

City of Sebastopol Planning Commission Staff Report

Meeting Date: October 25, 2022

Agenda Item: 6B

<u>To</u>: Planning Commission

From: John Jay, Associate Planner

Subject: Level of Service report

Recommendation: Review

Background:

The City's Growth Management Ordinance requires the provision of an Annual Level of Service (LOS) Report to the City Council. The Governor's Office of Planning and Research requires jurisdictions to submit a General Plan progress report to their office annually.

The LOS Report includes information on the status of the General Plan and progress of its implementation, as well as the status of LOS standards for City services. It also provides an annual update on City park issues, as well as annual Planning, Fire and Police Department information.

This LOS report was presented to the City Council at their October 4, 2022, meeting.

Public Comment:

No public comments have been received as of the writing of this staff report.

Recommendation:

Review the Level of Service report

Attachments:

Level of Service report given to City Council on October 4, 2022.

Agenda Report Reviewed by: City Manager:

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

Meeting Date:	October 4, 2022
---------------	-----------------

To: Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers

From: Kari Svanstrom, Planning Director

John Jay, Associate Planner

Subject: 2021 Annual Level of Service Report (LOS Report)

Recommendation: Receive Report

Funding: Currently Budgeted: _____ Yes ____ No ___X N/A

Net General Fund Cost: \$

Account Code/Costs authorized in City Approved Budget (if applicable) ___AK____ (verified by Administrative Services Department)

INTRODUCTION/PURPOSE:

The City's Growth Management Ordinance requires the provision of an Annual Level of Service (LOS) Report to the City Council. The Governor's Office of Planning and Research requires jurisdictions to submit a General Plan progress report to their office annually.

The LOS Report includes information on the status of the General Plan and progress of its implementation, as well as the status of LOS standards for City services. It also provides an annual update on City park issues, as well as annual Planning, Fire and Police Department information.

DISCUSSION:

A discussion of each service is included in the LOS report.

GOALS:

This action supports the following City Council Goals:

Goal 5 - Provide Open and Responsive Municipal Government Leadership

- 5.1 Expand and Encourage Community Involvement in the Government Process by Increasing the Public's Understanding of local Government Operations and Increasing Interaction with Elected Officials
- 5.3.3 Encourage and increase public awareness of City Policies, decisions, programs and all public processes and meetings, by investigating effective methods of communication and obtaining feedback from the community.

and General Plan Actions:

An update to the General Plan's Implementation Plan is included in this report.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

As of the writing of this staff report, the City has not received any public comment. However, staff anticipates receiving public comment from interested parties following the publication and distribution of this staff report. Such comments will be provided to the City Council as supplemental materials before or at the meeting. In addition, public comments may be offered during the public comment portion of the agenda item.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

This item was noticed in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and was available for public viewing and review at least 72 hours prior to schedule meeting date.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

RECOMMENDATION:

Receive Report

Attachments:

Annual Level of Service report and attachments and General Plan Implementation Update (as of Oct 2022)

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

Meeting Date: O	ctober 4, 2022
-----------------	----------------

To: Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers

From: Kari Svanstrom, Planning Director

John Jay, Associate Planner

Subject: 2021 Annual Level of Service Report (LOS Report)

Recommendation: Receive Staff Report

 Funding:
 Currently Budgeted:
 _____ Yes _____ No ____X___ N/A

Net General Fund:

Amount: \$

Account Code/Costs authorized in City Approved Budget (if applicable) _____ (verified by Administrative Services

Department)

INTRODUCTION

The City's Growth Management Ordinance requires the provision of an Annual Level of Service (LOS) Report to the City Council. The Governor's Office of Planning and Research requires jurisdictions to submit a General Plan progress report to their office annually.

The LOS Report includes information on the status of the General Plan and progress of its implementation, as well as the status of LOS standards for City services. It also provides an annual update on City park issues, as well as annual Planning, Fire and Police Department information.

General Plan Annual Report

The LOS Report provides an update on the General Plan and related matters.

The General Plan update was adopted in November 2016. A new Zoning Ordinance was adopted in November 2018, which implemented several policies and programs authored in the General Plan. The most recent General Plan Implementation report is attached as an appendix to this report.

A Table of Contents is provided for reference.

INTRODUCTION:	2
GENERAL PLAN ANNUAL REPORT	2
CITY POPULATION	2
LOS UPDATE	2
WATER	3
WASTEWATER	4
DRAINAGE	6
PARKS	6
FIRE DEPARTMENT	7
POLICE SERVICES	10
SCHOOLS	11
TRAFFIC	13
HOUSING AND ALLOCATION	14
HOUSING ACTIVITY REPORT	17
PLANNING DEPARTMENT	17
ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	18
FUTURE ISSUES	20
ΔΤΤΔCHMENTS:	21

City Population

The Sebastopol population was estimated to be 7,520, as of January 1, 2021, according to the California State Department of Finance. This is a decrease of 2 persons from 7,522 in 2020 and an increase of 141 persons from the 2010 Census, which reported a population of 7,379 persons.

LOS Update

The LOS Report includes an update on Planning projects, annual housing totals, and the status of City services, which include water, wastewater, drainage, parks, fire, police, schools and traffic. Land Use Policy 3-1 of the 2016 General Plan sets forth standards for each of these services. City policies require that the LOS Report advise the City Council if any of the standards have not been fulfilled, and to include mitigation measures or actions necessary to achieve compliance. If the City Council determines that it is not feasible within the fiscal resources or regulatory authority of the City to meet the standards or guidelines, the additional residential dwelling unit allocations for the next calendar year shall be suspended for a period of 60 days. This would give the City Council time to adopt a moratorium to restrict issuance of further residential dwelling unit allocation until the LOS can be improved or met.

<u>Review:</u> The following is an analysis of the state of various City services as it relates to LOS. **Water**

<u>Present Situation:</u> Sebastopol is dependent on its municipal wells for water to supply customers. The City does not have a backup system, nor does it have a connection to other water systems in the area, which makes it critical that the City's water system is maintained and closely monitored.

Background in groundwater issues:

California obtains between a third and half of its fresh drinking water from groundwater aquifers accumulated in subsurface basins formed by underlying geologic formations. It has long been recognized that the ability of these aquifers to continue to provide sustainable water supply is critical to the water needs of California as a whole. The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act became law in 2014 (known as SGMA, pronounced "sigma") with the final version of the accompanying regulations issued during 2017. SGMA sets goals for developing Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSP) for each basin to provide a framework to preserve, recharge, and nurture these groundwater basin aquifers.

SGMA requires that basins with elevated risk factors regarding recharge and sustainability must comply with SGMA by developing a GSP. Basins with low assessed risks do not have to establish a GSP. The GSP is to be developed and managed by a newly established Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). SGMA stipulates that GSA members must be local government entities, and either provide/supply water, or regulate water, or have land use responsibilities. The SRP was given a high enough risk assessment to require the GSA formation and GSP development.

Sebastopol initially was in a unique position. Although a small portion of the City area is part of the SRP, most of the City including all its water producing wells overlay a low-risk basin, the Wilson Grove Formation, where no GSA or GSP were required. Initially Sebastopol opted not to join the SRP GSA because of its ties to Wilson Grove. Sebastopol obtains 100% of its municipal water supply from groundwater via multiple producing wells. However, reassessment by DWR of basin risk factors resulted in Wilson Grove attaining a higher risk rating to where a GSA and GSP would be required. Sebastopol had a choice, to either participate with Wilson Grove or join the SRP. In September 2018 Sebastopol applied to California for inclusion in the SRP, and action that received formal approval from DWR in Spring 2019. Subsequently Sebastopol applied for and was granted membership in the SRP GSA (June 2019). The Wilson Grove Formation SGMA risk rating was subsequently redone to reflect the Sebastopol boundary changes along with two other similar adjustments for Petaluma and Marin County, resulting in the current "low risk" status.

