

I AM NOT PLANNING ON WITHDRAWING IT.

YOU NEED TO MAKE A MOTION AND HAVE A SECOND AND VOTE ON IT OR IF THERE IS NO SECOND THE MOTION GOES.

I MOVED TO CREATE AN AD HOC TO WORK ON THE SAFE AND THE FUNDING ISSUES.

AND I WOULD MODIFY THAT BY SAYING WITH THE OBLIGATION OF THE AD HOC OF BEING THE INITIAL OBLIGATION TO COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH THE DEFINITION AND PROPOSAL OF SCOPE AND TIMING FOR THE AD HOC. AND I SECOND THE MOTION. I THINK NOW WE GO FOR A VOTE. SO MOVED.

CAN I CLARIFY, YOU ARE SAYING THAT YOU WILL BE ON THAT?

YES. I WILL BE ON THAT AD HOC AND THE MOTION IS TO CREATE AN AD HOC WITH COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN AND ME APPOINTED TO THE AD HOC AND IS OBLIGATED TO COME BACK TO THE COUNCIL WITH THE DESCRIPTION OF THE SCOPE THAT I WILL LAY OUT FOR THE COUNCIL, THE ONE OPTION WOULD BE TO BOTH US DOWN AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN AND I COULD COME BACK AND MAKE A MORE FORMAL PROPOSAL, THAT IS ONE OPTION. JUST SAYING, IF YOU ARE NOT READY TO SUPPORT THE CREATION OF THE AD HOC, THAT IS AN OPEN QUESTION. I DON'T THINK PEOPLE SHOULD HESITATE.

MY CONCERN IS TIME, HOW MUCH TIME? WE HAVE TO BE OUT OF HERE IN 40 MINUTES AND I'M WONDERING IF YOU'RE GOING TO CREATE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE, SHOULD PUBLIC COMMENTS BE TAKEN?

IS THAT TRUE, MARY GURLEY?

NO, WE TAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THE ENTIRE ITEM AND IS A PART OF THE ENTIRE ITEM.

WE ARE HAVING A MOTION, THE THREE OF YOU CAN VOTE NO AND COUNCILMEMBER ZOLLMAN AND I CAN DO WHAT WE CHOOSE TO DO. THAT IS THE MOTION WHICH HAS BEEN SECONDED AND A ROLL CALL VOTE, PLEASE, MARY GURLEY.

SO MOVED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND SECONDED BY RICH TO CREATE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE ON THE SPECIALIZED ASSISTANCE PROGRAM OF SAFE WITH COMING BACK FOR THE ADDITION OF THE SCOPE AND TIMING TO A FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING AND TO APPOINT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND MAYOR RICH AS MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

AT THIS TIME, NO.

COUNCIL NUMBER MAURER?

NOT AT THIS TIME.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

NOT AT THIS TIME.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ?

YES. MAYOR RICH?

YES.

THE MOTION DOES NOT PASS WITH THREE COUNCIL MEMBER'S IN OPPOSITION, THE MOTION FAILS.

THANK YOU, WE WILL GO ON TO THE OTHER AD HOC, WHICH IS CREATION OF AN AD HOC FOR, TO FOCUS ON THE SEBASTOPOL COMMUNITY CENTER MASTER PLAN. WHICH WAS A PROJECT THAT WAS APPROVED IN OUR CIP. MY QUESTION TO STAFF IS, DOES THIS NEED TO HAPPEN TONIGHT? CAN WE BUMP THIS ITEM TO ANOTHER MEETING?

YOU CAN CONTINUE INTO ANOTHER MEETING IT WAS JUST DIRECTION FROM THE CIP TO CREATE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE.

OKAY. I'M SORRY. I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THAT THIS ITEM BE LEFT FOR ANOTHER AGENDA. DOES ANYBODY OPPOSED TO THAT?

I AGREE.

OKAY. WHAT FORMAL ACTION DO YOU NEED US TO TAKE, MARY GURLEY? IS THERE CONSENSUS?

YES.

NO FORMAL ACTION, WE WILL CONTINUE THIS IN A FUTURE MEETING.

THANK YOU.

I AM SORRY, I DON'T WANT TO DELAY THIS BUT THERE IS A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE GOALS.

THE GOALSETTING. ON THE GOALSETTING ITEM, IS THAT SOMETHING WE CAN BRING BACK FOR A LATER DECISION?

YES. IF THERE IS COUNCIL CONSENSUS TO RETURN US TO THE FUTURE CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

THE OTHER OPTION THAT I WOULD SUGGEST IS WE CONSIDER MAKING A DECISION TO HAVE A MINI-GOALSETTING AND DIRECTING MARY GURLEY TO COMMUNICATE WITH COUNCILMEMBERS FOR PURPOSES OF SETTING A DATE, IS ANYBODY OPPOSED TO DOING THAT?

