

IF WE CAN HAVE SOMEBODY FROM THE SCTA COME UP , I WOULD SAY THAT I AGREE THAT I DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION HERE. I DO NOT FEEL WELL ENOUGH INFORMED TO APPROVE THIS PROJECT AND BASICALLY, PUT IT OUT IN THE PUBLIC AS REPRESENTING THE OPINIONS AND PERSPECTIVE OF THE COMMUNITY. I WILL SAY ON THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH IT. FROM THOSE THAT ARE PRESENT TONIGHT, I HAVE HEARD MUCH CRITICISM AND CONCERN FROM OTHERS THAT DON'T HAPPEN TO BE SPEAKING TONIGHT. PROPERTY OWNERS ON THE --TRAIL, I KNOW ONE IN PARTICULAR WHO WILL ABSOLUTELY NOT ALLOW THIS TO GO ACROSS PROPERTY AND SHE HAS NOW BEEN RE-APPROACHED. I KNOW THAT WE HAVE CONCERNS AND I ALSO KNOW THAT THE CEMETERY IS UP FOR SALE SO THERE ARE A LOT OF QUESTION MARK THAT MAKE ME ANXIOUS AS A COUNCIL MEMBER ABOUT THIS COUNSEL PUTTING OUT THERE APPROVAL FOR A TEMPLATE FOR A TRAIL THEN COMING BACK AND SAYING, HOLD ON A MINUTE . YOU DIDN'T ASK ME WHAT I THOUGHT. BUT, NANCY FARMER, SHE IS NOT GOING TO APPROVE SOMEBODY GOING ACROSS FOR PROPERTY. MAYBE WE CAN GET THE QUESTION ANSWERED. >> IN TERMS OF THE DEADLINE FOR ADOPTING THE PLAN, IT IS RELATED TO THE GRANT. SCTA GOT A CALTRANS SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY GRANT. AND, THAT DOES REQUIRE ADOPTION OF THE PLAN BY THE END OF FEBRUARY AND, I AM ALSO HAPPY TO ADDRESS A COUPLE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT CAME UP ABOUT FUNDING AND PRIORITIZATION.

OKAY . GO AHEAD.

I JOTTED DOWN A LOT OF THE QUESTIONS THAT CAME UP AND THERE WERE A FEW THINGS THAT WE HAVE HEARD ALREADY. ALL THE WAY THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND SOME OF THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT WE ARE WORKING ON PLANS WITH REGARDING HAVING MORE INFORMATION ABOUT FUNDING AND GUIDANCE FOR THE CITIES TO APPLY FOR FUNDING. WE ARE WORKING ON DRAFTING LANGUAGE FOR THAT AND POINTING TO THE LONGER DESCRIPTIONS. THE FUNDING SOURCES AVAILABLE THAT ARE AVAILABLE. SO, THAT IS FORTHCOMING. WE DO PLAN TO INCLUDE THE PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA AND PRIORITIZE LISTS OF PROJECTS AND APPENDICES SO, THAT WILL BE ABLE TO SHOW THE DETAILS AND WITHIN EACH TIER HOW PROJECTS SCORED . IT CAN GIVE STAFF FLEXIBILITY AS PROJECTS COME UP BUT, WE ARE TRYING TO IDENTIFY THOSE THAT MEET THE GOALS OF THE PLAN AND CRITERIA THAT IS OFTEN USED IN GRANT APPLICATIONS. >> OKAY.
COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT BRINGING THE TRAILS AND THE POINTS THAT WERE MADE WE HAVE HEARD A LOT OF DIFFERENT INFORMATION BUT HOW DO WE GO ABOUT HAVING JUST A FEASIBILITY STUDY? WE NEVER REALLY DETERMINE THE FEASIBILITY OF THE ALTERNATE ROUTES. WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR THAT? THAT IS THE BIGGEST QUESTION I THINK WE ALL FEEL. DOES ANYONE HAVE AN ANSWER FOR THAT?

SO THE QUESTION COMING UP IS LOOKING AT THE TRAILS AND WHAT OPPORTUNITIES DO WE HAVE TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN A TIMELY FASHION THAT WOULD ALLOW THE FEBRUARY 2025 DATE TO BE MET.

FOR THIS TO MOVE FROM ASPIRATIONAL, , I WOULD DEFER TO STAFF ON HOW , IF THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.

I THINK IF THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY , EMBEDDED IN THE ANSWER IS NO WITHOUT THE TIMELINE. IS THAT IT?

I THINK A FEASIBILITY STUDIES SOUNDS TO ME, I KNOW WE HAVE THESE TRAILS -- WHICH IS OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE OF THIS. OF THIS PLAN.

DO YOU THINK GIVEN THE LIMITED INFORMATION THAT WE ALREADY HAVE ABOUT THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, FOR INSTANCE, THAT THERE ARE PROPERTY OWNERS THAT ARE OPPOSED TO HAVING THIS TRAIL GO OVER THERE PROPERTY? DO WE HAVE THE POSSIBILITY --HOW STRONG IS OUR ARGUMENT FOR MONEY TO PURSUE A FEASIBILITY STUDY?

I THINK THAT THERE IS DEFINITELY COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR IT. THAT IS A HUGE PIECE TO GO AFTER.

OKAY. WOULD MOVING THESE TRAILS TO THE MAIN LIST WITH SOME SORT OF FEASIBILITY STUDY CATEGORY, WHEN IN FACT, WOULD THAT CHANGE THE POSSIBILITY OF GRANT MONEY?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT -- I AM NOT SURE IF IT WOULD MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE OR NOT. >> I'M SORRY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, GO AHEAD.

I JUST WANT TO ASK ABOUT A FEASIBILITY STUDY. MY ASSUMPTION IS THAT WHEN YOU DO A FEASIBILITY STUDY YOU ARE ACTUALLY LOOKING AT PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS AND WHETHER OR NOT IT CAN BE WORKED THROUGH OR NOT. DO YOU THINK THAT IS ACCURATE? >> I WOULD SAY YES.

OKAY. I AM LOOKING AT THE TIME AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ITEMS STILL REMAINING. WE WILL JUST HAVE TO WAIT. I UNDERSTAND BUT PLEASE, WAIT A MINUTE. WE NEED TO COME TO SOME CONCLUSION ON THIS ITEM. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

IF WE CAN --IN A TIMELY FASHION BRING FORTH THE PROCESS AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS FROM ALL OF THE COLLEAGUES AND IF IT WAS CLEAR, I WOULD WANT THOSE TRAILS . SO I AM LEAVING IT TO THE STAFF TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE WILL GET QUESTIONS ANSWERED AND HEADING THIS AGENDA IS TO SO THAT WE CAN GET THIS DONE BY FEBRUARY.

I THINK AN APPROACH WOULD BE TO MAKE SURE THAT STAFF UNDERSTANDS THE ITEMS THAT ARE STILL QUESTIONS FOR THE COUNCIL AND THEN REQUEST THE STAFF AGENDA DIES, THIS ITEM TO RETURN TO THE COUNCIL IN A TIMELY FASHION TO MEET THE END OF FEBRUARY DEADLINE SO THAT WOULD BE ONE APPROACH. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT INCLUDING MORE INFORMATION ABOUT GETTING THE TRAILS ON -- I-GEN HERE SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE.

THAT IS WHAT I MEANT. I TOO WANT TO SEE THIS UP OUT OF ASPIRATIONAL INTO SOME TIER BUT ALSO ANSWER ALL OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE.

I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT AT THIS POINT, I PERSONALLY, AS A COUNCIL MEMBER DO NOT FEEL THAT I HAVE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRAILS TO SAY THAT WHEN I MEET PEOPLE IN THE GROCERY STORE OR ELSEWHERE THAT I WAS FULLY INFORMED AND THESE TRAILS ARE WITH OUR --.

IF I RECALL CORRECTLY SOME OF THIS GOES THROUGH COUNTY, RIGHT?

THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, CORRECT.

IN MY MIND THAT IS ANOTHER WAY THAT WE CAN PARTNER WITH THE COUNTY.

