF THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY, NOT ONLY HOW IT WOULD AFFECT THE CITIZENS OF ROHNERT PARK.

YOU HAVE ONLY 20 SECONDS.

I AM FILLED WITH ADMIRATION FOR DON. I TOOK SOME TIME TO COME OVER TONIGHT JUST TO TELL YOU, ROHNERT PARK'S LOSS IS YOUR GAIN, I GUARANTEE YOU. AND I DO NOT KNOW THAT I WOULD'VE COME OVER, BUT FOR IT POURING RAIN, IT IS NICE OUT NOW AND SO I THOUGHT I WOULD TAKE THE TIME TO DO THAT. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. DON, CONGRATULATIONS ON A VERY FINE CAREER MOVE, WAY TO GO, COUNCILMEMBERS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, WE GO OUT TO ZOOM. LORETTA, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, CAN YOU PLEASE UNMUTE YOURSELF? CAN YOU HEAR US, LORETTA? CAN YOU SEE THE TWO-MINUTE TIMER?

NUMBER ONE, I WANT TO THANK OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT, OUR PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, AND OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE FANTASTIC JOB THEY HAVE DONE THE LAST THREE OR FOUR DAYS WITH THE BOMB CYCLONE. THOSE MEN AND WOMEN WORK AROUND THE CLOCK. AND THAT WE CANNOT PAY THEM ENOUGH TO DO WHAT THEY DO FOR OUR CITY. AND THE SECOND THING I HAVE IS JUST A COMMENT ABOUT THE AGENDA. AT THE LAST MEETING, THERE WERE SEVERAL OF US WHO WAITED FOR THE VERY LAST ITEM ABOUT THE ZOOM MEETING AND WE RAN OUT OF TIME. AND NOW, ON THIS NEXT AGENDA, COULD THE THINGS THAT GET PUSHED OVER TO THE NEXT MEETING BE AT THE TOP OF THE AGENDA? BECAUSE, AGAIN, IT IS AT THE BOTTOM OF THE AGENDA. IF YOU RUN OUT OF TIME FOR SOMETHING, IN THE NEXT MEETING, IT SHOULD BE MOVED UP TO THE TOP. THAT'S ALL.

NEXT, WE WILL COME BACK IN CHAMBERS IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ADRIENNE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

YES, THANK YOU. THIS IS A PERSONAL COMMENT. MY HOUSE, THE ELECTRICITY IS OUT. I HAVE COME TO THE CITY LIBRARY, THE ROHNERT PARK LIBRARY, AND THEY CLOSE AT 8:00. I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE AGENDA ITEM FOR THE RISE AND SHINE BUILDING.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. CARL, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF AND CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN. I HAVE A FEW DIFFERENT THINGS. THE KIND OF PIGGYBACK ON LORETTA. I SAW A LOT OF ACTIVITY WITH A LOT OF OTHER CITY EMPLOYEES. I DO WANT TO KNOW A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT KIND OF THE PLANNING THAT WENT INTO PLACE, PREPARING FOR THIS BOMB CYCLONE, AS WELL AS THE COURSE OF ACTION THAT TOOK PLACE AROUND THE WAY. THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL HAS SPENT SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF MONEY BUILDING A COMMAND CENTER. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT COMMAND CENTER. THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE STORM HAPPENED QUITE FAR IN ADVANCE. BUT A LOT OF THE MESSAGING FROM THE CITY DID NOT COME UNTIL FAR AFTER THE FACT, ESPECIALLY THINGS LIKE TRAFFIC CONTROL. IF I COULD HEAR A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THE USE OF THAT COMMAND CENTER, ANY LESSONS LEARNED, AND ANYTHING THAT WE COULD IMPROVE ON IN THE FUTURE. IT IS NOT A BAD THING TO TALK ABOUT FAILURES, WE CAN TALK ABOUT THE FAILURES. TO SWEEP THEM UNDER THE RUG AND NOT MAKE THEM PUBLIC, IT IS MUCH MORE DIFFICULT TO ENACT CHANGE. THE SECOND THING, I LEARNED THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE LOSING OUR PLANNING DIRECTOR. BUT I DO NOT KNOW WHAT THE CITY PLANS TO DO ABOUT THIS.

HISTORICALLY, WHEN WE HAVE LOST MANAGEMENT, NAMELY OUR ENGINEERING MANAGER, OUR CITY FAILED TO BE ABLE TO PRODUCE A REPLACEMENT ENGINEERING MANAGER. AS A RESULT, WE HAVE USED A CONTRACTING FIRM THAT IS COSTING THE CITY SIGNIFICANTLY MORE THAN WHEN WE HAD AN ENGINEERING MANAGER, I WOULD HATE TO SEE OUR CITY GO DOWN THAT ROAD AGAIN AND EITHER CONSOLIDATE DEPARTMENTS OR CHOOSE TO RUN WITHOUT THAT POSITION BEING REBUILT. WITH SUCH A SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME LEFT, I REALLY HOPE THAT OUR CITY IS ACTING MUCH FASTER THAN IT WAS WHEN IT WAS TRYING TO GET THE CITY REPLACEMENT MANAGER. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU HEAR US?

ANYWAY, I WANTED TO SAY THE SAME THING AS LORETTA AND CARL. THE MAYOR COULD ACKNOWLEDGE IT, SINGING HAPPY BIRTHDAY. THAT IS THE FIRST TIME I HAVE SEEN THAT DONE. BUT THEY FAILED TO ACKNOWLEDGE ALL OF THE WORK THAT OUR FIRST RESPONDERS, OUR FIREFIGHTERS, THEY ARE OUT THERE RISKING THEIR LIVES. THEY ARE VOLUNTEERS. AND THEY DO NOT GET ANY APPRECIATION OR GRATITUDE FROM YOU. I CANNOT BELIEVE THAT YOU FAILED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THEIR WORK. AND THE FIRST RESPONDERS ARE SO IMPORTANT. ANYWAY, THAT IS SORT OF PAR FOR THE COURSE FOR YOU, DIANA GARDNER RICH, AS YOU ARE RUNNING THIS LIKE YOUR PERSONAL SITE, IGNORING THE NEEDS OF THE CITY. WHEN IT COMES TO THE FIREFIGHTERS, IGNORING THE LIMITATIONS OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE, AND DISMISSING PUBLIC COMMENTS AND NOT ANSWERING QUESTIONS. AND BULLYING. BULLYING OUR LAST ONE INTO QUITTING. AND CUTTING, CUTTING THE BUDGETS, AGAIN, FOR OUR FIRST RESPONDERS. SO, ANYWAY, FOR THAT REASON AND A LOT MORE, NOT LISTENING TO PUBLIC COMMENT AT ALL, AND NOT ANSWERING QUESTIONS, ONCE AGAIN, FOR THAT REASON AND MORE --

YOU HAVE ABOUT 10 SECONDS.

DIANA GARDNER RICH, I AM ASKING YOU TO STEP DOWN.

THANK YOU FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL NOW COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NON-, I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. JIM, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? DO YOU SEE THE TIME LIMIT?

I CAN. WELL, I WANTED TO SORT OF COUNTERACT WHAT MINDY WAS SAYING AND EXPRESSED MY APPRECIATION FOR DIANA GARDNER RICH 'S TAKING ON OF THE MAYOR ROLE AND FOR ALL THE COUNCILMEMBERS WHO CONTINUE TO BE IN THE PUBLIC FACE AND DO THE BEST THEY CAN AND ACKNOWLEDGE WHEN THEY HAVE FALLEN SHORT. AND WORKING BEHIND THE SCENES BECAUSE I KNOW HOW MUCH WORK GOES ON BEHIND THE SCENES. AND ON A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT TOPIC, I WANTED TO SAY THAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE NEW PATH THAT HAS GONE IN THERE. AND I AM ASSUMING AT SOME POINT THERE WILL BE SOME MORE DISCUSSION, IN THE AGENDA ITEM, ABOUT THE PLAN. I KNOW THERE WAS A GENERAL MASTER PLAN. MAYBE THINGS ARE STARTING TO BE WORKED ON, IT SEEMS LIKE MAYBE WE SHOULD REVISIT THAT. I DO NOT WANT TO MOVE AHEAD AND DO THINGS THAT WILL REALLY BE A SURPRISE TO THINGS -- PEOPLE, WHEN THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN THOUGHT OUT BETTER.

THANK YOU FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE PUBLIC AGENDA. WE GO BACK TO CHAMBERS, ONE LAST TIME, SEEING NONE. WE GO BACK TO ZOOM, SEEING NONE, NO HANDS RAISED, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. TURNING TO STAFF, ANY QUESTIONS THAT STAFF FEELS, COMMENTS NEEDING TO BE RESPONDED TO, FROM THE PUBLIC?

[ INDISCERNIBLE ]

OKAY, GREAT. I DO APPRECIATE THE COMMENT. NO COFFEE? OKAY. SO, THANK YOU FOR THE COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC THAT I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND PEOPLE THAT THE COMMENTS ARE MOST PRODUCTIVE IF THEY TALK ABOUT TOPICS, SUBJECTS, AND POLICY, THAT IS WHAT WE ARE HERE TO LISTEN TO. TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN FOCUS ON THE TOPICS AT ISSUE IN THIS TOWN, OF WHICH THERE ARE MANY. I THINK IT WOULD BE MORE PRODUCTIVE, THAT IS MY PERSONAL OPINION. I DO APPRECIATE ALL THE LEADERS THAT HAVE COME BEFORE ME AND WE DO ALL TRIED TO DO OUR BEST AS ELECTIVES. WORKING WITH OUR FABULOUS STAFF. I WANTED TO REINFORCE THAT POSITIVE MANAGER.

I WILL SAY THIS NOW, IN TERMS OF RECOGNIZING THE GREAT JOB THAT OUR FIRST RESPONDERS DID, BOTH THE POLICE, FIREFIGHTERS, AND PUBLIC WORKS, ONE WAY WE RECOGNIZE THAT IS TO DO BLIPS ON OUR SOCIAL MEDIA SITES. IF WE DO NOT TELL THE PUBLIC THE GOOD WORK THAT WE ARE DOING AND THEY ARE DOING, THEY DO NOT NECESSARILY KNOW ABOUT IT. WE DID NOT WANT TO JUST BE APPRECIATED INSIDE. WE WANTED TO SHARE IT WITH THE COMMUNITY. WE APPRECIATE AND CELEBRATE THEIR EFFORTS.

AS A FOLLOW-UP TO THAT, I HAD A GREAT CONVERSATION WITH CON RADIO OR TELEVISION, OR VIDEO. I THINK I WAS MUCH MORE OPTIMISTIC AND OPEN TO LABORATORY

TO OUR FIRST RESPONDERS. I DOUBT THAT I GOT INTO ANY OF THE ACTUAL, PUBLICIZED PIECES. BUT THAT WAS MY MAIN MESSAGE, THAT WE WERE VERY FORTUNATE IN THIS TOWN. DESPITE THE SIGNAL LIGHTS GOING OUT, WE HAD OUR POLICE, OUR FIREFIGHTERS, OUR PUBLIC WORKS, AND EVERYONE. I APPRECIATE THAT. AND WE HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT RIGHT HERE. THANK YOU FOR DOING THAT. OKAY. WE ARE NOW GOING TO THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA, WHICH WILL BE THE CONSENT CALENDAR. I HAVE A QUESTION FOR MARY GOURLEY. WE HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM THAT IS COMING UP, THAT WOULD BE ITEM 12, THE RECEIPT OF QUARTERLY INFORMATIONAL REPORT, ON RISE AND SHINE. IS THAT POSSIBLE TO BRING IT AHEAD OF CONSENT?

THAT IS WITHIN PROTOCOL, IF THEY ARE IN CONSENSUS TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE THAT AGENDA ITEM.

I WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT, GIVEN THAT WE HAVE ADRIENNE AVAILABLE, I THINK UNTIL 8:00. AND SHE HAS TAKEN THE EFFORT TO FIND SOMEWHERE WHERE SHE HAS INTERNET COVERAGE. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO BRINGING ITEM 12 UP IN THE CONSENT CALENDAR? NO? OKAY, WE WILL CALL THE ITEM. MAKE SURE, ADRIENNE, ARE YOU PRESENT IN THE ZOOM MEETING? IF YOU CAN RESPOND AND JUST LET US KNOW, THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

YES, I AM.

THANK YOU, ADRIENNE, FOR BEING PRESENT AND PLEASANT. I LIKE THAT. THANK YOU TO OUR CAPTAIN, COMING UP TO THE PODIUM. WE ARE NOT GOING TO ITEM NUMBER 12, RECEIPT OF QUARTERLY INFORMATIONAL REPORT, SAVS, AND DISCUSSION/INFORMATION ON THE FUTURE OF HORIZON SHINE RESIDENTS. IT APPEARS THAT WE HAVE OUR CAPTAIN, WHO WAS GOING TO PRESENT THIS ITEM.

I THINK IT YOU VERY MUCH, MAYOR AND ESTEEMED DOUBLE. THIS IS OUR QUARTERLY AGENDA REPORT THAT IS REQUIRED BY THE USE PERMIT. IN THE ESSENCE OF TIME AND TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GET EVERYTHING DONE, AS OF THIS POINT, WE HAVE, WE HAVE HAD MULTIPLE MEETINGS, WE HAVE GOTTEN INVOLVED, AS FAR AS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WITH THE NEW ADVENTURES. WE ARE STEPPING INTO HER ROLE. THE CHIEF AND I HAVE BEEN TO MEETINGS. TODAY, I WAS PART OF THE CITY MEETING, AS WELL AS THE ON-SITE MEETING. AND THERE IS A LOT OF INFORMATION THAT HAS COME UP. UNLESS YOU WOULD LIKE SOME MORE HISTORY, I CAN GIVE YOU MORE INFORMATION.

LET'S TURN TO OUR CITY MANAGER FOR A MOMENT. BUT PLEASE STAY AT THE PODIUM. A LITTLE CONTEXT, THE REAL GOAL OF A PROJECT LIKE THIS, AND PROBABLY THE CITY'S REASON FOR OFFERING THE SITE IN THE FIRST PLACE WAS TO PROMOTE LONG-TERM HOUSING, WHICH IS THE ULTIMATE SOLUTION TO HOMELESSNESS. THE IDEA IS, INTERIM HOUSING CAN BE A STEP ON THE PATHWAY BETWEEN THE STREETS AND FINDING PERSONAL HOUSING. COLLABORATING WITH ST. VINCENT DePAUL, SAVS, AND THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, BETTERING OUR COMMUNITY SITUATION. SPEAKING WITH DAVE FROM THE COUNTY, THE WAY TO SUMMARIZE THAT CONVERSATION IS, THEY ARE MAKING PROGRESS, A LITTLE BIT, SINCE WE PUT THE STAFF REPORT TOGETHER. A LITTLE BIT MORE ON PERMANENT SITES FOUND BUT THERE IS STILL QUITE A LONG WAYS TO GO. I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE, I VOTED FOR THIS PROJECT WHEN I WAS ON THE CONTINUING CARE BOARD, BECAUSE I RECOGNIZE THAT INTERIM HOUSING CAN BE PART OF THE SOLUTION. AND IT ADDRESSES THE NEEDS OF THOSE ON THE STREET AND IN THE COMMUNITY, GIVING HIM A SAFE PLACE TO GO. SO, I AM HOPING THAT ULTIMATELY THIS RESULTS IN A GOOD OUTCOME. WE DO HAVE SOME WAYS TO GO, AND WE ARE TRYING TO WORK COLLABORATIVELY TO GET FOLKS INTO PERMANENT HOUSING, AS OPPOSED TO THE SITES THEY ARE IN NOW.

THANK YOU, SO WE GO BACK TO OUR CAPTAIN. WE HAVE THE REPORT, WE HAVE READ THE STAFF REPORT. WHATEVER SUMMARY INFORMATION YOU MIGHT OFFER WOULD BE GREAT.

AS WITH ANY TRANSITIONAL HOUSING, IT IS A FLUID SITUATION. WE HAVE TWO MOVE IN DIFFERENT WAYS, WITH EVERYBODY, TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN. AS OF RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE BETWEEN 20 AND 23 PEOPLE DEPENDING ON WHO IS THERE AND WHO IS NOT, AT ANY GIVEN TIME, AS OF RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE EIGHT POSSIBLE TRAILER LOCATIONS FOR EIGHT TRAILERS, WHICH WOULD EQUAL BETWEEN EIGHT AND

WOULD BE IN SANTA ROSA, AT THE COMMENTS, THE ONE RUN BY ST. VINCENT DePAUL. THERE ARE A COUPLE OF CLIENTS LOOKING TO MOVE OUT OF STATE, AND ONE MORE TRAILER. THERE IS ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL LOOKING TO TRY TO MOVE HIS TRAILER ONTO HIS EMPLOYER'S PROPERTY WHICH WOULD ADD ANOTHER PERSON TO THE MIX AND AND THEN THERE ARE TWO PEOPLE TRYING TO GO OUT OF STATE. AND ONE PERSON, DUE TO A MEDICAL SITUATION, IS TRYING TO GET THEIR TRAILER MOVED OUT THAT WAY. THAT LEAVES ABOUT 13 RESIDENTS. AGAIN, GIVE-AND-TAKE, PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING INTO DIFFERENT OPTIONS FROM THE SHELTERS IN THE AREA. AND OTHER PRIVATE CITIZENS GIVING THEM AN OPTION TO MOVE THEIR TRAILERS. OF THOSE PEOPLE AT THE MEETING ON SITE, THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF RENEWED INTEREST INTO LOOKING INTO THE SHELTERS. AND OTHER BEDS THAT ARE AVAILABLE THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. THEY DO REALIZE THAT IT IS CRUNCH TIME AND MARCH 1st COMES PRETTY RICKY. I WANT TO SAY A BIG THANK YOU TO PEOPLE WHO WERE AT THE ON-SITE MEETING AS WELL AS THOSE WORKING TO TRY AND FIND SUCCESSFUL PLANS FOR THESE FOLKS. THEY ARE IN TRANSITIONAL HOUSING AND THEY ARE WORKING AS HARD AS THEY CAN, AND THEIR FUTURE IS IN THEIR HANDS. THE MORE THEY HELP, THE MORE SUCCESS WE SHOULD HAVE.

THANK YOU. SO, I WILL FOLLOW-UP TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF WHAT WE ARE HEARING HERE, FROM OUR CAPTAIN, AS AN INDICATION OF THE VERY REGULAR CONTACT THAT THE CITY IS HAVING WITH A POPULATION OF HORIZON SHINE. WE NOW HAVE, AT THE INVITATION OF THE HORIZON SHINE STAFF, OUR CITY POLICE PRESENT AT THE MEETINGS SO THERE IS THAT CONTACT AND ALSO, WE HAVE A WEEKLY MEETING THAT IS A CHECK IN BETWEEN CITY AND STAFF. AND SO PEOPLE ARE AWARE, THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES IS INVOLVED. AND THOSE MEETINGS ARE ALWAYS HAPPENING WEEKLY. THERE IS CONTINUING CONTACT. EACH MEETING, IN MY EXPERIENCE, DEVELOPS INTO A STEP THAT IS VERY POSITIVE. I MENTIONED THE ITEM THAT YOU DID NOT BRING FORWARD IN YOUR SUMMARY. THERE IS ALSO AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS APPARENTLY IN LINE FOR A SPOT, A NEW RV LOCATION IN STONEY POINT. AND THERE MAY BE AN ADDITIONAL ONE, WE ARE LOOKING AT A LOCATION TO GO WITHOUT PROPERTY. SO, EVERYTHING IS MOVING FORWARD. IT IS STEP-BY-STEP. WE DO HAVE ADRIENNE ON THE LINE AND WE WILL LET HER MAKE A QUICK COMMENT AND LOOK TO ANY QUESTIONS FROM CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. SO, SCTA, WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO CONTRIBUTE TO THIS CONVERSATION ?

THANK YOU, DIANA, FOR MOVING ME UP TONIGHT AND MAKING MY LIFE A LITTLE BIT EASIER. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

YES, WE CAN HEAR YOU.

SO, THAT WAS, THAT WAS AS OF FEBRUARY 1st. SO, WE WILL BE GIVING SOME PEOPLE THREE DAY NOTICES PROBABLY LATER THIS WEEK. AND, YOU KNOW, WE WILL FOLLOW THE EVICTION PROCESS. THAT IS ONE OPTION THAT WE HAVE TO KIND OF ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO TAKE THIS A LITTLE BIT MORE SERIOUSLY. IT IS TOUGH. MOST OF THESE FOLKS, NOW OUR CASE MANAGERS AND JOINED THE COMMUNITY WE KNOW THEM WE KNOW THE CASE STUDIES. WE KNOW THE LEVEL OF PSYCHOLOGICAL FEAR AND DIFFICULTIES, AND JUST BELIEVING THAT SOMETHING BETTER CAN HAPPEN, AND THAT THE EFFORT CAN BE WORKED FOR SOME GOOD. THEY HAD A LOT OF DISAPPOINTMENT IN THEIR LIVES, SO, YOU KNOW, WE ARE DOING OUR BEST WITH THE LITTLE CARROT AND THE STICK. WE DO LAND TO CLEAN UP THE SITE. THAT MEANS GETTING ALL OF THE TRAILERS OFF OF BETTER, THAT IS IN OUR CONTRACT. WE ARE PLANNING TO DO THAT AND WE ARE PLANNING TO FOLLOW UP WITH PEOPLE AND THEY LEAVE SO THEY ARE NOT SUDDENLY IN SOME NEW PLACE WITH NOBODY THEY KNOW. AND WE DO HAVE SOMEWHAT OF A FUND TO INCENTIVIZE THEM TO GO SOMEWHERE NEW AND WORK ON THEIR TRAILER. THAT IS THEIR SOLUTION, AND SO IT IS ALL A JUMBLED PROCESS. BUT EVERY DAY, WE CHECKED IN, AND WE TALK ABOUT WHO IS DOING WHAT. AND OUR CASE MANAGER IS BRILLIANT AT LOOKING FOR RESOURCES. INDIVIDUAL RESOURCES FOR EVERYBODY, TRYING TO MATCH PEOPLE WITH WHAT WILL KEEP THEM IN HOUSING, OR KEEP THEM IN SHELTER, WHEN THEY LEAVE HORIZON. I AM HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, OF COURSE.

THANK YOU. I HEAR THE REINFORCEMENT OF TRYING TO FIND THE RIGHT PLACE FOR ALL OF THESE HUMAN BEINGS WHO ARE STRUGGLING AND TRYING TO



YOU, ADRIENNE. I THINK WE WILL GO TO QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS. ANY QUESTIONS, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

ADRIENNE, THANK YOU FOR DIALING IN. THIS CANNOT BE THE FIRST TIME THAT THIS HAS HAPPENED. WHEN YOUR AGENCY WORKED WITH OUR CITY MANAGEMENT TO DO THE PERMIT FOR THIS PARTICULAR LOCATION, WAS THERE ANY TYPE OF PROMISE ABOUT WHAT WOULD HAPPEN, IF THE END CAME AND THEY WERE STILL NOT ABLE TO MOVE OUT.

YOU KNOW, I DO NOT REALLY REMEMBER. I KNOW THAT WAS OUR INTENTION. AS WAS SAID BY DON, THIS WAS INTERIM AND A TRANSITION TO SOMETHING BETTER. IT HAS BEEN HARDER. I WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK AND LOOK TO SEE IF WE MADE THOSE SPECIFIC PROMISES. ALL I CAN SAY IS WE ARE DOING THE BEST WE CAN. IT IS MESSY.

YEAH, I GET ALL OF THAT. AT THE SAME TIME, I AM SURE YOU AND EVERYONE ELSE KNOWS THAT THIS SITUATION IS WHAT WE ARE CURRENTLY IN AND I AM JUST GOING TO STATE WHAT SOME OF US THINK IS LIKELY TO HAPPEN. IF THAT LOCATION IS SHUT DOWN IN ANY WAY BY LAW ENFORCEMENT OR WHATEVER THE SITUATION MAY BE, WE ARE GOING TO WIND UP BEING LIABLE, IF THEY ARE ABLE TO OPERATE THEIR VEHICLE, THEY ARE GOING TO DRIVE OUT AND THEY ARE GOING TO BE AT RISK FOR DRIVING ON A SUSPENDED LICENSE. IF THEY DRIVE ANYWHERE, THERE IS A DISTINCT POSSIBILITY THEY WILL MOVE BACK TO MOORE STREET. I AM TRYING TO IMPRESS UPON YOU, I KNOW A LOT OF CITIES GO THROUGH THIS, BUT OUR CITY IS MORE DELICATE WHEN IT COMES TO FINANCES. I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO LOOK BACK AND SEE IF THERE WAS ANY DEGREE OF PROMISE MADE ABOUT THE END DATE. BECAUSE THE END DATE IS NOW.

I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE CONCERNED ABOUT. WE DO HAVE SOME OF OUR MONEY, IT WILL GO TO HELPING PEOPLE GET INSURANCE AND THAT KIND OF THING. BUT THAT IS NOT THE FIRST CHOICE.