IT SEEMS THAT WE ALREADY AGREED BECAUSE HE PUT IN THE BUDGET THAT WE WOULD HAVE A MINI-GOALSETTING SESSION.

NO, THE 10,000 FOR THE GOALSETTING IS FOR LATER ON, IS THAT CORRECT? THE 10,000 IN THE BUDGET IS FOR GOALSETTING THAT WOULD BE MORE FORMAL, LATER ON?

YES. WE WERE GOING TO DO MANY HEAVEN GOALSETTING BETWEEN NOW AND SEPTEMBER AND OCTOBER BUT WE PUT FUNDING INTO THE BUDGET TO A FACILITATOR FOR THE JANUARY, FEBRUARY, MAJOR GOAL SESSION.

THIS IS A MEETING THAT IS NOT FACILITATED WHERE WE WOULD HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT OUR PRIMARY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. AFTER HAVING SET THE BUDGET. I THINK WHAT I WILL DO WITH THIS ONE IS TRY TO SEE IF WE HAVE A THUMBS-UP, GENERAL CONSENSUS ABOUT HAVING A MINI-GOALSETTING IN THE NEAR FUTURE, IN ORDER TO SET BASIC GOALS AND OBJECTIVES AND THAT WE THEN DIRECT MARY GURLEY TO COORDINATE WITH US TO SET A DATE, IS THERE GENERAL CONSENSUS FOR THAT? OKAY. FOR, 4-1, THAT PASSES. YOU NEED A FORMAL MOTION ON THAT, MARY GURLEY?

I WOULD PREFER A FORMAL MOTION TO HAVE A GOALSETTING AND TO HAVE STAFF DO A DATE.

OKAY. I WILL MOVE THAT WE DIRECT THAT A MINI-GOALSETTING, WITH NO ADDITIONAL COST FOR A FACILITATOR OR OTHER EXPENSES BE SET IN THE NEAR FUTURE AND THAT MARY GURLEY COORDINATE WITH EACH OF US IN ORDER TO SET AN APPROPRIATE DATE, IDENTIFYING THAT THIS IS QUITE DIFFERENT FROM THE GOALSETTING THAT HAS BEEN FUNDED BY THE \$10,000 ITEM IN THE BUDGET.

I'LL SECOND THAT.

SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

SO MOVED TO HAVE STAFF SEND OUT MEETING DATES FOR A SPECIAL GOALSETTING, WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS, HOW'S THAT? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ?

YES.

COUNCIL NUMBER McLEWIS?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

NO.

ZOLLMAN?

YES.

THIS PASSES 4-1 WITH COUNCIL OF HER HINTON IN OPPOSITION.

OKAY, THANK YOU, THANK YOU AND WE ARE DONE WITH THE BUDGET ITEM, HESITATE TO DO THIS BUT IS ANYBODY NEED A REALLY QUICK LIKE BIO BREAK? OKAY, THAT IS LIKE TWO MINUTES, I AM NOT LEAVING MY TABLE. I WILL SEE EVERYBODY IN TWO MINUTES.

ALL RIGHT, EVERYONE, WE ARE BACK FROM A BRIEF BREAK AND WE ARE NOT GOING TO MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM ON OUR AGENDA. I REALLY APPRECIATE STAFF AND THE PUBLIC AND COUNCILMEMBERS FOR HANGING IN HERE AS WE MOVE TO THE SECOND, VERY IMPORTANT ITEM. THIS IS ITEM NUMBER EIGHT ON OUR REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA, DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF PLACEMENT OF VALID MEASURE ONTO THE NOVEMBER 2024 ELECTION, PULLING RESULTS ARE INCLUDED THERE AND THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT IS THE CITY MANAGER CONSULTANT OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNCIL, I AM TURNING TO OUR CITY MANAGER TO START DISCUSSION OF THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU. I GOT A PRESENTATION WHICH I WILL GO THROUGH RAPIDLY, UNLESS SOMEBODY ASKS ME TO SLOW DOWN. I WILL LEAVE THAT UP TO YOU.

I THINK WE WILL SUPPORT YOUR RAPID RUN THROUGH.