CAN WE MAYBE GO THROUGH AND JUST LIST -- ALEX, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING? MAYBE WE CAN JUST LIST THE ITEMS OF INFORMATION THAT WE FEEL WE NEED AS A COUNSEL. I AM HEARING A CLEAR REQUEST THAT WE UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS FOR MOVING THE APPLE BLOSSOM AND -- TRAIL INTO THE PRIMARY LIST IN SOME FASHION AND THE SECOND QUESTION IS THAT WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE IMPLICATIONS OF MAKING THAT TRAIL A PRIORITY IN SOME WAY WHICH I THINK IS A SEPARATE QUESTION. THE THIRD REQUEST IS THAT WE HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE TRAILS THAT WERE INCLUDED ARE PART OF THE PROJECTS. I ALSO REQUEST THAT WE UNDERSTAND HOW THE RANKINGS AND RATINGS WERE ARRIVED AT AND THAT WE UNDERSTAND WHAT GRANT OPPORTUNITIES ARE MOST LIKELY TO BE AVAILABLE FOR THESE PROJECTS. ARE THERE ANY OTHER -- COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

THERE IS A CONSULTANT RUNNING THIS PROCESS AND THIS IS A COUNTY GRANT. EVEN IF THERE IS ENOUGH SUPPORT FROM THIS COUNSEL TO SAY THAT WE WANT THE TRAILS TO BE TIERED UP . DO WE HAVE THE POWER? THIS IS NOT OUR STUDY. WE ARE NOT THE CONSULTANTS AND WE ARE HEARING THAT THEY LIKE THE TRAILS BUT, DO WE EVEN HAVE THE POWER? AND, MAYBE YOU CANNOT ANSWER THAT RIGHT NOW.

YOU MAY JUST BE LOOKING FOR OUR SUPPORT IF YOU HAVE AN ANSWER THOUGH, FEEL FREE.

I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER BUT I THINK THERE WOULD BE DISCUSSION WITH STAFF ON HOW THAT COULD HAPPEN.

OKAY. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. >> I THINK THE LIST IS GOOD THAT I JUST WANT TO -- LIKE , WHO MAKES THE FINAL CALL OF WHAT GETS IN THE FINAL DRAFT? IS IT US? IS IT STAFF? DO WE DEFER TO THE GENERAL MANAGER? I WOULD REALLY LIKE AN ANSWER. >> I HAVE A SIMILAR QUESTION.

I JUST WANT SOMETHING IN BLACK AND WHITE.

THE FINAL ITEM OF INFORMATION THAT WAS NOT ON THE LIST THAT I TRIED TO SUMMARIZE WAS THE POINT RAISED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON ABOUT SIDEWALKS. I THINK WE ARE HOPING TO SEE SIDEWALKS PRIORITIZED. I THINK THAT WAS THE LIST OF INFORMATION. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, DID I MISS ANYTHING?

I AM JUST A LITTLE CONFUSED BECAUSE I THOUGHT YOU WERE BRINGING THIS TO US FOR OUR FEEDBACK AND WHAT I HEARD IS THAT THREE OF US WANT TO PRIORITIZE THESE TRAILS. SO, WHY CAN'T WE DO THAT?

WE CAN GO AHEAD. FEEDBACK WAS REQUESTED AND I SUPPOSE WE COULD GO ON WITH EACH OF THESE BUT, IF THERE ARE COUNCILMEMBERS THAT NEED MORE INFORMATION WE ARE PUTTING IT FORWARD TO STAFF TO TRY TO GET MORE INFORMATION. WE COULD GET THROUGH AND DO THUMBS UP AND THUMBS DOWN AND GET THROUGH THE MAJORITY OF THESE ITEMS BUT THAT SEEMS A LITTLE OFF WORD. BUT THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL AND PRIORITIZING IT HAS BEEN A MAJOR POINT OF DISCUSSION SO I AM HAPPY TO ASK COUNCILMEMBERS TO GIVE A THUMBS UP AND A THUMBS DOWN ON DECIDING TODAY WHETHER THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL AND THE GRAVENSTEIN TRAIL SHOULD BE MOVED TO THE PRIMARY LIST. SO WE CAN GO AHEAD AND DO THAT AND STAFF CAN COME BACK WITH MORE INFORMATION. DO WE WANT TO DO THAT?

I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO DECIDE SO I WILL STAY NEUTRAL ON THAT.

THAT WOULD BE MY POSITION. SO, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS .

I WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW QUICKLY WE CAN GET THIS INFORMATION. I DON'T WANT THIS TO LANGUISH TO THE WAYSIDE AND WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE STILL IN A YEAR. THAT IS MY CONCERN. IF WE DON'T DO SOMETHING. >> IT IS NOW THE END OF NOVEMBER AND THE HOLIDAYS ARE COMING. THERE IS A DEADLINE AT THE END OF FEBRUARY. WILL STAFF BE ABLE TO GET INFORMATION BACK TO THE COUNCIL ON THESE TOPICS, IN PARTICULAR, APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL AND GRAVENSTEIN TRAIL , THAT WE NEED TO MAKE A DECISION ON. >> CAN WE GET A DATE BEYOND FEBRUARY WITH WHEN WE NEED TO TAKE ACTION?

WHAT IS THE DROPDEAD DATE? >> THE GRANITE WORK NEEDS TO BE DONE BY THE END OF FEBRUARY. WE MAY BE ABLE TO MAKE SOME ADJUSTMENT TO THAT BUT A DELIVERABLE FOR --BEFORE THE GRANT IS ADOPTED PLAN AND WE MAY BE ABLE TO MAKE SOME ADJUSTMENT TO THAT. IF THERE ARE CIRCUMSTANCES.

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE DROPDEAD DATE IS. >> FEBRUARY 28. >> SO AS LONG AS WE TAKE ACTION BEFORE FEBRUARY 28 AT MIDNIGHT WE ARE GOOD?

YES.

AND IS FEBRUARY 28 WHEN IT NEEDS TO BE FINAL? BECAUSE I IMAGINE IF WE REQUEST CHANGES WE WILL HAVE TO TAKE SOME TIME WITH THIS.

ONE EXAMPLE IS WHAT WE DID WITH THE CITY OF --. COUNSEL HAD SOME INPUT AND THERE WERE UPDATES DONE AFTER ADOPTION ON THAT INCLUDED A HANDFUL OF CHANGES. I THINK THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT AND I THINK WE HAVE A GRACE PERIOD AFTER THE GRANT WORK IS TO BE DONE.

I NOTICED IN THE SLIDES THAT THE PLAN IS TO BRING A FINAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL BY THE END OF FEBRUARY. IS THERE A DATE WHEN YOU WERE PLANNING ON COMING BACK TO CITY COUNCIL?

THAT IS TO BE SCHEDULED YET. >> SO I THINK WE HAVE OUR DEADLINE, FEBRUARY 28. DOES STAFF FEEL THAT THE GET CAN GET THE INFORMATION THAT THE COUNCIL HAS REQUESTED? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I FEEL LIKE WE HAVE SPENT SO MUCH TIME ON THIS. IT NEEDS TO BE TAKEN CARE OF. THAT IS JUST WHAT I AM THINKING. WE HAVE SPENT ALL OF THIS TIME ON IT AND WE ALL HAVE SOME SORT OF AGREEMENT HERE SO OBVIOUSLY -- >> WE HOPE TO HAVE AT THE NEXT MEETING A CONTRACT PLANNED OUT FOR A NEW COMMUNITY DEVELOP DIRECTORY AND THIS WOULD BE VERY HIGH ON THE PRIORITY LIST WHEN WE GET THIS DONE FOR THE COUNCIL TO HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED AND THE TIME TO MEET THE PROJECT REQUIREMENTS AND DEADLINES. >> THIS STRIKES ME AS ONE OF THE PROJECTS THAT MAY BE, DO WE NEED AN AD HOC TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS GETS DONE? I SEE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON SAYING NO . OKAY.