I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT I AM THE ALTERNATE LIAISON, TO THE UNSHELTERED AND WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE BASIC STUFF IN HERE. SOME OF THE OTHER COUNCILMEMBERS ARE ASKING ABOUT THE BASIC THINGS. EVEN IF THEY ARE ABLE TO GET A DRIVERS LICENSE, WHAT ABOUT THE INSURANCE? WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THESE THINGS FOR A COUPLE OF MONTHS. DO YOU AGREE? I HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS, BUT THEY ARE MORE TOWARDS THE CITY MANAGER AND OUR ATTORNEY.

I HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THESE MEETINGS. I CAN CONFIRM THERE ARE VERY SPECIFIC STEPS BEING TAKEN, IN A VERY ORGANIZED FASHION, TO DO EXACTLY WHAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN IS TALKING ABOUT. THERE HAS BEEN PROGRESS MADE, AND THE REGISTRATION OF VEHICLES, THAT IS MOVING FORWARD THAT IS RELEVANT. AND I DO SEE THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AT THE PODIUM. IS THERE SOMETHING YOU WANTED TO SHARE? OKAY, JUST AVAILABLE. I HAVE A QUESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS. THE FIRST THING THAT CAME TO MIND WAS, WHAT IS INVOLVED WITH THAT AND WHAT IS THE TIMEFRAME, GIVEN THAT THERE ARE 26 DAYS FOR THIS TO BE CLOSED, WHICH IS NOT A LOT OF TIME. CAN YOU TELL US ABOUT THAT PROCESS, ACCORDING TO YOUR UNDERSTANDING?

YES, I WAS JUST BRIEFED ON IT YESTERDAY. BASICALLY WE GIVE PEOPLE A THREE-DAY NOTICE THAT IS FILED IN COURT. THEY HAVE FIVE DAYS TO RESPOND. IF THEY RESPOND, THEY MAY HAVE A 30-DAY OPTION FOR THE COURT DATE. AND THERE IS MEDIATION PRIOR TO THAT ACTUAL COURT HEARING. AFTER THAT, THERE IS A WRITTEN FILE THAT IS MAILED TO THE RESIDENT. THE SHERIFF COMES OUT IN TWO DAYS OR LESS AND TAKES THEM OUT, OFF THE PROPERTY, SO WE DO NOT KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE WILL RESPOND WITHIN THOSE FIVE DAYS. I DO NOT EXPECT THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE WILL. THEY DO NOT TEND TO BE PEOPLE WHO ARE INTERESTED IN GOING TO WORK OR THINGS LIKE THAT. BUT IT IS THEIR RIGHT TO HAVE THAT OPTION.

THANK YOU, THANK YOU, ADRIENNE LAUBY. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, WHY DON'T WE DO ONE ADDITIONAL QUESTION.

I AM CURIOUS, IF I UNDERSTAND, WE COULD HAVE 30 DAYS? IF I AM UNDERSTANDING CORRECTLY. KNOWING THIS TIMEFRAME, AND WHAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN DISCUSSED, THIS HAS BEEN DISCUSSED FOR A LONG TIME. AND IT SEEMS LIKE WE ARE DOING THIS A LITTLE LATE IN THE GAME, IF WE KNOW THAT THEY CAN HAVE A 30-DAY NOTICE. THERE ARE 26 DAYS LEFT AND WE ARE LOOKING AT AT LEAST A 35-DAY PROCESS. I AM NOT

UNDERSTANDING THAT MAYBE YOU CAN HELP US CLARIFY WIDE THIS WAS NOT DONE SOONER.

I REALLY CANNOT ANSWER THAT QUESTION. IT PROBABLY SHOULD HAVE DONE SOONER. THERE IS WIGGLE ROOM ON THIS EARLY-MARCH DATE. THEY ARE NOT ACTUALLY GOING TO START CONSTRUCTION, AT BEST, BUBBLY UNTIL MAY. IF THERE ARE ONE OR TWO PEOPLE, IT IS NOT REALLY THE END OF THE WORLD.

I THINK WE WILL MOVE ON.

I HAVE PLENTY OF COMMENTS.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, YOU HAD A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.

I HAVE TO SAY I HAVE BEEN ON THE COUNCIL SEVEN YEARS AND THIS IS NEWS TO ME THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO GET THEM OUT BY THE DEADLINE. WE SAW THIS IN THE REPORT AND THAT IS WHEN WE WERE MADE AWARE. IT IS A DISAPPOINTMENT, THAT IT FEELS LIKE IT HAS NOT BEEN MANAGED MAYBE AS WELL AS IT COULD HAVE. WHO IS GOING TO PAY? IT SOUNDS LIKE WE ARE INITIATING THIS PROCESS. I HEARD THREE DAYS, THEN FIVE DAYS TO RESPOND, AND THEN 30 DAYS. I AM COUNTING ACTUALLY 38 DAYS, IF WE ISSUE IT TOMORROW. I HEAR WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. ST. VINCENT'S IS NOT GOING TO START THEIR PROJECT UNTIL MAY. BUT SOMEBODY HAS GOT TO PAY THE LEASE. WHO IS BRIDGING THAT?

WE WILL BE BRIDGING THAT.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS AT THE MOMENT? YEAH, I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT ANY, ANY EVICTION NOTICES, ANY ACTION, THAT IS NOT US, AS THE CITY. IF SAVS HAS A LEASE WITH ST. VINCENT DePAUL, THE OWNER OF THE LAND, AT THE MOMENT, WE FUNCTION UNDER THE SAVS ON-SITE TEMPORARY USE PERMIT. SO THAT IS OUR RELATIONSHIP, FORMERLY -- FORMALLY, IT WOULD NOT BE THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL PURSUING THE EVICTION. I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT PIECE OF IT. I CAN SEE SOMEONE, I'M SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, GO AHEAD? I AM SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU. WE HAVE NOT HEARD FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER YET. AND WE WILL COME BACK TO YOU. SORRY ABOUT THAT.

YEAH, THIS IS A QUESTION FOR ANY OF YOU INVOLVED. IF JEFF PIVOTS AND HIS ORGANIZATION, OR ST. VINCENT DePAUL, ARE THEY INVOLVED IN HELPING PEOPLE TO RELOCATE?

ST. VINCENT DePAUL, THEY ARE WORKING WITH THIS, VERY MUCH, PARTICULARLY IN THESE TRAILERS ON WHAT THEY OWN, THEY ARE IN CLOSE CONTACT WITH THEM.

I THINK, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

THAT WAS MY ONE QUESTION, BUT MY SECOND QUESTION IS, HAS ST. VINCENT DePAUL TOLD YOU THAT YOU COULD STAY THERE AND IS THAT COMMUNICATED, BUT NOT WITH THE CITY?

ST. VINCENT DE PAUL IS IN LIMBO, BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOT YET RECEIVED THE MONEY THEY NEED FOR CONSTRUCTION, THAT IS WHY WE STAY IN CLOSE CONTACT. WE UNDERSTAND THE EARLIEST I HAVE HEARD IS MAY.

HAVE THEY GIVEN YOU PERMISSION TO STAY THERE PAST THE DEADLINE, THAT IS A DIRECT QUESTION. YES OR NO. I'M SORRY?

I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE. FORMALLY, NO.

THE DEADLINE I UNDERSTAND IS THE MARCH 3rd DEADLINE, THAT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED. I DO HAVE THE SAY THAT SIMMONS AND THE BALL, THROUGH JACK TIBBETTS, THEY TRY TO BE A COLLABORATING PARTNER IN THIS, ALONG WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND MANY OTHER PEOPLE, I'M SORRY. VICE MAYOR?

THAT WAS NOT JACK'S DATE, WE SET IT, BECAUSE WE NEED TIME TO FINISH UP EVERYTHING THAT WE NEED TO DO.

GOT IT. IF EVERYONE LEAVES ON MARCH 3rd, YOU HAVE TIME NEEDED TO CLEAR THE SITE.

I GUESS I AM HEARING THIS, I NEED TO HEAR IT FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER AND THE CITY ATTORNEY, AGAIN, THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME AT THE RADIO, RIGHT? WHEN SOMEONE IS GIVEN A TRADITIONAL PERMIT, WHAT EXACTLY IS THE RECOURSE, SHOULD THEY DECIDE TO STAY EVEN ONE DAY BEYOND THAT?

I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY, THE MARCH DATE IS WHEN THE LEASE WITH ST. VINCENT de PAUL EXPIRES. I BELIEVE THAT DATES, OR SOON THEREAFTER. THAT IS THE FIRST RELEVANT DATE.

I KNOW THAT IT IS NOT MARCH 3rd. BUT I KNOW WHERE YOU ARE GOING. IT IS MORE LIKE JUNE.

THAT IS THE EARLIER DATE. THERE IS A LATER DATE, ON WHICH THE USE PERMIT EXPIRES. THERE ARE ENFORCEMENT MEASURES THAT THE CITY COULD TAKE, IF THE USE PERMIT RUNS OUT AND THE SITE IS OCCUPIED. THE FIRST DATE IS WHEN THE LEASE IS UP WITH ST. VINCENT de PAUL, AND THE LATER DATE IS WHEN THE USE PERMIT ACTUALLY EXPIRES. ALL CONTAIN AN ENFORCEMENT ELEMENT, WHICH WE TRY NOT TO USE. WE TRIED TO GET VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE WITH ALL OF OUR USE PERMIT CONDITIONS. ALSO, CLARIFYING, I WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH ADRIENNE LAUBY . TALK ABOUT ALL THOSE DATES, FIVE DAYS, 30 DAYS, AND SO FORTH, WHAT SHE WAS DESCRIBING IS THE CONTESTANT -- CONTESTED UNLAWFUL DETAINER ACTION. WHEN THE NOTICES THE RESIDENTS RECEIVE ARE EXPIRED, IF THEY ARE STILL ON THE PREMISES, SAVS CAN, IF THEY CHOOSE, AT THAT POINT PURSUE UNLAWFUL DETAINER ACTION. IF THAT COMMENCES, THE RESIDENT RECEIVES THAT SUMMONS AND HAS FIVE DAYS TO RESPOND. IF THEY DO, THEY ARE GIVEN A TRIAL DATE, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY 30 DAYS LATER. CASES ARE NOT RESPONDED TO, BUT SHE WAS DESCRIBING THE LONGEST THAT THE PROCESS COULD TAKE, IF IT WAS ACTUALLY CONTESTED.

I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION.

JUST TO CLARIFY, CAN YOU CLARIFY THAT THE TEMPORARY USE PERMIT EXPIRES DECEMBER 30th, 2024?

DECEMBER 31st.

AND DO YOU KNOW WHEN THE LEASE EXPIRES BETWEEN SAVS AND ST. VINCENT de PAUL ?

I DO NOT KNOW THAT. ADRIANNE WILL PROBABLY KNOW THAT. THE USE PERMIT, I THINK THAT BRITTANY FORD HAD SIGNED THAT APPLICATION, WE DO NOT NECESSARILY KNOW IF IT WOULD BE RENEWED.

SO, ADRIENNE LAUBY, IF YOU KNOW, QUICKLY, WHEN DOES THE LEASE EXPIRE BETWEEN SAVS AND ST. VINCENT de PAUL, CAN YOU SHARE THAT INFORMATION WITH US?

I DO NOT KNOW WHEN THE GENERAL LEASE EXPIRES. WE ARE ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH BASIS. WE HAVE A GENTLEMEN'S AGREEMENT AROUND IT.

[ LAUGHTER ] THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DOES THAT ANSWER QUESTION, VICE MAYOR? I CAN WAIT. I DO NOT WANT TO TAKE UP TOO MUCH TIME. I HAVE FURTHER QUESTIONS. THE BOTTOM LINE, THE SAVS AND ST. VINCENT de PAUL LEASE EXPIRES PRIOR TO THE TEMPORARY USE PERMIT, WHICH GOES TO THE END OF 2024. SO THAT WOULD BE WITH THE CITY MIGHT BE IN A POSITION TO TAKE ACTION. IS THAT ACCURATE?

IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE TEMPORARY USE PERMIT, THAT STATEMENT IS ACCURATE. HOWEVER, THERE IS A COMMITMENT TO CLEAR THE SITE, AT THE TIME THAT ST. VINCENT de PAUL WISHES TO MAKE USE OF THE SITE AND THERE IS WHICHEVER DATE THERE IS THAT THE LEASE BETWEEN ST. VINCENT de PAUL AND SAVS RUNS OUT. THEN SAVS NO LONGER HAS THE RIGHT TO OCCUPY THE SITE. THESE DATES ARE ALL OVER THE MAP. THE AGREEMENT YOU ARE HEARING DESCRIBED TODAY WAS THE AGREEMENT THAT SAVS WOULD CLEAR THE SITE, I BELIEVE BY MARCH 2nd. THEY ARE DESCRIBING TO YOU WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF PEOPLE REMAINED AFTER THAT TIME.

I THINK WE ARE GOING TO MOVE ON FOR ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS. AND WE CAN ALWAYS COME BACK TO VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. ANYONE ELSE AT THE TABLE WITH QUESTIONS? ANYTHING, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, IN THE FRONT OF MIND, THAT YOU NEED ADDRESSED?

I NEED TO JUST STRESS I AM NOT TRYING TO BE SUPERDUPER HARD. BUT WE AGREED TO DO THIS WHEN WE WERE IN A DIFFERENT FINANCIAL SITUATION THAT WE ARE NOW. SO, I AM JUST GOING TO ASK THE QUESTION TO OUR CITY MANAGER, IN THE FACT THAT IF THEY ARE TOLD, IF AT SOME POINT IN TIME, ONE OF THOSE RVs ARE TOWED, AT WHOSE

EXPENSE IS THE TOWING AND WHO IS GOING TO PAY FOR THAT SPACE, THAT RENTAL, WHATEVER?

I DON'T KNOW IF I HAVE A DEFINITIVE ANSWER. IT IS NOT, IN MY MIND, THE CITY RESPONSIBILITY TO HAVE THEM TOWED. IT IS NOT OUR PROPERTY, THEY ARE IN CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY. THE COUNTY WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE. THAT IS WHAT I WOULD SAY. THERE ARE VERY FEW PLACES TO STORE, IF WE EVER GET TO WHERE THEY NEED TO GET TO THAT. [ INDISCERNIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS ]

MADAME MAYOR, I HAVE A MOTION TO MAKE.

SO, I KNOW THERE HAS BEEN DISCUSSION, ADRIENNE LAUBY, BUT WHAT I HAVE HEARD FROM SAVS IS THAT SAVS WOULD BE TAKING ON THE RESPONSIBILITY AND THE EXPENSE FOR REMOVAL, AS NECESSARY, FOR CLEARING THE SITE AND STORING ANY TRAILERS, AS NEEDED, IS THAT ACCURATE? IS THAT AN ACCURATE CONCLUSION?

YES, THAT IS ACCURATE.

AND SAVS HAS THE EXPENSES ?

YES.

OUR PLANNING DIRECTOR.

SINCE DON IS NEW, I WANT TO REITERATE, THAT IS CORRECT. THE TEMPORARY USE PERMIT, THIS STARTED OUT, SOME COUNCILMEMBERS WORKING IN TANDEM WITH SAVS TO FIND A SITE FOR SAVS TO HAVE A PROJECT IN THE TEMPORARY USE PERMIT WAS ISSUED TO SAVS, NO LONGER BEING A CITY CONTRACT, THE CITY CONTRACT AT THAT POINT RAN OUT, DECEMBER 31st, SO JANUARY 1st THROUGH THE END OF DECEMBER 31st, 2024, IS ENTIRELY A PRIVATE PROJECT AND OBVIOUSLY, IT HAS CITY SUPPORT AND COUNTY FUNDING. AS DON MENTIONED, AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, WHATNOT, AND SOCIAL SERVICES, WORKING TO HELP FOLKS OUT. BUT THE OPERATIONAL ASPECT OF IT IS A PRIVATE PROJECT, AS WELL.

I THINK WE SHOULD GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN WE CAN COME BACK FOR DISCUSSION. MARY, CAN YOU PLEASE HANDLE THE PUBLIC COMMENT?

YES, SO, THIS IS PUBLIC, ON THE SAVS TRANSITION PLAN. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO TO IN-CHAMBERS FIRST, AND THEN OUT TO ZUMBA SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. TILE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF AND CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER WE GO AHEAD.

HEARING OUR ELECTIVES AND CITY MANAGEMENT JUST DISCUSS, KIND OF THE LEGALITY AND CRIMINALIZATION OF POVERTY, IT REALLY MAKES ME SICK. WE HAVE HAD THIS ISSUE FOR QUITE SOME TIME. SO IT IS REALLY MAY BE USEFUL THAT WE GET SOME HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE ON THIS. THIS IS ALL SPENDING AS A RESULT OF US ENACTING A CRIME AGAINST POVERTY, BY MAKING IT ILLEGAL TO PARK RECREATIONAL VEHICLES THAT PEOPLE ARE LIVING IN, IN OR ON OUR CITY STREETS. THAT DECISION RESULTED IN MASSIVE COSTS OF LITIGATION. WE CAN TALK ABOUT THE FISCAL CRISIS THAT WE ARE IN AND DECISIONS OF WHERE WE ARE GOING TO BE AT THE END OF THE MONTH. BUT WE REALLY NEED TO CHECK OURSELVES AND RECOGNIZE JUST HOW MANY HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS THIS HAS RESULTED IN, AS A LAW THAT WE PASSED AGAINST POVERTY. HERE WE ARE, WE HAVE GONE THROUGH A PROCESS, ALLOWING FOR A COMMERCIAL SITE TO HAVE A TEMPORARY USE PERMIT FOR RESIDENTIAL. GOING WAY BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THAT AND NOW, THAT IS TO BECOME A PERMANENT RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION AND ALL OF THIS IS HAPPENING ON THE BACKS OF RESIDENTS WHO WILL NO LONGER HAVE A PLACE TO LIVE IN THE CITY. AND WE ARE DEBATING EVICTION PROCESSES, IN THE MIDDLE OF A SITUATION WHERE WE WILL HAVE ANOTHER ONE OF THESE STORMS RIPPING THROUGH ON THURSDAY AFTERNOON. WOULD YOU LIKE TO RECEIVE AN EVICTION NOTICE AND, BOOM, BE HIT WITH A STORM? WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE HUMANITY OF THESE INDIVIDUALS. WE ARE DEBATING THE LEGALESE OF HOW TO GET THEM OFF OF THE SITE. IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT IS THE TONE OF OUR CITY AND THE APPROACH WE ARE TAKING AND THESE TYPES OF DECISIONS. I WOULD NOT BE SURPRISED IF FURTHER LITIGATION COMES AS A RESULT OF THIS DECISION AND WE ARE NOT LOOKING FORWARD TO THIS. I WANT A RESPONSE ON WHAT THE COST THIS YEAR, SO FAR, HAS BEEN, WITH LITIGATION, AS A RESULT OF THE ACLU LAWSUIT. I KNOW YOU DO NOT WANT TO TALK ABOUT LITIGATION EVER. BUT I THINK WE NEED AT LEAST AN UPDATE ON THAT, AS PART OF THE CONVERSATION.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, WE GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA.

THE CRIMINALIZATION OF POVERTY, IT IS MORE THAN THAT, KYLE. IF THESE PEOPLE BEHAVE ONLY IN THEIR BEST INTERESTS, YOU KNOW, IT WOULD NOT BE A BIG PROBLEM, BUT WE HAVE A BUNCH OF FELONS DRUG DEALERS, AND DRUG DEALERS. YOU KNOW, THEY HAVE BEEN VERY INTERESTING. I, YOU KNOW, IT HAS BE IN A DISASTER. IT HAS BEEN A DISASTER FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD, WHICH TRIED TO PREVENT THEM FROM BEING PLACED HERE. IT HAS BEEN A DISASTER. WELL, ACTUALLY, YOU KNOW, THESE PEOPLE HAVE FORMED WHAT THEY REFERRED TO AS A FAMILY. THEY ARE SHARING DRUGS, THEY ASSAULT ONE ANOTHER, THEY STEAL FROM ONE ANOTHER. THEY GO GAMBLING WITH ONE ANOTHER. ONE PERSON, WHEN HE GOT OUT OF THE HOSPITAL AND JAIL, HE TOLD ME THAT HE HAD LOST \$180,000. A GROUP OF THEM, YOU KNOW, THEY REGULARLY GO DOWN TO THE CASINO AND THEY GAMBLE. AND ALSO, AFTER HE GOT OUT OF THE HOSPITAL, HE TALKED TO THE MANAGERS OF SAFEWAY AND HE FESSED UP TO HAVING STOLE BETWEEN \$300 AND, OF TWO \$4000, IN HIS OWN ESTIMATE, OF LIQUOR. HE HAS BEEN STEALING LIQUOR, TRYING TO KILL HIMSELF, YOU KNOW, DRINKING. THIS IS A GUY WHO HAS A PENSION. AND THAT IS WHY HE WENT BACK AND HE FESSED UP. AND HE TOLD THE SAFEWAY MANAGERS ABOUT THE FAILING.

YOU HAVE 25 SECONDS.

ANYWAY, THIS IS JUST ABOUT THE COPS AND EVERYBODY, AND IT HAS ALL BEEN FOR NAUGHT. THIS HAS NOT BEEN, YOU KNOW, A GOOD THING. AND THE INITIAL RULES WERE NEVER ENFORCED. WE HAVE BEEN THE ENABLERS FOR THEM TO DO SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND I'M SORRY TO SAY, CONTINUE THE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENT BUT AGAIN, I GO BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, LORETTA, CAN YOU PLEASE UNMUTE YOURSELF. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I AM VERY EMPATHETIC TO PEOPLE IN POVERTY. AS A BUSINESS OWNER, WE WORKED FOR THREE OR FOUR YEARS. YOU CAN CHECK WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THERE WERE THOUSANDS. I AM NOT EXAGGERATING, THOUSANDS OF CALLS ON MOORE STREET, AND WE HAVE THE ORDINANCE NOW. AND THERE HAVE NOW BEEN ZERO CALLS. SO AS A BUSINESS OWNER. I DO NOT WANT TO CHANGE THAT LAW OF OVERNIGHT PARKING ON OUR CITY STREETS. I THINK THERE IS ALSO A LOU -- LAW ABOUT WITHIN A CERTAIN DISTANCE OF A SCHOOL. WE GOT TOO FAR TO NOT HAVE IT PASS.

AGAIN, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE SAVS TRANSITION PROJECT. I WILL GO INTO CHAMBERS, SEEING NONE, I GO BACK TO ZOOM IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND TO GET MY ATTENTION. OR PLEASE UNMUTE YOUR PHONE. I SEE NONE. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARY GOURLEY. WE ARE NOW BACK TO DISCUSS ITEM 12. SO, I THINK THAT WE CAN ALLOW OUR STAFF TO SIT DOWN. [ LAUGHTER ] THEY WILL BE AVAILABLE, IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS THE CITY MANAGER, ANYTHING TO CONTRIBUTE?

NOT AT THIS POINT.

I SEE VICE MAILER -- MAYOR ZOLLMAN HAS A COMMENT.

AGAIN, I AM NOT TRYING TO BASH ON ANYONE, ANY NONPROFIT, INCLUDING. BUT HERE IS THE REALITY. THOSE OF US WHO HAVE BEEN EITHER YOU UNIT THE CHAIR, OR I HAVE SPENT HOURS WITH THE CURRENT CHAIR OF CONTINUING CARE, I HAVE NO IDEA HOW THIS WAS PUT TOGETHER. CONTINUUM OF CARE ONLY HAS A CERTAIN NUMBER OF SLOTS AND THE PEOPLE WHO ARE AT HORIZON SHINE HAVE ALREADY BEEN EVALUATED. THEY WOULD HAVE ALREADY GOTTEN A HIGHER NUMBER AND THEY WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN THERE. I AM NOW NO LONGER COMFORTABLE OF LETTING THIS GO WITH A LOT OF GOOD WISHES, I AM MAKING A MOTION THAT WE DIRECT OUR CITY MANAGER TO WORK WITH OUR CITY ATTORNEY TO COME BACK WITH A REPORT THAT DEFINITELY OUTLINES WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE, AT THIS POINT. MAYBE A FLOW DIAGRAM. WE CAN TAKE THIS ACTION, IT CAN RESULT IN THIS OUTCOME, AND IT WILL COST THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY. RIGHT NOW, IT SEEMS NEBULOUS TO ME. GIVEN THE SITUATION, IT CAN NOT BE

NEBULOUS. WE RIGHT NOW HAVE OUR POLICE CAPTAIN, TAKING OVER THE ROLE OF OUR PLANNING DIRECTOR. WE ARE ROLLING OUT WITH LOTS OF RESOURCES BEING EXPENDED WITH NO DEFINITIVE TIME PERIOD OF WHEN THIS WILL BE DONE. THAT IS MY MOTION.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. SO, WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR HERE. AND WE ALSO HAVE CITY COUNCILMEMBERS WHO HAVE NOT ENGAGED IN DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM. WHAT I WOULD PREFER TO DO WAS ALLOW CITY COUNCILMEMBERS TO CONTRIBUTE BEFORE WE MOVE ON WITH THE MOTION. WHAT ARE MY CHOICES? SOMEONE NEEDS TO LET ME KNOW.

THE MOTION IS CORRECT, ARE YOU ASKING?

NO, DO WE NEED TO CALL FOR A SECOND AND ACT ON THE MOTION, OR CAN WE GO TO EIGHT ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

I RECOMMEND THAT YOU SEE IF THERE IS A SECOND ON THE MOTION?