HERE WE GO. YOU HAVE SEEN THIS BEFORE TALKING ABOUT A STRATEGIC APPROACH WITH MULTIPLE WAYS OF ADDRESSING OUR FISCAL CHALLENGES. SALES TAX INCREASE BEING ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT BECAUSE THE SUSTAINABLE, LONG-TERM FUNDING SOURCE AND YOU HAVE WILL BE SEEN THIS BEFORE, THIS IS THE NO-CHANGE WHAT WE ARE DOING AND ARE SALES TAX, NO MAJOR CUTS AND WE RUN OUT OF MONEY IN LESS THAN THREE YEARS AND THIS IS A SERIOUS FINANCIAL PROBLEM. QUARTER SENT OF SALES TAX GENERATES ABOUT \$706,000 A YEAR AND WITH THIS WE WOULD HAVE \$800,000 IN FUTURE SERVICE CUTS OR FORGO FUNDING FOR PRESERVING OUR ASSETS, WHICH ESTIMATE AT ABOUT 900,000 A YEAR IS NEEDED OR SOME KIND OF MIX OF THE TWO. AND HALF A CENT SALES TAX GENERATES ABOUT 1.5 MILLION PER YEAR AND THAT ALLOWS US TO PRESERVE OUR SERVICES AND OUR ASSETS, ALTHOUGH WE WOULD BE COMPETING WITH OUR KIDS OUR FUTURE TAX, POTENTIALLY ON THE NOVEMBER BALLOT. IN TERMS OF THE SURVEY RESULTS, I THINK YOU HAVE ALL SEEN THESE, PEOPLE GENERALLY LIKE THE JOB WE ARE DOING, THERE IS SUPPORT FOR SALES TAX OVER THE QUARTER AND HALF SENT MEASURES, BOTH NUMBERS AND A WHOLE LOT DIFFERENT, LESS THAN 2%.

CITY MANAGER, I AM SORRY, BUT THESE POLL RESULTS?

THE POLL RESULTS.

THANK YOU. EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE USES ARE NOTED HERE TO THINK YOU'VE SEEN THE MATERIALS, I WOULD JUST RUN THROUGH THEM. COMPARISONS TO OTHER CITIES I GUESS WE ARE TIED FOR THIRD FOR THE HIGHEST DEPENDING HOW YOU LOOK AT IT. THIS IS PRE-MEASURE LATER

AGE GOING TO AFFECT THIS FALL. WE ARE NOT TERRIBLY OUT OF WHACK ONE WAY OR THE OTHER AND THEN MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION REPLACING THE SALES TAX MEASURE ON THE BALLOT DETERMINE IF IT IS A QUARTER OR HALF CENT AND THEN APPOINT TO COUNCILMEMBERS WITH ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF A MEASURE AND REBUTTAL ANY ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE MEASURE. THAT CONCLUDES THE PRESENTATION.

GREAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? ANYBODY HAVE QUESTIONS AT THE MOMENT?

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, GO AHEAD.

IT LOOKS LIKE IN THE STAFF REPORT WE HAVE PROPOSED LANGUAGE AND ONE OF THE THINGS IT TALKS ABOUT IS OUR LIBRARY, ONE EXAMPLE, THAT DID NOT PULL WELL AND I'M WONDERING, SHOULD THIS MEASURE BE ADJUSTED BASED ON THEM STRENGTH OF THE POLLING? DO YOU THINK? TO GIVE US THE BEST ODDS OF PASSING. I HAVE NOT GONE THROUGH IT WORD BY WORD BUT I DID GLANCE AT WHAT PULLED HEINLEIN DID NOT PULL HIS HIGH AND THEN IT ALSO TALKS ABOUT FIRE BUT WE KNOW THAT FIRE WILL PROBABLY BE FUNDED IN A DIFFERENT WAY, I AM CURIOUS, WAS THIS THE SUGGESTED POLL QUESTION OR ARE WE STILL WORKING ON IT?

AND THAT IS PAGE THREE OF 88 THE STAFF REPORT.

I'M LOOKING AT THREE OF 88.

QUESTION FOR STAFF OR CONSULTANT IF THEY ARE AVAILABLE, DOES THIS PHRASING REFLECT THE RESULTS OF THE POLL?

IF I CAN I'M GOING TO STOP SHARING , ADAM IS STILL HERE WITH US, HE HAS BEEN WITH US ALL EVENING, I'M GOING TO LET HIM RESPOND TO THAT IF I MAY.

GREAT, MAY YOU SHARE AN ANSWER WITH US, PLEASE?

SURE, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. MY PERSPECTIVE IS THIS, THAT THERE ARE DIFFERENT REASONS TO INCLUDE LANGUAGE IN THE BALLOT QUESTION, THE LABEL ITSELF, WHICH IS WHAT PEOPLE SEE WHEN THEY FILL OUT THEIR BALLOT AT THEIR KITCHEN TABLE. SOMETIMES LANGUAGE SPECIFICALLY GEARED TOWARDS THINGS THAT PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT SHOULD BE INCLUDED AND FOR GREATEST EFFECT. SOMETIMES THE THINGS THAT THEY ARE REALLY HAPPY WITH IS, HAS UTILITY BECAUSE IT SHOWS YOU'RE REINFORCING SOMETHING THAT YOU ARE ALREADY DOING WELL. THERE ARE SOME OTHER CONSULTANTS INVOLVED THAT ARE VERY INVOLVED IN THE OUTREACH COMPONENT OF THIS PROCESS AND I WOULD DEFER TO THEM ON THE FINAL LANGUAGE OR THE LANGUAGE THAT WAS DEVELOPED BUT OUR RESEARCH INFORMED THAT AND FOR INSTANCE, THE LIBRARY COMPONENT WOULD BE PART OF THAT SCENARIO WHERE PEOPLE ARE MAYBE NOT AS CONCERNED ABOUT IT BUT ARE QUITE HAPPY WITH HOW YOU MANAGE IT.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS ? IF NOT WE CAN -- GO AHEAD COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