I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE AN AD HOC FOR THIS. >> WE ARE GOING TO ASSUME THAT YOU ARE VOLUNTEERING.

YES.

WE SEE THAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, HE WILL BE MAYOR .

SO, ALL RIGHT , WE HAVE GIVEN DIRECTION TO STAFF AND STAFF WILL DO THEIR BEST. CITY MANAGER, ANYTHING TO SAY?

I THINK AN AD HOC CREATES MORE MEETINGS AND PREPARATION THAT WILL SLOW THINGS DOWN. WE WILL DO EVERYTHING THAT WE CAN TO MAKE SURE THAT WE COMPLY WITH THE DEADLINE. >> I WILL PUSH BACK ON THE QUALITY OF AN AD HOC BUT WE HAVE HEARD FROM THE CITY MANAGER. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? >> [INDISCERNABLE] I WOULD ASK THAT YOU INCORPORATE THAT LEADING UP TO THE END OF FEBRUARY MEETING. SO THAT WE CAN ALL KIND OF WEIGH-IN.

SO YOU MEAN UPDATES TO THE COUNCIL AS THIS PROGRESSES? >> SO INCLUDED IN THE REGULAR MEETING IS SUFFICIENT?

OKAY.

THANK YOU FOR THIS AMAZING PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT . IF YOU DID NOT GET ANY QUESTIONS ANSWERED, PLEASE EMAIL . JOHN JAY IS THE ASSOCIATE PLANNER SO THAT WOULD BE HIS FIRST INITIAL AND LAST NAME TO CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.GOV. IF YOU DO EMAIL HIM OR ANYBODY ON THE COUNCIL AND YOU WOULD LIKE IT TO BE PUBLIC COMMENT, BE SURE TO MAKE THAT CLEAR SO WE WILL CLOSE OUT THIS ITEM AND THANK YOU TO THE PUBLIC FOR THEIR PARTICIPATION AND EVERYBODY THAT HAS BEEN HERE. WE WILL NOW MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER 13, WHICH IS THE ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT FINDINGS. AFTER THIS WE HAVE THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBOR PRESENTATION AND NUMBER 15, APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION. AND I ASSUME THAT ITEM NUMBER --WILL NEED TO BE MOVED TO NEXT MONTH? WE COULD BRING ITEM NUMBER 15 TO THE COUNCIL TO GET IT TAKEN CARE OF. I EXPECT IT WILL BE A BRIEF ITEM. IS STAFF SUPPORTIVE OF THAT CHANGE? >> STAFF WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF THAT.

AND WE DO HAVE OUR HUMAN RESOURCES CONSULTANT PRESENT. SHE WAS PRESENT. SO, LET'S GET THAT DONE RIGHT AWAY. IF SHE DOES NOT COME BACK TO THE ROOM WE WILL JUST MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM. WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A QUICK BREAK. PLEASE DO NOT LEAVE THE TABLE UNLESS YOU FEEL THE NEED TO TAKE A -- BREAK. WE NEED TO MOVE ON AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. TO THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT I BELIEVE ARE HERE FOR THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBOR PRESENTATION, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.

WE ARE CALLING THIS MEETING BACK TO ORDER AFTER A BRIEF BREAK. THIS ITEM THAT WE ARE PULLING UP NOW IS AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 15, CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL APPOINTING MARSHA RAINES, RETIRED SPECIAL PROJECT. DO YOU NEED TO SEE -- SAY ANYTHING BEFORE WE TURN IT OVER TO DEBORAH ?

OF THIS ITEM IS TO BRING ON BOARD MARSHA RAINES TO PROVIDE EXECUTIVE COACHING SERVICES. MARSHA IS A HIGHLY DECORATED AND EXPERIENCED CITY MANAGER . SHE HAS A GREAT DEAL OF EXPERIENCE IN MUNICIPAL LEADERSHIP. SO, SHE HAS A MASTERS DEGREE IN HOMELAND SECURITY, A BACHELORS IN URBAN PLANNING AND SHE HAS BEEN PART OF THE CALIFORNIA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND SERVES ON THE --ORIGINAL TRUST

SO, I AM GOING TO INTERRUPT YOU AND WE HAVE READ THE STAFF REPORT AND WE ARE AWARE OF HER STATUS. WHAT IS THE ACTION?

WE WANT TO PROPOSE A RESOLUTION CONSIDERING HER BEING APPOINTED ANNUITANT SPECIAL PROJECTS.

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEM NUMBER 15. THE HIRING OF A RETIRED ANNUITANT. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM . GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ZOOM?

SHE HAS A VERY IMPRESSIVE RESUME AND I AM SURE SHE IS VERY NICE, BUT CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT SHE WILL BE DOING? AND ALSO, I NEVER DID GET MY QUESTION ANSWERED ABOUT HOW MUCH MORE --WILL GET PAID.

THANK YOU FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. >> WE ARE BACK AT COUNSEL TABLE AND WE ARE CONSIDERING THIS ITEM . ANY DISCUSSION? OKAY, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

MOVE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL APPOINTING MARCIA RAINES , RETIRED ANNUITANT SPECIAL PROJECT .

SECOND. >> PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. >> TO REITERATE WHAT WAS PRESENTED, THIS WOULD BE AN INDIVIDUAL TO PROVIDE EXECUTIVE COACHING AND THAT ANSWERS THAT QUESTION. AND IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNT IN THE BUDGET FOR THE SERVICES I DON'T THINK WE HAVE THAT READILY AVAILABLE BUT THAT PERSON CAN REACH OUT TO CITY HALL TO GET THE INFORMATION AND I BELIEVE THE PERSON THAT ANSWERED THE QUESTION MAY NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET SO HOW WOULD THAT PERSON REACH YOU?

SHE COULD CALL CITY HALL AND THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT CAN ANSWER THAT.

WHAT IS THE NUMBER AT CITY HALL? >> ALL RIGHT . >> WE KNOW WILL GO BACK TO THE ORIGINAL ORDER. WE ARE GOING TO ADDRESS ITEM NUMBER 13 THEN WE HOPE TO GET TO ITEM NUMBER 14. ITEM NUMBER 13 IS THE ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS FINDINGS AND NEXT STEPS AND I BELIEVE THAT IS BEING PRESENTED BY PLANNING IN COMBINATION WITH THE EIFD AD HOC. I ASSUME WE HAVE THE CONSULTANT ONLINE?

YES. THIS PRESENTATION WILL BE CONDUCTED BY JOE --.

JOE, LET'S HOPE YOU CAN DO A 10 MINUTE PRESENTATION FOR US. IF YOU ARE THERE. THERE HE IS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. I AM WITH KOSMONT . I WILL BE SHARING A PRESENTATION, THIS IS THE SAME SLIDE DECK IN THE STAFF REPORT . THE DISCUSSION BEGAN IN NOVEMBER 2023 ABOUT THIS TOOL, THIS ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT. THE EIFD AD HOC WAS FORMED THEN. ALL OF THE WORK BY KOSMONT WAS SECURED BY -- HOPKINS. THE CONDITIONS OF THE FUNDING WERE THAT THE ANALYSIS INCLUDE AREAS BOTH WITHIN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AS WELL AS UNINCORPORATED WEST COUNTY. THERE WAS A COMPETITIVE PROCESS AND WE WERE SELECT IT. THREE PRIMARY COMPONENTS OF OUR ANALYSIS FOR THIS DISTRICT ARE BOUNDARY ALTERNATIVES. WE LOOK AT DIFFERENT WAYS TO DRAW THE FINANCIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARY . THE SECOND BULLET POINT, REVENUE ALLOCATION SCENARIOS. WHEN WE DRAW A BOUNDARY FOR THIS DISTRICT WE ARE ALMOST SAYING, LIKE A SAVINGS ACCOUNT, A PORTION OF THE FUTURE