WE CAN ALWAYS COME BACK, IS THERE ANY SECOND? WE WILL COME BACK TO THE DISCUSSION AT A LATER POINT. SO, IS THAT THE APPROPRIATE -- HELP ME OUT, CITY ATTORNEY.

THE MOTION WAS SLIGHTLY OUT OF ORDER. BECAUSE YOU HAD NOT FINISHED WITH THE PRELIMINARY REMARKS. ANYTIME THE MOTION IS MADE, THE APPROPRIATE ACTION WOULD BE TO SEE IF THERE IS A SECOND. ADD BECAUSE COUNCILMEMBERS HAVE NOT BEEN MAKING THEIR INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, PLEASE ?

I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND THIS. CHINA AND IF I DON'T. I APPRECIATE WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO DO HERE, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. I APPRECIATE CARRIE CLARIFYING. BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A PRIVATE AGREEMENT BETWEEN SAVS, THEIR CLIENTS , AND BETWEEN ST. VINCENT de PAUL. AND THEN FIGURING THAT OUT AS A PRIVATE SITUATION AND NOT NECESSARILY THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CITY. AND WE HAVE JUST RECEIVED THE SUPPORT FROM A POLICE OFFICER. AND MY CONCERN IS, HOW MUCH OF THIS TRULY IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY, IF AT ALL? ARE WE ALREADY INJECTING OURSELVES TOO MUCH AND BEARING RESPONSIBILITY THAT IS NOT OURS? I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE NOT TAKING RESPONSIBILITY FOR SOMETHING THAT WILL MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR US DOWN THE LINE, SINCE WE DO HAVE LITIGATIONS GOING ON.

SUBJECT TO CORRECTION BY THE CITY ATTORNEY, AS YOU DESCRIBED, THIS IS REALLY AN AGREEMENT, I WOULD SAY A THREEFOLD AGREEMENT BETWEEN SAVS, PRINT 24, BUT THE COUNTY DID SONOMA COUNTY, WHO IS FUNDING THE PROJECT, AS FAR AS I UNDERSTAND, I COULD BE WRONG. BUT THAT IS JUST MY RECOLLECTION. SO, THIS IS AS YOU DESCRIBED, IT IS BETWEEN THEM. I THINK OUR ROLE IS TRYING TO DO WHAT WE CAN TO FACILITATE A SUCCESSFUL CONCLUSION TO A PROJECT, EVEN THOUGH WE DO NOT HAVE THE FUNDING, WE DO NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY, WE DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE HOUSING UNITS. BUT TO TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT THE APPROPRIATE PARTIES GREECE THE SKIDS, IF YOU WILL, AND TO THE EXTENT, WE CANNOT HOLD ACCOUNTABLE THE PARTIES RESPONSIBLE BY ASKING QUESTIONS AND STATUS REPORTS. WE DO HAVE SOME ROLE THERE, BUT IT IS A PRETTY SMALL ROLE, COMPARED TO WHAT IS HAPPENING BETWEEN SAVS AND ST. VINCENT de PAUL.

I HAVE ONE OTHER COMMENT. JUST WITH THIS HOLE, YOU KNOW, I GUESS I WANT TO SAY EXPERIMENT GOING ON FOR TWO YEARS. PERSONALLY, I AM WATCHING IT GO ON, THEN LOOKING AT HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE BEEN PLACED, AND THEN HEARING ADRIENNE SPEAK I FIND THIS DISAPPOINTING. THERE IS A 30, 30% PLACEMENT, I UNDERSTAND THE CHALLENGES. I THINK THAT IS REALLY DISAPPOINTING, AFTER LOOKING AT THE SUCCESS. I DO HAVE CONCERNS. IT IS DISAPPOINTING. AND, YOU KNOW, WE ARE IN A FISCAL CRISIS AT A DIFFERENT POSITION THAN TWO YEARS AGO. TO BE TETHERED TO THAT, IT IS NOT HELPFUL. I HOPE THAT SAVS WILL TAKE THAT SERIOUSLY AND UNDERSTAND THAT WE HAVE BEEN QUITE BENEVOLENT IN WORKING FOR TWO YEARS TO TRY TO HELP AND SOME PEOPLE ON THAT SITE HAVE BEEN THERE THE ENTIRE TWO YEARS, THAT IS NOT INTERIM TO ME. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS.

THANK YOU, ANY COMMENTS FROM STAFF?

I WOULD SHARE SOME OF THAT DISAPPOINTMENT AS WELL. WHEN WE FUNDED THIS ORIGINALLY, THE INTENT WAS THAT THIS WOULD BE AN INTERIM SOLUTION, LIKE WITH ALL INTERIM SOLUTIONS, TO GET PEOPLE INTO PERMANENT HOUSING.

WITH THIS PROJECT AS BEEN VERY DISAPPOINTING. THE FACT THAT THERE IS TALK OF COMMUNITY BUILDING, AND THE FACT THAT THE SAVS STAFF IS PART OF THAT, THAT CONCERNS ME. THAT IS THE IMPLICATION THAT THEY FEEL THIS AS A LONG-TERM SITE, AS OPPOSED TO AN INTERIM SITE. THE FOCUS SHOULD BE TRULY ON HELPING THESE PEOPLE TO GET INTO PERMANENT HOUSING, NOT TO LIVE IN RVs ON THE SITE. TO THE FACT AND EXTENT THAT WE CAN FACILITATE THAT, WE CAN, AND WE WILL. IT IS THE FIRST I HAVE HEARD TONIGHT, GOING BEYOND MARCH 3rd, I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HEARING WHAT ST. VINCENT de PAUL THANKS. I HAVE NOT HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT THAT UNTIL TONIGHT.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, WE CAN GO TO YOU IF YOU HAVE SOME COMMENTS. I DO HAVE SOME COMMENTS. THIS IS THE REPORT OF THE QUARTERLY REPORT FROM SAVS. I WONDER IF THAT WOULD MAKE SENSE TO HAVE AN UPDATE IN TWO WEEKS AND THEN ANOTHER UPDATE AT THE COUNCIL MEETING IN MARCH? [ INDISCERNIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS ]

THANK YOU FOR THAT SUGGESTION. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON AT THIS POINT?

YEAH, I AM COMING IN LATE. BUT IT IS A DISAPPOINTMENT. I WAS ON THE COUNCIL THAT VOTED TO APPROVE THIS PROJECT. TWO YEARS AGO THERE WERE TWO OF US AND NOW THERE ARE FIVE OF US, WITH THREE NEW MEMBERS. THIS IS NOT WHAT WE ENVISIONED, TWO YEARS LATER. THIS IS NOT WHAT I ENVISIONED. THIS IS NOT WHAT I WAS TOLD THIS PROJECT WOULD BE. AND I AM DISAPPOINTED. I GUESS, WITH THE OPERATOR, THAT WE HAVE HAD SUCH GREAT SUCCESS WITH OUR OTHER PROJECT, AND I SAT ON THE SUBCOMMITTEE FOR MANY YEARS. WITH OUR PARTNERSHIP, I HAVE MOVED A NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO LONG-TERM PERMANENT SITUATIONS. SO, THAT IS ALL I REALLY HAVE TO SAY ABOUT IT.

THANK YOU. AND, MY COMMENT, SO, I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT FROM THE OUTSET AND I WAS VERY AWARE OF THE SITUATION AT MORRIS STREET AND I HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH CASTLEBERRY AND OTHERS, DEALING WITH THE ENCAMPMENT ON MORRIS STREET. AND I HAVE SEEN THE PROGRESS, WE STARTED THERE AND HERE WE ARE NOW. I THINK THE PUBLIC COMMENTS REALLY CAPTURE HOW COMPLEX THE SITUATION IS. WE ARE ALL DRIVEN. WE WERE DRIVEN AT THE OUTSET AND I THINK WE ARE STILL DRIVEN BY A NEED TO MEET THE NEEDS OF A VARIETY OF OUR RESIDENTS. WE HAVE INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE IN THE ENCAMPMENT. AND THOSE WHO WENT INTO RISE AND SHINE, IT WAS PRIORITIZED, BASED ON THEIR TIME PRESENT, THOSE ARE OUR RESIDENTS, TOO, WE HAVE HAD THE LITTLE LEAGUE PLAYERS WHO WANTED TO GO PLAY BASEBALL, AND WE HAVE PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO WALK THE LAGUNA WE HAD THE COMMON SPACE THAT WAS NOT BEING WELL TREATED AND I HAVE TO SAY, THOSE IN THE ENCAMPMENT ON MORRIS STREET, I HAD A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM, AND IT WAS NOT PLEASANT OR HUMANE FOR THEM OUT THERE ON MORRIS STREET. SANITATION WAS AN ISSUE. I MEAN, THESE ARE PERSONAL CONVERSATIONS THAT I HAD. SAVS AND HORIZON SHINE WAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO WHAT ALL OF US WANTED TO DO TO MEET ALL OF THOSE VARIETIES OF NEED, AND YOU SEE THOSE REFLECTED IN LORETTA CASTLEBERRY'S COMMENTS. SHE WROTE THIS WITH US THE ENTIRE TIME SO I JUST WANT TO COUNTER, BECAUSE I HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THIS. THIS IS NOT, WE ARE NOT A TOWN THAT CRIMINALIZES POVERTY. IF YOU LOOK AT THE HISTORY OF WHAT WE HAVE DONE AND OUR EFFORT HERE AT HORIZON SHINE, WE DID NOT PUSH THESE PEOPLE OUT, WE DID NOT TICKET THEM. WE TRIED TO WORK WITH SAVS. IT COMES FROM ADVOCACY, SERVING THE UNHOUSED. WE WORKED WITH THEM TO PROVIDE AN ENVIRONMENT THAT WAS ALWAYS TEMPORARY, THAT WOULD ALLOW THEM TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE SAFE, SECURE, AND ALLOW THE REST OF OUR POPULATION TO ENJOY OUR TOWN. SO, THAT WAS THE INTENT. I MEAN, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WAS HERE , AND MARY GOURLEY, I AM NOT SPEAKING OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. THAT WAS THE INTENT, TO TRY TO FIND A SOLUTION THAT WAS HUMANE, COMPASSIONATE, AND ONE THAT SERVED THE NEEDS OF THESE HUMAN BEINGS. THAT IS WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO, PLEASE, TO THE PUBLIC, WE ARE NOT TRYING TO CRIMINALIZE POVERTY. WE ARE STRUGGLING WITH WHAT EVERYONE, EVERY COMMENT, FROM HERE, FROM THE PUBLIC, FROM STAFF, CONFIRMS THAT IT IS A REALLY TOUGH SITUATION FOR A LITTLE TOWN TO TRY TO BALANCE ALL OF THESE INTERESTS.

SO, I JUST WANT TO KIND OF RESET THAT CONVERSATION, BECAUSE THERE IS COMPASSION. THERE IS CONCERN. BUT IT HAS BEEN CLOSE. AND PART OF THE IT-HAS-BEEN-CLOSED, NEED TO UNDERSTAND, THAT IT IS A HARD MESSAGE, SO THAT IS WHY SAVS WENT FORWARD WITH THE 90-DAY NOTICE. DESPITE THEIR HEARTS, THEY HAVE THEIR HEARTS WITH THE UNHOUSED. THEY ARE CALLING TO THEM. DESPITE THAT, THEY KNOW THAT THEY HAVE TO MOVE THE PEOPLE ALONG. AND SO THAT IS THE THREE-DAY EVICTION NOTICE. THAT IS THE INTENT. THAT IS A REALITY CHECK. WHAT WE DO AT THIS POINT, BE VIGILANT AND TRY TO PARTICIPATE IN WHAT IS A CONCERTED EFFORT BY THE COUNTY AND BY ST. VINCENT de PAUL ACTIVELY MAKING PARKING SPACES AVAILABLE. BY SAVS, AND BY STAFF, AND ME, TO COME UP WITH A SOLUTION THAT PUTS THESE PEOPLE, TAKES THEM INTO THE RIGHT COURSE FOR THEIR FUTURE. REGARDLESS OF WHETHER IT SHOULD HAVE HAPPENED SIX MONTHS AGO OR TWO MONTHS AGO, WE ARE WHERE WE ARE. SO, THAT WOULD BE MY PLEA TO, TO ALL OF US IN THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE INVESTED HERE, FOLKS. NO ONE WANTS ANOTHER ENCAMPMENT. NO ONE WANTS THESE PEOPLE TO BE ON THE STREETS. AND SO, THEY NEED TO MOVE FORWARD. SO, I KNOW, WE HAVE OTHER COMMENTS HERE. CITY MANAGER, CITY ATTORNEY, ANYTHING TO SAY? ANYTHING, I WANTED TO POINT OUT, YOU SEE KERRY SWANSTROM LEAVING, I WANT TO REASSURE THE PUBLIC, WE HAVE AN EFFORT BY OUR CITY MANAGER TO FIGURE OUT HOW THESE DUTIES WILL BE TRANSITIONED, KERRY SWANSTROM HAS BEEN THE LIAISON STAFF SITE AND WE ALREADY HAVE THE POLICE MOVING IN SO, THANK YOU TO THE CITY MANAGER. CAN SOMEONE REMIND ME OF WHAT ACTION NEEDS TO BE TAKEN, IF ANY, ON THIS ITEM? MARY GOURLEY ?

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THERE IS NO ACTION OTHER THAN TO RECEIVE THE REPORT. I WANT TO RUN THROUGH AND MAKE SURE WE HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. I KNOW WE HAVE A COMMENT FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION THAT THE STAFF BRING BACK A REPORT OF THE SITUATION, JUST TO KEEP US INFORMED, EITHER AT THE NEXT MEETING OR FOR SURE, AT THE FOLLOWING MEETING, WHAT EVER PLEASES THE COUNCIL. THAT IS NOT A VERY CLEAR MOTION. BUT, ANYWAY, THAT IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE.

SO, YOUR MOTION IS THAT HE WOULD LIKE A REPORT TO COME BACK AT THE NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING?

LOOK, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A REPORT AT THE NEXT CITY COUNCIL BIG MEETING AND THE FOLLOWING CITY COUNCIL MEETING. A REPORT FROM THE STAFF.

ANY RESPONSE FROM THE CITY MANAGERS? IS THAT DOABLE?

YES, WE CAN GIVE YOU THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION WE HAVE AT THE TIME. IT MAY NOT BE A WHOLE LOT DIFFERENT THAN WHAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU, I HOPE THAT IT IS. I WOULD ALSO SUGGEST THAT, WITH YOUR CONCURRENCE, WE WILL EXTEND AN INVITATION TO JACK TIBBETTS FROM ST. VINCENT de PAUL , WHO CAN ATTEND AS WELL. THEY SEEM TO HAVE THE KEY ROLE IN THIS. WE WILL MAKE THAT AS PART OF OUR FOLLOW-UP ACTION.

OKAY. SO WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE TABLE. I'M SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER JILL McLEWIS ?

THANK YOU, SO, I AM FINE. I DID NOT GET A SECOND. I DID NOT GET A SECOND FOR THE REQUEST. THAT WE DO HAVE AT LEAST ONE REPORT, IF NOT NUMEROUS REPORTS, JUST DIALING INTO THIS. BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THE SITUATION. AS A PRIVATE LANDOWNER, A PRIVATE LANDOWNER IS WITHIN OUR CITY LIMITS. RIGHT NOW, IT IS ABSORBING A HUGE AMOUNT OF TIME. THIS IS WITHIN THE GENERAL MANAGER'S POSITION, BUT NOW WE ARE USING LAW ENFORCEMENT. AGAIN, THESE EXPENSES ADD UP AND IT THERE ARE DISTURBANCES AROUND THE COMMUNITY, RECEIVING PHONE CALLS, DEALING WITH THOSE, THAT TAKES MONEY, TOO. THAT IS MY POINT.

THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ?

I CONCUR. I AGREE. I WANT TO SAY, MYSELF, AND PERHAPS THE PUBLIC, MAYOR, WE UNDERSTAND ABOUT THE INTENT OF THE CITY IN GETTING INVOLVED, BUT I DO NOT THINK IT IS WRONG FOR ANYONE TO FEEL OR SAY THAT IT IS DISAPPOINTING TO SEE THE ORGANIZATION AND THE OUTCOMES THAT THEY HAVE. I JUST, THAT IS DISAPPOINTING. WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE INTENT WAS OF THE CITY.



THANK YOU. I WANT TO RECOGNIZE AND AGREE, THAT IS WHAT WE ARE HERE TO DO, COMMENT, AND I COMPLETELY ACCEPT THE SENSE OF DISAPPOINTMENT FROM ANYONE. AND, YOU KNOW, APPRECIATE IT.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON ?

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, DID YOU WANT TO BRING THE OTHER MOTION FORWARD? THERE IS A SECOND, WILL YOU PLEASE HANDLE THE VOTE?

IT IS MOVED AND SECONDED, TO REQUEST THAT THEY WILL PUT IT BACK THE STATUS OF THIS AND, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? MAYOR GARDNER RICH ? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

I PARTICIPATE THE PARTICIPATION. I UNDERSTAND THIS IS AN EMOTIONAL ISSUE. AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE STAFF.

[ CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING ]

FOR RETENTION OF CITY MEETING VIDEOS. ITEM NUMBER FIVE, THE KIWANIS CLUB ON JULY 23, 2024 LOCATED ON PUBLIC PROPERTY OF THE WEST SONOMA COUNTY UNION DISTRICT AT 6950 AVENUE, AND FINDING THIS ACTION EXEMPT UNDER CEQA SECTION. NUMBER SIX, ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CLOSURE OF MAIN STREET DURING THE 2024 APPLE BLOSSOM SATURDAY APRIL 27, 2024, HOORAY. SO FIREWORKS IN APPLE BLOSSOM. AND THE USE OF SOUTH HIGH STREET PARKING LOT FOR THE APPLE BLOSSOM FESTIVAL, AND THE SUSPENSION OF STREET VENDORS, SOLICITATION LICENSING SECTION 201 OF ORDINANCE NUMBER 696. ITEM NUMBER SEVEN, APPROVAL TO AUTHORIZE A CHANGE OF CITY OFFICIALS TO ORDER DEPOSITS AND WITHDRAWALS FROM LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND LAIF. ITEM 8, APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR IVES PARK, ADA PATHWAY. ITEM NINE, APPROVED LETTER AUTHORIZING PG&E TO DONATE CITY WORK CREDITS TO SONOMA COUNTY. DO I HAVE ANYONE HERE ON THE COUNCIL WHO WOULD LIKE TO PULL ANY OF THESE ITEMS?

COUNCILMEMBER MAUER?

YES, I THINK NUMBER FOUR WARRANTS COUNCIL DISCUSSION, AND I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT NUMBER EIGHT, THE IVES PATH PARKWAY.

GO AHEAD WITH YOUR QUESTION. I'M SORRY, MARY IS STOPPING US.

I'M SORRY, SO NUMBER EIGHT WOULD BE THE IVES PARK, CORRECT?

CORRECT.

IF IT'S A QUESTION FOR THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, I WOULD NEED TO MAKE SURE THEY'RE ON THE CALL. THEY'RE NOT ON THE CALL RIGHT NOW.

CAN I JUST ASK THE QUESTION?

YES.

THERE'S A PATHWAY THAT CUTS NEARLY RIGHT DOWN THE CENTER OF WHAT USED TO BE THE AREA WHERE EVERYONE WAS SITTING, AND SO I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY THAT WAS AND I WAS WONDERING IF ANYONE COULD EXPLAIN WHY THE PATHWAY WAS CUTTING THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF THE GRASSY AREA.

IS THIS A QUESTION THAT CAN BE ANSWERED WITHOUT PULLING IT FROM THE AGENDA?

I DON'T WANT TO PULL IT, I JUST WANT TO EXPLAIN IT.

WE CAN ASK THE CITY ENGINEER WHO IS ON THE CALL.

OKAY.

MARIO, COULD YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

MARIO?

THERE YOU GO.

OKAY, I APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. I WAS HAVING MY OWN TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES.

SO DID YOU HEAR THE QUESTION?

YES, YES, I CAN HEAR. I HEARD THE QUESTION. I BELIEVE I CAN ANSWER IT. SO AS I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION WAS THAT WHY WAS THE PATH, UM, ALIGNED TO RUN UP FROM WHERE I BELIEVE IT HAD ORIGINALLY LAY TOWARDS THE STAGE AS CAUSING THE INTERRUPTION. THE PATH WAS REALIGNED FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS. ONE WAS TO, UM, TO RELOCATE IT AWAY FROM THE LARGE REDWOOD TREES WHICH THE ROOTS WERE CAUSING QUITE A BIT OF A PEDESTRIAN HAZARD, A TRIP HAZARD. WE HAD TRIPS TAKING PLACE DURING EVENTS THERE. SO IT WAS PULLED AWAY AND REALIGNED A LITTLE CLOSER TO ALSO SERVE A SECOND PURPOSE. THAT IS THAT THERE IS AN EXISTING SEWER MANHOLE IN THE LAWN AREA THAT OUR CREWS HAVE GENERALLY BEEN UNABLE TO ACCESS WITH THEIR HEAVY EQUIPMENT WITHOUT TEARING UP THE LAWN. THEY'VE

BEEN VERY HESITANT TO GET IN THERE. FOR ANY NEED TO GET IN THERE AND SERVICE IT, MAINTAIN IT, UNPLUG IT OR WHATEVER, THE PATH NOW SERVES AN ACCESS TO REACH THAT MANHOLE. SO IT HAS THE TWO PURPOSES. GETTING IT AWAY FROM THE TREES WHERE IT WOULD CONSTANTLY GET DISRUPTED BY THE ROOTS AND CREATING TRIP HAZARDS, AND ALSO BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE FOR ACCESS TO THAT CITY MANHOLE.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. DID THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

YES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

PERFECT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? GO AHEAD VICE MAYOR.

SO YES, I JUST WANT TO ASK A QUESTION. I DON'T WANT TO PULL IT BECAUSE I DO SEE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE KIWANIS CLUB HERE. I SEE THE REPORT WAS AUTHORED BY OUR FIRE PERSON. SO I REACHED OUT TO THE FIRE PERSON TO SAVE TIME. I REACHED OUT VIA E-MAIL AND CC'ED OUR GENERAL MANAGER. I DON'T KNOW IF THEY TOUCHED BASE WITH HIM AND ANSWERED THE QUESTION, I DON'T KNOW.

SO I CAN ANSWER SOME OF THE QUESTIONS. I DID SPEAK WITH CHIEF DURHAM. TO THE COST, THE CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT IN PARTICULAR OF THE EVENT, I ASKED HIM IF IT WAS 1,000 TO \$2,000, AND HE SAID PROBABLY NOT THAT MUCH. IT'S PRETTY MINIMAL IN TERMS OF STAFFING COSTS TO SUPPORT THAT EVENT. HE ALSO MADE AN INTERESTING OBSERVATION FROM A PUBLIC SAFETY PERSPECTIVE. THESE KINDS OF EVENTS ARE GOOD FOR THE COMMUNITY BECAUSE YOU HAVE FEWER PEOPLE LIGHTING FIREWORKS OFF IN FEWER PLACES, SO YOU DON'T HAVE TO CHASE PEOPLE AROUND THE CITY. IN THAT SENSE IT'S A PLUS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY, BUT I DON'T THINK HE'S QUALIFIED OR ANY CITY STAFF TO TALK ABOUT QUESTIONS ON OTHER MATTERS, PTSD IMPACTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, THAT'S JUST NOT IN OUR WHEEL HOUSE TO SPEAK TO THAT.

OKAY, SO NO ONE REALLY ASKED THE KIWANIS CLUB IF THEY'D EVER CONSIDERED ALTERNATIVES DUE TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT AND THE DISRUPTION --

I DON'T BELIEVE THAT HAPPENED AS PART OF THE PROCESS, BUT I HAVEN'T BEEN PART OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS. IF THEY'RE HERE, THEY CAN BEST ANSWER THAT QUESTION.

SO AT WHAT POINT DO WE PULL THE ITEM FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION?

YOU BASICALLY, TO THE ORGANIZERS, HAVE THEY CONSIDERED ANY ALTERNATIVES TO THE CURRENT DEMONSTRATION THAT IMPACTS THE CLIMATE AND ALSO CAUSES PROBLEMS FOR THOSE WITH PTSD, THOSE WITH DOGS, HAVE THEY CONSIDERED ALTERNATIVES IS THE BASIC QUESTION.

SO DOES A KIWANIS REPRESENTATIVE IN THE ROOM HAVE A QUICK ANSWER TO THAT? IF YOU HAVE A QUICK ANSWER, LIKE TWO MINUTES, PLEASE COME TO THE PODIUM.

MIC ON? YEAH.

OKAY, HELLO. I'M WITH THE SEBASTOPOL KIWANIS, AND YES WE HAVE CONSIDERED ALTERNATIVES. THEY ARE EXTREMELY EXPENSIVE. THE ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE DRONES. SO WE ARE LOOKING AT IMPLEMENTING THEM IN THE COMING YEARS, AND MAYBE TRANSITIONING AWAY AT SOME POINT. BUT THERE'S NOTHING PLANNED FOR THIS YEAR.

OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. APPRECIATE THAT. ANY OTHER ITEMS TO PULL OR QUESTIONS? OKAY, SO WE HAVE ITEM NUMBER 4, WHICH IS THE APPROVAL OF ACTION MINUTES VERSUS DETAILED MINUTES. SO THAT ITEM WE'LL PUT AT THE END OF OUR AGENDA FOR TONIGHT, AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE ABLE TO GET TO IT. THAT LEAVES US WITH THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS THAT WE'LL GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENT ON AND THEN COME BACK FOR A VOTE. MARY?

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, AND 9 AS ITEM NUMBER 4 HAS BEEN PULLED. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THOSE NUMBERS I JUST READ, I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBER FIRST. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

YES, I CAN.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES.

ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

UM, SO JUST HEARING A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS ADA PATH IN IVES AND THE JUSTIFICATION FOR REMOVING LAWN FOR EASIER SEWER ACCESS ALONG WITH JUST THE, THE, ESSENTIALLY CREATING A PATHWAY THAT'S NOT BEING DISRUPTED BY THE

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, THE TREES BEING THERE, THIS IN CONJUNCTION WITH WHAT WE JUST RECENTLY LEARNED THAT SOMEHOW NOW RESPONSIBILITIES OF OUR PLANNING DIRECTOR ARE GOING TO BE HANDED TO OUR POLICE CHIEF, I HAVE SOME DEEP, DEEP CONCERNS ABOUT, UM, THE LAW OF INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE. SPECIFICALLY WHEN IT COMES TO THINGS LIKE OUR NATURAL RESOURCES, LIKE THINGS LIKE IVES PARK. IF WE'RE GOING TO BE PUTTING OURSELVES IN A POSITION WHERE WE'RE ALLOWING DECISIONS ABOUT OUR COMMUNITY RESOURCES BE PUT INTO THE HANDS OF ENGINEERING FIRMS THAT WE CONSULT WITH AS WELL AS TAKING LARGE SCALE DECISIONS SUCH AS THE IVES PARK MASTER PLAN AND PUTTING THEM IN THE HANDS OF OTHER CITY STAFF, SUCH AS THE POLICE CHIEF FOR WHATEVER REASON, I HAVE REALLY DEEP CONCERNS ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO PRIORITIZE STAFFING NEEDS AND REALLY, REALLY HOLDING THE VALUE OF INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE THAT WE HAVE IN OUR CITY. IT WOULD BE REALLY, REALLY UNFORTUNATE IF WE DECIDE TO OFFSHOOT THIS STUFF AND NOT TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE NEED TO HAVE WELL-TRAINED STAFF THAT ARE COMMITTED TO OUR CITY FOR MANY YEARS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT BACK IN THE CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS OTHER THAN ITEM NUMBER 4, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? HEY, UM, YOU CAN HEAR ME RIGHT?

I CAN HEAR YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

WELL, I'D LIKE TO JUST FINISH UP A LITTLE TINY BIT ON THAT LAST ISSUE, YOU KNOW, BEFORE I CONTINUE ON THE CONSENT. JUST ONE SENTENCE OR SO. ANYWAY -- SO LINDA, PLEASE KEEP YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. UM, I, UM, I'M ASKING FOR YOUR RESIGNATION BECAUSE YOU WERE, YOU WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE --

LINDA, I HATE TO BE THE ONE TO STOP YOU, BUT THIS IS ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR, SO --

THIS IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR, ON THE ADA, ARE YOU RIGHT, ARE YOU THERE? ON THE ADA?

THAT'S THE IVES PATH PROJECT I BELIEVE YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

YES, THE IVES PATH ITEM IS.

DIANA, DO I HAVE YOUR PERMISSION TO SPEAK ON THAT?

PLEASE DO, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

IT WOULD BE GREAT IF YOU'D RESIGN. ANYWAY, I JUST WANT TO REMIND EVERYBODY THAT, UM, PEOPLE IN WHEELCHAIRS AND PATHS ARE NOT THE ONLY ACCOMMODATION THAT NEED TO BE MADE FOR ADA, AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT. THERE ARE A GROWING NUMBER OF US THAT KNOW WE'RE ELECTROMAGNETICALLY SENSITIVE AND WE'RE BEING COOKED, AND THIS MAYOR DOESN'T ACKNOWLEDGE OTHER KINDS OF DISABILITY LIKE THE FACT WE'RE ALL BEING COOKED ALIVE BY MAN MADE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS --

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

SO ANYWAY I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HERE WHAT NUMBER 9 IS FROM PG&E. BECAUSE ONE OF THE COMPANIES THAT ARE ASSAULTING US WITH THEIR SMART METERS AND ALL THEIR OTHER SMART DEVICES. I JUST WANT TO REMIND YOU I'M COMPUTER-FREE, SO IF YOU CHOOSE TO ANSWER THIS MAYOR RICH, YOU KNOW, I WOULD NOT GET IT OTHER THAN IF YOU VERBALLY TELL ME NOW.

THANK YOU, LINDA, THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT COMING BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO ONE LAST TIME OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS OTHER THAN NUMBER 4 PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, PLEASE WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION IN THE GALLERY. I'M SEEING NO PUBLIC COMMENT, SO PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU TO MARY GURLEY, AND IN RESPECT OF THE INDIVIDUAL WHO DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO OUR COMPUTERIZED DOCUMENTS, THE ITEM NUMBER 9 IS APPROVAL OF A LETTER AUTHORIZING PG&E TO DONATE CITY OF SEBASTOPOLIS WORK CREDITS TO

SONOMA COUNTY. MY UNDERSTANDING IS BASED ON AN EARLIER CITY COUNCIL DECISION RECOGNIZING THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE THE FUNDS AVAILABLE WITHIN OUR GENERAL FUND GIVEN OUR DEFICIT TO PUT FOURTH THE AMOUNT OF MONEY NEEDED TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THESE PG&E CREDITS WE COULD EITHER WATCH THEM JUST EXPIRE OR GIFT THEM TO THE COUNTY OF SONOMA, AND THAT'S WHAT THIS ITEM NUMBER 9 DOES IS IT GIFTS THOSE PG&E CREDITS TO THE COUNTY OF SONOMA, SO AT LEAST THE COUNTY OF SONOMA, THE CITIZENS WITHIN THE COUNTY CAN BENEFIT FROM THEM. DID I CAPTURE THAT CORRECTLY, STAFF?

THAT IS CORRECT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AT THIS POINT I'M LOOKING FOR A MOTION ON CONSENT ITEMS NUMBER 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, AND 9.

JUST TO COMMENT, IT'S TOO BAD WE COULDN'T CASH THOSE CREDITS IN WITH PG&E. I MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS NUMBER 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, AND 9. SECOND.

THANK YOU, MARY. IF YOU COULD TAKE THE VOTE, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. SUBMITTED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO APPROVE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, AND 9. ITEM 4 HAS BEEN REMOVED AND WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE END OF THE REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS?

AYE.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MAYOR RICH?

YEST.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER 10. SO OUR NEXT ITEMS, 10 AND 11 WILL BE THE APPOINTMENTS RELATED TO THE INTERVIEWS WE HELD EARLIER IN THE EVENING. THE FIRST ONE IS CONSIDERATION, NUMBER 10, CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT TO THE OPENING ON CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. I'LL REMIND THE COUNCIL THAT WE HAD ONE APPLICANT, AND THAT WAS PHILLIP CARTER. AND SO THIS IS THE MOMENT FOR ANY QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION. WELL, ACTUALLY QUESTIONS, AND THEN WE NEED TO GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC ON THIS ITEM. ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS ITEM? OKAY, IF YOU COULD PLEASE HANDLE PUBLIC COMMENT MARY, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON APPOINTMENT TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, UNMUTE OUR PHONE, AND WAIT TO GET MY ATTENTION. AGAIN, I'LL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CLIMATE ACTION, LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? THANK YOU I LINDA, GO AHEAD WITH OUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU, MARY. ANYWAY, IN ADDITION TO PEOPLE NEEDING TO WAKE UP ABOUT THE HARM AND DANGER OF WIRELESS RADIATION, WE ALSO NEED TO ISSUE CLIMATE ACTION, AND WE NEED TO START LOOKING UP. THERE'S MORE TO IT THAN JUST THE FOSSIL FUELS AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. BUT IT'S A BIG PART OF IT. IT'S CALLED GEO ENGINEERING. A GOOD PLACE TO GET INFORMATION ABOUT THESE THINGS IS FOLLOWING CHEM TRAILS AND THE EFFECTS AND CONSEQUENCES OF THEM WHICH RESULTS IN WEATHER MODIFICATION AND WEATHER WARFARE. A GOOD PLACE TO GET MORE INFORMATION THAN YOU EVER WANTED TO KNOW IS GEOENGINEERINGWATCH.ORG. BUT OTHER COUNTRIES LIKE CHINA AND RUSSIA, THEY OPENLY ACKNOWLEDGE THEIR, THEIR EFFORTS AND THEIR SUCCESS IN CLIMATE CONTROL. CHINA, WHEN THEY HAD THE OLYMPICS THERE, THEY ASSURED THE PUBLIC THEY MADE SURE THE WEATHER WAS GOING TO BE GOOD, AND IT WAS. SO ANYWAY, IT'S JUST A, THIS IS JUST AN ISSUE THAT THE U.S. AND SEBASTOPOL NEEDS TO ADDRESS.

ACKNOWLEDGE AND TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION, AND THEN AFTER THAT THEY NEED TO OPPOSE IT. STOP MESSING AROUND WITH THE WEATHER BECAUSE IT'S NOT ONLY THE WEATHER, IT'S OUR HEALTH. DROUGHTS AND STORMS CAN ALL BE, UM, UM DESIGNED AND ORCHESTRATED --

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 15 SECONDS.

AGAIN, THAT'S GEOENGINEERING WATCH.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I'LL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

YES, I CAN.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU STILL SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN.

OKAY, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR COMMENT.

ONCE AGAIN WE'RE IN A SITUATION WHERE WE'RE DISCUSSING A KEY INITIATIVE OUR CITY HAS BEEN WORKING ON THAT HAS OUR PLANNING DIRECTOR'S FINGERPRINTS ALL OVER IT, AND IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME THAT OUR CITY HAS DEMONSTRATED ANY SORT OF ACTION PLAN ON HOW WE'RE GOING TO RESOLVE LOSING SUCH A KEY EMPLOYEE WHO HAS SO MUCH ENERGY AND INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE EMBEDDED ALL ACROSS OUR CITY. SO I'M ONCE AGAIN CALLING ON MY ELECTS AND CITY STAFF TO PRESENT TO THE PUBLIC WHAT IS OUR PLAN FOR REPLACING SUCH A KEY EMPLOYEE? THE LAST THING I WANT TO HEAR IS WE'RE EITHER GOING TO OFFSET --

KYLE, I HATE TO INTERRUPT, BUT THIS IS ON THE APPOINTMENT TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE --

THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE WHICH IS STAFFED BY WHAT MEMBER OF OUR CITY STAFF?

I UNDERSTAND, LET'S JUST KEEP IT ON TOPIC, THANKS.

THAT'S GREAT. AND BY ALL MEANS, I'M REALLY APPRECIATIVE OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT CHOOSE TO CONTRIBUTE IN ANY WAY, AND I THINK OUR CITY STILL HAS A LONG WAY TO GO TO BE ABLE TO CREATE A CULTURE OF HAVING OUR CITY RESIDENTS PARTICIPATE IN THESE TYPES OF THINGS, AND PART OF THAT IS MAKING SURE THAT WE HAVE COMMITTEES THAT ARE WELL MANAGED AND WELL RUN BY CITY STAFF. SO AGAIN, PLEASE, WHAT IS THE PLAN? THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I'LL GO BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NO PUBLIC COMMENT, I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE APPOINTMENT TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, UNMUTE YOUR PHONE, OR WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. I'M SEEING NO HANDS RAISED, SO PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO WE'RE NOW BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE FOR DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS APPLICANT FOR THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT.

IN THE INTEREST OF MOVING THIS ALONG I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO REAPPOINT THE TWO APPLICANTS AND APPROVE THE APPLICATION OF PHILLIP CARTER TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE.

SECOND.

VOTE PLEASE, MARY.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO REAPPOINT THE TWO SERVING MEMBERS AND APPOINT PHILLIP CARTER TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I'M GOING TO ABSTAIN BECAUSE I WASN'T PRESENT FOR THE INTERVIEWS.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS?

I'LL ABSTAIN AS WELL.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MAYOR RICH?

YES.

IT PASSES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE A QUICK, UM, FIVE MINUTE BREAK. WE'LL BE BACK -- LET'S MAKE IT 10 MINUTES, SO WE'LL BE BACK AT 8:30, BUT LET'S NOT PUSH IT. 8:30. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE GOING TO RECONVENE THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING. I'D LIKE TO ASK SOMEONE TO TURN UP SOME HEAT IF THERE'S AN OPTION THERE. I'M SORRY, I'M HEARING SOMEONE TALK ABOUT SOMETHING. OKAY, LET'S BRING IT BACK TO THE AGENDA ITEM HERE. WE FINISHED WITH ITEM NUMBER 10 AND APPOINTED PHILLIP CARTER TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. WE'RE NOW MOVING ON TO ITEM NUMBER 11. A LITTLE MORE CHALLENGING BECAUSE WE HAVE THREE APPLICANTS. THIS IS THE APPOINTMENT TO THE OPENING ON THE SCTA COUNTY BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE. WE HAD THREE APPLICANTS. PHILLIP CARTER, GAVIN WATER, AND SILAS STANFORD I THINK IT WAS. WAS WAS CORRECT? STANFORD. PHILLIP CARTER HAS BEEN APPOINTED TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN HE COULD BE APPOINTED TO THE SCTA POSITION. HE DID EXPRESS HIS WILLINGNESS TO ALLOW THAT POSITION TO GO TO ANOTHER COMMUNITY MEMBER. WE ALSO HAD GAVIN AND SILAS. I'D LIKE TO ASK A PRELIMINARY QUESTION OF THE PLANNING DIRECTOR. THERE WAS A QUESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ABOUT OTHER OPEN POSITIONS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE, SO AS WE'RE LOOKING AT POINTMENTS FOR THESE THREE PEOPLE, I WANTED TO FIND OUT FROM THE PLANNING DIRECTOR WHETHER THERE ARE ANY OTHER OPEN SLOTS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE THAT EITHER GAVIN WATER OR SILAS STANFORD WOULD BE QUALIFIED FOR. YES. SO THERE ARE A FEW OTHER POSITIONS ON THE CAC. THERE'S A RIGHTS OF NATURE AND RESIDENT WITH A CLIMATE BACKGROUND, WHICH CERTAINLY, I BELIEVE, GAVIN DOES SUSTAINABILITY CONSULTING, SO THAT CERTAINLY WOULD QUALIFY. THERE'S A BUSINESS OWNER REPRESENTATIVE. I'M NOT SURE IF EITHER OF THEM OWN THEIR BUSINESS FOR THAT LOCATION. SO THERE ARE DEFINITELY JUST GENERAL RESIDENTS WITH A CLIMATE BACKGROUND.

AND DO WE KNOW THE BUSINESS OWNER REPRESENTATIVE, THAT HAS TO BE A BUSINESS OWNER PROBABLY WITH A BUSINESS LICENSE, IS THAT THE CONCEPT?

I BELIEVE SO, YEAH.

OKAY. DOES NOT HAVE TO LIVE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS, BUT NEEDS TO RUN THEIR BUSINESS FROM WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS?

RIGHT.

OKAY, COULD NOT BE AN EMPLOYEE OF A BUSINESS?

THE WAY IT'S LISTED IS BUSINESS OWNER, BUT AGAIN, THAT WOULD BE A COUNCIL DETERMINATION.

DO WE KNOW WHETHER, I KNOW THEIR ADDRESSES HAVE BEEN ELIMINATED FROM THE PUBLIC DOCUMENT --

THAT I CAN LOOK UP BECAUSE I HAVE THE ORIGINALS.

SO DO EITHER OF THEM LIVE WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS?

LET ME CHECK THAT AND GET BACK TO YOU.

OKAY, THANK YOU. SO THOSE WERE MY QUESTIONS. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS? VICE MAYOR?

YES, THANK YOU, MAYOR. I THINK I'M UNDERSTANDING WHERE PEOPLE ARE POTENTIALLY GOING LIKE IF THEY CAN'T GET THE SEAT THEY WANT, MAYBE THEY'D BE ELIGIBLE FOR ANOTHER SEAT. BUT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ASKED DID YOU LOOK AT BOTH OF THESE DIFFERENT COMMITTEES AND AT LEAST ONE SAID THEY KNEW THERE WERE OTHER OPENINGS YET THEY DIDN'T APPLY FOR THAT COMMITTEE. SO I GUESS I'M HESITANT TO SORT OF REJUGGLE THEM IN ORDER TO MAKE THEM HAPPY IF THEY GET TURNED DOWN FOR ONE THAT THEY'LL BE HAPPY IF THEY GOT APPOINTED TO ANOTHER. I DON'T REALLY KNOW IF THAT'S WHERE WE'RE GOING WITH THIS.

I WILL -- I'M SORRY, GO AHEAD.

WELL, I THINK THERE'S THREE APPLICANTS FOR ONE POSITION, SO MY QUESTION ABOUT OPENINGS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION CAMPAIGN IS BECAUSE THERE'S A BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN SUBGROUP IN THE COMMITTEE, BUT THEY WOULD, IF THEY WANTED TO BE ON THE CLIMATE ACTION CAMPAIGN, TOTALLY UP TO THEM. BUT THEY'D NEED TO APPLY

FOR THAT. WE COULDN'T APPOINT THEM DIRECTLY, THEY'D HAVE TO REAPPLY IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

YES, AND I DID HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH ONE OF THEM, SILAS STANFORD, WHO DID EXPRESS INTEREST IN THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE, BUT THAT WAS NOT, IT WAS WHEN WE TOOK A BREAK, SO IT WASN'T BEFORE THE MEETING TONIGHT THAT HE WAS OPEN TO THAT IDEA. I JUST DON'T KNOW IF HE'D QUALIFY FOR A CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE POSITION. SO I THINK WE'RE LOOKING AT THREE APPLICANTS FOR THIS POSITION. ALL HAVE EXPRESSED INTEREST. DO WE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS WE WANT TO GET ANSWERED BEFORE WE GO TO THE PUBLIC? NO? OKAY, PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT OR THE APPOINTMENT TO THE SCTA BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN COMMITTEE. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I'LL COME TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HANDS VIRTUALLY OR RAISE YOUR HAND AND SHOW ME YOUR PHONE OR WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION IN THE GALLERY. I'M SEEING NO VIRTUAL HANDS RAISED. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE NOW BRINGING IT BACK TO COUNCILMEMBERS FOR DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS APPOINTMENT. GO AHEAD COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

ALL THREE CANDIDATES, ALL THREE MEN ARE OBVIOUSLY VERY QUALIFIED FOR THIS POSITION AND WE'RE GRATEFUL THEY STEPPED UP. WE DID APPOINT ONE TO THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE, AND HE DID NOT REALIZE THERE WERE THREE, YOU KNOW, ONE OPENING THREE APPLICANTS. SO HIS COMMENT THAT IT'S GOOD TO HAVE OTHER PEOPLE INVOLVED I THINK IS A GOOD POINT, SO I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE GAVIN WATERS FOR THIS POSITION OF THE SCTA BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN BOARD, AND THEN REACH OUT TO SILAS STANFORD IN TERMS OF HOW TO APPLY FOR THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE.

SECOND.

UM, SO COMMENT, I COMPLETELY SUPPORT THAT NOMINATION. THEY WERE BOTH VERY IMPRESSIVE, BUT GAVIN WATERS DEMONSTRATED A MUCH MORE ACTIVE INTEREST IN THIS PARTICULAR TOPIC. WE'VE RECEIVED E-MAILS FROM HIM. HE'S BEEN VERY VOCAL. HE CLEARLY HAS A COMMITMENT TO THE ISSUES THAT WOULD BE BEFORE THIS PARTICULAR COUNTY GROUP. SO TO ME, DESPITE THEIR INCREDIBLE QUALIFICATIONS IN GENERAL, GAVIN DEMONSTRATED THE MORE READY AND INFORMED WILLINGNESS TO TAKE THIS ON. ANY OTHER COMMENTS BEFORE WE TAKE A VOTE? OKAY, AND I'D ALSO SUPPORT REACHING OUT TO SILAS BECAUSE I WAS VERY IMPRESSED WITH HIM, AND I THINK IT WOULD BE ABSOLUTELY FABULOUS TO GET HIM MORE ENGAGED IN OUR CITY GOVERNMENT. OKAY. READY FOR A VOTE.

THANK YOU. SO SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO APPOINT GAVIN WATERS TO THE OPENING ON THE SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY COUNTY BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I'LL HAVE TO LEAN ON MY COLLEAGUES TONIGHT BECAUSE I WAS LATE FOR THE MEETING AND WAS NOT ABLE TO WATCH THE INTERVIEWS, SO ABSTENTION.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS?

I'LL ABSTAIN AS WELL.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MAYOR RICH?

YES.

MOTION PASSES 3 TO 2 WITH COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, AND MAYOR RICH VOTING AFFIRMATIVELY, AND THE OTHERS ABSTAINING.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M LOOKING AT THE CLOCK, AND WE'RE GOING THE TRY AND MOVE THROUGH ALL OF THESE VERY IMPORTANT TOPICS. WE'RE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER 13, DISCUSSION OF APPOINTMENT OF OPENINGS ON THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD. IS THAT WHERE WE ARE?

BY MY COUNT. I'LL TURN IT OVER TO KERRY.

AND LET'S MAKE SURE ALL OUR MICS ARE ON.

THANK YOU. SO, UM, WE HAVE STARTED A PROCESS WITH THE CITY TO SHORTEN SOME OF THE INTERVIEWS WHERE REAPPOINTMENT OF CURRENT APPLICANTS HAS BEEN DONE. I BELIEVE THAT WAS DONE FOR THE CURRENT CAC MEMBERS AS WELL AS THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS. THERE WAS A QUESTION OF HOW TO HANDLE THAT FOR THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD, AND FOR THIS ITEM AS STAFF WE ARE RECOMMENDING YOU DO INTERVIEWS. WE HAVE THREE VACANCIES, OR THREE TERMS THAT ENDED. THEY ALL DID REAPPLY. WE ALSO RECEIVED LATE APPLICATION, WHICH STAFF IS NOT RECOMMENDING YOU CONSIDER LATE APPLICATIONS BECAUSE THAT COULD POTENTIALLY SET A PRECEDENT, BUT WE DO FEEL, I WILL SAY I FEEL, UM, GIVEN SOME OF THE COMMENTS AND CONCERNS THAT I'VE RECEIVED ABOUT ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT HAS REAPPLIED, AND I CAN SHARE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IF YOU LIKE. I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE MEMBER AND IS AWARE OF THIS REPORT AND FINE IS INTERVIEWS. BUT I DID NOT FEEL COMFORTABLE RUBBER STAMPING THE REAPPOINTMENT. I FELT THE COUNCIL SHOULD TAKE THE TIME TO REINTERVIEW THE APPLICANTS PRIOR TO MAKING A DECISION.

QUESTIONS? VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

THANK YOU. IN ORDER TO MOVE THINGS ALONG, I ASK WHAT THE BASIS OF NEEDING TO CONTAIN THOSE COMMENTS SHARED TO HER OR OTHER STAFF AND WHY THAT NEEDED TO BE IN A STAFF REPORT, AND KERRY PROVIDED ME HER THOUGHTS, BUT I ALSO REACHED OUT TO DAWN AND MARY, AND I THINK DAWN HAS A DEFINITIVE THING. I CAN SAY RIGHT NOW I FEEL VERY UNCOMFORTABLE. THERE NEEDS TO BE A POLICY. WE APPOINT PEOPLE TO HAVE A CHAIR. IF PEOPLE ACT OUT AND DON'T MOVE IN THE DIRECTION OF THE COMMITTEE, THEN THERE SHOULD BE A POLICY FOR THAT CHAIR TO DEAL WITH IT. I DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE IN WEIGHING IN TO THIS TYPE OF SITUATION AT ALL, BUT I WANT TO HEAR FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER.

MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE TO DUST OFF THE, WE HAVE A CODE OF CONDUCT, I KNOW I'VE LOOKED AT IT. I DON'T KNOW, I BELIEVE IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE REVIEWED AND SIGNED BY EACH OF THE COUNCILMEMBERS ANNUALLY. PERHAPS WE CAN DUST THAT OFF AND THINK ABOUT APPLYING THAT TO OUR BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS AS WELL SINCE IT ALREADY EXISTS.

YES, I AGREE, COMPLETELY.

ALL RIGHT, ANYMORE QUESTIONS? I THOUGHT I SAW A HANDS. OKAY. MY QUESTION IS SO WE HAVE THREE OPENINGS AND WE HAD THREE APPLICANTS, ONE OF WHICH WAS A LATE APPLICANT?

FOUR APPLICANTS, ONE OF WHICH WAS LATE.

OKAY, AND IS STAFF RECOMMENDING IN EFFECT THAT WE REPOST AND OPEN UP THE POSITION AND SEE WHAT APPLICATIONS WE GET?