The collaborative regional effort to get set for Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) compliance is well underway. The local Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) for the Santa Rosa Plain basin (SRP) was established effective June 2017. The first two years' expenses

were covered by a combination of a large State grant, and assessments to GSA members. The GSA prepared a fee and rate study to make the GSA financially sustainable for initial years while the GSP is being written. Fees will be based on groundwater usage, with a range under initial discussion of \$18 to \$25 per year per acre-foot of groundwater used. The fee structure was set at just under \$20/AC; based on annual average groundwater use of 1,000 acre-feet per year, the Sebastopol GSA fee beginning FY 19-20 is \$20,000 per year.

The overall per capita water production is calculated by taking the average of all water produced and dividing it by the population. Water demand in any given year may vary due to several factors including weather patterns, the economy in general and rate increases. However, water usage is also affected by changing land use patterns, conservation efforts, rate increases and changes in the public attitude towards the need to conserve resources. Per Capita Production increased 10% from 112 gallons/person/day (2019) to 123 gallons/person/day in 2020.

The Public Works Department produces an annual report, which includes statistics for water production, usage, and wastewater flow (attached). The report also contains information about groundwater levels in City wells. The report shows that in 2021 there was an decrease of ~11% percent in total annual water production, from 349 million gallons in 2020 to 309 million gallons in 2021. California had an extremely dry water year, which saw precipitation totals decrease below average for Sebastopol. Sebastopol's water demand remains significantly lower than when production peaked at 500 million gallons in 2004.

The estimated water demand from projects currently approved by the City but not yet constructed is 2.6 million gallons per year. This represents the equivalent of approximately .84% of total production in 2021. The water demand for projects pending approval is estimated at an additional 7.3 million gallons per year. This is equivalent to an additional 2.4% of 2021 annual production. Table 4 shows projects included in these calculations.

The City has retained the services of a consultant to oversee the monitoring of ground water levels; maintain the monitoring equipment; supplement it with hand measurements when needed; and prepare quarterly reports. The City received four (4) such reports during 2020, attached to this staff report (Attachment #2).

<u>Recommendation:</u> Continue to monitor City wells and diligently address contamination issues. The City should continue aggressive efforts to promote water conservation and policy efforts for additional conservation measures, since conservation is one way to help ensure that there is an adequate water supply, as well as saving energy and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The City has experienced water supply challenges in the past decade due to mechanical and water quality issues. Considerable resources have been necessary to address these issues, and it will be important to continue to ensure that adequate financial and staff resources are available for the water systems.

Wastewater

<u>Standard</u>: The General Plan requires a reservation of five (5) percent of wastewater treatment capacity, or 0.042 million gallons per day.

<u>Present Situation:</u> Wastewater service is critical to the City, and public health concern related to wastewater was one of the compelling reasons that the City incorporated in 1902. The City operates a sanitary sewer system in a service area that covers 1.9 square miles. The sewer system consists of 29.6 miles of gravity sewers (approximately 750-line segments), 10.5 miles of lower laterals (approximately 2,800 laterals), 749 manholes, 2.7 miles of force mains, and two (2) lift stations: The Morris Street Lift Station and the Valley View Lift Station. The sewer mains range in diameter from six (6) inches to twenty-one (21) inches in diameter.

Sebastopol maintains a sanitary sewer collection system and pumping stations that transfer wastewater from Sebastopol to the Sub-regional Water Reclamation System Treatment Plant operated by the City of Santa Rosa on Llano Road. As a partner in the Sub-regional system, Sebastopol has an entitlement to treatment capacity up to 840,000 gallons, or 0.84 million gallons per day (mgd) Average Daily Dry Weather Flow. Average Daily Dry Weather Flow (ADDWF) is computed using metered wastewater flows through the Morris Street Lift Station during the dry-weather months of each year (typically between May and September) with the lowest rainfall.

The attached Engineering Division annual report provides wastewater statistics. Average Daily Dry Weather Flow (ADDWF), as measured at the Morris Street Pump Station, was approximately 0.408 million gallons per day (mgd) in 2021, which equates to approximately 49% of the City's treatment entitlement.

Sebastopol's ability to accommodate future development is limited by our entitlement in the Sub-regional Water Reclamation System. To estimate the treatment capacity available for future development, we calculate estimated flows from current project commitments. Table 4 provides information about estimated future water and sewer demand attributable to currently Approved Projects and Projects Pending in the planning process.

Projected sewer demand (ADDWF) for Approved Projects is 0.006 mgd. Projected sewer demand (ADDWF) for Applications Pending is 0.011 mgd.

By adding the 2021 ADDWF (.408 mgd), approved (.006 mgd) and pending (.011 mgd) projects, and reserve capacity (.042 mgd) the estimated treatment capacity used is 0.456 mgd or approximately 55% Subtracting this from treatment allowances, leaves 0.373 mgd or 45% of our total treatment capacity for new projects. This is equivalent to projected flows from 2,415 new single-family homes (assumes sewer flow from a typical single-family residential unit is 157 gpd). This is substantial remaining capacity.

<u>Determination</u>: Factoring in the ADDWF, Approved/Pending Projects, and the Reserve the City sewer demand is at approximately 55% of capacity. The standard has been met.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Continue to monitor the sewer system to provide wastewater service, promote water conservation, meet regulatory requirements, and comply with the legal cap on the volume of wastewater that can be sent to the sub-regional treatment plant.

Drainage

The City owns and operates a storm water conveyance system located primarily within public streets, roads, and lands. The majority of this system flows in an easterly direction and discharges into the Laguna de Santa Rosa. A small portion on the western portion of the City drains to Atascadero Creek.

The City currently has a Low Impact Development (LID) program, which imposes new, demanding application requirements on a wide range of development projects and requires that site planning address storm water control and mitigation. This program regulates both storm water and non-storm water discharges into the City's drainage system with the intent to reduce storm water pollution and protect the water quality of local creeks and waterways, as well as to promote groundwater recharge.

LID Best Management Practices (BMPs) treat storm water as a resource to be preserved and maintained. BMPs focus on retention and infiltration of rainfall to maintain a natural water balance. Slowing the movement of water reduces problems with erosion and increases that chance for onsite filtration and purification of storm water. This is often accomplished by using vegetated areas and the natural purification of soil and plants.

The City does not have an established revenue source for the operation and improvement of its storm water facilities or for programs, such as LID. This is a challenge for the City with increasing regulatory requirements. However, the Development Impact Fee study being finalized in the spring of 2021 will be proposing such a fee for the Council's consideration, to help address stormwater impacts from new impervious surfaces for both existing and new development. This is tentatively scheduled to come to Council next month (May 2021).

Parks

<u>Standard:</u> The 2016 General Plan requires one (1) acre of parkland for each 200 residents (which equates to five (5) acres for every 1,000 residents). Developed parkland is calculated at 100% of acreage. Dedicated open space areas owned by the City or areas subject to a permanent open space easement are calculated at 25% of acreage.

<u>Annual Review of Parks, Trails, and Open Space Acquisition:</u> Community Services and Facilities Policy CSF 2-3 of the 2016 General Plan requires the provision of an annual report to the City Council and Planning Commission on the status of parks, trails, and open space acquisition and development. The City Council and Planning Commission are regularly provided with information and updates on a variety of parks issues and projects. These periodic updates and the following information are intended to satisfy this requirement.

<u>Present Situation:</u> The 2016 General Plan establishes that the City requires five (5) acres of developed parks for each 1,000 residents. While Ragle Park is immediately adjacent to Sebastopol, readily accessible, and used by residents, it was not included in this calculation of the parkland ratio in that parks within City limits are only counted. Additionally, open space areas, such as the Laguna Wetlands Preserve, count at 25% of acreage.