PROPERTY TAX DOLLARS AVAILABLE TO THE CITY WOULD BE SET ASIDE IN A RESTRICTED FUND. THEY WOULD BE USED FOR CITY PROJECTS BUT THEY WOULD BE SEGREGATED FROM THE GENERAL FUND INTO A RESTRICTED FUND AND THE IDEA IS TO HAVE THE COUNTY AS THE MATCH TO THAT. VARIOUS SCENARIOS WERE EVALUATED. MULTIPLE SCENARIOS WERE MATCHED. THE THIRD PIECE OF THE ANALYSIS WAS WHAT SORTS OF THINGS MIGHT BE FUNDED WITH SUCH A TOOL AND WAS SOLICITED A VARIETY OF WAYS. THE LAST TIME WE WERE TOGETHER WITH THIS FULL CITY COUNCIL WAS SEPTEMBER 3 AND WE LOOKED AT WHAT TYPES OF PROJECTS SHOULD BE ON THE LIST TO BE CONSIDERED AND KOSMONT DID IS AN EXERCISE IN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA WITH JOINT ADVISORY COMMITTEES. PRIMARY FINDINGS FROM THE ANALYSIS. ON THE TOPIC OF EIFD BOUNDARIES, WE ARE SUGGESTING TWO POTENTIAL EIFD . AS OPPOSED TO A SINGLE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN THAT WOULD HAVE JURISDICTION WITHIN THE CITY AND OUTSIDE OF THE CITY, WE AT KOSMONT ARE SUGGESTING TWO SEPARATE EIFD'S. ONE WITHIN THE CITY THAT STANDS ON ITS OWN SO IT IS CLEAR THAT THE DOLLARS WOULD BE SPENT WITHIN THE CITY. THE BOUNDARY WITHIN THE CITY WOULD ACHIEVE FUNDING ALLOCATION FROM BOTH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. THAT WOULD BE THE GOAL. AND IT WOULD NOT BE THE ENTIRE CITY. IT WOULD BE A FOCUSED CORRIDOR WITHIN THE CITY AND I WILL EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR THAT. SEPARATE FROM THAT WOULD BE A LARGER UNINCORPORATED WEST COUNTY AREA THAT WOULD BE ON ITS OWN. THE CITY WOULD HAVE NO FINANCIAL OBLIGATION AND THAT WOULD BE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRIORITIZE FUNDING . THE SECOND ITEM, LETTER -- B . WE LOOKED AT SCENARIOS FROM NOT PARTICIPATING AT ALL IN ONE OF THESE FINANCIAL DISTRICTS TO ALLOCATING ALL THE PROPERTY TAX WITHIN DIFFERENT AREAS AND ADDITIONALLY, KOSMONT IS SUGGESTING THAT YOU ONLY CONSIDER A SCENARIO WHERE YOU WOULD CHOOSE A COUNTY DOLLAR MATCH. THAT YOU ONLY DO THIS WHEN YOU ARE GETTING SOMEBODY ELSE'S MONEY TO MATCH YOURS. THAT IS WHAT WE WOULD ENCOURAGE THE CITY TO DO WHERE THE COUNTY IS THE EMPLOYER. NOT ONLY THAT BUT IT WOULD HAVE TO BE A LEVEL THAT THE CITY CAN AFFORD SO WHEN THE FOCUS, WE WOULD -- NOT ALL OF IT BUT SOMETHING LIKE A QUARTER OF THE FUTURE PROPERTY TAX WITHIN THE FOCUS BOUNDARY THAN THE COUNTY WOULD MATCH THAT. SO TWO PRIORITY FINDINGS ARE COUNTY DOLLAR MATCH AT A LEVEL THAT IS FISCALLY SUSTAINABLE AND OUTSIDE OF THE CITY BOUNDARY, THAT WOULD NOT BE OUR BUSINESS. THE COUNTY CAN ELECT TO ALLOCATE WHATEVER IT WANTS TO ALLOCATE IN THAT EIFD AND C, THE TARGETED PROJECTS WE WOULD SUGGEST, WE FOCUS ON AN INCLUSIVE LIST AND WE ENCOMPASS THE CATEGORIES REFLECTED IN OUTREACH THUS FAR AND RATHER THAN TRYING TO GET THE LIST EXACTLY RIGHT, THE EXACT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS AT THIS EARLY STAGE BUT CONTEMPLATE A FRAMEWORK FOR PRIORITIZATION . AND PERHAPS PROJECTS THAT JUST DELIVER THE MOST SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC BENEFIT. I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A HANDFUL MORE SLIDES IN THE POWERPOINT TO EMPHASIZE THE RATIONALE AND LEAVE THE REST OF THE SLIDES FOR Q&A. OUR FIRST POINT IS TO PRESENT TO YOU THE FINDINGS AND HEAR YOUR QUESTIONS. THAT IS THE PRIMARY PURPOSE. AFTER THAT, BASED ON WHAT YOU HEAR, THE QUESTION IS, SHOULD WE CONTINUE WITH THIS EIFD EVALUATION? IT IS STILL STAFF TIME. YOU HAVE EXCEPTIONAL STAFF BUT THEIR TIME IS FINITE SO IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CUT BAIT IF YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEND ANYMORE TIME ON THIS BUT IF YOU DO WE ARE SEEKING AUTHORIZATION TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS AND BRING BACK A NONBINDING RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. WHICH IS JUST CONTINUING THE PROCESS AND IF YOU DO WE FURTHER SUGGEST TO THE COUNCIL AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO MAKE CLEAR SOME CONDITIONS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE MET IF THE CITY WERE TO CONTINUE DOWN THE PATH OF FORMATION AND PROVIDE FURTHER DETAIL AND I WILL COME BACK WITH WHAT THOSE DETAILS MIGHT BE. THEN WE WILL LOOK AT ANYTHING IN BETWEEN OR WHATEVER ACTION YOU CHOOSE TO DO. TO PROVIDE A BIT MORE COLOR ON THE FINDINGS, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT TWO EIFD'S