UM, THAT IS ACTUALLY A BETTER RECOMMENDATION THAN WHAT I HAD IN THE STAFF REPORT.

SO THAT WOULD BE MY QUESTION TO STAFF FOR US TO CONSIDER. AND WE CAN DISCUSS IT MORE, BUT DOES THAT SEEM LIKE A WORKABLE OPTION? WE REPOST THE OPENINGS, OPENING IT UP TO ANY APPLICANTS THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTED AT THIS POINT?

CORRECT, YES.

HOW LONG WOULD WE HAVE TO POST IT FOR? I CAN'T REMEMBER.

FOUR TO SIX WEEKS PER THE CITY CLERK.

AND WHAT DOES THAT DO TO THE BUSINESS THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD WOULD NEED TO DO IN THE INTERIM?

SO WHAT WE NORMALLY DO, BECAUSE THE TERMS ACTUALLY END DECEMBER 31st IS WE CONTACT THE EXISTING MEMBERS AND THEY'RE ALL HAPPY TO CONTINUE SERVING.

SO THAT WOULDN'T DISRUPT, REPOSTING WOULD NOT DISRUPT THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD WORK?

CORRECT.

OKAY, THAT'S THE ONLY QUESTION I HAD.

IN REVIEWING THE APPLICATION, I DON'T SEE A REFERENCE TO THE CODE OF CONDUCT, SO I WOULD ASK THAT SOMEHOW IN THAT APPLICATION TO JUST SAY BY THE WAY, THIS IS THE CODE OF CONDUCT. IF YOU ARE APPOINTED, YOU NEED TO STICK WITH THESE RULES BECAUSE I WENT THROUGH IT SEVERAL DIFFERENT TIMES AND DIDN'T SEE CODE OF CONDUCT, THOUGH I'M HAPPY TO KNOW WE DO HAVE ONE. APPROVED 2 20 2024



I WOULD SUGGEST WE PUT IT OUT WITH PERHAPS A STATEMENT THAT CITY COUNCIL BE CONSIDERING ADOPTING THIS FOR ALL BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS. IF YOU HAVEN'T ACTUALLY ADOPTED IT FOR THEM, I DON'T THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO JUST IMPOSE IT. BUT GIVE AN INDICATION, A HEADS UP THIS IS COMING, BE AWARE OF IT, SUGGEST YOU BE COMFORTABLE WITH IT AND THINKING ABOUT APPLYING.

YEP, OKAY. HOPEFULLY THAT CAN BE EXPEDITED. ANYMORE QUESTIONS BEFORE GOING TO THE PUBLIC? I'M SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THE NORMAL PROCESS FOR APPOINTMENTS TO A BOARD IS IF THERE ARE EXISTING BOARD MEMBERS, THEY'RE JUST REAPPOINTED LIKE LISA PIERCE AND KENNA LEE IS, IS THAT CORRECT?

SO THIS IS THE FIRST YEAR THE CITY COUNCIL HAS DONE THIS. IN THE PAST, I'M SORRY, MY MEMORY COULD BE FAULTY, BUT WE HAVE DONE THIS IN THE PAST -- DONE WHAT?

COUNCILMEMBER HINT CAN PROBABLY CLARIFY FOR US.

I MEAN, THE CITY, FORMER CITY MANAGER WOULD ALSO CLARIFY, BUT I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE, UM, GENERALLY HELD INTERVIEWS WHETHER THEY WERE A PREVIOUS MEMBER OR NOT, AND WE INTERVIEWED EVERYONE --

YEAH, ME TOO.

ON LEVEL FOOTING WHETHER IT'S A NEW APPLICANT OR EXISTING.

YOU TWO ARE IN AGREEMENT.

YES, OKAY, GOOD.

BUT I THINK THERE WAS A QUESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

SO IT SOUNDS LIKE IT'S INCONSISTENT. SOMETIMES THERE IS INTERVIEWS, AND SOMETIMES THERE'S NOT, NO INTERVIEWS?

I BELIEVE THERE'S ALWAYS BEEN INTERVIEWS.

WELL, TONIGHT WE JUST REAPPOINTED TWO PEOPLE WITHOUT INTERVIEWS. WE REAPPOINTED KENNA LEE AND LISA PIERCE WITHOUT AN INTERVIEW.

MARY, COULD YOU CLARIFY?

WE HAVE ACTUALLY DONE THIS ONCE IN THE PAST WHERE THE COUNCIL MADE THE DETERMINATION IT WAS SOMEONE THEY'VE ALREADY SEEN THE INTERVIEW, THEY CHOSE NOT TO INTERVIEW, IT WAS ONE PERSON FOR ONE OPENING, IT WAS PLACED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM, AND YOU WENT AHEAD SIMILAR AS YOU DID TONIGHT. THAT'S NOT THE NORM. TYPICALLY WE'VE ALWAYS INTERVIEWED APPLICANTS FOR ALL THE OPENINGS, BUT THE REVIEW COMMITTEE THOUGHT THIS COULD EXPEDITE THE PROCESS, THE COUNCIL WAS IN AGREEMENT WITH EXPEDITING THE PROCESS, AND NO ONE HAS PULLED THE COUNCIL ITEM. THAT'S WHY THEY FELL ONTO THE CONSENT. SO IT HAD BEEN DONE ONE TIME IN THE PAST WHERE WE ACTUALLY DID THAT, BUT IT'S NOT THE NORM.

OKAY, SO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, DID YOU GET YOUR QUESTION ANSWERED?

YES. OKAY. I HAVE ANOTHER ONE.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I BELIEVE THE DESIGN REVIEW AND PLANNING COMMISSION ARE VERY IMPORTANT APPOINTMENTS. NOT THAT ALL OUR APPOINTMENTS AREN'T VERY IMPORTANT, BUT IN PARTICULAR THOSE APPOINTMENTS HELP US WITH LAND USE, AND SO TO ME THE COMMITTEES CAN HAVE DIFFERENT IMPACT. SO I FEEL COMFORTABLE REAPPOINTING SOMEONE TO CLIMATE ACTION. I KNOW THOSE PEOPLE. THEY HAVE A HISTORY OF ADVOCATING FOR CLIMATE. IN THESE APPOINTMENTS, I WOULDN'T FEEL THE SAME LEVEL OF COMFORT IN PLANNING COMMISSION AND DESIGN REVIEWS. I WOULDN'T BE IN FAVOR OF AUTO REAPPOINTMENT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR.

I THINK THEY FEEL A LITTLE DIFFERENT.

OKAY, SO OTHER COMMENTS --

I JUST HAVE A QUESTION, AND IT'S NOT, IT'S A LITTLE DEVIATING FROM THE STREAM OF QUESTIONS. WE RECEIVED A PUBLIC COMMENT TODAY, AND I JUST WONDERED, YOU KNOW, THERE WAS GREAT CONCERN ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THIS SHOULD HAVE EVEN BEFORE COMMENTED ON IN HERE AND WHETHER OR NOT PEOPLE ARE, UM, YOU KNOW, ASKING QUESTIONS OUT OF TURN. BUT THE QUESTION I HAVE IS DO WE HAVE ANY KIND OF GUIDELINES FOR PEOPLE ABOUT, YOU KNOW, I MEAN, BECAUSE I'M READING THESE DIFFERENT, YOU KNOW, THE REPORT AND THEN THE E-MAILS AND I DON'T KNOW THE

PERSON WHO WROTE THIS. I'M JUST TRYING TO DETERMINE IF WE HAVE ANY OTHER GUIDELINES, NOT JUST ABOUT WHAT WE'VE ALREADY DISCUSSED, BUT, YOU KNOW, WHAT LANE THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO BE IN AND DO WE HELP THEM TO UNDERSTAND WHAT'S APPROPRIATE AND NOT APPROPRIATE?

GUIDELINES FOR CONDUCT ON COMMITTEES BY CITIZENS.

YEAH.

I'M CLAIMING THE NEWBIE AND SAY I DON'T KNOW.

IT'S JUST A SMALL TOWN, AND WHEN YOU MAKE THESE KIND OF STATEMENTS IN THESE PUBLIC COMMENTS, PEOPLE WILL BE ABLE TO DETERMINE WHO IT IS RIGHT AWAY --

SURE, AND I WILL SAY I CERTAINLY CALLED THE MEMBER BEFOREHAND AND LET THAT PERSON KNOW THAT IT MAY BECOME PUBLIC, AND SHE WAS OKAY WITH IT.

OH, OKAY.

AND SHE WAS FINE BEING INTERVIEWED AS PART OF THE PROCESS AND ANSWERING ANY QUESTIONS THE COUNCIL WOULD HAVE. IN TERMS OF GUIDANCE FOR BOARD MEMBERS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS, I DID DO AN ORIENTATION WHEN THEY'RE FIRST APPOINTED. I DID NOT DO THE ORIENTATION FOR THIS PARTICULAR MEMBER. THEY ALSO HAVE A COUPLE OF ITEMS RELATED TO FORM 700 BY THE CITY CLERK, OR BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, OF PPC, AS WELL AS THE COUNCIL HAD RECENTLY ADOPTED ETHICS TRAINING REQUIREMENTS SO THOSE ARE PART OF WHAT THE EXPECTATIONS CURRENTLY ARE OF A DESIGN BOARD MEMBER. I HAVE SUGGESTED IN DISCUSSIONS WITH SEVERAL OF THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT WHOEVER THE COUNCIL APPOINTS THAT WE HAVE A RETREAT TO TALK ABOUT THE ROLES AND PROTOCOLS AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS TO HELP MAKE SURE THAT COMMITTEE CAN CONTINUE TO FUNCTION --

I'M SORRY, I'M GOING TO INTERJECT AT THIS POINT BECAUSE WE HAVE A FULL AGENDA. I'M WONDERING, AND WE ALREADY HAVE A COMMITMENT TO COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH THE SUGGESTION OF A CONDUCT, CODE OF CONDUCT POLICY THAT WOULD APPLY TO ALL CITIZEN APPOINTEES, CAN WE PLEASE INCLUDE IN THAT A STAFF REPORT THAT WOULD OUTLINE FOR THE CITY COUNCIL WHAT SORT OF ORIENTATION AND WHAT SORT OF SUPPORT IS GIVEN TO OUR CITIZEN APPOINTEES? IS IT GENERAL CONSENSUS THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL?

I THINK THOSE ARE ALL GREAT SUGGESTIONS, BUT I DON'T WANT TO GET IN THE MIDDLE OF THIS. I DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW WE APPOINT PEOPLE. THOSE PEOPLE CHOOSE THEIR OWN LEADERSHIP, RIGHT? THEY'RE A REGULAR COMMITTEE. THEY CHOOSE THEIR THEIR, CHAIR VICE CHAIR. THEY NEED TO SELF-DISCIPLINE THEMSELVES. I'M NOT KEEN IN GETTING IN THE MIDDLE OF WHAT COMMITTEES DO.

I GUESS ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

I HAVE A QUESTION. REGARDING THE IDEA OF REPOSTING THE OPENING, IS THERE PRECEDENT FOR THAT? BECAUSE YOU POSTED THE POSITION. YOU'VE GOTTEN THREE APPLICATIONS ON TIME. THERE'S THREE OPENINGS. SO I JUST WOULD LIKE MORE UNDERSTANDING ON IS THERE PRECEDENT FOR REPOSTING IT?

SO, YES, PLEASE, PLANNING DIRECTOR.

I WAS LOOKING AT COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WHO HAS MORE KNOWLEDGE OF THIS THAN I DO.

I DON'T BELIEVE THAT, BUT MARY PROBABLY WOULD HAVE MORE KNOWLEDGE THAN EVEN ME, BUT I'LL SAY FROM MEMORY I CAN'T THINK OF A REPOST THAT WE'VE DONE BEFORE WE'VE INTERVIEWED THE APPLICANTS, AND THEN AT THAT TIME IF WE CAN'T DECIDE ALL THREE ARE A GO, BUT, RIGHT? THAT'S THE RULE. THAT'S HOW WE'VE DONE IT BEFORE. WE INTERVIEW ALL THE APPLICANTS. THREE APPLICATIONS WERE IN ON TIME. WE'D INTERVIEW THEM, AND THEN AS A FULL COUNCIL, IF WE THINK ONLY TWO WE CAN ENDORSE, THEN WE CAN'T AGREE ON A THIRD OR WHATEVER, THEN WE REPOST, RIGHT? AND I APOLOGIZE, WHY DO YOU WANT TO REPOST? I'M SORRY.

THAT WAS MY QUESTION. MY QUESTION WAS WHETHER IT COULD BE.

BUT WHY?

NOW WE'RE INTO DISCUSSION. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

WELL, IT'S A QUESTION. WHY?

HISTORICALLY THE DRB HAVE NOT NECESSARILY RECEIVED ENOUGH APPLICANTS, AND THERE HAVE BEEN VACANCIES. IN THIS CASE, ALTHOUGH IT WAS LATE, WE DID RECEIVE AN APPLICATION. IT IS FOR A LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT WHICH IS A RESOURCE THAT

WOULD BE REALLY VALUABLE TO THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD UNDERSTANDING THERE'S NO DESIRE TO SET A PRECEDENT FOR ACCEPTING A LATE APPLICATION.

I THINK THAT'S THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

WE HAVE THE CITY ATTORNEY SITTING RIGHT HERE. IS THIS LEGAL OR SHOULD WE FOLLOW OUR PREVIOUS PRECEDENT AND INTERVIEW THE THREE CANDIDATES THAT HAD THEIR APPLICATIONS IN ON TIME?

IT'S UP TO CITY COUNCIL TO DETERMINE HOW TO DO THE INTERVIEWS AND MAKE THE APPOINTMENTS, SO IT'S APPROPRIATE IF YOU DESIRE TO DO SO TO REPOST IT.

I THINK WE HAVE THE QUESTIONS ANSWERED. DO WE? BECAUSE IT WOULD BE NICE TO GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC. OKAY, OUT TO THE PUBLIC PLEASE, MARY, IF YOU COULD TO GET COMMENTS AND INPUT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE DISCUSSION OF THE ZONE REVIEW BOARD APPOINTMENTS. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I'LL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO TO ZOOM. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT LINDA.

YOU KNOW, UM, THIS IS INTERESTING. WHAT I FIND INTERESTING IS THE CODE OF CONDUCT. I WAS THINKING THAT CONDUCT FOR OH, SAY, THE MAYOR WHO CONTINUES NOT TO RESPOND OR LISTEN TO THE PUBLIC, PARTICULARLY THE PERSON WHO EARLIER SAID SHE WOULD NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE, TO THE INTERNET AFTER 8:00 WHO WAS TOTALLY IGNORED AND THAT PERSON IS UNABLE TO EXCELLENT ON THE, ON THE ZOOM ISSUE WHETHER THE PUBLIC WILL BE HEARD OR NOT. ANYWAY.

I'M SORRY, LINDA, CAN YOU PROVIDE COMMENT ON THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD APPOINTMENTS?

OKAY, I CAN DO THAT TOO. AT A TIME WE NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE OUR ENVIRONMENT IS NOT LIKE WE USED TO BE. WE'RE ALL BEING ASSAULTED BY MAGNETIC FIELDS, FREQUENCY, AND RADIATION AND ENERGY AND SO FORTH, AND WE NEED TO INCORPORATE THAT INTO OUR BUILDINGS SO THAT WE NEED TO MAKE US SAFE, WE NEED TO ESSENTIALLY MAKE ALL OF OUR BUILDINGS AND SPACES WE LIVE IN CAGES. WE NEED TO WALK AROUND WITH BODY ARMOR ON LIKE I DO WITH HEAD NETS AND SHIRTS TO PREVENT US FROM BEING ASSAULTED BY RADIATION, YOU KNOW, THE MAN MADE STUFF. THIS ALL NEEDS TO BE INCORPORATED INTO BUILDINGS --

LINDA YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

RELATIVELY INEXPENSIVE LIKE ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS ACKNOWLEDGE THE HARMFUL NATURE OF RADIATION. ANYWAY, JUST A SINGLE LAYER OF ALUMINUM FOIL IN THE WALLS SHINY SIDE OUT CAN ACT AS A PROTECTIVE MEASURE FOR RADIO FREQUENCIES. I HAVE A MYLAR ON MY WALLS TO PROTECT ME --

LINDA, THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I'LL COME BACK TO IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I'LL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD APPOINTMENTS. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. RAISE YOUR HAND ON YOUR PHONE VIRTUALLY OR WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. SEEING NO FURTHER HANDS RAISED, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARY. WE'RE NOW BACK AT THE COUNCILMEMBERS. WE HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FROM STAFF AND HAVE MANY COMMENTS HERE.

IF NO SECOND WE'LL GO ON WITH COMMENTS.

DO YOU NEED ME TO CLARIFY THE MOTION?

YEAH.

OH, YEAH, BECAUSE IT SEEMS INCONSISTENT.

I'M GOING TO RECLARIFY THAT NOTION. I'LL MOVE TO INTERVIEW THE THREE CANDIDATES WHO REAPPLIED WHOSE APPLICATIONS WERE ACCEPTED WITHIN THE TIME FRAME AND CONSIDER APPOINTMENTS BASED ON THE INTERVIEWS AND THE REMAINING AS A NEW APPLICATION PERIOD.

SO THAT WOULD ONLY BE, THE SECOND PART OF THE MOTION WOULD ONLY BE IFPOSTN THERE WAS NO, IF NONE WAS FILLED. SO WE'LL REVIEW THE ONES RECEIVED BY THE DEADLINE.

SO MOVED. [ CAPTIONER TRANSITIONING ]

IN FULL TRANSPARENCY I RECEIVED A VARIETY OF EMAILS ON THE SUBJECT AND BASED ON THE EMAIL I THINK I KNOW WHERE THE CONTROVERSY IS. I'M NOT A FAN OF DISCRETION. THE DISCRETION HAS BEEN IF WE GET OUR APPLICATIONS WE HAVE THREE OPENINGS AND THREE APPLICATIONS ON TIME. THOSE PEOPLE SHOULD BE INTERVIEWED. IF ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WE DECIDE SHOULD NOT BE REAPPOINTED THEN WE GO OUT AGAIN. AND IT SEEMS LIKE BREAKING PROTOCOL AND WHAT I'VE MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY THAT THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT POSITION ON THE DESIGN REVIEW THAT MAKES LAND-USE DECISIONS AND NOT SAYING ALL POSITIONS ARE IMPORTANT BUT WE NEED TO INTERVIEW AND MAKE PIX AND BE SPECIFIC ABOUT IT. I'M HAPPY WITH A MOTION AND I WILL BE SUPPORTING IT.

IN THE INTEREST OF TIME I WOULD LIKE TO SAY I AGREE WITH EVERYTHING. MY COMMENTS ARE REGARDING OUR OBLIGATIONS AND STAFF OBLIGATIONS TO CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS. I RESPECT THE COMMENTS MADE ABOUT THE NEED FOR THE COMMITTEES IN ESSENCE TO MANAGE THEIR OWN CONDUCT AS LONG AS WE GIVE THEM POLICIES AND SOME DIRECTION AND ORIENTATION. HOWEVER, I THINK THERE ARE VERY DIFFICULT ISSUES THAT CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS SOMETIMES FACE IN TERMS OF COLLABORATIVE WORK OR NON-COLLABORATIVE WORK ON COMMITTEES AND I DO BELIEVE IT'S THE STAFF OBLIGATION TO STEP IN AND BE THERE FOR OUR CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS WHEN THOSE RELATIONSHIPS MAY BECOME MORE DIFFICULT THAN REGULAR OLD CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS ARE ABLE TO MANAGE. THAT WOULD BE MY CONTRIBUTION TO THIS DISCUSSION. I THINK WE HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO CITIZEN VOLUNTEERS TO DO MORE THAN JUST GIVE THEM AN ORIENTATION AND POLICIES AND AS CARRIE DESCRIBES HER PARTICIPATION, IS IN THAT MORE ACTIVE ROLE SO I WANT TO SAY AS AN INDIVIDUAL VOTE HERE THAT I REALLY APPRECIATE OUR STAFF MEMBERS COMING FORWARD TO SPEAK ON BEHALF AND ARTICULATE A DIFFICULT SITUATION FOR US AND WHEN WE INTERVIEW AND MAKE A DECISION ABOUT THESE POSITIONS I WILL BE TAKING THOSE COMMENTS INTO CONSIDERATION. IN TERMS OF THE MOTION ON THE TABLE THAT'S MY ONLY COMMENT. ANY MORE BEFORE WE PROCEED? I THINK I WILL GO TO STAFF. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM CITY MANAGERS OR FROM CAREY? ANY CONCERNS OR HESITATIONS

WE SCHEDULED INTERVIEWS TO START AT 5:30 AND GIVEN THE COMMENTS ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS, IN TERMS OF SCHEDULING INTERVIEWS, IS THEIR DESIRE TO SCHEDULE THEM AT 6:00 O'CLOCK? WHAT ARE YOUR WISHES?

MY FEEDBACK IS THAT IT IS VERY DIFFICULT WITH ME FOR MY JOB, I STATED IT OVER AND OVER. I THINK IT'S DIFFERENT FOR A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WORK AT 9 TO 5 JOBS TO PARTICIPATE EARLY SO I WOULD REQUEST THAT WE SCHEDULE THOSE INTERVIEWS WHICH ARE VERY IMPORTANT.

I THINK WHAT WE WILL DO IS LIMIT THOSE INTERVIEWS TO 10 MINUTES AND WE WILL HAVE TO BE VERY SIX RESPECTFUL OF THOSE TIME LIMITS.

WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE TABLE. SCHEDULING AN INTERVIEW AT A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING FOR APPLICANTS THAT SUBMITTED WITHIN THE DEADLINE, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

WE ARE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER 14 AND WE HAVE TWO SUBSTANTIVE ITEMS AFTER THAT. JUST AS A REMINDER AFTER THIS WE WILL BE LOOKING AT IN PERSON VERSUS ZOOM. WE HAVE PEOPLE WHO HAVE SIGNED ON TWICE TO ADDRESS IT AND WE WILL BE TALKING ABOUT THE ACTION MINUTES WHICH IS, AGAIN, A REALLY IMPORTANT ITEM. WHAT THIS BASICALLY BOILS DOWN TO IS THAT THERE ARE INCREASES IN COSTS FOR THE AMERICORPS TRAIL PROJECT DUE TO MISTAKES MADE IN THE PAST ABOUT WHAT PERMITS WOULD BE NECESSARY. THE INITIAL THOUGHT IS THAT THERE WEREN'T ANY AND WE'VE DISCOVERED THAT THERE ARE SO WE ARE FIXING THOSE ERRORS. THE COST IS \$55,000 AND WHAT WE ARE SUGGESTING TO COVER THOSE IS TEMPORARILY TO USE MEASURE M FUNDING FROM THE VOTER INPUT-- VOTER APPROVED TAX AND WE NEED TO GET THE PROJECT MOVING FORWARD AND IN THE NEXT BUDGET YEAR WHEN WE HAVE MORE DEVELOPMENT COMING IN THAT WE REVERSE TRANSACTIONS FINANCIALLY, WE KEEP MEASURE M BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FLEXIBLE AND USE PARK DEVELOPMENT FEES INSTEAD. CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCIES INCLUDED, WE WILL BE LOOKING AT THAT END POSSIBLY INCLUDING THE BUDGET PROCESS AS IT PROCEEDS WE 2021 KNOW

MORE. THE LAST COMMENT IS HEARING FROM PUBLIC WORKS THAT WE ARE KIND OF AT THE POINT STAFFING WISE WHERE WE ARE MAINTAINING ALL THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND ADDING SOMETHING NEW IS REALLY STRETCHING THEM SO WE GOT THE IMPRESSION THAT WE CAN HANDLE THIS BUT NOT MUCH MORE ESPECIALLY WHERE WE ARE GOING WITH OUR BUDGET. WE ARE RECOMMENDING APPROVAL AND NEED TO MOVE FORWARD. IF YOU WANT TO MOVE FORWARD TO GET THE PROJECT DONE, IT'S A PERMANENT TIMELINE.

ANY QUESTIONS ON THIS ITEM?

I'M SURE THIS IS IN THE STAFF REPORT BUT REMIND US THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT APPROVING THIS ITEM.

THE PROJECT WOULD DIE. WE WOULD NOT PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT.

WE WOULD RELINQUISH THE FUNDING THAT WE RECEIVED?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS YOU DO NOT HAVE TO RETURN IT BUT THIS IS WHERE FOLKS HAVE BEEN INVOLVED TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

IN THE INTEREST OF TIME I UNDERSTAND THE CONSEQUENCES ARE-- SURE. IF MY CONCLUSION IS INCORRECT PLEASE SPEAK UP, BUT THAT WE WOULD JEOPARDIZE FUNDING THAT WE'VE RECEIVED FOR THIS TRAIL IF WE DON'T ACT TO APPROVE THIS ITEM TONIGHT. IS THAT CORRECT?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT FUNDING HAS NOT BEEN RECEIVED AND IT'S REIMBURSABLE FOR THE GRANT.

BUT WE ARE NOT GOING TO GET REIMBURSEMENT OF THE MONEY? THE MONEY WILL BE AT RISK. THAT'S ALL I NEEDED TO KNOW, THANK YOU. THAT WAS MY ONLY QUESTION.