Under the General Plan methodology, there are a total of 23.6 acres of developed parkland, and 89.7 acres of dedicated open space in Sebastopol. With the 25% calculation for open space parks, this equates to 22.425 acres of counted open space area, for a total 'counted' parkland of 46.025 acres. The amount of park land remains the same as in 2020, however the City's population is slightly less than last year. With 7,489 residents, the total parkland ratio is <u>6.14</u> acres for each 1,000 residents, which means that the City has met the parkland General Plan standard.

<u>Determination:</u> The overall parkland calculation shows the City has met the General Plan standard.

Recommendation: There is a continuing need to establish and maintain priorities for park improvements, given limited resources. Maintenance of existing facilities should be a high priority and there is a need to provide additional revenue for park maintenance and upgrades, such as the work on implementation of the Ives Park Master Plan (sculpture garden, pathway improvements, and Calder Creek naturalization projects in 2021); the resurfacing of the Brookhaven Tennis Courts; and on-going tree replacement in parks completed in 2021. There are also major capital improvement needs for the Laguna Wetlands Preserve, where the new Americorp Trail will be constructed; final contract and open space restriction agreements were completed in 2021, and the Engineering Department will be working on the construction documents, bidding, and construction in FY22-23.

Fire Department


<u>Standard</u>: Per National Fire Protection Agency (NFPA) 1720, Standard for Volunteer Firefighters, volunteer staffed fire departments shall have a maximum response time of nine (9) minutes and assemble fifteen (15) firefighters on the scene of structure fires 90% of the time.

<u>Present Situation</u>: The average response time over the last 4 years is 5:30 minutes for 80% of calls, and 6:30 minutes for 100% (down 30 seconds from last year). We currently have a volunteer staff of 28 members. 24 active members and 4 reserve members. We continue to recruit new firefighters. This is an area of major concern with increased response times and increased call volume. We offer additional paid fire shifts, Monday through Friday, where the highest percentage of emergency calls for service happen during weekdays, 7-7pm. We continue to offer paid shifts for every weekend, including holidays. We are starting to hire full-time firefighters to support the increased daytime emergency calls for service and response times. A full-time Fire Engineer was hired in June 2020.

The Sebastopol Fire Department responded to 1269 calls for service in 2021. Calls for service in 2020 were 1164, 2019 were 1306, 2018 had 1190, and 2017 had 1150. Our four-year average is 1232 calls for service. Over 60% of our calls for service continue to be medical related. We continue to see increased calls for service on an annual basis. There was a reduction in calls for 2021 due to the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Total Calls for Service: 2013-2021

2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
861	1,055	1,071	1,056	1,150	1,190	1306	1164	1269



The City currently has a fire protection rating from the Insurance Services Office (ISO), of Class 3. Only 5.0% of the fire departments in the nation have a Class 3 or better rating, which speaks to the outstanding level of service provided by the City's volunteer and professional fire staff. As a volunteer fire department, an ISO Rating of 3 is the lowest and best rating possible to achieve and maintain.

<u>Issues</u>

Capital Equipment needs are a continuing concern. A new Type 3 Wildland Fire Engine will be ordered this Spring to replace an aging 1994 Fire Engine. A new Fire Inspection and Prevention Programs and Public Emergency Planning are two other areas where services could be enhanced. Another ongoing issue for the Fire Department is the difficulty of recruiting new volunteer firefighters as the community demographics change to an older population with fewer young families, and particularly considering the high housing costs, which inhibits younger individuals and families from moving into the City.

We reduced the hiring age from 21 to 18 and have opened up the boundaries of either living near or working in the city. This change has benefited the department, as younger volunteers and those that do not live in the City have been added to our roster.

The City should continue to offer incentives for citizens to volunteer as firefighting staff and to retain those already volunteering. Since 2005, the department has provided a modest monetary benefit program to the volunteer firefighters based on their number of emergency responses. This program has increased the average number of firefighters per call by 25%. The program,

SAFER (Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response), is 100% funded by FEMA and the Department of Homeland Security. Unfortunately, the FEMA SAFER Grant ended in 2015. I have once again adjusted this year's budget to continue this very important incentive for the volunteers. Traffic conditions and congestion also have an impact on response times. The Fire Department is continuing to look for ways to lessen the number of callouts to false alarms and unwarranted requests for calls for service. We continue to add a False Alarm or "Nuisance" call to our User Fee Schedule of \$1450.00 per incident in hopes that this would reduce the number of false alarms from businesses that have historically been repeat offenders. This has worked over the years. We estimated approximately 50 calls were eliminated based upon this new fee schedule for false alarms when it was adopted.

The new General Plan adopted the National Response Standard, as stated by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). The NFPA adopted Standard 1720, Standard for Volunteer Firefighters. This standard stipulates that volunteer staffed fire departments, serving an urban area (1,000 + persons per sq. mile), shall have a maximum response time of 9 minutes and assemble 15 firefighters on the scene of structure fires 90% of the time. The department assembled an average of 18 staff on fires 90% of the time, and was under 9 minutes, as stated in NFPA 1720. The 18 staff members assembled resulted from 10 Sebastopol Staff and 8 Automatic Mutual Aid Staff from Graton and Gold Ridge Fire Protection Districts. The Sebastopol Fire Department is still within compliance to the new NFPA Standard.

<u>Determination</u>: Response time and assembled firefighters are in compliance and the standard has been met.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Response times are a critical metric within volunteer staffed fire departments. We have offered additional paid fire shifts to reduce our response times and are working very closely with the City Council Budget Committee to budget for additional paid staff. We will continue to monitor this metric and make the necessary recommendations as needed.

Police Services

Standard: The General Plan requires a response time of three (3) minutes for 70 percent of calls.

<u>Present Situation</u>: The Sebastopol Police Department (SPD) consists of 14 full-time sworn officers, which includes the Police Chief, Police Lieutenant, four (4) Police Sergeants, and eight (8) Police Officers. The Police Department has seven (7) non-sworn support staff, which included a Police Records and Support Services Manager, five (5) Communication Dispatchers, and a Police Technician to conduct parking and animal control functions and assist with fingerprinting services. The Department also has four (4) Reserve Police Officers, and five (5) Community Service Volunteers.

SPD handled 11,137 incidents in 2021 – an average of 30 per day, of which 666 were categorized as Priority 1 (emergencies) – an average of 1.82 per day. The average response for all Priority 1 calls in 2021 was 4:52 minutes, from the time of dispatch to the time of arrival of officers at the

scene of the emergency. The average time for the Communications Dispatcher to answer an emergency call for service, gather required information from the caller, and dispatch necessary resources to the scene was 1 minute 35 seconds.

During 2021, SPD officers documented 893 cases that required either a crime report, arrest report, or information report (an average of 2.45 investigative reports each day of the year.) In addition to those reports, officers issued 179 traffic citations, 185 criminal citations (for non-bookable misdemeanors or Municipal Code violations), and 690 parking citations.

Officers made 65 felony arrests (16-Property Crimes, 10-Crimes Against Persons, 24-Drug Crimes, 15-Warrant/Probation Violation/Parole Violation/Felony Evading), 244 misdemeanor arrests, and 31 arrests for people driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs in 2021.

During 2021, the PD faced two significant issues which affected our level of service. The biggest issue the PD faced, as with the rest of the City, was the COVID-19 pandemic. Much of the above statistical data is a direct reflection of stay-at-home orders, practicing safety protocols, and ensuring not only community safety, but safety with staff members limiting as much contact as possible with our community.

The Police Department also encountered interim and changing department leadership along with staffing issues throughout the year which resulted in operating at 60-75 percent of staffing a majority of the year. Available staffing levels were impacted because of vacant positions, work injuries, COVID exposures and quarantines, FMLA absences, and vacation and sick leaves.