IT VERSUS ONE EIFD, THAT INCLUDES THE CITY AND UNINCORPORATED . THE RATIONALE FOR THE TWO EIFD'S IS WE HAVE HER CONCERN FROM STAKEHOLDERS THAT THE PROJECTS WITHIN THE CITY, THE CITY OUGHT TO HAVE. AND WHAT PROJECTS GET FUNDED, THE DOLLAR ALLOCATION AND THOSE SORTS OF THINGS WE HAVE HEARD CLEARLY THAT THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY PROJECTS, THEY WOULD LIKE THE DECISION-MAKERS TO BE COUNTY FOLKS. THE EASIEST WAY ADDRESSING THOSE COMMENTS IS TO SEGREGATE THAT AND TO DO TWO EIFD'S TO REALLY GET TO THE HEART OF THAT IS THE MAIN REASON WE CAN ADDRESS THAT NEED. FOLKS MAY RECALL FROM THE SEPTEMBER MEETING WE PUT THE WHOLE CITY IN ONE OF THESE FINANCING DISTRICTS AND THAT IS STILL AN OPTION. KOSMONT IS SUGGESTING SOMETHING MORE FOCUSED. THE PRIMARY REASON IS THAT GENERAL FUND PROTECTION. WE BELIEVE A DISTRICT THAT IS AS LARGE AS THIS IS JUST TOO GREAT FISCAL EXPOSURE CONSIDERING THE CURRENT FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE CITY AND IT SHOULD BE MORE FOCUSED ON AN AREA OF THE CITY WHERE THERE ARE ACTUAL PROJECTS AND LET'S FOCUS ON CAPTURING THAT VALUE. CAPTURE THE FUTURE --THAT THEY ARE BANKING ON TO STAY SOLVENT. LET'S FOCUS ON THE OPPORTUNITY AREAS. THE PURPLE LINE IS WHAT WE MEAN AT KOSMONT WHEN WE SAY A FOCUSED CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE. THE PURPLE BOUNDARY ENCAPSULATES THE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS THAT ARE IN PLANNING OR PROPOSAL STAGES. THERE IS A PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE AND WE HAVE A SLIDE THAT SHOWS THIS IS REALLY THE BOUNDARY THAT CAPTURES THE PRIMARY OPPORTUNITIES. THIS PURPLE BOUNDARY IS ABOUT 290 ACRES. SO, KOSMONT IS SAYING ABOUT 24% OF YOUR CITY IS FOCUSED ON THESE KEY CORRIDORS WHERE THE PRIMARY ASSESSED VALUE GROWTH IS GOING TO HAPPEN BASED ON WHAT IS PROPOSED. REVENUE ALLOCATION, I DON'T WANT TO THE LABOR THE POINT. EVERYTHING THAT WE TALK ABOUT IS A BALANCING ACT AND WE LOVE TO GET THIS FINANCING DISTRICT ENOUGH CAPACITY TO DO THIS. WE HAVE ROADWAY, PARKS, COMMUNITY SWIMMING POOL, LIBRARY BUT IT CANNOT BE AT THE EXPENSE OF THE GENERAL FUND. IT IS ALWAYS A BALANCING ACT SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE ALLOCATION LEVEL THAT IS MORE ON THE ORDER OF 25%, WE ARE SAYING THERE IS A SWEET SPOT THAT WE ARE SEEING AND IT IS THE SAME THAT WE SEE IN OTHER FINANCE DISTRICTS ACROSS THE STATE . IT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT WE WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT IF THIS DISTRICT IS FORMED AND WE WANT TO ISSUE A BOND OR PLEDGE THAT REVENUE STREAM IS REIMBURSEMENT TO SOMEBODY THAT IS PAYING FOR INFRASTRUCTURE THOSE DOLLARS ARE REALLY LOCKED IN AND NO LONGER AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL FUND. THAT IS REALLY THE RATIONAL FOR KOSMONT SUGGESTING THIS. IT IS GREAT IF YOU CAN GET A MATCH AND BRING IN OTHER MONEY BUT THE QUESTION IS CAN YOU AFFORD TO TIE UP THE MONEY THAT YOU ARE SETTING ASIDE? CONDITION NUMBER ONE, THE CITY SHOULD ONLY MOVE FORWARD IF THERE ARE MATCHING US. WE SUGGEST A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH AND THE OTHER PERCENTAGE ALLOCATED, IT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT IS FISCALLY SUSTAINABLE TO THE GENERAL FUND. WHEN WE SAY 25% WE INCLUDED A SLIDE IN HERE , WHAT DOES THAT ACTUALLY MEAN OVERTIME? IN A PREVIOUS PRESENTATION KOSMONT SAID 25% ALLOCATION MEANS YOU CAN GET SOMETHING LIKE \$56 MILLION AND \$21 MILLION ON A PRESENT VALUE BASIS. WE INCLUDED THIS YEAR TO SHOW THAT YOU DON'T GET THAT DAY ONE. IT ROLLS IN SLOWLY AND THE FIRST YEAR, THESE ARE THE SORTS OF NUMBERS THAT ARE HAPPENING. YOU FORM THE DISTRICT AND THE ASSESSED VALUE HAS TO GROW. THE COUNTY WOULD MATCH THE \$20,000 SO APPROXIMATELY \$40,000 AS THE VALUE CONTINUES TO GROW. THIS IS THE SORT OF GROWTH. THE PRIMARY CONCERN FOR THE GENERAL FUND IS EXPOSURE. WE WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THIS IS THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE. THE DOLLARS ARE NOT HUGE BUT THE DOLLAR OF EXPOSURE IS NOT HUGE. IT TAKES TIME FOR THE DOLLARS TO GET BIG AND THAT IS WHY I INCLUDED THIS TABLE TO MAKE IT CLEAR WHAT THE DOLLARS ARE. NOT THAT THIS IS A NO-BRAINER BUT WE WANT TO PROVIDE CLARITY ON WHAT THE EXPOSURE IS.

I AM GOING TO ASK YOU TO WRAP IT UP.

YOU GOT IT. COUNTY HAS A PARTICIPATION POLICY THAT GUIDES ITS PARTICIPATION IN THESE DISTRICTS. THE MAIN POINT IS THAT WE WOULD SUGGEST AT LEAST THE SAME AMOUNT OF SCRUTINY FOR THE CITY COUNCIL IN CONSIDERING AN ACTION LIKE THIS. THE COUNTY HAS A POLICY THAT THE COUNTY HAS A POLICY THAT SHOWS POSITIVE IMPACT TO THE GENERAL FUND AND IT HAS TO SUPPORT THESE GOALS. AND THAT IS WHAT WE ARE SUGGESTING THAT THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL HAVE. MY MAIN POINT HERE IS THAT EVEN IF YOU CONTINUE TODAY THERE ARE SEVERAL OFF RAMPS ALONG THE WAY SO WE ARE NOT TRYING TO MINIMIZE THE DISCUSSION. IT IS STILL STAFF TIME SO WE DON'T TAKE IT LIGHTLY. EVEN IF SOMEBODY ELSE IS PAYING FOR IT , IT IS NOT A NO-BRAINER BUT I WANT TO MAKE THE POINT THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL OFF RAMPS ALONG THE WAY EVEN TO THE FINAL STAGE OF POTENTIAL FORMATION THAT WE CAN ANTICIPATE AROUND AUGUST 2025. THERE ARE SEVERAL OFF RAMPS BEFORE THE CITY WOULD BE FULLY COMMITTED. THERE IS A PATH FORWARD WITH OFF RAMPS ALONG THE WAY. THAT IS IT. WE WOULD LIKE TO HEAR YOUR QUESTIONS, ADDRESS THEM AND WE ARE SEEKING DIRECTION TO CONTINUE IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE. AND BRING BACK A NONBINDING RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. THERE ARE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS THAT YOU MIGHT CONSIDER AND THOSE CONDITIONS ARE REALLY THAT YOU ARE GETTING A DOLLAR MATCH FROM THE COUNTY AND WHATEVER IS DECIDED ON, THERE IS A POSITIVE FISCAL IMPACT TO THE GENERAL FUND AND THE NEXT STEP IS A DEEPER DIVE ANALYSIS THAT PROVES THAT OUT. WHETHER OR NOT IT IS FISCALLY SUSTAINABLE AND AT WHAT LEVEL.

THANK YOU. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ADDRESS QUESTIONS.

WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE. WE ARE ON THIS AD HOC. ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD? >> NO. GREAT PRESENTATION. >> ALEX, ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD IS THE CITY ATTORNEY? I THINK THE PIECE OF CLARIFICATION THAT I THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL IS TO KNOW WHETHER , YOU SAID THERE WERE VARIOUS OFFERING -- OFF RAMPS AND I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT IS THE PROCESS ONCE THE PUBLIC FINANCING AGENCY AUTHORITY IS ESTABLISHED. WHAT IS THE PROCESS IF THE CITY DECIDES THAT IT ONCE TO WITHDRAW FROM THE EIFD FOR ANY REASON. DOES THE --MAKE THE DECISION?