I DO SEE IS A PART OF THE STAFF REPORT THAT AN AD HOC WOULD BE CREATED ., IT SOUNDS LIKE FOR THE BALLOT QUESTION I SAW THAT BUT MAYBE WE CAN GET THAT CLARIFIED. IT LOOKS LIKE COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS HAS A QUESTION AS WELL.

I THINK THE QUESTION, GO AHEAD COUNCIL NUMBER McLEWIS, PROBABLY RELEVANT. GO AHEAD.

I HAVE A QUESTION I DON'T KNOW WHEN IS THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO BRING IT UP SO I'M JUST GOING TO ASK IT NOW OF MY COLLEAGUES. EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT I HAVE VOTED NO ON TAX MEASURES IN THE PAST AND I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO WORK THROUGH THIS TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET TO YES AND, ALL DIFFERENT WAYS, FINALLY HAD A CONVERSATION WITH OUR ATTORNEY BECAUSE THE CONCERN I HAVE IS THAT WITH THE COMMUNITY, THEY HAVE GIVEN US OUR PRIORITIES, GOTTEN A LOT OF MIXED INFORMATION BACK AND THIS IS MY QUESTION, WOULD THE COUNCIL BE WILLING TO PUT TOGETHER AND COME BACK IN EARNEST, NOT THE NEXT MEETING BUT THE MEETING AFTER THAT OR EVEN BEGINNING OF SEPTEMBER AND DO A COUNCIL POLICY THAT IS BASICALLY A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A COUNCIL POLICY ON PRIORITIES OF EXPENDITURES FROM THE SALES TAX AND I TALKED TO OUR ATTORNEYS ABOUT THAT AND THEY SAID THIS WAS DONE IN A VARIETY OF PLACES AND IT WAS SIMPLY BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THEY WERE WORRIED ABOUT NOT GETTING PEOPLE ON BOARD BUT IF THEY PUT TOGETHER A POLICY AND ACTUALLY PUT THEIR INTENTIONS FORTH AND I KNOW WE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT GOALSETTING BUT THIS IS SEPARATE AND JUST RELATED TO THAT. AND SOME EXAMPLES THAT HAVE GONE ON IS THEY HAVE PUT IN A PERCENTAGE FOR ROADS, PERCENTAGE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY, PERCENTAGE FOR PARKS AND PUT CAVEATS IN THERE THAT IF YOU DON'T SPEND 20% PARKS AND WE WILL SPEND IT ON THAT AND I'M JUST WONDERING IF HER COUNCIL WOULD BE WILLING TO DO THAT ? I AM TRYING TO GET TO A YES HERE, I TRULY AM BUT I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT HOW WE SPEND MONEY IN THE HISTORY IN MY OPINION OF HOW YOU HAVE SPENT MONEY IS NOT ALWAYS, YOU KNOW, HAS BEEN ON BEHALF OF OUR INFRASTRUCTURE AND THINGS THAT WE TRULY SHOULD BE DOING FOR THE CITY. WE CANNOT PUT IT IN THE LANGUAGE BECAUSE IT BECOMES A SPECIAL TAX AND IT IS HARD TO PASS, SO IN TALKING WITH THE ATTORNEYS THIS IS WHAT THEY SAID IS A COMPROMISE AND I'M JUST WONDERING IF MY COLLEAGUES ARE IN EARNEST WILLING TO COME BACK AND VOTE ON A COUNCIL POLICY TO SHOW OUR COMMUNITY , YOU KNOW, THAT WE ARE PRIORITIZING WHAT THEY TOLD US THEIR PRIORITIES ARE. THAT IS MY QUESTION.

INTERESTING QUESTION, RELEVANT , IS THIS SOMETHING, SHOULD THIS BE IN COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION AFTERWARDS? I THINK THE QUESTION THAT COULD BE, THE QUESTION THAT COULD BE PRESENTED TO STAFF IS THAT AND I THINK YOU ANSWERED IT, BUT TO CONFIRM FROM STAFF, IF WE PURSUE THIS AS A GENERAL TAX AS PRESENTED HERE AND APPROVE IT TONIGHT, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS SAID SHE CONSULTED COUNCIL AND HAS BEEN TOLD IT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE FOR THE COUNCIL TO THEN HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT ALLOCATION OF THOSE FUNDS, IS THERE ANY INFORMATION THAT STAFF WOULD HAVE THAT WOULD COUNTER THAT, TO THAT STATEMENT?