Due to the aforementioned challenges, response times in 2021 slightly exceeded the standard set by the General Plan as follows:

Average response time Priority 1 calls: 3:18 minutes Average response time Priority 2 calls: 3:52 minutes

Determination: The standard was not met for Priority 1 calls for service.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Though there are calls for service that require a timely response, there are many calls for service that are more effectively handled when police take their time to respond and critically assess situations. When we place desired time frames to respond to calls for service, we prioritize the quick response over the necessity of critically assessing situations through the process of slower, more methodical responses. As a result, it is recommended that the response time requirement be removed from the General Plan and state the following: "The General Plan requires a response to calls for service be done with a critical, well-thought, and meaningful assessment of the situation as the priority. Though a timely response is an expectation, a timely response does not take priority over a more methodical, critical response that may result in a more positive outcome."

Schools

<u>Standard:</u> The Sebastopol Union School District and the West Sonoma County High School District (High School District) establish their own standards for school class size and the requisite amount of square footage of play area per student.

<u>Present Situation:</u> Sebastopol schools are under the jurisdiction of the Sebastopol Union School District and the West Sonoma County High School District. Sebastopol is also home to two (2) charter schools that are not affiliated with either school district, and the Sierra School of Sonoma which is a private school and not affiliated with either school district.

All school data in this report has been accessed from California Department of Education's website, https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/. The prior years have been revised in this report to reflect the California Department of Education's records.

<u>Sebastopol Union School District:</u> There are currently two (2) elementary schools under the jurisdiction of the school district: Park Side (Kindergarten to 5th Grade) and Brook Haven (Kindergarten to 8th grade).

Sebastopol Union School Districts Enrollment Totals, by School Year: 2015-2016 to 2019-2020

2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
827	732	783	756	752

2019-2020 Enrollment Levels by Sebastopol Union School District Schools

School Name	Total Enrollment
Park Side	264
Brook Haven	194
Sebastopol Independent Charter School	294

The 2019-2020 enrollment in the Sebastopol Union School District decreased by 4 students from 2018-2019. Overall, the enrollment totals have fluctuated over the years, but are generally decreasing.

<u>Sebastopol Area Charter and Private Schools:</u> There are also two (2) charter schools, and (1) one private school located in Sebastopol that are not part of the Sebastopol Union School District or the High School District: The REACH Charter School, SunRidge Charter School and Sierra School of Sonoma.

The REACH Charter School (Kindergarten to 8th Grade) is an integrated liberal arts school, which is located 487 Watertrough Rd. in unincorporated Sebastopol. The school had a total enrollment of 121 students for the 2019-2020 school year, which is 23 less than the total enrollment in the 2018-2019 school year, which had a total enrollment of 144. Total enrollment is not counted towards Sebastopol Union School District enrollment.

The SunRidge Charter School (Kindergarten to 8th Grade) is part of the Twin Hills Union School District and which is located at 7285 Hayden Avenue, a site that was formerly home to Pine Crest Elementary School, which closed in 2011. SunRidge Charter School had a total 2019-2020 school year enrollment of 281 students, which is a, increase of five (5) students from the 2018-2019 school year, when total enrollment was 276 students. Total enrollment is not counted towards Sebastopol Union School District enrollment.

The Sierra School of Sonoma (Kindergarten to 12th Grade) is a non-public school which is not part of the Sebastopol Union School District or West Sonoma County High School District. Sierra School of Sonoma is located at 200 South Main Street, where it holds a Use Permit. This school replaced the Sebastopol Independent Charter School which moved to a new campus in the district, just outside City limits near Gravenstein Highway North. The 2018-2019 enrollment total for Sierra School of Sonoma was 28, which is an increase of one (1) student since the 2018-2019 school year.

<u>West Sonoma County High School District:</u> The High School District operates two (2) schools in Sebastopol: Analy High School and Laguna High School (the Community Day School is closed). The High School District also operates three (3) schools in greater West Sonoma County: El Molino High School, Nuevo Leon High School, and the Russian River Ramparts Independent Study Program.

Total Enrollment for High Schools in Sebastopol, by School Year: 2015-2016 to 2019-2020

2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
1,364	1,284	1,226	1,214	1,231

2019-2020 Enrollment Levels for Sebastopol High Schools

School Name	Total Enrollment
Analy High	1,141
Laguna High	90

The 2019-2020 enrollment in the West Sonoma County High School District increased by 17 students from the 2018-2019 school.

Total student enrollment in public schools (WSCHSD and SUSD) increased by 13 students in the 2019-2020 school year in Sebastopol, which includes both the Sebastopol Union School District and the High School District. *Note, including the Sebastopol Area Charter and Private Schools (Reach, SunRidge and Sierra School of Sonoma) results in a decrease of four (4) students in the 2019-2020 school year.*

The Board of Education and District Administration of the West Sonoma County High School District prepared an Enrollment Projection Study to understand the long-term effects of declining enrollment in December 2013. The Enrollment Projection Study determined that enrollment for resident students will continue to decline over the next 10 years but did offer some mitigation options that include:

- Offering an innovative approach that tailors an instructional approach to the individual student by blending classroom instruction, online courses, independent study, community college study, and community-based learning.
- Developing programs to attract transfer students to West County such as career technical education programs or programs in the arts.
- Increasing the percentage of students who complete course sequences and experiences that make them ready for a career or college after high school.

Currently, due to declining enrollment and other factors, the High School district is facing major budget issues. In March of 2021, the West Sonoma County Union High School District Board voted 3-2 in favor of consolidating El Molino High School, in Forestville, with Analy High School. This decision will also relocate Laguna High School and the District office to the El Molino High Campus. It is anticipated that more than 500 students from El Molino High School will be joining Analy High School This change is slated to go in effect Fall 2021.

<u>Determination:</u> The Sebastopol Union School District has experienced declining enrollment, which has resulted in school closures in recent years. The decision to combine El Molino High School with Analy High School is a significant development by the West Sonoma County Union High School District in an attempt to mitigate their budget issues.

<u>Recommendation:</u> The City should support policies to encourage family housing and opportunities for 'empty nesters' who are interested in moving to smaller homes, which would free up larger dwellings for families. However, even with additional housing development, young families face substantial affordability and availability issues in the Sebastopol housing market.

Challenges the City should coordinate with the School District include managing potential increased traffic, and the need for improved transit and/or busing for students coming from further reaches of West County due to the consolidation, and potentially parking issues near the school's campus.

Traffic

The General Plan, adopted November 15, 2016, eliminated the prior plan's Level of Service (LOS) standard, as a metric that did not appropriately express the City's policy intent.

Present Situation: The General Plan Update provided comprehensive data on current traffic conditions. This included preliminary analysis of the feasibility to change the one-way street system in downtown. Initial analysis indicated that the conversion could be workable. However, considerable additional analysis would be needed, and costs would be substantial, if feasible. The conversion may not improve traffic flow but could have other benefits. The updated General Plan calls for continued evaluation of the benefits and feasibility of a two-way street system on some or all of SR116. A comprehensive 2-way street analysis for SR 116 is called for in collaboration with Caltrans.

The General Plan also calls for review of by-pass or reliever routes in collaboration with other agencies. For example, the City successfully asked for inclusion in the SCTA regional plan as a project of regional significance of a western alternate route to connect SR116 to Bodega Avenue and the coast vis upgrades to Bloomfield Road and Pleasant Hill Road.