CAN WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENTS?

THIS IS A COMMENT ON THE BUDGET AGENDA. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND OR PLEASE WAIVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA.

I HAVE PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH THAT TRAIL AND I SUGGEST YOU-- BECAUSE I GOT HURT ON THAT TRAIL SEVERAL YEARS AGO AND JUST LIKE LAGUNA, SERIOUS SERIOUS DISEASES LIKE LYME DISEASE AND OTHER THINGS. AND ANYWAY WE NEED TO START ACKNOWLEDGING THAT. I AM ON THE WEST COUNTY TRAIL JUST ABOUT EVERY DAY. I JUST LOVE IT. IT'S A BROAD TRAIL AND I AM NOT AT RISK FOR GETTING SICK ON IT. BUT LAGUNA, YOU ALREADY HAVE A CITY MANAGER PROBLEM AND A LOT OF YOU DON'T KNOW IT, BUT THERE IS ALREADY A TRAIL THAT HAS OVER 20 INTERESTING SPOTS THAT HAVE A LITTLE SELF-GUIDED TOUR THAT HAS FALLEN INTO DISREPAIR AND UNDER THE MANAGEMENT OF THE CITY MANAGER. THE LAST ONE, YOU KNOW, WEBSTER AND SERVICE IN MULTIPLE WAYS, THAT THING HAS FALLEN INTO-- NOBODY KNOWS ABOUT IT. LIKE 23 POINTS OF INTEREST

YOU HAVE 15 SECONDS. AND JUST LET THIS ON AMERICORPS THING GO. WE DON'T NEED MORE SICKNESS AND POOR HEALTH WHICH IS WHAT WE GET FOR EXPOSURE TO TICKS.

IF THERE'S ANY PUBLIC COMMENT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

EVEN THOUGH WE ARE FACING FISCAL CRISES, I AM IN FAVOR OF GETTING THIS DONE AND I THINK IT WILL BE A VERY BIG ATTRACTION FOR VISITORS TO THE REGION.

PERHAPS WE CAN GET MORE INTEREST IN LAGUNA. I DON'T THINK THIS AFFECTS THE SEASONAL BRIDGE. THERE'S A BRIDGE OVER THE CREEK BUT THAT'S DIFFERENT, RIGHT? ANYWAY, I'M A FAN OF ACCESS TO THAT AREA AND IT WOULD BE NICE TO NOT HAVE TO MOVE TREES OUT OF YOUR HAIR WHEN YOU ARE WALKING DOWN THERE AND I THINK WOULD BE AN ADDITIONAL SELLING POINT TO THE BEAUTIFUL CITY AND WATERWAYS THAT WE HAVE ACCESS TO IF IT'S NOT TOO MUCH MONEY.

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, USER PHONE OR WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. I SEE TWO HANDS RAISED.

I JUST WANT TO-- TO GIVE A LITTLE HISTORY BECAUSE I'VE BEEN AROUND FOR A WHILE AND I SAT AS A REPRESENTATIVE FOR AT LEAST SIX YEARS FOR OPEN SPACE. I WAS ON AND HELPED LOBBY FOR THIS PROJECT WHICH WAS APPROVED WHEN BILL WAS STILL IN CHARGE AND WE'VE GOT MONEY EXPANDED THAT YEAR AND IT WAS 3.6 MILLION APPROVED IN THAT CYCLE. 2018. I AM GOING TO BE IN SUPPORT TO GET THIS DONE. THIS HAS BEEN ON OUR MAP AND I SAT ON THE MATCHING GRANT COMMITTEE

THAT IF WE DON'T COMPLETE A PROJECT, IF WE DON'T DEVELOP STANDARDS AND RANKINGS, IF WE DON'T COMPLETE WITHIN FIVE YEARS OUR MONEY BECOMES AT RISK AND IF WE DON'T COMPLETE IT AT ALL IT WILL IMPACT THE FUTURE ASKS THAT WE HAVE. I DON'T KNOW WHY IT'S DELAYED BUT I AM EMBARRASSED THAT WE HAVE A SET ON THIS PROJECT. I KNOW WE HAVE COVID I REALLY HAVE TO SAY THAT VERY STRONGLY. THEY TRACKED THE PROJECTS FOR EVERY CITY IN THE COUNTY THAT THEY GIVE MONEY TO AND HERE WE ARE.

I'VE PROBABLY SAID SOMETHING LIKE THIS BEFORE AND WILL SAY IT UNTIL YOU GET THE PROJECT COMPLETED.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR. THIS DID GO THROUGH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THANK YOU FOR BEARING WITH IT AND ALSO STAFF. I WAS IN A CRANKY MOMENT WHERE I SAID HOW MUCH MORE MONEY? HAVE ANY MONEY? BUT NOW THANK YOU TO EVERYONE FOR EDUCATING ME SO I'M DEFINITELY GOING TO SUPPORT STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

I'M GOING TO STEAL THAT FROM HIM, I'M A FAN OF NATURE TRAILS. AND I SUPPORT THIS PROJECT. THIS AMENDMENT.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS, I'M GOING TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS BUDGET AMENDMENT AS DESCRIBED IN THE STAFF REPORT AND I WANT TO COMMENT THAT ONE OF THE APPEALING PIECES TO ME WAS THE USE OF, THE FACT THAT THE GRANT MONEY WOULD BE USED TO BRIDGE THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION.

I KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERN ABOUT THE BUDGET, RIGHTFULLY SO. IT'S NOT SOMETHING WHERE WE CAN TAKE THESE AND PUT THEM INTO STREETS POLICE OR FIRE. JUST WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT THAT SO'S FOLKS WHO SEE US SPENDING \$55,000 UNDERSTAND THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WOULD HELP US WITH FINANCIAL CHALLENGES. DO THE VOTE PLEASE.

SORRY ABOUT THAT. SO TO APPROVE AND ADOPT A RESOLUTION IN FISCAL YEAR 23 - 24 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND ALSO DIRECTING THAT CITY MANAGER KEEP THE BUDGET COMMITTEE INFORMED OF ANY CHANGES AS THE ENVIRONMENTAL WORKING CONSTRUCTION PROGRESSES. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? I. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. WE ARE NOW ON TO ITEM NUMBER 15 IN PERSON OR IN PERSON/ZOOM VIRTUAL FORMAT FOR CITY MEETINGS AND I'M GOING TO GO TO OUR CITY MANAGER TO START THIS ITEM. I DO HAVE A QUESTION IN TERMS OF TIMING. PLEASE REMIND ME, WHAT IS OUR POINT OF ENDING THE MEETING WITHOUT UNANIMOUS CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL? THANK YOU EVERYONE FOR PARTICIPATING. I APPRECIATE IT.

I'M GOING TO KEEP IT REALLY SHORT. THE ITEM TONIGHT IS TO DISCUSS EITHER RETAINING THE IN PERSON AND VIRTUAL MEETING FOR ALL CITY MEETINGS WITH VIEWING ONLY PURPOSES ONLY OR GOING BACK TO IN PERSON MEETINGS ONLY. CURRENTLY THE CITY COUNCIL PLANNING COMMISSION IS ON A ROLL, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE ARE ALL DOING IN PERSON AND VIRTUAL MEETINGS WHICH ALLOWS PEOPLE TO COMMENT FOR MANY VIRTUAL LOCATION THAT THEY CHOOSE OR THEY CAN COME TO MEETINGS IN PERSON. IN DECEMBER WE HAD EXPERIENCED SOME LANGUAGE, PEOPLE WERE UNCOMFORTABLE, THEY HAD SOME DEROGATORY COMMENTS AS WELL AND WE GOT NOTIFICATION FROM COUNCIL AS WELL AS STAFF AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT THEY WERE UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THOSE AND WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO BRING THE ITEM BACK TO CITY COUNCIL FOR DISCUSSION AS TO WHETHER TO RETAIN THE STATUS QUO OR GO IN PERSON BASICALLY ANY ITEM WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THIS CITY OR ON ANY OF THE AGENDA ACCOUNTS, INFO ITEMS OR THE REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS THEY ARE ALSO ALLOWED TO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT. FAIR AMOUNT OF PUBLIC COMMENT AND BACK AND FORTH WITH COUNCIL AND A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. PLANNING COMMISSION, DESIGN REVIEW, PUBLIC ARTS. I WOULD SAY HAVING BEEN HERE FOR 20 YEARS, WE VALUE PUBLIC ANTICIPATION AND WE TRY TO INCREASE WHATEVER WAYS WE CAN. THE GOAL IS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PUBLIC HAS ANY AVAILABLE MEASURE TO BE ABLE TO COME IN AND PARTICIPATE. HOWEVER, WITH THE RECENT DISRUPTIONS, WE HAVE TO CONSIDER IF THERE IS A BETTER FORMAT OR IF WE WILL CONTINUE AND ALLOW WITH THE REALIZATION THAT WE MAY HAVE THOSE TIMES WHERE PEOPLE MAY ZOOM BOMB US OR PROVIDE DEROGATORY COMMENTS, WE HAVE TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION HATE SPEECH AS WELL AS FREEDOM OF SPEECH. THE OTHER ISSUE THAT ALSO CAME UP

EXPERIENCED A LOT OF TECHNICAL ISSUES. IT HAS BEEN EMBARRASSING ON MY ACCOUNT FOR HAVING MEETING DISRUPTIONS AND ALL THAT. I WILL SAY THAT I HAVE MET WITH IT PERSONNEL AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE ADDRESSED WHAT THOSE ISSUES ARE. SOME OF THEM WERE A MATTER OF COMPUTER UPDATES IN THE MIDDLE OF THE MEETINGS, LOSING CONTACT WITH LIVE STREAM, MAKING SURE THAT WE HAVE ADJUSTED MICROPHONES, I DO BELIEVE WE HAVE ADDRESSED THAT. NOT TO SAY THERE'S NOT EVER GOING TO BE A TECHNICAL ISSUE HAPPENING BUT I BELIEVE WITH OUR PCA VENDOR AS WELL AS THE COMMUNITY CENTER VENDOR WE HAVE TWO OPTIONS OF UTILIZATION SO I DO BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE ADDRESSED THAT. I DID REACH OUT TO A LOT OF CITIES. A LOT OF CITIES ONLY DO IN PERSON WITH VIDEO FORMAT. THEY DON'T DO PUBLIC COMMENT LIVE SO YOU HAVE TO BE WITHIN THE MEETING. I DON'T RECOMMEND THAT. EVEN IF YOU HAVE A FISCAL EMERGENCY AND IT COULD SAVE US MONEY FOR NOT HAVING A VENDOR COME IN, IT'S ABOUT \$20,000 WE FIGURED OUT WE WOULD SAVE, I WOULD RECOMMEND WE NEED TO MAINTAIN THE TRANSPARENCY ITEM OF THIS. I MET WITH THE ZOOM EXECUTIVES AND MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC AND MET WITH OTHER CITY STAFF AS WELL AS AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO ARE VERY WELL-VERSED REGARDING SECURITY SETTINGS. AUTHENTICATING EMAILS. IT WOULD BE REALLY DIFFICULT TO DO WITH THE AMOUNT OF MEMBERS AND IT'S NOT FAIR TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HAVE TO DO THAT, IN MY OPINION. HAVE NOT ADDRESSED THE IT ISSUES AND HAVING THE HIGHEST SECURITY SETTINGS THAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW, AT THIS POINT IN TIME I BELIEVE WE HAVE ADDRESSED AS WELL AS WE CAN ANY OF THE ISSUES FOR IN PERSON OR VIRTUAL FORMAT AND I WOULD THINK THE FISCAL FUNDING, I RECOMMEND WE STAY WITH VIRTUAL IN PERSON FORMAT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU TO OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FOR TAKING THE LEAD ON THIS ISSUE. I APPRECIATE YOU'VE BEEN WORKING ON FIXING THESE PROBLEMS. MY QUESTION IS ON PAGE 8 OF 9 ON PROTOCOLS THAT ARE IN USE, ARE THESE ALREADY IN USE FOR THIS MEETING OR IS IT SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO CHANGE?

QUESTION TWO LEGAL COUNCIL, ONE OF THE THINGS I STRUGGLE WITH IS HOW FAST ARE WE GOING TO CATCH THESE VISITORS? SOMETHING THAT SEEMS RELEVANT TO THE AGENDA ITEM WE SAW IN THE REPORT THAT, NO WAY TO DELAY TO CATCH SO DOES THAT MEAN YOU'RE GOING TO BE HERE? KNOW WHEN THEY START GETTING BAD AND YOU'RE GOING TO JUMP IN AND CUT THEM OFF? SOME OF THEM COULD BE DIFFICULT. SO THAT IS THE PITFALL WITH THE ZOOM ET CETERA PROCESS THAT WE DON'T HAVE A DELAY THAT IS EMBARRASSING OR UNFORTUNATE DISPLAY MAY TAKE PLACE BEFORE WE CAN CATCH IT.

THAT HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED. I JUST SAID THAT I HAD RESEARCHED THE LAW AND WHEN I WAS CITY MANAGER I DID NOT MAKE THE CALL.

THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW, WHO HAS THE STRESSFUL JOB OF LISTENING CAREFULLY AND DECIDING, THIS MINUTE YOU ARE CUT OFF. WHICH IS A?

THE CITY MANAGER HAS NOT GIVEN ANY DIRECTIONS.

THAT'S TROUBLING, THE AMOUNT OF STRESS YOU ALL ARE UNDER I CAN'T ANTICIPATE GOING BAD.

WE WILL LEAVE THE VICE MAYOR OFF OF THAT LIST. THAT IS A CONCERN SO YOU WILL HAVE TO WORK IT OUT.

MAYBE WE PUT SOMETHING IN THE INTRO AND I LIKE THE INTRO TO ALERT THE PUBLIC THAT IT'S LIKE, SOMEBODY IS MONITORING.

I DO THE SAME THING, I GET IT. SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON OR COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, ANY QUESTIONS? I HAD A FEW QUESTIONS. I UNDERSTAND THE RECOMMENDATION, I APPRECIATE IT, BUT I HAVE SOME CONCERNS. IF YOU COULD LET ME KNOW, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAD THAT REALLY NASTY SERIES AND I UNDERSTAND WITH VIDEO IT PREVENTS THE VIDEO INTRUSION. WE'VE BLOCKED THAT, CORRECT? BUT WE HAVE THE HATE SPEECH ISSUE WHICH IS WHAT WE EXPERIENCED IN JANUARY. TO MY MIND THEY ARE WORDS THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN SHUSHED. I WOULD VIEW THOSE AS INAPPROPRIATE, NOT EXPRESSIONS OF RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH. BUT IN DISCUSSIONS THAT I'VE HAD WITH STAFF AFTER THAT, I WAS TOLD OTHERWISE. I WANT TO KNOW, THOSE DENIGRATING WORDS THAT PEOPLE USE, WE KNOW ALL THE EXAMPLES. I'M NOT

SAYING IT HERE. THOSE DENIGRATING TERMS, IS THAT PROTECTED FREE SPEECH OR IS THAT, INSTEAD, SPEECH THAT WE CAN QUASH LEGALLY?

I BELIEVE THOSE TERMS ARE NOT PROTECTED. I THOUGHT A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC STATED THEY THOUGHT THAT THEY COULD BE.

SO YOU ARE SAYING THOSE WOULD NOT BE PROTECTED FREE SPEECH? THE SLUR WORDS WE CUT THEM OFF. I AM NOT A LEGAL EXPERT SO I'M NOT GOING TO SAY DEFINITELY WHAT IS HATE SPEECH OR WHAT IS NOT SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE STANDARD IS, WHICH IS WHY I WILL SAY I DEFER TO THE ATTORNEY BECAUSE I'M NOT AN EXPERT IN THAT.

YOUR LEGAL OPINION THOSE COULD BE QUASHED AS HATE SPEECH AND ARE NOT PROTECTED AS FREE SPEECH?

YES, THAT IS MY OPINION AND IN ADDITION, THEY IMPERMISSIBLY DISRUPTED THE MEETING, IS MY VIEW. THEY WERE SHOCKING TO ALL OF THE LISTENERS, VISIBLY REACTIONS IT DISRUPTED THE MEETING BEYOND THE WORDS THEMSELVES.

HOW WOULD THOSE IMPROVED SECURITY SETTINGS HELP US IF AT ALL IN THE JANUARY INCIDENT?

ZOOM HAS A DELAY TO DO THAT. YOU WOULD HAVE TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION RIGHT THEN AND THERE. NONE OF THE PROTOCOLS WOULD HAVE DONE THAT EVEN IF WE HAVE THE AUTHENTICATED EMAILS, THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO IT AT THAT POINT SO FOR THOSE IMMEDIATE TYPES OF SPEECHES, THERE ISN'T.

FINALLY I NOTICED A LOT OF TIME AND EFFORT PUT INTO MOVING AROUND EQUIPMENT SO YOU'VE MENTIONED THE \$20,000 IN SAVINGS. BUT WHAT ABOUT THE FACT THAT VIDEO EQUIPMENT HAVE TO BE SET UP AT CITY HALL AND MOVED TO OTHER SPACES. IS THERE AN ELEMENT THAT CREATES COST OR INCONVENIENCE?

WE WOULD STILL HAVE TO VIDEOTAPE THE MEETING AND STREAM IT WHETHER IT'S LIFESTREAM OR YOUTUBE WE HAVE ONE TECHNICIAN WHO DOES THE TIMER AND THINGS IN THE BACKGROUND SO THAT IS THE MONEY THAT I WAS DISCUSSING AND WE WOULD STILL HAVE TO HAVE SOMEONE FOR THE VENDORS TO VIDEOTAPE AND STREAM IT. THE FACT THAT ZOOM IS AVAILABLE BUT NOT GUARANTEED, HAS STAFF LOOKED INTO THAT BECAUSE I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE TECH ISSUES AND THE WAYS WE ARE FACED WITH HAVING TO POTENTIALLY CANCEL A MEETING. IF THERE'S A TECH PROBLEM, TO CONTINUE WITH THE MEETING.

IF IT'S A VIEWING FORMAT ONLY OR USING IT AS A PUBLIC COMMENT FORMAT. IF WE HAD A MULTIPLE WAYS TO VIEW IT, IF ZOOM WENT OUT WE STILL HAVE STREAMING. IF WE ARE UTILIZING IT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND WE HAVE A TECHNICAL ISSUE THAN WE WOULD NEED TO STOP THE MEETING BECAUSE THEY WOULD NEED TO BE ALLOWED TO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE ITEMS.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

WE ARE LOOKING AT ALL DIFFERENT SCENARIOS HERE . IF WE HAVE A LINE OF PEOPLE THAT COME HERE AND START HAVING SPEECH LIKE THAT AND WE DON'T HAVE ZOOM BUT OUR LIVE STREAMING, WE ARE STILL PUTTING IT OUT THERE, WHAT DO WE DO THEN? TACKLE THEM?

I THINK IT'S A QUESTION PENDING.

WITH LIVE TESTIMONY, ONLY FOR THE MAYOR TO IMMEDIATELY CALL A RECESS AND THEN ATTEMPT TO DEAL WITH THE PROBLEM DURING THE RECESS.

I JUST KNOW WITH TECHNOLOGY THERE WILL ALWAYS BE ISSUES. ANY QUESTIONS HERE? SO LET'S GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENT.

SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. GREAT, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

I ENCOURAGE THE COUNCIL TO KEEP THE ZOOM MEETING AS LONG AS WE CAN LIMIT DISRUPTIONS. I WILL LISTEN TO PEOPLE HARP ON THE SAME TOPICS OVER AND OVER. AND I AM TIRED OF IT AND I REALLY WANT THEM TO BE MORE RESPECTFUL OF ALL OF OUR TIME AND YET IT IS PUBLIC AND IT IS OKAY. A LOT OF TIMES THE PUBLIC COMMENT IS SUPPOSED TO BE ABOUT A TOPIC AT HAND. AND SOMETIMES THE MAYOR INTERRUPTS TO SAY PLEASE STICK TO THE TOPIC. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT COULD BE A GENERIC BUZZER, YOU ARE OFF-TOPIC AND IT COULD BE ABUSED, OF COURSE AND PEOPLE WOULD GET UPSET IF THEY ARE MUTED BECAUSE THEY ARE OFF-TOPIC AND MAYBE WE COULD HAVE A ROLE LIKE IF YOU CAN THINK YOU CAN STAY ON TOPIC WE CAN



AGAIN OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT BUT I THINK THE BENEFITS OF ALLOWING US IN THE WORKING WORLD AND THE HOME LIFE WORLD TO BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS WAY IS REALLY FANTASTIC. I'M SURPRISED MORE PEOPLE DON'T DO IT FOR THE HOT BUTTON ISSUES AND SO I APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT MARY HAS DONE TO TRY TO BEEF THINGS UP AND I THINK WE SHOULD TRY TO KEEP IT AS LONG AS WE CAN AND KEEP IMPROVING. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. IT WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT?

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

MY COMMENT WILL BE VERY BRIEF. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO URGE YOU TO THINK ABOUT ALL THE PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE FIRST MEETING THIS TOPIC WAS DISCUSSED, WHEREBY MOST OF THE PUBLIC WERE URGING YOU TO KEEP THE MEETING HYBRID WITH U.S. -- ZOOM COMMENTS POSSIBLE. IT IS CRITICAL THE COMMITTEE HAVE TRANSPARENCY WITH WHAT IS GOING ON AND BE ABLE TO ACCESS THE INFORMATION, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? GO AHEAD WITH THAT COMMENT, LINDA.

I AM SORRY IF THEY WERE OFFENDED BY ANYBODY I DON'T KNOW WHO IT COULD BE, BUT THE THING IS YOU KNOW, THIS MAYOR AND THE OTHER MAYOR DON'T LISTEN, THEY DON'T HEAR US. THEY JUST DO NOT HEAR US AND SO -- NEEDS TO GET IN THERE, YOU KNOW, THESE IMPORTANT --

COULD YOU PLEASE LET US KNOW YOUR OPINION ON THE ZOOM VERSUS IN PERSON TOPIC?

OBVIOUSLY, YOU KNOW WE NEED TO KEEP THE ZOOM THING BECAUSE YOU KNOW IT IS JUST LUDICROUS THE WAY THAT YOU MANAGE SOME OF THESE -- THE LAST ONE, JUST LIKE THE LAST PERSON SAID, JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY, AND I BELIEVE THERE WERE LIKE EIGHT OR 10 OF THEM, EVERYBODY WAS IN FAVOR OF ALL THE ZOOM THINGS, AND THEN YOU DIDN'T TAKE A VOTE. HE JUST CUT IT OFF AND THEN YOU PUT IT ON THE LAST ITEM ON THE AGENDA. SO IT WHITTLES DOWN THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT WAY AND ON IT. AND YOU ROUTINELY DO THIS KIND OF THING. BUT ANYWAY, OBVIOUSLY YOU KNOW, I WOULD -- DON'T MAKE ME LAWYER UP, BECAUSE THIS IS MY ONLY WAY OF RELYING ON A FULLY CORDED LANDLINE PHONE DUE TO MY ADA ACKNOWLEDGED DISABILITY OF ELECTRICAL SENSITIVITY.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

I'M A BIG PROPONENT OF COURSE OF --

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK INTO ZOOM , OR I'M SORRY, INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY AND PLEASE WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. SEEING NO HANDS, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED

THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE ARE NOW BACK WITH OUR COUNCILMEMBERS HERE AND LOOKING FOR DISCUSSION ON THE ZOOM VERSUS IN PERSON ITEM.

IN THE INTEREST OF SAVING TIME I AM JUST GOING TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE CONTINUE THE IN PERSON AND THE ZOOM MEETING ACCOMMODATIONS. IS THAT SUFFICIENT?

I WILL SECOND.

SORRY, NO THAT IS OKAY.

OKAY, SO WE CAN CHOOSE EITHER VICE MAYOR OR COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS . OKAY. WE ARE -- ALL RIGHT, AND THEN ANY DISCUSSION FROM ANYONE HERE ?

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, THANK YOU.