THERE ARE MANY OFF RAMPS IN THE FORMATION PROCESS. BUT ULTIMATELY I BELIEVE THE HEART OF THE QUESTION IS WHAT IF YOU ACTUALLY GO ALL THE WAY AND YOU DO SIGN ON AND YOU MAKE A 45-50 YEAR --BUT THE POINT IS VERY IMPORTANT. TECHNICALLY YOU CAN STILL PULL OUT THE PROCESS TO DO THAT. THAT WOULD START WITH A CITY COUNCIL ACTION. NOTHING IS BINDING UNTIL YOU HAVE COMMITTED THE DOLLARS TO SOME PROJECT OR DO SOMETHING LIKE A DEAD ISSUANCE. YOU HAVE WRITTEN A PLAN THAT SAYS THIS IS WHAT YOU WANT TO DO BUT THE DOLLARS ROLL IN QUITE SLOWLY SO WE ARE NOT ABLE TO ISSUE A BOND OR FUND A PARK OR ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT IMMEDIATELY SO, UNTIL YOU DO THAT, WHICH IS TYPICALLY THREE OR FOUR OR FIVE YEARS DOWN THE LINE, IT WOULD START WITH ACTION OF THE COUNCIL TO SAY, WE WOULD BE RESCINDING OUR ACTION , OUR COMMITMENT TO THIS FINANCING DISTRICT AND IT WOULD HAVE TO BE A COMPANION ACTION BY THE NEW ENTITY CREATED WHICH WOULD THEORETICALLY SHARE LEADERSHIP WITH THE COUNTY. THE PROCESS DOES GET MORE COMPLICATED IF WE ARE FOUR OR FIVE YEARS DOWN THE LINE AND WE HAVE DONE SOMETHING LIKE ISSUE THE BONDS . OR PLEDGE THE DOLLARS FOR REIMBURSEMENT. SOMEONE LIKE A DEVELOPER WHO MIGHT BE WILLING TO DO SOMETHING LIKE EXTEND THE ROAD FROM

THE WATER MAIN AND IF THAT IS THE CASE, THE CITY COULD FURTHER ITS INVOLVEMENT AND COMMITMENT TO ALLOCATE MORE DOLLARS TO THE FINANCE DISTRICT BUT IT WOULD STILL HAVE TO HONOR THE FINANCIAL COMMITMENT TO WHATEVER HAS ALREADY BEEN ISSUED. IF THERE HAS BEEN A BOND ISSUED THE CITY STILL HALF --HAS TO MEET THAT. >> THANK YOU. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I SEE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS. IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH? >> THERE IS A SECTION IN THE STAFF REPORT FOR STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND THOSE WERE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER THAT WE WANTED TO BE SURE GOT INCLUDED. CITY MANAGER, THIS WOULD BE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SUMMARIZE FOR US THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU HAVE.

THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THEM. NUMBER ONE, WE DON'T PUT ANY MORE SIGNIFICANT TIME INTO THIS. UNLESS WE ARE SURE WE ARE GOING FORWARD AND THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE EXPECTATIONS ARE CLEAR ABOUT THE MATCH. I JUST DON'T THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO PROCEED IF THAT WILL BE ONE OF THE CRITERIA, IF THAT IS NOT CLEAR TO THEM WHEN THEY MAKE THEIR DECISIONS. SO, THOSE ARE THE KEY STEPS. DO THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS BEFORE YOU PUT SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL STAFF TIME INTO THIS. DOING THE RESOLUTION OF INTENT DOES NOT REQUIRE A LOT OF STAFF TIME. I AM A LITTLE BIT GUN SHY THOUGH BECAUSE WE STARTED THIS PROJECT AND IT WAS NOT GOING TO TAKE MUCH STAFF TIME BECAUSE KOSMONT WAS GOING TO DO ALL THE WORK BUT IT HAS BEEN ABOUT 30% OF STAFF TIME.

GO AHEAD. >> THE FISCAL ANALYSIS, HOW MUCH DO YOU ANTICIPATE ?

IT IS MORE ABOUT FINDING THE MONEY PREFERABLY FROM THE KOSMONT ANALYSIS AND WE WOULD FACTOR THAT INTO OUR LONG-RANGE MODELS SO I DON'T THINK THAT IS VERY DIFFERENT.

SO ONE PIECE THAT I CAN ADD AS AN AD HOC COMMITTEE MEMBER THAT THERE IS A COST ASSOCIATED WITH A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND THERE WOULD BE A COST ASSOCIATED WITH IT AND THE ESTIMATE I INC. IS \$12,000-\$15,000 FOR COUNTY AND CITY SO THAT WOULD INCLUDE BOTH ENTITIES. THERE WOULD BE AN ADDITIONAL COST BETWEEN THE POINT OF RESOLUTION OF INTENTION AND CREATING A PFA . THOSE COSTS WOULD BE EVENTUALLY COVERED BY THE REVENUE COLLECTED BY THE EIFD SO ASSUMING THAT THE EIFD IS FORMED THEN THE ADDITIONAL COSTS AS KOSMONT HAS CONFIRMED , WOULD BE REIMBURSED BY THE EVENTUAL EIFD SO THAT IS ONE FACTOR INVOLVED. WE DO NOT KNOW, AND IF KOSMONT NOSE, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL. DO WE KNOW WHAT THE COUNTY POSITION CURRENTLY IS IN TERMS OF OBTAINING A FULL FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS. DOES THE COUNTY FEEL THAT IT IS IMPORTANT BEFORE THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION OR NOT?

IT HAS NOT BEEN DECIDED.

SO ONE OF THE QUESTIONS HERE, BEFORE THE COUNSEL IS, WHETHER, EVENTUALLY, DO WE NEED TO DECIDE TONIGHT WHETHER WE WANT TO GO FORWARD WITH THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS?

I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ALL OF US. FOR THE STAFF AND KOSMONT TO KNOW IF WE CAN SEE THE FISCAL BEFORE THE NONBINDING RESOLUTION.

IF THAT IS THE CASE IT WOULD REQUIRE FUNDING.

THAT IS CORRECT.

THE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING WOULD BE WHAT? IT IS NOT 12,000-15,000 .

WE WOULD SAY WE BELIEVE THAT IS TO BE NEGOTIATED BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE COUNTY IF THERE IS A SPLIT OR IF THE COUNTY FUNDS ALL OF IT OR THE CITY FUNDS ALL OF IT.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. >> I HAD ONE QUESTION BUT YOU'RE TALKING HAS LED TO ANOTHER ONE. THE FIRST QUESTION IS, SAY WE ARE GOING FORWARD AND WE ARE PUTTING MONEY IN AND WE HAVE A 1-1 MATCH. AND WE HAVE NOT FUNDED A NEW PARK IN LET'S SAY EIGHT YEARS. DO WE KNOW --I WOULD ASSUME BUT I AM NOT GOING TO ASSUME ANYTHING, THAT WE GET TO KEEP THE COUNTY MONEY AT THAT POINT? LIKE A BANK ACCOUNT THAT YOU USED TO --WE ARE PUTTING IN A SAVINGS ACCOUNT AND WE DECIDE, WE CANNOT AFFORD IT IN EIGHT YEARS AND WE HAVE TO BACK OUT. SO, THAT IS QUESTION NUMBER ONE. QUESTION NUMBER TWO IS, STAFF TIME, STAFF TIME, STAFF TIME BUT THE COUNTY IS GOING TO CONTINUE TO FUND. WE HAVE A BRAND-NEW EMPLOYEE THAT WE JUST HEARD ABOUT FIVE MINUTES AGO COMING ON IN THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT SO, WHY CAN'T WE PASS THIS RESOLUTION AND NOT PUT OUT ANY MORE MONEY AT THIS POINT? I THOUGHT THAT IS WHAT I WAS HEARING BUT THEN I HEARD SOMETHING ELSE. I AM VERY CONFUSED.

I AM GOING TO JUMP IN HERE FIRST. THE QUESTION THAT HOPEFULLY YOU CAN ANSWER QUICKLY IS WHETHER IF, IN EIGHT YEARS, WITH NO PROJECTS AGAIN AND NO BONDS ISSUED THAT THE CITY COUNCIL DECIDES IT WANTS TO WITHDRAW FROM THE EIFD, WITH THE CITY GET TO RETAIN THE COUNTY ALLOCATION? I THINK THE ANSWER IS NO BECAUSE THE PFA WOULD HAVE TO DISBAND IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE DISCONTINUANCE OF THE PARTICIPATION.

THE MONEY HAS TO GO TO THE PROJECTS LISTED.

THAT IS THE FIRST QUESTION AND THEN THE SECOND ONE WAS -- >> WHY CAN'T WE PASS THE RESOLUTION WITHOUT COMMITTING TO SPENDING MONEY ON THE FEASIBILITY STUDY WHEN WHAT I HEARD WAS THAT THE COUNTY IS FUNDING THIS AND THE ONLY CONCERN WE REALLY HAVE TO THINK ABOUT IS HOW MUCH OF OUR OWN STAFF TIME IT WAS TAKING AT THIS POINT. >> I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS ENOUGH MONEY LEFT IN THE POT OF MONEY THAT WAS PAID TO KOSMONT . THE CONSULTANT ARRANGEMENT WITH US IS TO COVER THE EXPENSES THROUGH THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION AND IT ENDS AT THAT POINT. THE MONEY THAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED . WHAT I DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE ANY REMAINING FUNDS. FUNDING THE FULL FISCAL FINANCIAL ANALYSIS.