Vehicle access is critical to the operation of a city. Most people in Sebastopol travel by vehicle and many more in the surrounding market area have no other viable transportation option. In addition, truck traffic originating from, or headed for destinations outside the City continue to tax pavement conditions and traffic flows on the main arterials. Pavement conditions in Sebastopol are an ongoing concern with the overall street network pavement condition continuing to decline, and on the Pavement Condition Index (PCI) scale of 0 – 100 with PCI 100 being new pavement in 'Very Good' condition, based on the last major street pavement inspection performed in 2018, the current PCI is 43, which classifies the City's street network at the middle of the 'Poor' condition category. Continued deferred maintenance could eventually deteriorate the pavement condition to the 'Very Poor' condition category and resulting in much higher long-term costs, especially given that Federal and State funding for street maintenance has declined in recent years due to continuing competition for these scarce fund sources from the active transportation projects groups, including bicycle and pedestrian projects, public mass transit projects, etc. Additional local funding remains highly desirable and essential both for funding local streets projects and as providing for the required local match component for various State and Federal grant opportunities. The updated General Plan calls for the City to provide high quality regular maintenance for existing and future transportation facilities including street, sidewalks, and paths by continually seeking opportunities to fund maintenance of and improvement to the circulation network through active pursuit of a wide range of grant sources.

The City continues working with other Sonoma County jurisdictions to reduce transportation congestion and to maintain and improve our transportation network through ongoing participation and collaboration in SCTA TAC in pursuit of funding opportunities and through transportation planning. During the past year the City continued its efforts to maintain and improve its street network to support buildout consistent with the General Plan.

In early 2022 the City sought and received a State grant from the Pavement Technical Assistance Program for the 2023 Cycle (PTAP 23) for funding an update to the City's Pavement Management Program (PMP) in collaboration with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), who manages consultant contracts for city-wide pavement inspection, data analysis, pavement condition indexing, composing recommendations for funding scenarios for treatment strategies and preparing the technical report for PMP certification. The updated City PMP is scheduled for certification in Spring 2023 and will serve to guide recommendations for implementing pavement treatments to improve the street network pavement condition index over a targeted time frame.

Staff continued working on the federal-aid project, "Bodega Avenue Bike Lanes and Pavement Rehabilitation", which will rehabilitate cracked and deteriorating pavement with a new

pavement surface on Bodega Avenue from High Street to Pleasant Hill Avenue. Bodega Avenue provides east-west transportation connections through the City. The State Route 12 - Bodega Avenue corridor is the primary route connecting the City of Sebastopol with Santa Rosa and eastern Sonoma County to the east and Bodega Bay and the coastal western Sonoma County to the west. It is designated as an arterial and, within the city, is primarily a three-lane road or two lanes with parking. Land use adjacent to Bodega Avenue is predominantly single- and multi-unit residential, with some mixed-use commercial towards the eastern end of the project. In addition to pavement rehabilitation, other improvements included in the project are pavement widening of narrow sections of the roadway to a consistent width, sidewalk improvements to close gaps in the existing sidewalk network and improve existing pedestrian ramps to be ADA-compliant, adding pavement striping for Class II bicycle lanes between Pleasant Hill Road and Washington Avenue and Class III bike routes (sharrows) between Washington Avenue and High Street, safety improvements at five intersections including crosswalk enhancements and ADA ramp improvements at Nelson Way/Gold Ridge Farm, Robinson Road, Washington Avenue, Dutton Avenue, and Florence Avenue. It is anticipated the Project will receive environmental clearance through the federal National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in August 2022, after which Right of Way Certification and the Request for Authorization (RFA) required for securing the federal funds will be completed and submitted for approval, both also anticipated in August 2022. It is anticipated the Project will be authorized for bidding in Fall 2022 for construction starting Spring 2023.

In advance of and preparation for the Bike Lanes and Pavement Rehabilitation project the City will perform major pavement maintenance on Bodega Avenue as "digout and repair project" from High Street to Nelson Way in late Fall 2022.

In 2021 the City was awarded a federal-aid grant from the Quick Strike Fund Program for installing new ADA-compliant pedestrian ramps along State Route (SR) 116 at the following four intersections: SR 116 / Hurlbut Ave, SR 116 / Cleveland Ave, SR 116 / N. Main St and Sr 116 / Wallace St. In June 2022, the Project received NEPA clearance, which cleared the way for Right of Way Certification and RFA completion and submittal in July 2022. The Project will involve removing and replacing a total of eleven existing curb ramps and gutters on the various corners of these four intersections. Detectable warning surfaces will be installed at each of the proposed curb ramps to indicate the upcoming street crossing. Several of the corners will also include grouted cobble paving installed between the detectable warning surfaces to indicate non-path of travel. At all the intersections portions of the existing crosswalks would be restriped, as necessary. It is anticipated the Project will be authorized for bidding in Fall 2022 for construction starting Spring 2023.

In March 2022 the City Council authorized staff to pursue the Active Transportation Program Cycle 6 (ATP) grant for the Ragle Road West Side Bicycles and Pedestrian Access Project, also known as the West Sebastopol Active Transportation project in Sonoma County's "Comprehensive Transportation Plan" (CTP) 2050. ATP goals include increasing proportion of trips by walking and biking, increasing safety and mobility, enhancing, public health and includes a broad spectrum of projects to benefit many types of active transportation users including

disadvantaged communities. The City submitted the grant application as a joint City and County undertaking on June 15, 2022 and is now awaiting the results. The estimated \$9.1 million Project consists of bicycle lanes and sidewalks and pedestrian paths on Bodega Avenue from Jewell Avenue to Ragle Road; Ragle Road from Bodega Avenue to Mill Station Road; Mill Station Road from Ragle Road to SR 116. The Project shows, in order to be more competitive, connectivity to existing bicycle paths and major parts of the city by expanding to include Bodega Avenue project bicycle paths (segment between Nelson Way and Pleasant Hill Road), and completing the bicycle trail section on Bodega Avenue westward to the existing terminus of the Sonoma County trail at Atascadero Creek.

Other pavement maintenance efforts underway in 2022 include design of Parquet Street Slurry Seal as part of a water and sewer systems maintenance project, with construction in 2023.

The last update to the Sebastopol Bicycle and Pedestrian Masterplan was in 2011. SCTA last updated the regional Sonoma County Bicycle and Pedestrian Masterplan in 2019, which also included updates to the Sebastopol Bicycle and Pedestrian Masterplan. Starting in late 2022 SCTA will begin the process for updating its masterplan with cooperation from the County of Sonoma and cities, including Sebastopol. This update process will be completed by Summer 2023.

In 2021 the City of Sebastopol was awarded a Local Road Safety Plan Grant from Caltrans to create a Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP) for the purpose of complying with new state and federal requirements related to federal and state grant programs. The LRSP is a traffic safety planning document for addressing unique roadway safety needs in the City. It is a comprehensive document that will both help to guide the City's implementation of safety countermeasures and allow eligibility for funding in such programs as the Highway Safety Improvement Program, or HSIP, which is currently open for application through September 2022. Staff will seek authorization to pursue HSIP grant funding under the current cycle.

Determination: No inconsistencies with the General Plan were identified.

Recommendation: There are numerous circulation maintenance and improvement needs, which far exceed existing City resources. Substantial revenue enhancements for street maintenance and improvements should be explored. Routine paving and maintenance have been underfunded. Sidewalks could also benefit from additional maintenance, as well as addressing gaps in the sidewalk system. The improvement of Sebastopol Avenue and Gravenstein Highway South should be major long-term capital improvement objectives. Direction and support to staff in pursuit of federal and state, and other funding opportunities should continue.

Housing and Allocation

<u>Standard:</u> The updated General Plan establishes a residential development limit of 50 units per year. Certain types of residential development, such as second units, are exempt, while

affordable housing units and downtown units are not subject to the 50-unit annual limit, but do count towards the overall growth limit of 750 new units from 2017 to 2035. The General Plan allows for the carryover of the two (2) previous years' allocations.