I DON'T HAVE INFORMATION COUNTER TO THAT ONLY THAT I WOULD BE CAUTIOUS ABOUT WHAT WE PUT INTO THE POLICY, THAT IT DOES NOT TRIGGER ANYTHING TO MAKE IT A SPECIAL ELECTION. ALEX IS ON THE CALL AND I THINK THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING AS WELL, ALEX.

THANKS, MARY, THAT KIND OF POLICY IS ALLOWED, IT WILL NOT MAKE IT A SPECIAL TAX AND IT IS JUST A COUNCIL POLICY, NOT PART OF THE MEASURE. IT IS POSSIBLE FUTURE COUNCIL WOULD HAVE AN AUTHORITY TO CHANGE

THAT POLICY BUT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT THE COUNCIL COULD ESTABLISH WITHOUT MAKING IT A SPECIAL TAX.

GREAT, THAT WOULD BE LEGAL AND IT WOULD PUT OUR TAX IN JEOPARDY AND IT COULD BE A STATEMENT OF INTENTION. SO, THE QUESTION HAS BEEN PRESENTED BY OUR COLLEAGUE AND IT HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO US. WE DO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY AND THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE FOR US TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION FROM OUR COLLEAGUE, ANY CONCERN FROM STAFF IN DOING THAT?

THERE IS NO CONCERN ABOUT RESPONDING TO THE QUESTION , IT IS JUST DO NOT MAKE A DECISION UNTIL AFTER --

YES. BUT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

I SUPPORT THAT IDEA. I ALSO HAVE A QUESTION, CAN WE ADD A SUNSET DATE?

COULD A SUNSET DATE BE, A QUESTION FOR ALEX, I BELIEVE, COULD A SUNSET DATE BE ADDED TO THIS TAX MEASURE?

YES, IF THE COUNCIL WANTS, IT IS PROBABLY NOT SOMETHING WE CAN DO ON THE FLY TONIGHT BUT THAT IS SOMETHING TO COME BACK WITH THAT ADDED INTO THE ORDINANCE AND TO THE BALLOT QUESTION. THE MEASURE ALSO GIVES THE COUNCIL THE POWER TO END THE TAX AT ANY POINT IN THE FUTURE AS WELL, THE COUNCIL ALWAYS RETAINS THAT AUTHORITY TO END IT.

YOU HAVE ANY ADVICE ABOUT THE WAY IT IS PHRASED NOW VERSUS ADDING A SUNSET DATE?

WE ARE PRETTY CLOSE, RIGHT AROUND 71 WORDS AND YOU CAN ONLY PUT 75 IN THE BALLOT QUESTION, WE MAY HAVE TO ADJUST A LITTLE BIT TO PUT IN THAT. IF YOU SAY FOR 10 YEARS OR SOMETHING, THAT IS 10 YEARS AND WE COULD MAKE IT WORK, IT IS JUST HARD TO COUNT ON THE FLY.

I UNDERSTAND . WHAT IS YOUR ADVICE? WHAT WOULD BE GAINED BY PUTTING IN A SUNSET CLAUSE?

I WOULD DEFER TO THE POLL CONSULTANT WHO MAYBE KNOWS HOW THOSE CHANGES HELP OR HURT OR DO NOT MAKE A DIFFERENCE.

I CAN SHARE SOME PERSPECTIVE THERE. WE OFTEN ASK THAT QUESTION IN AN A.B. TEST, WHERE WE ASK HALF THE VOTERS, WE PUT SOME SUNSET INTERVAL ON, WE DON'T TEND TO SEE A BIG DIFFERENCE BETWEEN US TWO AND IN THIS CASE WE DID NOT ASK THAT BUT YOU HAVE CLEAR SUPPORT FROM THE VOTERS COMPONENT.

THANK YOU. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? I WILL WEIGH IN AND ANSWER TO COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ' QUESTION, I'M OPEN TO A DISCUSSION BY THIS COUNCIL ABOUT THE ALLOCATION OF THE FUNDS IN A STATEMENT OF INTENTION AS TO THE ALLOCATION OF THE FUNDS RECEIVED FROM THE SALES TAX. I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT AT ALL, TO HAVING THAT DISCUSSION AND I WOULD SUPPORT THAT, ESPECIALLY IF IT WOULD HELP BRING COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS .

CREATING A POLICY AND NOT JUST DISCUSSION.

I HAVE NO OBJECTIONS ABOUT DOING THAT. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE PUBLIC. THAT WOULD BE MY ANSWER TO THE QUESTION.

I'M INTRIGUED BY THE SUNSET DATE.

ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM THE COUNCIL? I DO WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE TIME TO GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC. NO. OKAY. LET'S GO TO THE PUBLIC, MARY GURLEY, IF WE COULD ALMOST HAVE A.