I CAN CLARIFY. WE HAVE DOLLARS REMAINING IN THE BUDGET THAT WOULD ALLOW US --NOT A LOT, LESS THAN \$7000 OUT OF THE \$50,000. THAT WOULD BE ENOUGH TO BRING BACK A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO THE CITY AND THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUT THE FISCAL IMPACT THAT IS POTENTIALLY BEING PULLED FORWARD FROM THE IMPLEMENTATION PHASE INTO THE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS PHASE, THERE ARE NO DOLLARS SET ASIDE FOR THAT.

OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES . HOW MUCH STAFF TIME ARE YOU PREDICTING WOULD BE NEEDED FROM SEBASTOPOL IN TERMS OF MOVING THIS PROJECT FORWARD? >> THAT IS A QUESTION EITHER FIRST FOR JOE AND THEN THE CITY MANAGER?

I WILL TALK ABOUT THE ACTIVITIES THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT HOURS AND TIME.

JOE, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO BE RELEASES SYNCED BECAUSE IT IS 10:15 AND WE HAVE TO ENDED THE MEETING AT 10:30.

WE HAVE RESOLUTIONS FOR REVIEW AND ALL OF THE PUBLIC NOTICES. ALL WE NEED IS STAFF REVIEW OF THE DOCUMENTATION THAT WE PREPARE FOR AGENDA RISING ITEMS AND FOR DISTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC NOTICES BY MAIL AND NEWSPAPER AS WOULD BE REQUIRED IN THE LIMITATION PHASE. WE WOULD BE PREPARING ALL OF THE CONTENT.

OKAY, CITY MANAGER, DO YOU HAVE INPUT ON STAFF TIME THAT MIGHT BE REQUIRED? IT LOOKS LIKE BETWEEN NOW AND THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION?

I AM NOT REALLY IN A POSITION TO GUESSTIMATE THE NUMBER OF HOURS BUT HE HAS MENTIONED ITEMS THAT WOULD INCUR ADDITIONAL COST. BEYOND THE FISCAL ANALYSIS. BUT THERE ARE A FEW MORE THINGS INVOLVED. >> OKAY. SO WE DON'T REALLY HAVE AN ANSWER TO THE STAFF HOURS THAT MY BE REQUIRED .

IF YOU WANT A RANGE OF HOURS, HE KNOWS THE WORK THAT WE HAVE.

WE NEED A NUMBER OF SOME KIND. FIVE HOURS A WEEK? IT 10 HOURS A WEEK?

I WOULD ESTIMATE LESS THAN FIVE HOURS PER WEEK.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS .

SO, THE QUESTION THAT I HAVE IS DO WE HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT THE IMPACT WILL BE ON THE GENERAL FUND? BECAUSE IT IS RECOMMENDED WE DO THE FISCAL ANALYSIS BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD. IS SEEMS LIKE WE SHOULD KNOW THAT BEFORE WE KEEP GOING DOWN THIS PATH BUT WE TRULY DON'T HAVE ANY NUMBERS. >> JUST LOOKING AT THE NUMBERS ON THE ALLOCATION, IT SUGGESTS A LITTLE OVER \$1 MILLION OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND IN THE FIRST 10 YEARS SO WE HAVE SOME IDEA BUT, I CAN'T TELL YOU, I DON'T THINK ANYBODY CAN TELL YOU THAT THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN AFFORD. EVEN THE GENERAL FUND FISCAL ANALYSIS WILL [INDISCERNABLE] .

SO, I AM WONDERING WHETHER THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY HERE FOR THE COUNCIL TO GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH A PLAN, WITH A PROPOSAL THAT WOULD PROVIDE INFORMATION ON POTENTIAL FUNDING FOR A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS , WHICH THE CITY MANAGER HAS RECOMMENDED AND THAT WOULD RESPOND TO THE CONCERNS FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND AN ESTIMATE OF STAFF TIME THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO BRING THIS TO A , WHATEVER THE ITEM IS THAT WE ARE SUPPOSED TO DO. THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. IS THAT POSSIBLE DIRECTION THAT MIGHT WRAP IT UP? ALLOW THE AD HOC AND STAFF THE TIME TO . I THINK WE WOULD LIKE THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE STAFF TIME QUESTION AND ALSO, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR, WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT THE COUNTY IS READY TO COME UP WITH A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH. DID I CAPTURE KIND OF THE GENERAL CONSENSUS HERE? THAT WE WANT A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH? WE WANT TO KNOW STAFF TIME AND WE WANT TO DO A

FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS BEFORE THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION IS BROUGHT TO US AND WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES THERE MIGHT BE.

I JUST WANTED TO SAY, WHEN I READ ABOUT THE TAX RATE, IT JUST SEEMS AS THOUGH THERE SHOULD BE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT SOMEWHERE. SO I AM HOPING YOU WOULD THINK ABOUT THAT AND IF THERE IS ANY WAY TO --I'M TRYING TO THINK WHAT THE PROPER THING IS. IT IS LATE.

IT DOES NOT SIT WELL WITH ME THAT THEY RECEIVE MORE THAN WE DO BUT WE ARE ALL PUTTING IN THE SAME.

I'M THINKING ABOUT THE PUBLIC COMMENT EARLIER AND PROPERTY TAXES OF 22% AND LESS GOES TO THE CITY.

SO BEFORE PUTTING IN THE SAME AMOUNT BUT DOES NOT SEEM --. >> I UNDERSTAND. ONE AN ADDITIONAL PIECE OF INFORMATION REQUESTED IS, IS IT EQUITABLE FOR THIS MATCH TO BE DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR GIVEN THAT THE CITY GETS MORE PROPERTY TAXES.

I HAVE A COMMENT.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER .

SHE WOULD BE ASKING THE COUNTY TO SPLIT THE COST OF THE FINANCIAL FISCAL ANALYSIS? >> THE ASK OF THE AD HOC AND STAFF WOULD BE TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE COUNTY TO COME UP WITH AN AGREEMENT REGARDING THE EXPENSE OF THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS.

THANK YOU. >> IN MY CAPTURING THE CONSENSUS OF THE COUNCIL HERE TRYING TO WRAP THIS UP? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

YES. >> AND I SEE -- LOOKING AT ME.

THIS STILL NEEDS PUBLIC COMMENT.

YES. I AM GOING TO CHECK WITH COUNCIL MEMBERS AND I WOULD VERY MUCH LIKE TO GET TO THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS ITEM. THAT WOULD TAKE US PAST 10:30.

I JUST WISH WE HAD MOVED QUICKER TONIGHT.

WHY DON'T WE TRY TO WRAP IT UP BY 10:45.

I AM NOT ABLE TO DO THAT BUT BECAUSE I AM ON ZOOM CAN I EXIT AND YOU CAN STAY THERE?

IS IT A MEDICAL ISSUE? >> A COUNCIL MEMBER IS FREE TO LEAVE . WITHOUT OBJECTION. SPECTER WE NEED TO HAVE A SIDE CONVERSATION?

SHE CAN AGREE FOR IT TO CONTINUE.

SO, ON THE RECORD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, IT IS AGREEABLE THAT WE CONTINUE PAST 10:30?

YES.

LET'S MOVE THIS ALONG. ON THE EIFD ITEM LET'S GO FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN COME BACK FOR A DECISION. >> THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE EIFD WHICH IS THE ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, IT WILL GO TO ZOOM. ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

YES.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? >> I WILL LET YOU KNOW WHEN YOU HAVE 30 SECONDS LEFT.