<u>Present Situation:</u> The Growth Management Program is intended to preserve the small-town character of Sebastopol, and manage infrastructure limitations, such as sewage treatment capacity, water supply, and roadway constraints. The following table outlines dwelling unit allocations based on the 2016 General Plan.

Allocation: Availability Calendar 2021


Total Permit and Approval Activity During 2021	37
Permits issued for exempt units during 2021	37
Permits issued for exempt Category C units during 2021	0
Permits issued for exempt Category D units during 2021	0
Existing residential units annexed during 2021 (Category C)	0
Out-of-service-area agreements approved during 2021 (Category D)	0
Number of Units Removed	0
Permits issued for other non-exempt units during 2021	0
Non-exempt allocations reserved during 2021 for future use	0
Subtotal of Nonexempt Allocations Issued or Reserved in 2021	0
Base year dwelling unit allocations available on 1/1/2021	50
Total non-exempt allocations issued or reserved in 2021	0
Total Carryover Available from 2021	50
Total Carryover Available from 2020	50
New Base Year 2020 Allocations Available 1/1/2021	50
Total Allocations Available 1/1/2021	150

The following unit types are exempt from the annual limit per the Zoning Ordinance:

- Affordable Housing Units
- Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADU)
- Replacement Residential Structures
- Single-Family Residences (On Existing Lots of Record as of November 1994)
- Homeless Shelters
- Single Room Occupancy Residences
- Community Care/Healthcare Facilities
- Residential Units in the Central Core

In 2020 The Building Department issued the permit for the Barlow Townhome Project (formerly Davis Townhomes) for 18 units, but as they are in the Central Core Designation, they are exempt from the annual limit. The City has also issued eight (8) ADU permits and one (1) permit to convert an office to a single-family residence. All these permits are exempt from the annual limit.

In 2019, the City updated its ADU Ordinance again to bring it into compliance with new state laws. These updates focused on easing development standards and allowing ADUs on properties with existing multi-family dwellings (although no permits have been received for multi-family ADUs at this time). In 2021, there was a small decrease in the number of ADU permits being issued from 2020, and the City continues to receive interest in these types of units from property owners.



None of the City's allocations were used in 2020, leaving 100 to carry over to 2021. With the 50 allocations allotted for 2021 this leaves a total of 150 available allocations. At present there are 150 total allocations available for use in 2021.

There has been very low housing development activity in Sebastopol over the past few years, with zero (0) allocations for non-exempt units in 2019 and 2020. A maximum of 150 allocations are available for new, non-exempt Building Permits in 2020. Currently, the 18-unit townhome development known as the Davis Townhomes (now called Barlow Townhomes) is complete, and the Planning Department is processing three (3) formal housing applications, Huntley Square (10 units), Woodmark Apartments (84 units) and Habitat for Humanity.

Additionally, the County purchased the Sebastopol Inn through the Project Homekey program. While these units were not counted in the 2020 activity, they were completed in 2021 for renovation of the 31 units to permanent supportive housing (PSH) in the next couple of years, at which point they were counted towards the City's RHNA requirements (see next section).

Determination: The standard has been met.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Continue to monitor the use of Growth Management Allocations. A key limiting factor for residential development is wastewater treatment capacity. At this time, there is substantial remaining capacity.

Housing Activity Report

Policy H-1 of the 2015-2023 Housing Element requires the City to prepare an Annual Report that describes activities undertaken in support of the City's housing objectives. This section is intended to fulfill that objective. The City's Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) is a total of 120 housing units for the 2015-2023 Housing Element period.

Currently, the City is seven (7) years into the eight (8) year RHNA cycle. During this time, the City has produced a total of 123 units out of the goal of 120 units. As of the end of 2021, the City has therefore met its housing allocation (note, "extra" lower income units beyond the required RHNA can be counted towards higher income categories, so the 15 excess Very Low units can count towards the remaining 5 Low Income and 8 Above moderate remaining, and 1 excess Moderate unit can be counted towards the Above Moderate category). The City has therefore met/exceeded our RHNA requirement, and will have additional units permitted in 2022 that also count in this housing cycle. , and 8-above moderate (market rate) units, in order to be on track to meet the RHNA Allocation target for these two categories.

Sebastopol's Regional Housing Needs Allocation Progress (2015-2021)

Income Level		RHNA Allocation by Income Level	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total Units to Date (all years)	Total Remaining RHNA by Income Level
	Deed Restricted	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	37	
Very Low	Non-Deed Restricted	22	-	-	-	3	1	-	-	37	
	Deed Restricted	17	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	12	
Low	Non-Deed Restricted	''	-	-	-	4	3	2	-	12	5
	Deed Restricted	19	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	20	
Moderate	Non-Deed Restricted	19	2	6	6	4	-	-	-	20	-
Above Moderate		62	9	2	11	1	1	23	7	54	8
Total RHNA		120									
Total Units			12	8	19	12	5	27	40	123	13

The Planning Department began working on the update to the Housing Element for the next (sixth cycle) in September 2022. The RHNA for this cycle was finalized in December 2022, with Sebastopol's allocation as follows:

Sebastopol's Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) 2023-2031

	Very Low Income (VLI)	Low Income (LI)	Moderate Income (MI)	Above Moderate Income (AMI)	Total
RHNA Allocation	55	31	35	92	213

Planning Department

The Planning Department provides planning and environmental review assistance to the City Council, Planning Commission, Design Review Board, Public Arts Committee, Sebastopol residents, as well as the real estate, development, and construction industries.

The following page includes a history of formal applications were received in 2021:

Planning Department Permit Activity

Application Type	'12	'13	'14	'1 5	'16	'17	'18	'19	'20	′21
Use Permit	17	31	10	20	5	7	7	14	7	4
Design Review (DRB)	10	11	11	8	15	11	12	5	8	5
Design Review (Staff)	2	5	4	7	1	2	1	0	3	4
Variance	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	1
Tree Removal Permit	10	13	12	19	21	16	16	15	23	9
Administrative Sign Review	9	27	19	20	24	26	16	18	23	12
Preliminary Review	1	0	1	2	3	3	1	4	1	3
Annexation / Pre-Zone	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rezone / Text Amendment	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0
General Plan Amendment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minor Subdivision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Major Subdivision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Lot Line Adjust. / Lot Merger /	2	0	1	3	0	1	1	1	1	1
Cert. Of Compliance										
Environmental Review	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Appeal	2	2	1	2	4	0	1	1	0	0
ABC Transfer / Admin. Alcohol	-	-	7	3	5	5	6	9	2	5
UP										
Antenna Application	-	-	6	1	1	0	2	1	0	2
Temporary Use Permit	-	-	12	10	12	12	21	18	5	7
Zoning Determination		-	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
Village Building Convergence	-	-	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Administrative Permit Review	-	-	4	12	6	4	5	6	3	3
Administrative Permit Review,	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	6	5	2
Cannabis										
Time Extension	-	Χ	1	1	1	2	3	1	1*	1*
Film Permit	-	-	-	2	2	3	3	1	1	1
Public Art Review	-	-	-	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Façade Improvement	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	14	5	5
Park Project/Monument Review	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	0	0	0
Preapplication Conference	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	4	3
Adjustment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	0
Development Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	0

Tentative Map	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	1	0	0
SB35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Total number of Applications	53	91	93	126	106	95	105	121	95	72

^{&#}x27;-' means that the permit type was not specifically identified in previous LOS Reports.

The Planning Department is responsible for acting on 54 of the submitted applications administratively:

- Administrative Permit Review: 10
- Antenna Application: 2 (both Section 6409a applications, equipment replacement)
- Administrative Permit Review, Cannabis: 2
- ABC License Transfer / Alcohol Use Permit (<50 seats) / Shared Use: 5
- Design Review Permit: 3
- Film Permit: 1
- Administrative Sign Review: 12
- Temporary Use Permit: 7
- Tree Removal Permit: 9 (City Arborist level review)
- Façade Improvement: 0
- Lot Line Adjustment (Lot Merger): 0
- Preapplication Conference: 3
- Time Extension: Planning prepared, and Council authorized a blanket 1-year extension to all permits due to Covid in 2021.