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED SALES TAX MEASURE, IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. AARON?

GOOD EVENING, WILL MAKE IT QUICK. AS MOST OF YOU KNOW I AM THE UNION REP FOR SEIU AND WE REPRESENT THE PUBLIC WORKS STAFF AND WHAT IS PRETTY CLEAR THAT WITHOUT ADDITIONAL REVENUE, COST SERVICES THAT ARE PUBLIC DEPENDS ON WOULD BE THREATENED AND THE CITY ALSO RISKS LOSING INSTITUTIONAL KNOWLEDGE DUE TO THE CITY'S INABILITY TO RETAIN AND RECRUIT QUALIFIED STAFF. AND AS A GENERAL RULE, THIS ONLY PROLONGS ECONOMIC RECOVERY, SEIU URGES THE COUNCIL TO PLEASE ALLOW THE CITY RESIDENTS TO DECIDE ON A HALF OF THE TWO SALES TAX, THANK YOU.

YOU, AARON. NEXT I WILL GO TO ZOOM. ROBERT, CAN YOU MEET YOURSELF?

YES.

I WILL LET YOU KNOW YOU HAVE 30 SECONDS LEFT, GO AHEAD.

OKAY, REALLY QUICK. I SUBMITTED A PUBLIC COMMENT ABOUT THE DISINGENUOUS NATURE ABOUT THE WORDING IT IS SUGGESTING WE WILL SPEND MONEY ON POLICE AND THEN ON FIRE. WHEN IN FACT WE ARE PROBABLY NEVER GOING TO SPEND ANYMORE MONEY ON POLICE AND FIRE IS GOING TO GO BY THE WAYSIDE IN A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. I THINK THAT COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS'S SUGGESTION OF A POLICY HOWEVER IS AN EXCELLENT WAY TO MANEUVER AROUND THE SPECIAL TAX ISSUES AND I FULLY SUPPORT THAT. I THINK YOU SHOULD ALSO TAKE A LOOK AT MAYBE TAKING OUT FIRE AND MAYBE A COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS THAT YOU ARE REALLY NEVER GOING TO SPEND ANY MONEY ON AND IT SHOULD NOT BE IN THERE, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU AND MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE? LINDA, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

I WANT TO SAY HI TO RICHARD, THANK YOU FOR SPEAKING UP BUT ANYWAY, I ALWAYS FIND IT INTRIGUING THAT YOU KNOW YOU PUT THE BURDEN OF THE DYSFUNCTION OF THE PREVIOUS, RELATIVELY RECENT CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS AND ALL OF THEIR FAILURES, YOU KNOW, ON US, THE TAXPAYERS HERE IN THIS TOWN, YOU KNOW? I HATE TO GO BACK A LITTLE BIT BUT TO LOOK AT WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR GETTING US INTO DEBT IN THE SITUATION AND IT IS MAYOR HERE ALONG WITH SLATER AND NEYSA WHO PREVIOUSLY WENT AHEAD AND SIGNED A FIVE POINT MILLION-DOLLAR LOAN, NOT KNOWING THAT IT WAS ALONE AT ALL AND THEY WERE HURTING FOR TIME AT THE END OF THE YEAR AND DANTE HAD PRESENTED THIS, ALONG WITH THE WIRELESS WATER METERS AND THAT WAS IT NO COST, NO COST.

LINDA, YOU GOT 30 SECONDS.

IN ADDITION TO THAT IT IS NONE OTHER THAN THE MAYOR OR SELF WAS RESPONSIBLE, SHE IS THE LIAISON FOR THIS RV VILLAGE THAT HAS TURNED OUT TO BE A COMPLETE DISASTER AND SHE IS LEADING US INTO MORE DISASTER WITH THE CRIMINALS AND THE FELONS, AND THE CRIMINAL ELEMENTS THAT SHE HAS ATTRACTED AND IS GOING TO KEEP IN OUR

COMMUNITY AND THAT IS JUST DEVASTATING FINANCIALLY AND IT HAS CHANGED THE ENTIRE CHARACTER OF MY NEIGHBORHOOD.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS, SEEING NONE EVER GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, KYLE, YOU CAN MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE.

