From: [redacted]
To: City Council
Cc: Jack Piccinini
Subject: Re: Fire Question
Date: Friday, September 22, 2023 10:07:39 AM

3rd potential question

If the report the city paid to have done recommended consolidation in part because the overpaid “volunteers” only spent about 1/10th of their time responding to fire related calls, why aren’t you taking that seriously?

On Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 08:18 Elizabeth F [redacted] > wrote:

> 2nd potential question
>
> What’s safer for FIREfighters, supporting climate change policies & candidates or being burned alive in a fire?
>
> On Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 07:21 Elizabeth F <[redacted] > wrote:
>
> > If firefighters can use their political & social influence to constantly beg for more money, is it possible for them to use their influence to address climate change, and universal healthcare?
> >
> > I’m gonna guess yes, but that they won’t. Can’t protect the public gotta get that MONEY
> >
> > Please see my sophisticated calculations:
> > less fires start = good for the public, but less money for Jack.
> > Less medical calls for firestation “volunteers” = good for fire prevention & the public
> > BUT less money for Jack

From: [redacted]
To: City Council
Cc: Jack Piccinini
Subject: Re: Fire Question
Date: Saturday, September 23, 2023 8:58:10 AM

4th potential question

If you stop paying volunteers were they ever volunteers to begin with?

On Fri, Sep 22, 2023 at 10:06 Elizabeth F [redacted] wrote:

> 3rd potential question
>
> If the report the city paid to have done recommended consolidation in part because the overpaid "volunteers" only spent about 1/10th of their time responding to fire related calls, why aren't you taking that seriously?
>
> On Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 08:18 Elizabeth F [redacted] > wrote:
>
> > 2nd potential question
> >
> > What's safer for FIREfighters, supporting climate change policies & candidates or being burned alive in a fire?
> >
> > On Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 07:21 Elizabeth F [redacted] wrote:
> >
> > > If firefighters can use their political & social influence to constantly beg for more money, is it possible for them to use their influence to address climate change, and universal healthcare?
> > >
> > > I'm gonna guess yes, but that they won't. Can't protect the public gotta get that MONEY
> > >
> > > Please see my sophisticated calculations:
> > > less fires start = good for the public, but less money for Jack.
> > > Less medical calls for firestation "volunteers" = good for fire prevention & the public BUT less money for Jack

From: [redacted]
[redacted]
Cc: Jack Piccinini
Subject: Re: Fire Question
Date: Monday, September 25, 2023 8:54:21 AM

When I was evacuated with my son during the Kincade fire we talked about how bad it was. He doesn't know what is cost me to support us while we were running for our lives. It was expensive. 14 of the 20 most destructive fires have occurred since 2015. Is throwing money at fire fighters before we're burned to the ground the most responsible way to use that money?

On Sun, Sep 24, 2023 at 10:35 Elizabeth F [redacted] > wrote:

> 5th potential question
>
> With more than half of home insurance companies cutting coverage to California because they can't afford to keep paying claims for all damage from climate change, how can the people of Sebastopol afford to keep paying more for everything including food, PG&E, gas, insurance, and a 40% increase to the fire budget?

On Sat, Sep 23, 2023 at 08:57 Elizabeth F <[redacted] wrote:

> > 4th potential question
> >
> > If you stop paying volunteers were they ever volunteers to begin with?
>
> On Fri, Sep 22, 2023 at 10:06 Elizabeth F <[redacted] wrote:
>
> > > 3rd potential question
> > >
> > > If the report the city paid to have done recommended consolidation in part because the overpaid "volunteers" only spent about 1/10th of their time responding to fire related calls, why aren't you taking that seriously?
> >
> > On Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 08:18 Elizabeth F [redacted] wrote:
> >
> > > > 2nd potential question
> > > >
> > > > What's safer for FIREfighters, supporting climate change policies & candidates or being burned alive in a fire?
> > >
> > > On Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 07:21 Elizabeth F <[redacted] wrote:
> > >
> > > > > If firefighters can use their political & social influence to constantly beg for more money, is it possible for them to use their influence to address climate change, and universal healthcare?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm gonna guess yes, but that they won't. Can't protect the public gotta get that MONEY
> > > > >
> > > > > Please see my sophisticated calculations:
> > > > > less fires start = good for the public, but less money for Jack.
> > > > > Less medical calls for firestation "volunteers" = good for fire prevention & the public BUT less money for Jack

From: [redacted]
To: City Council
Cc: Jack Piccinini
Subject: Re: Fire Question
Date: Sunday, September 24, 2023 10:35:35 AM

5th potential question

With more than half of home insurance companies cutting coverage to California because they can't afford to keep paying claims for all damage from climate change, how can the people of Sebastopol afford to keep paying more for everything including food, PG&E, gas, insurance, and a 40% increase to the fire budget?

On Sat, Sep 23, 2023 at 08:57 Elizabeth F <[redacted] wrote:

> 4th potential question
>
> If you stop paying volunteers were they ever volunteers to begin with?
>
> On Fri, Sep 22, 2023 at 10:06 Elizabeth F [redacted] wrote:
>
> > 3rd potential question
> >
> > If the report the city paid to have done recommended consolidation in part because the overpaid "volunteers" only spent about 1/10th of their time responding to fire related calls, why aren't you taking that seriously?
> >
> > On Thu, Sep 21, 2023 at 08:18 Elizabeth F <[redacted] wrote:
> >
> > > 2nd potential question
> > >
> > > What's safer for FIREfighters, supporting climate change policies & candidates or being burned alive in a fire?
> > >
> > > On Wed, Sep 20, 2023 at 07:21 Elizabeth F <[redacted] wrote:
> > >
> > > > If firefighters can use their political & social influence to constantly beg for more money, is it possible for them to use their influence to address climate change, and universal healthcare?
> > > >
> > > > I'm gonna guess yes, but that they won't. Can't protect the public gotta get that MONEY
> > > >
> > > > Please see my sophisticated calculations:
> > > > less fires start = good for the public, but less money for Jack.
> > > > Less medical calls for firestation "volunteers" = good for fire prevention & the public BUT less money for Jack