MY FIRST THOUGHT IS, WE HAVE VACANCIES IN ALMOST EVERY DEPARTMENT AND THE CITY MANAGER IS TRYING TO REWORK THE WAY WE DO WORK TO CUT DOWN ON SPENDING AND ADDRESS THIS FISCAL CRISIS. IF THIS ISN'T GOING TO PAY OFF FOR FIVE YEARS, FROM WHAT WE HAVE HEARD. IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE DECIDED TODAY OR EVEN THIS YEAR. WE CAN STILL MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS IN THE FUTURE ONCE WE GET THE FINANCIALS STRAIGHTENED OUT. IF WE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD, A QUICK QUESTION, IS THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILLING TO CONSIDER SOME KIND OF MATCH? IF NOT THIS IS DEAD BECAUSE YOU ARE TAKING MONEY OUT OF ONE POCKET AND PUTTING IT IN ANOTHER. AT THIS REASON THERE IS NOT A GOOD REASON TO DO IT.

THANK YOU FOR HER PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK INTO THE CHAMBER AND SEEING NONE I GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF , PLEASE. THANK YOU. GO AHEAD, LINDA.

I AM IN AGREEMENT WITH LEE. YOU KNOW, I HAVE ALWAYS SAID YOU HAVE COMMON SENSE. I AGREE WITH YOU. THIS IS SUCH A BAD IDEA. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO REMIND YOU THAT WE HAVE A \$25 MILLION DEBT FROM WHAT WAS THE --HOSPITAL. AND WE HAD A \$1.2 MILLION LOAN FROM [INAUDIBLE] WE ALSO HAVE AN ONGOING DEBT OF ABOUT \$5 MILLION WHERE INFRASTRUCTURE IS FAILING RIGHT NOW. [INAUDIBLE] PAST BY SO TWO OF THOSE THREE BULLETS MADE THAT HAPPEN BUT STILL, WE NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE [INAUDIBLE] FOR THE WIRELESS WATER METERS THAT ARE NOW FAILING. THANK YOU, LINDA, IF YOU ARE STILL ON THE LINE FOR RINGING UP THE SIDEWALKS.

YOU HAVE 25 SECONDS.

I WILL SEE IF THERE IS A STUDY ABOUT THAT BUT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BICYCLE LANES AND ALL OF THAT OTHER STUFF. [INAUDIBLE] CANNOT SUPPORT ALL OF ITS GROWTH SO WE CANNOT AFFORD TO WASTE TIME ON THAT.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR HER PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER.

I HAVE SOME COMMENTS ON THIS. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD PROCEED WITH THIS. I THINK THERE ARE TOO MANY ISSUES HERE AND IT WILL BE A TERRIBLE TIME SUCK ON STAFF. I AM IN DISTRICT 5 AND I THINK THIS SHOULD BE A DISTRICT 5 ISSUE AND THE COUNTY SHOULD BE WORKING HARD ON THESE KIND OF PROJECTS AND CONTRIBUTING MONEY TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. WE DON'T NEED TO BE PARTNERING WITH THE COUNTY ON THIS. THERE IS TOO MUCH RISK INVOLVED AND -- PUNCH ABOVE OUR WEIGHT IN THESE KINDS OF PROJECTS. MY RECOMMENDATION IS NOT TO PROCEED ON THIS AND CONTRIBUTE RESOURCES TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

WE ARE BACK AT THE TABLE AND THE COUNTY HAS EXPRESSED OPENNESS TO A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH AND THE POLICY SUPPORTS THAT DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH. SO THAT IS THE COUNTY POLICY AND COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE REPRESENTATIVES HAVE BEEN CHECKING IN WITH THE EIFD PROCESS MONTHLY. I THINK WE HAVE A PROPOSAL THAT STAFF COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL BUT THAT THE CITY COUNCIL IS IN FACT REQUIRING A CONFIRMATION OF AID DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH FROM THE COUNTY . THAT THE CITY COUNCIL IS , AT THIS POINT, REQUIRING A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND WOULD LIKE STAFF TO COME BACK AND CONFIRM POTENTIAL FUNDING AFTER NEGOTIATING WITH THE COUNTY HOPEFULLY FOR A SPLIT OR SOME OTHER SOURCE AND WHAT THE CITY COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO KNOW , EXACTLY WHAT THE STAFF TIME OBLIGATION MIGHT BE TO GET THIS ITEM THROUGH THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. THAT, I THINK, IS MY SUMMARY OF WHAT I AM HEARING. >> THE REASON THAT WE WENT DOWN THIS ROAD IS BECAUSE THERE WERE TWO OTHER DISTRICTS PURSUING THIS AS WELL SO IT'S NOT LIKE WE JUST INVENTED IT . IT IS A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO GET A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH WHICH WE HAVE SHOWN THAT WE NEED FOR BIG PROJECTS. THERE ARE OTHERS WORKING ON THE SAME SORT OF DISTRICTS SO I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT. WE DID NOT BRING IT UP EARLIER AND AFTER PUBLIC COMMENTS I THOUGHT THAT IS WHY WE DID GO DOWN THAT PATH AT THE BEGINNING.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? I HAVE KIND OF SUMMARIZED WHERE -
-

I JUST WANT TO GET VERIFICATION OF THE DIRECTION.

I HAVE CAPTURED IT.

BUT JUST CLARIFICATION.

THAT WOULD BE, I GUESS THAT IS MY MOTION THAT WE RESPECT THE CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDATION ON REQUIRING A MATCH AND GETTING A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND I WOULD ADD ON BRINGING BACK AN ESTIMATE OF STAFF TIME. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I THINK WE SHOULD TRY TO GET MORE THAN A MATCH TO MATCH. THE COUNTY IS DEFINITELY MORE FINANCIALLY SUPPORTED THAN WE ARE.

SO I THINK THERE SHOULD BE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE POTENTIAL FOR MORE THAN A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH. I WOULD COMMENT THAT SINCE THIS IS THE POLICY THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS APPROVED, WE ARE NOT LIKELY TO GET THAT.

IF YOU DON'T ASK, THE ANSWER IS ALWAYS NO.

IN YOUR FIRST SWIPE THAT IT YOU INCLUDED TO NEGOTIATE FOR THE COUNTY , FOR US TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE COUNTY TO PAY FOR HALF OF THE STUDY. THE SECOND TIME YOU DID NOT INCLUDE IT SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE IT WAS INCLUDED A. >> IT WAS MY INTENTION TO INCLUDE IT SO I MODIFY MY MOTION TO INCLUDE NEGOTIATION WITH THE COUNTY TO CONTRIBUTE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE , AT THE

COME UP AND MEET THE NEIGHBORS ACROSS FROM THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE. I SUPPORT THIS ISSUE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. >> THANK YOU. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE PRESENCE OF MARY LOU SMITH FROM THE --. YOU HAVE A PRE-SIGNED AGREEMENT AND SKIP WHO HAS BEEN RUNNING THIS PROGRAM FOR QUITE SOME TIME AND WE HAVE MEMBERS OF THE MYN GROUP . THANK YOU FOR THESE PRODUCTIVE AND WONDERFUL PEOPLE. SO, A MOTION TO APPROVE THE GRANT AGREEMENT SUPPORTING THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS AND SEBASTOPOL NEIGHBORHOOD COMMUNICATION UNIT PROGRAMS THROUGH THE GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION TO GRANT THE REMAINING FUNDS TO THE GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION UNDER THE CONDITIONS DESCRIBED IN THE AGREEMENT ATTACHED TO THIS STAFF REPORT. >> SO MOVED.

I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. ROLL CALL VOTE PLEASE.

[ROLL BEING CALLED]

THE VOTE PASSES 4-1. >> FOR THE RECORD, I WILL REMIND THE AGENDA SETTING COMMITTEE THAT THERE IS DIRECTION TO HAVE A PRESENTATION AND ORIENTATION COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL TO BE SCHEDULED FOR THE FUTURE. WE NOW WILL CONSIDER THIS MEETING ADJOURNED. THANK YOU EVERYONE FOR HANGING IN THERE AND THANK YOU TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON ZOOM. [Event Concluded]