WOW. HERE WE ARE.] A SALES TAX. JUST THINKING ABOUT HOW MUCH TIME WE HAVE SPENT ON AGGRESSIVE SALES TAX MEASURE, THIS HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR QUITE SOME TIME. THIS IS NOT JUST SOME, NEW, LET'S GO AHEAD AND FIND A REVENUE SOURCE AND LET'S GO AHEAD AND ASK THE PUBLIC TO INCREASE SALES TAX TO DO THIS. WE SPENT PROBABLY, NEAR \$100,000 IN TOTAL AND JUST CONSULTING FEES ALONE AND IMAGINE THE AMOUNT OF STAFF TIME THAT HAS BEEN GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO DEVELOP A SALES TAX MEASURE AND HOW WE CAN PITCH THIS THING TO THE PUBLIC. RIGHT? HERE WE ARE, RIGHT BACK AT A SPOT WHERE WE SEE SALES TAX MEASURE THAT IS LEANING HEAVILY INTO POLICE, FIRE, SAFETY, RIGHT? USING THIS CERTAIN LANGUAGE TO PITCH A SALES TAX TO THE PUBLIC WHEN WE ALL WELL KNOW THIS IS JUST GOING TO THE GENERAL FUND AND ARE GOING TO MAKE DECISIONS INTO GOING TO SPEND HOURS AND HOURS DEBATING ON \$4000 FOR SOME PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR CITY COUNCIL OR YOU GOING TO DEBATE \$40,000 FOR CITY MANAGER BUDGET SIX MONTHS DOWN THE LINE. SO, BY ALL MEANS, GET YOUR REVENUE THAT YOU NEED BUT CONSIDER, IN THE FUTURE, JUST BE HONEST ABOUT THE APPROACH THAT YOU TAKE IN ASKING THE PUBLIC FOR ADDITIONAL REVENUE IN SOMETHING LIKE A SALES TAX. IT IS FOR THE GENERAL FUND, COLLEGE FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND IF IT'S GOING TO BE FOR SUMMING SPECIFIC, DO NOT GO FOR A GENERAL FUND TAX MEASURE.

KYLE, YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

GO AHEAD AND ACTUALLY PITCH IT SPECIFICALLY FOR THE BUDGETARY ITEMS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO USE IT FOR. BECAUSE WE ALL WELL KNOW THIS IS GOING TO A GENERAL FUND, A GIANT SLUSH FUND AND ARE GOING TO FIGHT AND THROW-IN THINGS AT THE 11th HOUR TRYING TO GET STAFF HIRES IN THE 11th HOUR WITHOUT ACTUAL PUBLIC INPUT ON THE PROCESS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KYLE FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, OLIVER, CAN YOU MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE? I AM IN YOU DID.

GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

QUICKLY, IT IS LATE. I DID ACTUALLY SAY AT THE LIVE TOWN HALL, IF IT IS A QUARTER CENT SALES TAX WE ARE ONLY TALKING ABOUT \$700,000 AND THERE IS A HUGE SHOPPING LIST ON THAT SLIDE WAS MAILED OUT TO EVERYBODY OTHER THINGS IN THEORY THE MONEY COULD BE SPENT ON. I WOULD AGREE TO SOME EXTENT WITH KYLE BUT IN A MORE POSITIVE WAY, WE REALLY NEED TO SHOW THE COUNCIL TO SHOW VERY CLEAR INTENTIONS OF THEIR PRIORITIES, SELL DISCRETE, SPECIFIC TOPICS TO HAVE A CHANCE PEOPLE VOTING ON THE SALES TAX BECAUSE AS I HAVE SAID PREVIOUSLY THERE HAS BEEN SO MANY PAST INSTANCES WHERE MONEY HAS BEEN ALLOCATED TO BE SPENT ON THINGS AND IT JUST DISAPPEARED

INTO THE GENERAL FUND. SO, THE MARKETING OF THIS HAS TO BE VERY CLOSELY TIED TO A VERY CLEAR PRIORITY. THANK YOU.

FIGURE, OLIVER FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I BECOME ONE LAST TIME TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE , MARY, CAN YOU MEET YOURSELF, THERE YOU GO.

I DID. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW, WHAT DOES UNTIL ENDED BY VOTERS MEAN? HOW DO VOTERS END THE TAX? OR IS THIS LIKE OUR HOSPITAL TAX, WE JUST KEEP PAYING AND PAYING AND PAYING. THANKS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, IF THERE IS ANYBODY THEY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE SALES TAX MEASURE, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, DOROTHY, CAN YOU MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE?

OKAY, CAN YOU HEAR ME OKAY?

I CAN HEAR YOU AND I WILL JUMP IN AND YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT.