I WANT TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS ABOUT THIS. IT IS A DISAPPOINTMENT WE CANNOT TAKE THIS AGENDA ITEM IN THE PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING BECAUSE OF OUR LIMITS, WHICH BY THE WAY ARE NOT JUST BASED ON COUNCIL, THEY ARE ALSO BASED ON OUR ELECTRONIC SETUP AND OUR STAFF THAT HELP US RUN THE MEETING. SO ANYWAY, THAT IS PART OF WHY WE HAVE THIS NEW POLICY. AND WE USED TO GO AFTER MIDNIGHT BUT WE HAVE NEW RULES AND IT MAKES US AT LEAST BE ABLE TO THINK MORE CLEARLY, I THINK. I WANTED TO SAY THAT I JUST RECENTLY WATCHED THAT MOVIE WHO DID THE MARCH ON WASHINGTON IN 1963 AND A PLACE

HOW THEY TRIED TO SQUASH SO MANY VOICES AND I AM NOT ON CITY COUNCIL -- I WANT TO HAVE MORE COMMUNITY INPUT AND I'M SUPPORTING THIS ITEM BECAUSE EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE A COUPLE BAD ACTORS AND BAD APPLES IN THE AUDIENCE -- AND I HAVE TO SAY ALSO IT WAS DISTURBING BECAUSE IT WAS SUCH AN IMPORTANT NIGHT WHEN WE HAD OUR LEADERSHIP ELECT DID WITH OUR MAYOR AND OUR VICE MAYOR. SO THAT MADE IT EVEN HORRIFYING THAT WE ARE CELEBRATING, RIGHT? AND HAVING A CHANGE OF GUARD AND THEIR NIGHT WAS KIND OF RUINED BY THAT. I APOLOGIZE FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT ZOOM VOMIT US. I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT. I WANT PARTICIPATION, BUT I ALSO WANT RESPECT FOR THOSE OF US THAT ARE SPENDING OUR TIME UP HERE. AND SO ALSO I JUST WANTED TO SAY, I DON'T FEEL SUPER COMFORTABLE ABOUT THE OPINION, THE LEGAL OPINION TONIGHT. I ALWAYS SOMETIMES IN THESE MEETINGS PULL UP ON THE INTERNET WHAT FREE SPEECH IS FROM A POLITICAL STANDPOINT, I HAVE BEEN TO SACRAMENTO A NUMBER OF TIMES, AND I KNEW, I THOUGHT I WAS ADVISED I RECALL, AS MAYOR, THAT IF SOMEONE HAS A COMMENT THAT IS KIND OF SLANDEROUS, THAT WE HAVE TO DIALOGUE GIVE THEM A WARNING, AND THEN WE CAN CUT THEM OFF. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RULE IS. WHEN I PULL IT UP HERE, I AM NOT SURE, I'M NOT CONFIDENT. AND DIANE'S QUESTION WAS IMPORTANT, BECAUSE WHEN YOU'RE SITTING THERE, WE WANT TO FOLLOW THE LAW. EVEN IF WE ARE PERSONALLY HORRIFIED BY WHAT THEY'RE SAYING AND DO NOT AGREE. SO I WOULD ASK OUR STAFF TO COME BACK WITH A FIRM LEGAL OPINION ON THIS IN WRITING SO THAT WE CAN NO COMMAND BUT JUST FOR THE CURRENT MAYOR, BUT FOR THE FUTURE MAYORS, BECAUSE WE DON'T WANT TO GET IN TROUBLE. AND WE WANT THEM PUBLIC, BUT THESE PEOPLE ARE, I THINK, GENERALLY MEMBERS OF OUR SMALL COMMUNITY AND THEIR COMING FROM OUTSIDE. SO WE JUST NEED TO KNOW THE RULES. I APPRECIATE THAT FROM OUR STAFF AND HAVE IT FOR ALL OF US IN WRITING SO THAT WE ARE CLEAR ON IT. I'M STILL UNCLEAR.

AND I HAD SOME ADDITIONAL COMMENTS. IS THERE ANY RESPONSE FROM STAFF ABOUT THAT? I THINK THAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR A LEGAL OPINION.

AS WE SOMETIMES DO, WE CAN ASK THE EXPERTS THAT ARE IN THIS FIELD, FREE SPEECH ETC., FIRST AMENDMENT. SO WE WILL SEEK AN OPINION ON THAT. I WILL SAY THAT IT IS HARD IN THE MOMENT, NO MATTER HOW MUCH YOU RESEARCH THE LAW IN THIS AREA, THE PROBLEM WITH THIS FORMAT IS, YOU HAVE ABOUT ONE OR TWO SECONDS IF IT IS ON A ZOOM SITUATION TO MAKE THAT DECISION. SO THAT IS DIFFICULT UNDER THE BEST OF CIRCUMSTANCES, EVEN BEING THAT IT IS VERY OBVIOUS. EVEN IN THAT SITUATION IT IS HARD TO MAKE THAT CALL IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, AND A LOT OF THESE ARE NOT MERELY SO OBVIOUS. ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH THE COURT CASES THAT I REVIEWED ON THIS IS THAT THEY COME FROM THE PAST WHERE ALL THE COMMENTS WERE IN THE PERSON AND HAD TO DO WITH PEOPLE BRINGING ANIMALS TO THE COUNCIL MEETINGS OR PROPS OR OTHER THINGS WHERE YOU COULD GIVE A WARNING OR YOU COULD TALK TO THE PERSON OR CALL A RECESS, ETC. BECAUSE IT WAS A SLOWER PROCESS WHEN IT WAS ALL IN PERSON. A LOT OF THESE WERE DEMONSTRATIONS THAT TOOK PLACE IN PERSON. THERE ARE NOT CASES REALLY THAT HAVE CAUGHT UP TO THE ZOOM THE FORMAT WHERE IT IS INSTANTANEOUS AND COMES OUT OF NOWHERE IN A SPLIT SECOND.

I UNDERSTAND, AND THANKS FOR THAT CLARIFICATION. I JUST WANT TO MAKE THE POINT OF TAKING OPPORTUNITY TO OFFER MY COMMENTARY HERE TO THE EXTENT THAT WE WERE TOLD, FOR INSTANCE, THAT USE OF THE N-WORD FOR PURPOSES OF AN EXAMPLE WAS IN FACT PROTECTED FREE SPEECH? I WOULD NOT, ABSOLUTELY NOT -- THAT WOULD PERSUADE ME TO GO TO A COMPLETE -- TO KNOW ZOOM COMMENTARY AT ALL. THAT IS AN IMPORTANT PIECE FOR ME. NOT THE IMMEDIACY OF OUR RESPONSIVENESS, BUT TO THE EXTENT THAT IS CONSIDERED PROTECTED FREE SPEECH IN THIS COUNTRY OF OURS, THAT WOULD PERSUADE ME THAT I AM NOT ALLOWING ZOOM COMMENTS, DESPITE MY INCREDIBLE COMMITMENT TO PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. I WOULD VOTE COMPLETELY AGAINST IT. SO THAT IS THE QUESTION THAT I'M HOPING THAT WE COULD HAVE ANSWERED IN AS MUCH A FINAL FORM AS IS POSSIBLE FOR THIS CITY COUNCIL. I'M SORRY?

LARRY AND I HAD A CHANCE TO TALK ABOUT THIS, BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE MOST USEFUL, NOT A LEGAL OPINION BUT GUIDELINES. THESE WORDS, THESE CONDITIONS YOU

KNOW, CUT OFF SO WE HAVE A PRAGMATIC TOOL WE CAN APPLY INSTEAD OF -- I MEAN, MAYBE A SUPPLEMENTAL LEGAL OPINION THAT BACKS THAT UP I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS AS VALUABLE AS HAVING CLEAR GUIDELINES. IF THAT IS ACCEPTABLE TO YOU, THEN I THINK --

SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

IT FRANKLY IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO ME. I THINK WE SHOULD GET THE LEGAL OPINION. I WOULD LIKE A LEGAL OPINION ON THAT. BECAUSE WE CAN HAVE GUIDELINES, BUT I'M TRYING TO PROTECT THE CITY. AND IF IT IS LEGAL OR ILLEGAL AND WE HAVE TO SIT THERE AND LISTEN TO IT, DIANA SAID THAT MIGHT AFFECT HER OPINION, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE LEGAL OPINION IS.

THE GUIDELINES WOULD REFLECT THE LEGALITY, SO -- BUT IN THE MOMENT, IF I AM THE ONE PULLING THE TRIGGER, NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO LOOK UP THE LEGAL OPINION BUT IF I HAVE A LIST THAT SAYS THESE WORDS ARE BUT THESE WORDS ARE NOT.

I THINK WE SHOULD ASK THE LEGAL PEOPLE IF THESE WORDS ARE OKAY OR THESE WORDS ARE NOT AND THEN WE HAVE A CHEAT SHEET.

I CAN SEE HER WANTING TO CONTRIBUTE.

ON TOP OF THAT I WANTED TO SAY THAT WE ALSO NEED TO FOLLOW THE GOVERNMENT CODE. SO WHAT YOU HAD STATED, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, YES, WE NEED TO GIVE THEM A WARNING. HATE SPEECH IS SOMETHING DIFFERENT, BUT IF WE HAVE A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC THAT IS DISRUPTING THE MEETING WE DO HAVE TO GIVE THEM A WARNING AND OUR AGENDA DOES REFLECT THAT. WE HAVE IT ON THERE AND WE HAVE THE PROTOCOL ON THEIR. WE HAVE GIVEN WARNINGS BEFORE WHEN THEY HAVE STARTED DOING SOMETHING, AND THEN TOLD THEM THAT IF THEY GO INTO WHERE WE COULD REMOVE THEM WE DO. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE TO FOLLOW THE GOVERNMENT CODE, NO MATTER WHAT WE DO.

I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I AGREE AND I THINK THAT WE NEED TO KNOW LEGALLY. EVERYBODY WILL BE OFFENDED BY DIFFERENT THINGS BUT THERE ARE THINGS THAT -- YOU KNOW, SPEECH THAT IS PROTECTED, AND I'M NOT THE MORAL POLICE TO HAVE SOMEONE SHUTDOWN JUST BECAUSE I'M OFFENDED BY SOMETHING. I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR, AND SECONDLY, SINCE WE ARE TALKING HERE, YOU KNOW, I JUST CANNOT VOTE TO LIMIT PARTICIPATION BASED ON A FEW BAD EXPERIENCES. I THINK THAT THE OVERALL GOOD OF THE ORIGINAL AVERAGE OF PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 65 PEOPLE HAD LIMITATIONS IN ORDER TO GET HERE AND ALL THE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT GO ALONG WITH IT. I JUST WOULD NOT FEEL RIGHT YOU KNOW, LIMITING THE COMMUNITY. I HAVE BEEN ATTENDING THESE MEETINGS FOREVER I MEAN, SINCE WE STARTED THEM. THIS IS NOT LIKE A REGULAR OCCURRENCE. I JUST WOULD NOT FEEL COMFORTABLE. AND THEN WORKING IN TECHNOLOGY, THIS IS ALWAYS THE CONUNDRUM, TECHNOLOGY CHANGES BUT WE DON'T CHANGE QUICKLY ENOUGH WITH IT. YOU CANNOT SHUT SOMETHING DOWN JUST BECAUSE NOW WE HAVE AN ISSUE TO DEAL WITH AND OTHERWISE WE WOULD STILL HAVE A LANDLINE PHONE. YOU KNOW, THERE WILL ALWAYS BE ISSUES WHEN WE ARE PROGRESSING AND HAVE NEW TECHNOLOGY. SO I THINK THAT UNDER THE GUIDELINES THAT YOU HAVE LAID OUT, I KNOW YOU HAVE PUT A TON OF TIME INTO THIS AND WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IT SO I APPRECIATE THE WORK YOU HAVE PUT INTO IT, TRULY. I'M COMFORTABLE MOVING FORWARD WITH THIS WITH THE CAVEAT THAT WE DO GET THESE GUIDELINES AND THE LEGAL DEFINITION OF WHAT WE CAN AND CANNOT DO.

SO FINISHING UP MY COMMENTS, I JUST WANT TO SHARE A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE HERE. I HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE COME TO ME APPALLED BY WHAT HAPPENED AT OUR JANUARY MEETING AND THEY FELT THAT EXPERIENCE HAD A SERIOUS CHILLING EFFECT ON THEIR ABILITY TO ENGAGE WITH OUR PROCESS HERE AS A GOVERNMENT. SO I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND US THAT THOSE EXPERIENCES DO HAVE A NEGATIVE EFFECT ON OUR PUBLIC AND DO LIMIT THEIR SENSE OF COMFORT AND SAFETY IN REACHING OUT AND EXPRESSING THEIR OPINIONS. SO I CONTINUE TO HAVE A REAL CONCERN ABOUT THAT. I ALSO WANT TO POINT OUT TO EVERYONE THAT WE HAVE A VERY EFFECTIVE WAY OF COMMUNICATING, RECEIVING AND RESPONDING TO ANY SORT OF PUBLIC COMMENT. WE HAVE MULTIPLE WAYS. IT IS NOT JUST THROUGH ZOOM AT A CITY COUNCIL MEETING. EVERYONE OF US HAS A LOT OF EMAILS AND ARE COGNIZANT OF THAT COMMENTARY ON THAT TOPIC AND THE FACT THAT THERE WERE 202 PEOPLE

WHO EXPRESSED THEIR OPINION HERE, ENCOURAGING THAT ZOOM CONTINUE AND AN EQUAL NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO EXPRESSED THEIR HESITATION ABOUT ZOOM CONTINUING. WE HAVE 7600 PEOPLE IN THIS COMMUNITY. THE FACT THAT THERE ARE 10 THAT CAME TO EXPRESS THAT OPINION DOES NOT NECESSARILY MEAN EVERYONE IN TOWN HOLDS THAT OPINION. SO WE HAVE EMAILS AND PEOPLE TALK TO US. WE HEAR THEIR OPINIONS, THEY ARE COMING FROM OUR STAFF AND WE HAVE AN ACTIVE WAY FOR PEOPLE TO GET IN TOUCH WITH US. IT IS NOT THE ONLY WAY. AND I HAVE SEEN THE IMPACT OF THE ZOOM EXPERIENCE AND THE NEGATIVITY ON OUR STAFF. I SAW THAT HAPPEN, AND I AM FULLY AWARE OF IT. SO I AM A BIG BELIEVER IN PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. I HAVE EXPRESSED MY CONCERNS ABOUT CONTINUING ZOOM COMMENT. I CONTINUE TO FEEL THAT OUR BEST APPROACH FROM MY PERSONAL OPINION IS TO DO IN PERSON MEETINGS AND TO HAVE THE MEETINGS LIVE STREAMED AND CONTINUE OUR ACCESS AND ACCESSIBILITY TO THE PUBLIC IN ALL THE OTHER WAYS THAT WE MAKE OURSELVES ACCESSIBLE. SO THAT IS MY OPINION. I UNDERSTAND THAT IS NOT SHARED WITH MY FELLOW CITY COUNCILMEMBERS. I WANT EVERYONE IN THE WORLD -- AS MY MOM AND EVERYBODY KNOWS ME UNDERSTANDS, I AM A BELIEVER IN PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT I AM ALSO A BELIEVER IN THE NEED TO PROTECT OUR STAFF, PROTECT THE SAFETY AND OPENNESS OF OUR PROCESS SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE A CHILLING EFFECT ON ENGAGEMENT. ANY OTHER COMMENT BEFORE WE TAKE A VOTE? OKAY. MARY IF YOU COULD HANDLE THE VOTE PLEASE.

SECOND TO TO MAINTAIN THE IN-PERSON AND VIRTUAL FORMAT CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS AND TO HAVE CITY STAFF SHARE THE LEGAL OPINION AND GUIDELINES FOR FUTURE MEETINGS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? MAYOR RICH? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GOOD DISCUSSION. APPRECIATE IT AND APPRECIATE THE ENGAGEMENT FROM THE PUBLIC DEMONSTRATING ACTUALLY THE STRENGTH'S OF ZOOM, GOT TO SAY IT. OKAY, SO NOW WE ARE I THINK ON ITEM 4, CORRECT? THAT WE PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR? APPROVAL OF ACTION MINUTES VERSUS DETAILED MINUTES FOR CITY MEETINGS AND AMENDMENT TO RECORDS RETENTION POLICY OR RETENTION OF MEETING VIDEOS. WE PULLED THIS ITEM, BUT I'M THINKING PERHAPS WE SHOULD GET A BRIEF INTRODUCTION ON THE ITEM FROM OUR CITY ADMINISTRATION.

LET ME JUST KICK IT OFF QUICKLY BUT I WILL TURN TO MARY FOR THE BULK OF IT. YOU ALL WERE KIND ENOUGH TO ASK WHAT YOU COULD DO TO HELP US ALL BE SUCCESSFUL. I CANNOT THINK OF ANYTHING WITH A LOWER COST AND EASIER THAN FREEING UP MORE OF MARY'S TIME. SHE HAS BEEN INCREDIBLY VALUABLE AND I HAVE TREMENDOUS RESPECT FOR HER AND HER WORK ETHIC IS ABOVE AND BEYOND ANYTHING I HAVE SEEN IN MY CAREER. AND I DON'T WANT TO LOSE THAT COMMITMENT. I DON'T WANT TO BURN HER OUT. THE MORE TIME WE CAN FREE UP FOR HER, THE MORE SUCCESSFUL WE WILL BE. THIS IS THE EASIEST WAY TO DO THAT. I HAVE SAID THAT AT TIMES I WILL MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT DOES NOT MATTER ALL THAT MUCH, BUT THIS IS NOT ONE OF THEM. THIS IS A PLEASE DO THIS MOMENT.

THANK YOU, AND I DO APPRECIATE THAT. THIS ALSO GOES TO OUR PLANNING DEPARTMENT SO THIS WILL ALSO FREE UP THEIR STAFF AS WELL. BUT THE WORDS ARE VERY MUCH APPRECIATED. SO CURRENTLY ALL OF OUR CITY MEETINGS ARE BASICALLY -- THE CITY COUNCIL AND TRAINING COMMISSION AND DESIGN REVIEW, THEY ARE PRETTY MUCH VERBATIM MINUTES. CLIMATE ACTION DOES THEIR OWN AND I BELIEVE PUBLIC ARTS DOES THEIR OWN AS WELL. SO THOSE -- DEPENDING ON THE LENGTH OF THE MEETING, THE MINUTES CAN BE EXTREMELY LENGTHY. WE HAVE GONE 40 OR 50 PAGES AND I WILL TELL YOU, FOR EVERY HOUR OF A CITY TONSIL MEETING, NO MATTER WHAT TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE YOU USE, IT IS ALMOST TWO HOURS TO EDIT AND REVIEW THE MINUTES. I STILL TAKE NOTES JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT WHAT IS BEING TRANSCRIBED IS ACCURATE. YOU HAVE SEEN IN YOUR PACKET WHAT TRANSCRIPTIONS LOOK LIKE FROM OTHER SERVICES. BUT I WILL SAY, OUT OF A LOT OF THE CITIES THAT I HAVE SURVEYED AS WELL, THEY HAVE ALL GONE TO ACTION MINUTES. ACTION MINUTES PRETTY MUCH TELL YOU THE TYPE OF MEETING, WHERE THE MEETING IS LOCATED, WHO SPOKE AT THE MEETING, NOT SO MUCH THEIR COMMENTS.

BASICALLY WHO SPOKE, WHAT THE ACTION WAS, AND WHAT THE VOTE WAS. THAT IS WHAT REALLY GOES INTO YOUR MINUTES. YES, IT IS NICE TO HAVE THE BACKGROUND OF DISCUSSIONS AND THINGS LIKE THAT BECAUSE PEOPLE LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THOSE COMMENTS WERE, HOWEVER, WE DO HAVE THE VIDEOS THAT WE MAINTAIN OUT THERE. CURRENTLY WE HAVE A RETENTION PERIOD OF ONE YEAR. WE COULD INCREASE IT UP TO THREE YEARS, ASSUMING THE TECHNOLOGY STAYS THE SAME FOR THAT AMOUNT OF TIME. THAT IS ONE DRAWBACK. WE DO NOT HAVE A SYSTEM WHERE IF YOU HAVE A CD THIS YEAR IT WILL AUTOMATICALLY CONVERT IT TO A DVD NEXT YEAR. I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT IF WE CHANGE THAT THAT THE POLICY BASICALLY SAYS EITHER THREE YEARS OR DIPPING IN THE POND IF WE STILL HAVE THAT MEDIA FORMAT AVAILABLE.

ANY QUESTIONS?

YOU ANSWERED ONE OF MY QUESTIONS. THE QUESTION I HAD WAS, IS IT POSSIBLE TO INCREASE IT TO FIVE YEAR RETENTION OF THE VIDEOS?

WE COULD INCREASE THE RETENTION BUT MY CONCERN IS WILL WE HAVE THAT MEDIA AVAILABLE FIVE YEARS FROM NOW TO BE ABLE TO A DVD OR A CD. WE WOULD NOT CONVERT IT EVERY YEAR. IF I HAD ONE FROM TWO YEARS AGO I WOULD NOT, IN THOSE FIVE YEARS, CHANGE IT TO WHATEVER THE NEW FORMAT WOULD BE.

A LOT OF THESE VIDEOS ARE STORED ONLINE. COULD THEY JUST BE STORED ONLINE FIVE YEARS INSTEAD OF THREE ?

WE COULD KEEP THEM STORED ONLINE BUT IT DEPENDS ON HOW MUCH CAPACITY WE HAVE ON THE CITY WEBSITE OR ON LIVE STREAM OR YOUTUBE OR WHEREVER. THE WEBSITE IS MORE CONCERNING FOR ME, THE AMOUNT OF SPACE. I WOULD PROBABLY RECOMMEND THREE YEARS. WHICH IS WHAT I RECOMMENDED IN THE REPORT TO KEEP THE MEDIA FORMAT THREE YEARS BUT ALSO ON THE CITY WEBSITE FOR THREE YEARS. CAN I JUST ADD TO IT REAL QUICK?

I WILL SAY IN THE 27 YEARS I HAVE BEEN HERE ALMOST, ACTUALLY MORE THAN THAT, I HAVE ONLY BEEN ASKED ONCE FOR A COPY OF A DVD FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING. SO PEOPLE JUST WANT TO SEE WHAT THE ACTION AND THE MINUTES WERE. THEY TYPICALLY DO NOT WANT TO GO BACK AND REVIEW THE ENTIRE MEETING. SO I HAVE ONLY HAD ONE THAT WAS ASKED FOR A DVD OF A MEETING.

ANY OTHER RUSSIANS ON THIS ITEM? NO? OKAY, THEN OUT TO THE PUBLIC AND WE WILL COME BACK FOR DISCUSSION AND DECISION.

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE TRANSITION FROM ALMOST VERBATIM MINUTES TO ACTION AND ITS. WE WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NINE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? GO AHEAD WITH HER PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA.

YOU ARE THE BEST. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE WOULD DO WITHOUT YOU. OF COURSE NOBODY WANTS TO BE A BURDEN ON THE WORKLOAD ON YOU, HOWEVER THE POLICIES ARE DESTROYING THIS TOWN AND MAKING US MORE SICK AND VULNERABLE, YOU KNOW HACKING THE WIRELESS WATER METERS AND -- SO MEMBER, IF YOU COULD, MAKE SURE YOU LET US KNOW YOUR OPINION ON ACTION MINUTES --

BUT ANYWAY, WHAT I'M SAYING IS IT'S JUST CRITICAL THAT WE RETAIN AS MUCH OF OUR HISTORY AS POSSIBLE, SO, UM, SO FIVE MINUTES I MEAN YEARS LONGER, ALL THIS NEEDS TO BE RETAINED SO THE FUTURE PUBLIC AND COUNCILMEMBERS AND STAFF CAN GO BACK AND FIGURE OUT WHO FLUSHED US DOWN THE TOILET.

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

THAT PRETTY WELL SUMS IT UP. IT'S JUST IN MY OPINION A REAL OPINION TO KEEP HISTORY AND ARCHIVE THIS STUFF SO PEOPLE WILL KNOW, YOU KNOW, HOW WE GOT TO WHERE WE ARE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, LINDA FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT SPEAKER I HAVE IS ROBERT. ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GREAT, GO AHEAD WITH PUBLIC COMMENT.

PRETTY QUICK. I'M A FAN OF THE VIDEOS. I WISH THERE WERE MORE FOR PREVIOUS YEARS BECAUSE THERE'S LOTS OF HISTORY THERE THAT IS IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND, BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND THE ISSUES WE'RE DEALING WITH. SO I'M A HUGE FAN OF THE VIDEOS. THREE YEARS IS PROBABLY MORE THAN ENOUGH. THE ONLY SUGGESTION I HAD, I DON'T KNOW IF IT CAN BE DONE, BUT IF YOU COULD PUT A TIME STAMP ON THE ACTION MINUTES FOR EACH SEGMENT AT LEAST, IT WOULD BE REALLY NICE TO GO BACK AND FIND SOMETHING BY A TIME STAMP ON A PARTICULAR MEETING. BUT THAT MAY BE A BIG DEAL. ANYWAY, THAT'S MY SUGGESTION. THANKS.

THANK YOU, ROBERT FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT SPEAKER I HAVE IS JIM. JIM, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

HI THERE.

CAN YOU STILL SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN.