The Public Art Committee acted on several items of interest to the City, either on its own initiative or at the request of City Council:

• Call for sculptures and Design of Ives Park Sculpture Garden

The Design Review/Tree Board heard or acted on 12 of the applications submitted in 2021:

- Design Review Permit: 3
- Sign Permit: 2
- Sign Exception: 0
- Tree Removal Permit: 1
- Preliminary Review: 1
- Façade Improvement: 5

The Planning Commission heard or acted on the following permits in 2021:

- Use Permit: 2
- Variance: 1
- Cannabis Conditional Use Permit: 1
- Preliminary Review: 1 (Habitat for Humanity project)
- Tentative Map, Planned Community Rezone, CEQA Initial Study (Huntley Square)

^{*} Council authorized a blanket 1-year extension to all permits due to Covid in 2020 and 2021.

The number of applications requiring Planning Commission review in 2021 was significantly fewer than past years, most likely due to the impacts of COVID, which delayed many projects. Staff anticipates many of these projects, particularly commercial, will pick up again in 2022 as the impacts of COVID diminish. However, this lull in development applications at the Planning Commission level allowed the Commission to engage in a number of longer-range projects.

The Planning Commission also acted on several long-range projects in 2021:

- Development Impact Fee update
- Affordable Housing Development discussion series
- Calder Creek Naturalization project
- Formed a "Ives Park Subcommittee" to work on both the Calder Creek Naturalization Project and other improvements in Ives Park with City staff, including Public Works.
- Local Hazard Mitigation Plan review and support
- Planning Commission composition ordinance
- Gas Station Ban Ordinance
- Climate Action Framework review
- Joint meeting with City Council to kick-off the Housing Element update, and continued input and review of housing element update progress

The City Council acted on the following permits and policies submitted in 2021:

- Use Permit: 1
- Subdivision and Initial Study: 1
- Approved revised location for Ned Kahn sculpture north of Highway 12 bridge
- Adoption of Development Impact Fee update
- Support for RV Village / Horizon Shine project

Environmental Issues

Reduction of greenhouse gases is a stated goal of the City of Sebastopol. As a responsible environmental steward, the City of Sebastopol is committed to policies and programs that conserve and use natural resources wisely. Since solar photovoltaic technology and equipment have become reasonably available, the City requires that new commercial or residential buildings, and specific alterations, additions and remodels require the installation of a photovoltaic energy generation system. In 2020, the City received 34 photovoltaic permits, of which 21 included battery backup systems. Altogether the private systems permitted in 2020 are estimated to produce 229.795 kilowatts.

The City has ten (10) solar installations, which in 2021 produced 289,453 kilowatt-hours, compared to 336,055 kilowatt-hours in 2020. This increase is in part due to the systems at Ives Pool and Public Works being entirely replaced last year under warranty. There were also additional panels added to the production during those projects. Based on an estimated average

cost per kilowatt-hour of \$0.45, this equates to a savings of \$130,254 for 2021, or an average of \$10,915 per month.

Throughout the City there are four (4) locations where there are electric vehicle charging stations on public or commercial property, located at Redwood Marketplace, CVS, the public parking lot across from the police station, and the public parking lot across from the Sebastopol Center for the Arts. Additionally, new parking lots with 10 or more spaces are required to provide electric vehicle charging stations.

The City of Sebastopol's projected future growth has led to concern over the City's sewage treatment capacity share in the Santa Rosa Subregional Sewerage System. At the same time, the City depends solely upon the underground water supply and wishes to conserve that finite resource. Therefore, the City requires that water saving devices can be, shall be, incorporated into all new construction, and in remodeling of existing kitchens and bathrooms, and that the use of such devices will help conserve water and preserve the City's sewage treatment capacity.

The City of Sebastopol's new Climate Action Committee initiated several projects in 2021:

- Assisted with application and award of a CivicSpark Fellow (Phoebe Goulden).
- Initiated Climate Action Framework project.
- Development of Gas Station Ordinance to ban new fossil fuel service stations and limit expansion of existing stations.
- Developed and held community events such as Compost give-aways for residents

The City of Sebastopol encourages sound land use that promotes proactive, forward-thinking environmental protection, it is considered a cornerstone of Sebastopol's identity. The City requires the implementation of policies and actions to provide for progressive, effective, and forward-thinking strategies to protect the natural environment and promote sustainability to the greatest extent feasible.

Other environmental protections of note within the updated General Plan:

- 1. Protect and Enhance Sebastopol's ecosystem and natural habitats.
- 2. Protect and Enhance water resources in local creeks, riparian habitat, wetlands, the Laguna De Santa Rosa Watershed, Atascadero Creek, and aquatic habitat.
- 3. Proactively manage, protect, and restore the Laguna De Santa Rosa.
- 4. Protect, manage, and enhance groundwater as a valuable and limited shared resource.
- 5. Conserve, protect and enhance trees and native vegetation.
- 6. Improve air quality in Sebastopol and reduce air quality impacts from future development.
- 7. Reduce emissions of greenhouse gasses from City operations and community sources
- 8. Promote conservation of energy and other natural resources.
- 9. Ensure the provision and preservation of divers and accessible open space throughout the City.

Future Issues

The LOS Report has identified a number of important issues which have been discussed and addressed in the new General Plan, along with a number of other issues. Ongoing and focused attention on conservation financial management, attention to the needs of essential City functions and services, realistic priority-setting, and promotion of economic development to strengthen the local economy are merited to ensure that core services and community assets can be maintained at an acceptable level.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

No public comments have been received as of the writing of this staff report.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

This item was noticed in accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and was available for public viewing and review at least 72 hours prior to scheduled meeting date.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no direct fiscal impact associated with the recommended action tonight.

Attachments:

- 1. Water Production and Usage/Wastewater Statistics 2021 (Includes Solar Data)
- 2. Ground Water Level Data 2021
- **3.** Fire Statistics 2021
- 4. Police Statistics 2021
- 5. General Plan Implementation Update (as of Oct 2022)

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL WATER PRODUCTION AND USAGE, AND WASTEWATER STATISTICS FOR ANNUAL LEVEL OF SERVICE REPORT CALENDAR YEAR 2021

This report is prepared annually by the Public Works Department, to accompany the Planning Department's Annual Level of Service Report.

The report includes statistics showing trends in water production, water consumption, and wastewater flows for the preceding ten years. Beginning in 2012, these annual reports also include information on groundwater levels in our City wells.

This portion of the report will summarize data obtained during 2021. Tables and Figures referenced in the summary are attached at the back of the document.

PART 1 - WATER PRODUCTION AND USAGE

Table 1 shows annual water production statistics for the past ten years, along with the ten-year average.

Total Annual Production from all wells decreased from 349 million gallons in 2020 to 309 million gallons in 2021, a decrease of about 11%. This year, California had an extremely dry year. Water demand remains significantly lower than when production peaked at 500 million gallons in 2004.

Population is reported by the State Department of Finance on January 1 of each year. The population figures used in this report match the DOF's most current population estimates, based on a 2020 benchmark. The population figure decreased by 2, from 7,522 (2020) to 7,520 as of January 1, 2021.

Overall **Per Capita Production** is a calculated average of all water produced divided by population. Per Capita Production decreased 8% from 123 gallons/person/day (2020) to 113 gallons/person/day in 2021.

Rainfall received during 2021 was 28.9 inches, below Mean Seasonal Precipitation for Sebastopol (35 inches per year).

Figure 1 shows some of this information in Graphic form.