OKAY, WE NEED MONEY TO PAY INFRASTRUCTURE, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR THAT HAS NOT BEEN DONE FOR WHAT? FIVE, SIX YEARS. IF YOU TIE THE TAX TO THE SEWER AND THE WATER, BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW OR JUST LET THEM ALL FAIL AND THEN PEOPLE UNDERSTAND WHY THEY SHOULD'VE SIGNED AND BROUGHT ON TO THE TAX BUT TIE IT TO THOSE TWO THINGS, THOSE THE TWO BIG THINGS WE HAVE NOT BEEN FIXING OVER THE YEARS. WATER AND SEWER, PLEASE, THANKS A LOT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL GO OUT AND CALL, ONE LAST CALL FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE SALES TAX MEASURE, IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, SENIOR NONE, THE PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARY GURLEY. I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND EVERYONE THAT FIRE SERVICES, THERE WILL BE MONEY THAT IS OBLIGATED FROM HER GENERAL FUND INTO THE FUTURE AS NEGOTIATED WITH GOLD RIDGE, SO, IT IS NOT AS IF NO MONEY WILL GO FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO FIRE SERVICES WITH CONSOLIDATION. SO, THAT IS AN INTEREST WE NEED TO SUPPORT. WE ARE FULLY AWARE, THIS COUNCIL WAS FULLY AWARE OF ALL THE IMPRECATIONS OF THE WIRELESS WATER METERS WHEN A DECISION WAS MADE REGARDING THE SUPPORT OF THAT FUNDING EFFORT. I HAVE TO COMMENT THAT EACH EXPENSE IS WORTH PAYING CLOSE ATTENTION TO, \$4000 MAY NOT BE MEANINGFUL TO OUR SPEAKER FROM THE PUBLIC BUT IT IS VERY MEANINGFUL TO TAXPAYERS WHO TOOK THE MONEY OUT OF THEIR POCKETS, SO, WE PAY ATTENTION TO ALL OF THOSE AMOUNTS. WE ARE HONEST AND TRANSPARENT ABOUT OUR EXPENDITURES AND OUR INTENTIONS AND I POINTED TO COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ' SUGGESTION A FEW MOMENT AGO AND WE DO NOT TREAT THE GENERAL FUND AS A SLUSH FUND, EVER. EDUCATION TO THE PUBLIC IS VERY IMPORTANT ON THE SALES TAX MEASURE AND I CERTAINLY WOULD AGREE AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THIS COUNCIL SUPPORTS A SALES TAX MEASURE, I AM SURE I CAN SPEAK FOR THEM AND SAYING THAT INFORMATION EDUCATION WILL BE ESSENTIAL. I WOULD LIKE TO GET AN ANSWER TO THAT VARIANCE IN QUESTION, WHAT DOES UNTIL ENDED BY THE VOTERS MEAN? HOW WOULD THE VOTERS END THIS TAX MEASURE? THIS IS A QUESTION FOR ALEX, IF HE IS STILL ON?

DATE REQUIRING AUDITS IN PUBLIC SPENDING DISCLOSURE, ALL FUNDS LOCALLY BE ADOPTED. DID I CAPTURE EVERYTHING?

SO MOVED, ALTHOUGH I HAVE A QUESTION, DO WE HAVE TO APPOINT TWO COUNCILMEMBERS TONIGHT? CAN I BE DONE AT THE NEXT MEETING?

SECOND ON THE MOTION. TO WRITE THE ARGUMENT YOU'D WANT TO APPOINT TONIGHT. IT'S THE ONE WE WANT TO APPOINT TWO COUNCILMEMBERS ESPECIALLY SINCE TWO OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE GONE FOR THE NEXT MEETING. CAN YOU DO THE MOTION AND THEN APPOINT? OR DO WE NEED TO GET THAT INTO THE MOTION, MARY?

DO THAT NOW.

WHO WANTS TO VOLUNTEER , I SEE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, ANYBODY ELSE WANT TO VOLUNTEER TO BE ON THAT? OKAY, I WILL VOLUNTEER, FINE. I AM VOLUNTEERING. OKAY. THE COUNCILMEMBERS THUMBS UP, OKAY WITH APPOINTING ME AND VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO THE COMMITTEE? OKAY. SO, MODIFYING IS NEEDED, MARY GURLEY ?

THERE IS NO MOTION YET , I WILL NEED SOMEONE TO MAKE A MOTION. I WILL MAKE THE MOTION.

I WILL DO THE SECOND.

SO MOVED BY MAYOR RICH AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION CALLING FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION, POINTING VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND MAYOR RICH TO PREPARE AN ARGUMENT TO BE SUBMITTED IN FAVOR OF A MEASUREMENT IN THE REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OR ANY ARGUMENT AGAINST THE MEASURE AND THEN TO DIRECT STAFF TO RETURN WITH THE CITY COUNCIL POLICY FOR ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR THE SALES TAX MEASURE OF THEM MOTION IF THE MEASURE PASSES AND THEN THE QUESTION IS READ, AMENDED TO STATE TERMINATING 12 YEARS UPON THE OPERATIVE DATE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, COUNCIL FOR McLEWIS. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, MAYOR RICH, THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU, VERY MUCH. THE MEETING HAS CONCLUDED AT 11:35, APOLOGIES FOR THOSE ITEMS THAT WE DID NOT GET TO, ESPECIALLY THE FIRE AD HOC BUT AGENDA SETTING IS MEETING TOMORROW MORNING. OKAY, THANK YOU, VERY MUCH, EVERYONE. WE GOT THROUGH IT. [Event Concluded]