GREAT, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

LET'S SEE, MY THOUGHTS. FIRST I WANT TO SAY I REALLY APPRECIATE COUNCILMEMBER MAURER'S E-MAILS SUMMARIZING THE E-MAILS. IT'S MATTER OF FACT ABOUT VOTES, BUT GIVES A LITTLE CONTEXT, AND THAT'S REALLY HELPFUL TO ME WHEN I MISS A MEETING. I HAVE WORKED IN MY PROFESSIONAL LIFE FOR RECORDING AUDIO SOFTWARE, AND THERE'S A COMPANY CALLED TRIO SYSTEM THAT IS DOES A TIME STAMPED AUDIO RECORDING SOFTWARE. YOU CAN IMPORT YOUR AGENDA INTO THE SOFTWARE, AND AS THE MEETING IS HAPPENING, THE OPERATOR CAN, WITH A FEW KEY STROKES, IDENTIFY WHERE IN THE AUDIO RECORDING CERTAIN THINGS ARE IN THE AGENDA. I THINK THAT'S REALLY HELPFUL WHEN YOU WANT TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT DETAILS OF WHO SAID WHEN AND WHAT. I DON'T TRUST THE TRANSCRIPTION STUFF ALL THAT MUCH, AND IT SEEMS EXPENSIVE, AND I DOUBT IT'S REALLY NEEDED FOR MOST THINGS, BUT IT IS IMPORTANT TO HAVE THE PUBLIC RECORD AND THE VIDEOS BECAUSE IF YOU HAVE TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT SOMETHING, THAT IS IMPORTANT. BUT AN INDEX OF WHAT HAPPENED WHEN IS SUPER IMPORTANT, AND IT IS TECHNICALLY POSSIBLE TO DO SOMETHING. OBVIOUSLY THAT WOULD BE A WHOLE RESEARCH PROJECT. BUT I WOULD ENCOURAGE LOOKING INTO THAT, AND FREEING UP MARY'S TIME TO DO BETTER THINGS THAN THAT IS A GREAT THING TO DO. THAT'S MY OPINION. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, JIM, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE DISCUSSION OF THE MINUTES FOR ALL CITY MEETINGS. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. CHAMBERS ONE LAST TIME. SEEING NONE. ZOOM ONE LAST TIME. RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY OR WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. SEEING NO HANDS RAISED, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARY. WE'RE BACK WITH THE COUNCILMEMBERS FOR DISCUSSION OR COMMENTS. ANYONE ON THIS ITEM? ANY DISCUSSION? OKAY. THEN, OH, I DID HAVE, SO GOOD AHEAD COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, GO AHEAD.

OH, I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY, MARY KNOWS THIS. I USED TO LOVE THESE LONG MINUTES. WE TALKED ABOUT IT A LOT, AND I UNDERSTAND THE VALUE. BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND THE WORKLOAD, AND OVER TIME WE DO HAVE TO MOVE FROM ANALOG, YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO MOVE AHEAD, AND WE DO HAVE THE RECORD OF THE OFFICIAL MEETING IN VIDEO. I DO SUPPORT THREE YEARS. AND THEN THE ACTION MINUTES, A LOT OF PEOPLE USE ACTION MINUTE, AND I THINK WE REALLY NEED, I AGREE WITH THE CITY MANAGER, FREE UP MARY'S TIME, EVEN THOUGH I LOVE READING THEM. BUT WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO BE SUPPORTING IT, AND I JUST WANT TO THANK, BECAUSE MARY HAS DONE THIS FOR A LOT OF YEARS, THESE LONG MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR THAT. APPRECIATE IT. OKAY, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES, THANK YOU MAYOR. I WAS JUST GOING TO MOVE OPTION NUMBER 1 IN THE STAFF REPORT. I DON'T REALLY WANT TO READ IT ALL.

OKAY, I'LL CERTAINLY SECOND THAT. COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS, YOU'D LIKE TO KNOW WHAT OPTION 1 IS?

OH, YEAH, THAT WAS THE ONE STAFF RECOMMENDED.

MARY, COULD YOU READ IT?

YES, OPTION 1 IS APPROVING THE USE OF ACTION MINUTES FOR THE OFFICIAL MINUTES FOR CITY MEETINGS ATTACHING THE BIT OF RAW TEXT MINUTES AS INFORMATION ONLY TO THE ACTION MINUTES APPROVING THE CITY RECORDS RETENTION PROGRAM TO

RETAIN CITY VIDEOS ON THE CITY WEBSITE FOR THREE YEARS AS WELL AS MAINTAINING THE DVD MEDIA FOR THREE YEARS OR UNTIL SUCH TIME THE MEDIA TYPE IS NO LONGER AVAILABLE FOR SUPPORTED, WHICHEVER IS FIRST.

I THINK I SECONDED THAT.

YES.

AND COULD YOU PLEASE TAKE THE VOTE? OH, ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS?

I'D LIKE TO, UM, MAKE A PLEA FOR A FIVE-YEAR RETENTION, BUT I'M GUESSING I DON'T HAVE SUPPORT FOR THAT.

WELL LET'S SEE HOW THE VOTE, SO FIVE-YEAR, WE DO HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. CAN WE DO A THUMBS UP REGARDING FIVE YEARS? OKAY, IS ANYONE HERE IN SUPPORT OF A FIVE-YEAR RETENTION. OKAY, NO.

I JUST HAVE CONCERNS IT COULD GET EXPENSIVE STORING THAT STUFF.

OKAY, YEAH, BUT AT LEAST WE HAVE THE DISCUSSION. SO WAS THAT SUFFICIENT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? OKAY, YES, THANK YOU. THE VOTE, PLEASE.

THANK YOU. APPROVING THE USE OF ACTION MINUTES AS NOTED WITH THE RETENTION SCHEDULE TO BE CHANGED. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

AYE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS.

AYE.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

YES.

MAYOR RICH.

YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT DISCUSSION. AND NOW WE ARE ON TO, OH, DO WE NEED TO DO, TO MARY, DO WE NEED TO CALL FOR ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR THE SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO DID NOT COMMENT DURING THE FIRST PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS TIME, I'M GOING TO CHAMBERS, SEEING NONE. GOING TO ZOOM. RAISE YOUR HAND, UNMUTE YOUR PHONE. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARY GURLEY. WE'RE NOW AT ITEM NUMBER 16 WHICH IS THE CITY MANAGER AND/OR CITY CLERK REPORT, SO TURNING TO OUR CITY MANAGER.

THANK YOU. I'VE GOT A FEW ITEMS FOR YOU THIS EVENING. FIRST OF ALL JUST TO REITERATE THE THANKS TO PUBLIC WORKS, THE POLICE, AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING EFFORTS UNDER CHALLENGING CIRCUMSTANCES WITH THE STORM. WANT TO RECOGNIZE THEM PUBLICLY FOR REALLY GREAT EFFORTS, AND THIS MANY VOLUNTEERS REALLY HELPED US GET TRUCKS OUT TO HELP NEIGHBORING DISTRICTS AS WELL. KUDOS TO THEM. ON THE TRANSITION PLAN, WE'RE STILL FIGURING OUT OUR OPTIONS, SO WE'RE STILL TALKING TO DIFFERENT FOLKS. IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO FIND PLANNING MANAGERS THESE DAYS. I'VE HEARD THAT BEFORE COMING HERE, AND HEARD IT REPEATEDLY FROM FOLKS IN THE FIELD DAY-TO-DAY. SO WE ARE LOOKING AT OPTIONS, AND WE'LL HAVE MORE TO COME ON THAT. WE ALSO LAST NIGHT HELD A CLOSED SESSION REGARDING THE FIRE CHIEF POSITION, AND APPROVED AN OPENING IN RECRUITMENT. I THINK WE'VE ALL BEEN, EXCUSE ME, VERY PLEASED WITH CHIEF TODD DURHAM IN HIS MONTH OR SO WITH US, BUT RULES REQUIRE THAT WE CHANGE HOW WE'RE HIRING AND OPEN RECRUITMENT. I WANT TO ENSURE FOLKS THAT THEY SHOULD NOT READ ANYTHING INTO THAT DECISION AROUND CONSOLIDATION, YES OR NO, OR HOW THAT MIGHT WORK OUT. WE'RE STILL EVALUATING OPTIONS AND WILL REPORT OUT ON THAT WE ANTICIPATE THIS SPRING. THE COUNCIL HAS NOT MADE A DECISION ON THAT TOPIC. AND WE NEED A CHIEF NOW, AND, UM, WE ARE VERY WELL SERVED BY CHIEF DURHAM IN THE ROLE HE'S IN NOW. SO I'M PLEASED WE'LL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE WITH THAT WHILE WE OPEN THIS RECRUITMENT VERY SOON. THAT'S MY REPORT. HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY QUESTIONS FOR OUR CITY MANAGER? OKAY. AND OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER? NO REPORTS? OKAY. AND NOW WE ARE ON TO ITEM NUMBER

17, CITY COUNCIL REPORTS, COMMITTEE, AND SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS. I THINK I'LL JUST KIND OF GO DOWN THE LINE IF YOU'RE READY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. AND THE ONE COMMENT I HAVE. I NOTICED IN THE LAST MEETING I WENT THROUGH THIS LITANY, IT'S THE END OF THE MEETING AND WE'RE ALL TIRED, THIS LITANY OF ALL MY APPOINTMENTS. IT OCCURS TO ME WE PROBABLY DON'T NEED TO DO THAT. IF YOU FEEL COMPELLED TO DO THAT, YOU KNOW, JUST CALL OUT WHAT YOU THINK IS THE MOST ESSENTIAL AND MEANINGFUL POINTS PLEASE.

ON THE ECOLOGY AD HOC MEETING, WE MEET THIS THURSDAY AND I BELIEVE WE'LL HAVE A REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO BRING TO COUNCIL AT THE NEXT MEETING. THE ZERO WASTE GROUP CELEBRATED THE PROPERTY PURCHASE IN WINDSOR FOR THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE. I BELIEVE THE MAYOR ATTENDED THAT MEETING. THEY HAVE WONDERFUL PROJECTS THEY'RE CONTINUING WITH INCLUDING A FOOD RECOVERY PARTNERSHIP, AND THEY HAVE QUITE A LARGE GRANT TO DO THAT, AND THEY'RE REAPPLYING FOR THE GRANT. I MET WITH THE BOARD, UM, I MET WITH THE DIRECTOR, AND, UM, SOME OF THE PEOPLE THAT WORK WITH THE RUSSIAN RIVER WATER SHED, AND WE REVIEWED THE WORK PLAN AND BUDGET FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR, AND THEY HAVE A LOT OF GREAT PROGRAMS. THEY HAVE THIS MAP OF THE WATER SHED. THE POINT WAS THEY LOOK AT THE, THIS AREA WE LIVE IN IN TERMS OF THE WATER SHED, NOT JUST THE CITIES AND ROADS AS TYPICAL, AS PEOPLE TYPICALLY LOOK AT THE LAND AROUND HERE. SO THEY HAVE SEVERAL PROJECTS THAT THEY HAVE GOING ON. ON FEBRUARY 10th THEY HAVE THE STEEL HEAD FESTIVAL. THAT WILL BE IN GEYSERVILLE. THEY HAVE A SAFE DISPOSAL OF MEDICINE. YOU'RE NO LONGER ENCOURAGED TO POUR MEDICINE DOWN THE TOILET. YOU SHOULD NEVER PUT MEDICINE DOWN THE TOILET OR EVEN PUT IT IN A LANDFILL. THERE'S A SAFE DISPOSAL SITE, I BELIEVE IT'S AT THE POLICE STATION IN SEBASTOPOL, AND THEY HAVE A GREAT YOUTH EDUCATION PROGRAM. I DID ATTEND THE SONOMA COUNTY TOURISM BREAKFAST. I FOUND IT VERY INTERESTING, AND THEY DID A SURVEY OF PEOPLE WHO VISITED SONOMA COUNTY LAST YEAR, BUT DIDN'T REVISIT THIS YEAR, AND THEY WERE ASKED WHY. SO THE FIRST REASON WHY PEOPLE DIDN'T REVISIT WAS BECAUSE THEY WERE GOING TO OTHER PLACES. THE SECOND REASON WAS IT WASN'T REALLY VISIBLE OR IN THEIR AWARENESS. THE THIRD REASON WAS BECAUSE OF THE PROBLEMS IN SAN FRANCISCO. I THOUGHT THAT WAS REALLY INTERESTING. THE OTHER INTERESTING THING FROM THEM IS PEOPLE ARE LOOKING FOR MULTI-EXPERIENCES. FOOD, WINE PAIRING, AND MAYBE WELLNESS AND HEALING, NATURE. SO TRIPS THAT INCORPORATE A LOT OF THE WONDERFUL THINGS OUR AREA OFFERS. I WROTE TO THEM AND SAID TO MAKE SURE TO FEATURE AND INCLUDE THE ARTS. I WAS ABLE TO CONNECT MY POSITION AS A PRIMARY LIAISON WITH SEBASTOPOL ARTS AND CONNECT THEIR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WITH THE TOURISM BOARD BECAUSE THEY'RE STARTING TO DO A VIDEO OF THE ARTS IN SEBASTOPOL. THAT'S IT, THANK YOU.

VERY NICE. VICE MAYOR, YES, THAT WAS VERY ENGAGING, THANK YOU. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

I'LL TRY TO KEEP IT JUST AS ENGAGING. VERY EXCITED ON JANUARY 18th I ATTENDED MY FIRST CAL CITIES COMMUNITY SERVICES POLICY COMMITTEE. IT WAS AWESOME. THE CHAIR IS REMARKABLE. SHE SCHEDULED A FULL TIME DEALING WITH OUR ELDERLY AND THE FACT THEY ARE THE MOST GROWING POPULATION THAT END UP HOMELESS. AND AS A RESULT OF THAT, I LEARNED ABOUT SOME GRANTS, HAD A GREAT CONVERSATION WITH KATIE FROM THE SEBASTOPOL SENIOR CITY TO MAKE SURE SHE'S AWARE, WHICH OF COURSE SHE WAS ON IT AND ALREADY KNEW. LIBRARY MEETING. YES, WE CONTINUE TO HAVE MEETINGS ALONG WITH OUR AD HOC'S SO MAKE SURE WE GET THE INFORMATION OUT TO THE PUBLIC, AND FOR EVERYONE INTERESTED, IT IS NOT A COUNTY PROJECT. WE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BRICK AND MORTAR AND ALL OF THE MAINTENANCE. ALL THE COUNTY PEOPLE DO IS DUMP LIBRARY STAFF INTO THE BUILDING. AS A RESULT, BASED UPON EXPERT ADVICE FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER, WE'RE ACTUALLY REVIEWING THE LEASES THROUGH EVERY COUNTY LIBRARY TO FIGURE OUT WHO'S GETTING A GOOD DEAL AND WHO'S NOT GETTING A GOOD DEAL. JUST FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, SOME ENTITIES GOT THEIR HVAC FOR FREE. DID WE? DID WE HAVE TO INSTALL OUR SOLAR POWER? YES. ALL THOSE THINGS ADD UP. IN ADDITION TO THURSDAY NIGHT AND FILLING IN FOR THE MAYOR, I AM GOING TO OTHER



COLLEAGUES THEY NEED TO DIAL IN BECAUSE THE JPA, THE JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT COMES UP EVERY TEN YEARS FOR REVIEW. WE'RE GOING TO REVIEW IT AND MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT GETTING SOLD SHORT OR SOLD DOWN RIVERS. SO YES, I DID GO TO THE SENIOR CENTER BOARD MEETING. FABULOUS. LEARNED A LOT ABOUT OUR FINANCIAL SITUATION, BUT ALSO PUT A POSITIVE SPIN ON IT IN REFERENCE TO THE REIFD. ONE THING I'LL THROW IT THROUGH A COMMUNITY MEMBER, THE JEWISH FREE CLINIC DOES MONKEYPOX VACCINES, SO IF YOU KNOW PEOPLE STRUGGLING SEND THEM THERE FOR BASICALLY EVERYTHING. AND BECAUSE I WAS FILLING IN FOR COUNCILMEMBER HAMPTON AT THE SANTA ROSA PLANE GSA MEETING DEALING WITH SURFACE WATER -- SOMETHING WENT WRONG.

SORRY, I'VE GOTTEN TOO EXCITED AND TURNED MY PHONE ON. BUT HE REALLY BROKE IT DOWN, YOU PROBABLY KNOW HIM. HE CAN REALLY BREAK IT DOWN EVENLY. HE'S WILLING TO COME AND GIVE A PRESENTATION ABOUT HOW WE CAN HELP OUR CITIZENS REDUCE THEIR WATER AND SEWER RATES. ONE FUNDAMENTAL THING IS DON'T USE YOUR GARBAGE DISPOSAL BECAUSE IT TAKES WATER TO PUSH IT THROUGH. THAT WAS THE TAKE AWAY MESSAGE. ON JANUARY 27th I WAS VERY PLEASED TO WELCOME OUR UKRAINIAN DELEGATION. AWESOME GROUP. MET WITH THE GROUP, AND THERE'S ANOTHER DRAFT FLOATING AROUND ABOUT THE CEASEFIRE RESOLUTION. COFFEE WITH A COUNCILMEMBER WAS AWESOME. THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH FOR SETTING IT UP. I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE WE SAW. 20 PEOPLE? IT WAS AMAZING. THEY REALLY ASKED HARD QUESTIONS ACROSS EVERYTHING. INFRASTRUCTURE, PICKLE BALL, YOU NAME IT. HAD A WONDERFUL CONVERSATION AGAIN WITH MYRA OF THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE JUST TO DETERMINE WAYS WE COULD SUPPORT THEM BECAUSE AS WE KNOW ALL OUR BUSINESSES ARE STRUGGLING. SONOMA CLEAN POWER ATTENDED THAT MEETING. THEY CONTINUE TO WORK ON MAKING SURE THAT COMMUNITIES SUCH AS OURS WITH ELDERLY POPULATIONS REALLY UNDERSTAND THE PURPOSE OF IT AND HOW THEY CAN HELP THE ENVIRONMENT. CHILD CARE PLANNING COUNCIL, EXCELLENT. AGAIN, THEY HAD A LICENSING PERSON COME IN FROM THE STATE, AND SO IF YOU'RE WORRIED ABOUT THE LEVEL OF CARE THAT YOUR CHILDREN ARE RECEIVING, THAT WOULD BE GREAT. LASTLY, THE SCTA MEETING I WAS ABLE TO ATTEND. FABULOUS NEWS. NEW LEADERSHIP. LINDA HOPKINS AS THE NEW CHAIR AND MELANIE BAGBY AS VICE CHAIR. I SPECIFICALLY ASKED THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WHAT DO WE GET OUT OF THIS? WHAT DO I TELL THE SEBASTOPOL PEOPLE? HE SAID I'LL SEND YOU AN E-MAIL SO WE CAN GET MORE GRANT INFORMATION. THAT'S IT.

THAT WAS FABULOUS. EVEN THE WHATEVER YOUR PHONE HAPPENED TO SAY. SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, GOOD LUCK FOLLOWING THOSE TWO.

I JUST HAVE TO THANK COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN, VICE MAYOR FOR COVERING ME. I'VE BEEN ON VACATION, SO I REALLY APPRECIATE THE HELP ON THOSE TWO COMMITTEES. I REALLY AM JUST SLACKING IN THE WARMTH IN COSTA RICA. NOTHING TO REPORT.

OKAY, COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS.

OKAY, SO I THINK COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ALREADY, WE DID DO SUBCOMMITTEE. OH, YEAH, YEAH. SO I DID THE COUPLE MEETINGS, AND WE'LL BE COME BACK WITH SOMETHING SOON. IT'S BEEN A LONG PROCESS. ALSO PRETTY MUCH SPENDING MY TIME ON FIRE BETWEEN AD HOC COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MEETINGS WITH INDIVIDUAL FIREFIGHTERS. DID WANT TO GIVE THEM A SHOUT OUT ON ALL THE WORK THEY DID DURING THAT WIND STORM. I TURNED ON THE SCANNER AT ONE POINT AND ACTUALLY RECOGNIZED THE VOICES I HEARD. AND IT WAS LATE AT NIGHT. I JUST APPRECIATE THE WORK THEY DID WHILE WE WERE ALL SITTING INSIDE DRY, AND IT WAS DEFINITELY, I JUST APPRECIATE THEIR WORK. I ALSO ATTENDED THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE BOARD MEETING. AND TRYING TO THINK, ALSO THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE, I ATTENDED THAT MEETING A FEW WEEKS AGO. JUST GOT A FEW UPDATES. IT WAS A PRETTY QUICK MEETING. ALSO ATTENDED THE SONOMA COUNTY TOURISM AS WELL. THE POINTS YOU MADE WERE, YEAH, THEY WERE A LITTLE CONCERNED WITH THE DROP IN TOURISM OVER THE LAST YEAR, AND THE CONCERN WITH WHAT'S HAPPENING IN OUR NEIGHBORING CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO WAS HAPPENING LAST YEAR AS WELL. IT'S A CONTINUED CHALLENGE THEY'RE DEALING WITH IN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DEAL WITH THAT. BUT THEIR BIGGEST CONCENTRATION IS TRYING TO DRAW MORE PEOPLE INTO SONOMA COUNTY

DURING THE WEEK. SO LIKE TUESDAY THROUGH THURSDAY, HOW DO YOU GET PEOPLE HERE. THAT'S PROBABLY THE BIGGEST CHALLENGE. I'M HOPING DOWN THE ROAD WE CAN SPEND MORE TIME ON TALKING ABOUT THOSE THINGS BECAUSE THAT HELPS BRING REVENUE INTO US. THAT'S PRETTY MUCH THE REPORT I HAD.

AWESOME. OKAY, WHAT AN ACTIVE CITY COUNCIL. SO SUMMARIZING, I THINK WE'RE TALKING SINCE JANUARY 17th. A LOT OF WORK ON WARMING CENT PLANNING, COLLABORATING WITH THE CITY MANAGER AND THE CITY ATTORNEY AND THOSE EFFORTS HAVE GONE FORWARD. OUR CITY ATTORNEY HAS THE CONTRACTS THAT WILL BE FINALIZED WITH THE OPERATOR FS GLOBAL SOLUTIONS AND WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER HAVING MADE THEIR ANNEX SPACE AVAILABLE FOR FREE FOR THAT USE. A LOT OF EFFORT HAS BEEN PUT INTO, NO SURPRISE HERE, HORIZON SHINE VILLAGE TRANSITION. THERE'S BEEN MULTIPLE MEETS IN MULTIPLE SETTINGS IN ORDER TO MOVE THAT FORWARD. THE EIFD, THERE'S A WRITTEN RECORD IN THE PACKET. I WON'T GO OVER THAT. BUT THE COUNTY, THE UPDATE IS THE COUNTY IS NOW MOVING FORWARD WITH THEIR PROCESS IN TERMS OF SETTING A POLICY FOR WHAT THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO CONTRIBUTE TO AND WHAT THEY'D EXPECT IN RETURN. SO THAT PROCESS IS GOING FORWARD. I ALSO PARTICIPATED WITH THE CITY MANAGER ON DISCUSSIONS RELATED TO THE POOL CONTRACT, AND I THANK THE CITY MANAGER FOR HIS DEFT WORK THERE AND COUNCILMEMBER HINTON'S PARTICIPATION WITH SUGGESTIONS. THE UKIAH DELEGATION WAS FABULOUS. REALLY INSPIRING. THAT WAS REALLY GREAT. LOVED BEING A PART OF THAT. THANKS TO COUNCILMEMBER MCLEWIS FOR FORWARDING THE INFORMATION, WHICH WE DIDN'T HAVE HANDY. THAT REALLY HELPED FACILITATE OUR ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THOSE EVENTS. AND THEN SOME WORK WITH ZERO WASTE SONOMA GOING TO THE HAZARDOUS WASTE OPEN HOUSE AND ALSO WITH THE ZERO WASTE WORKING GROUP CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE PARTICIPATING WITH COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO PROVIDE SOME STEERING COMMITTEE SUPPORT TO THAT LEADERSHIP. THEY'RE FABULOUS. AND FINALLY I'LL CLOSE WITH COFFEE WITH A COUNCILMEMBER JUST TO PUT IN, HE DIDN'T MENTION THIS, BUT THE NEXT ONE IS WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 28th AT BREW BROTHERS COFFEE RIGHT OVER BY HOLE IN THE WALL AT 10:00 A.M., AND IT WILL BE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN THERE LEADING THE CONVERSATION. THEN AFTER THAT IT'S BASICALLY THE LAST WEDNESDAY OF EACH MONTH FROM 10:00 TO 11:30, AND THERE IS A FLYER THAT WILL BE POSTED AT ALL THE LOCATIONS. BUT IT'S GOING AROUND TO VARIOUS COFFEE SHOPS. THAT IS ALL I HAVE TO SAY. I THINK NOW WE CAN, UNLESS ANY QUESTIONS? NO. ALL RIGHT, WELL OUR FINAL ITEM, WELL NOT FINAL, BUT OUR NEXT ITEM IS WE MENTIONED THE CITY COUNCIL, THE EIFD REPORT, THAT EMBEDDED WITHIN THE CITY COUNCIL REPORTS IS THE CITY COUNCIL LIAISON TO THE UNHOUSED REPORT. I'LL JUST MENTION THAT'S ATTACHED, IT'S PART OF THE PACKET. TALKED ABOUT WARMING CENTERS. PLANNING DIRECTOR, ANYTHING YOU NEED TO SAY ON THE WRITTEN REPORT? OKAY, GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THEN FINALLY COUNCILMEMBER COMMUNICATIONS. ANYTHING YOU WANT TO ADD ON ITEM 18? NO. AND 19, FUTURE CITY MEETING DATES. WHAT ARE WE, WE'VE NEVER GOTTEN TO THIS POINT. WHAT ARE WE SUPPOSED TO STAY ON THE FUTURE CITY MEETING DATES ITEM, MARY?

THAT THEY'RE ON THE CITY WEBSITE.

OKAY! THANK YOU VERY MUCH. FORMER MAYOR HINTON WAS NUDGING ME HERE. OKAY, SO I'M JUST REALLY EXCITED WE GOT THROUGH THIS, AND IT'S 10:27! ALL RIGHT, ANYTHING BEFORE WE ADJOURN THIS MEETING? NO? ALL RIGHT, MEETING ADJOURNED. [ EVENT CONCLUDED ] [Event Concluded]