Water Consumption

Water consumption by our residents and other users is tracked by monitoring billing records.


Table 2 shows the contribution of various classes of customers to total water sales in Sebastopol over the past 10 years. Figure 2 shows this information in graphic form.

The chart below shows the contribution of various classes of customers to total water sales in Sebastopol during Calendar Year 2021. The distribution of water usage between various classes has not changed appreciably over the past years.

Water Usage by Customer Class

Residential: Together, single-family and multi-family residential usage account for 666% of all water used in Sebastopol in 2021. Though *water produced* in 2021 for all uses averaged 113 gallons/person/day, actual billing records show that residential customers in Sebastopol use substantially less water on a per capita basis. Per capita *residential usage* was 68 gallons per day in 2021.





Commercial and Institutional: 22% of water sold in 2021 was to commercial and institutional customers (churches, schools, government buildings, etc.). Usage in this customer class increased 5%.

Irrigation: Irrigation meters are required for all new multi-family and commercial uses, government and institutional buildings and City parks. In 2021, separately metered irrigation usage was 25 million gallons. This represents about 9% of all water sold.

Corporation Yard Sales: The City maintains a potable water-filling stand at the Corporation Yard. Customers for water dispensed at the stand include private contractors and water haulers, and individuals. By far the vast majority of water sold at the Corporation Yard, over 80% is purchased by potable water haulers to provide potable water to rural-residential customers in County areas around Sebastopol. The remainder is sold to haulers for dust control on construction projects inside and out of the city. About 5% is purchased by individual self-haul customers for refilling of storage tanks, and for irrigation on rural properties. Historically, sales of water at the Corp Yard ranges from about 0.3% to a little over 2% of all water sold. In 2021, about 6.4 million gallons were sold from the Corp Yard stand, or about 2% of all water produced.

Future Water Demand

The estimated water demand from projects currently approved by the City but not yet constructed is 2.6 million gallons per year. This represents the equivalent of approximately .84% of total production in 2021. The water demand for projects pending approval is estimated at an additional 7.3 million gallons per year. This is equivalent to an additional 2.4% of 2021 annual production. Table 4 shows projects included in these calculations.

Groundwater Levels

Sebastopol is dependent on our municipal wells for water to supply our customers. During Fiscal Year 2021/22, the City budgeted funds to upgrade data-loggers (transducers) in all of our City wells. The City has retained the services of our consultants at PES to oversee the monitoring of ground water levels, maintain the monitoring equipment, supplement it with hand measurements when needed, and to prepare quarterly reports. The City received the 2021 report April 28, 2022. The report is attached and is on the Public Works Department web page.

Groundwater Management

California obtains between a third and half of its fresh drinking water from groundwater aquifers accumulated in subsurface basins formed by underlying geologic formations. It has long been recognized that the ability of these aquifers to continue to provide sustainable water supply is critical to the water needs of California as a whole. The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act became law in 2014 (known as SGMA, pronounced "sigma") with the final version of the accompanying regulations issued during 2017. SGMA sets goals for developing Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSP) for each basin in order to provide a framework to preserve, recharge, and nurture these groundwater basin aquifers.

SGMA requires that basins with elevated risk factors regarding recharge and sustainability must comply with SGMA by developing a GSP. Basins with low assessed risks do not have to establish a GSP. The GSP is to be developed and managed by a newly established Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). SGMA stipulates that GSA members must be local government entities, and either provide/supply water, or regulate water, or have land use responsibilities. The SRP was given a high enough risk assessment to require the GSA formation and GSP development.

There are three basins in Sonoma County that need to comply with the State's Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA): Santa Rosa Plain, Petaluma Valley, and Sonoma Valley, all of which must be managed locally. The Groundwater Sustainability Agencies were created in 2017. The county, cities, towns, and special districts have supported the SRGSA for the first five years. In total, local agencies have paid more than \$2 million to support the GSA, and this has been matched by state grants and technical assistance of about \$2.4 million.

When the GSA formed, the City of Sebastopol (City), an eligible member of the Santa Rosa Plain GSA according to SGMA, elected to participate in the formation of the SRGSA and serve on an advisory committee. At the time the JPA was being developed, only a small portion of the city was located within the Bulletin 118 boundaries of the Santa Rosa Plain groundwater subbasin, and a majority of the City (including all of its municipal wells) was located in the Bulletin 118 boundaries of the Wilson Grove Formation Highlands groundwater basin. In collaboration with the SRGSA, the City applied to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) for a jurisdictional modification to the Bulletin 118 boundary to incorporate the entirety of the city within the Santa Rosa Plain groundwater basin. DWR approved the jurisdictional boundary modification in February 2019. At the August 2019 meeting, the Board admitted the City to the SRGSA as a new member. Execution of the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement and satisfaction of financial obligation for membership have been completed by the City.

As of May 2022, the GSA is currently holding public workshops to discuss the existing fee structure and options for modifying the fee structure. The current fee, which the City currently pays, is \$19.90 per acrefoot of groundwater pumped annually. This is equivalent to \$9.95 per parcel annually for rural homeowners located within the GSA boundary. Since 2019, only municipal pumpers have paid the groundwater sustainability fee, and the County and Sonoma Water have provided contributions to the GSA to cover all other groundwater pumper fees while a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) was developed. The GSP, funded by a Prop 1 grant, was completed and submitted to DWR in January 2022.

The new fee level under consideration is \$35-50 acre-feet per year, which for rural homeowners would be \$18-\$25 per parcel annually.

PART 2 – WASTEWATER

Sebastopol maintains a sanitary sewer collection system and pumping stations that transfer wastewater from Sebastopol to the Sub-regional Water Reclamation System Treatment Plant operated by the City of Santa Rosa on Llano Road. As a partner in the Sub-regional system, Sebastopol has an entitlement to treatment capacity up to 840,000 gallons, or 0.84 million gallons per day (mgd) Average Daily Dry Weather Flow. Average Daily Dry Weather Flow (ADDWF) is computed using metered wastewater flows through the Morris Street Lift Station during the dry-weather months of each year (typically between May and September) with the lowest rainfall.

Average Daily Dry Weather Flow

Table 3, <u>Average Daily Dry Weather Flow at Morris Street Lift Station</u>, shows current and past years' ADDWF, Population, Percent of Treatment Capacity Used, Per Capita Sewer Flows and Annual Rainfall.

Figure 3 shows ADDWF, compared to Treatment Capacity Entitlement, annual rainfall and average rainfall in graphic form.

For 2021, Average Daily Dry Weather Flow (ADDWF) was 0.408 mgd, or about 49% of our treatment entitlement.

Sewer Flows, Project Commitments and Treatment Capacity

Sebastopol's ability to accommodate future development is limited by our entitlement in the Sub-regional Water Reclamation System. To estimate the treatment capacity available for future development, we calculate estimated flows from current project commitments. Table 4 provides information about estimated future water and sewer demand attributable to currently Approved Projects and Projects Pending in the planning process.

Projected sewer demand (ADDWF) for Approved Projects is 0.006 mgd. Projected sewer demand (ADDWF) for Applications Pending is 0.011 mgd.

Using these figures, we can compare current and future flows to treatment capacity as shown in the following table:

Wastewater Treatment Capacity Based on Current Year Statistics

	MGD	
Average Daily Dry Weather Flow, 2021 (Table 3)	0.408	
Treatment Capacity Reserve per General Plan (5% of entitlement)	0.042	
Estimated Flows from Approved Projects (Table 4)	+0.006	
Subtotal – Treatment Capacity Used, Reserved and Committed	0.456	

1400

Current Capacity Entitlement in Sub-regional Treatment System	0.840
Less Treatment Capacity Used, Reserved and Committed	-0.456
Remaining Treatment Capacity Available for future Growth	0.384
Less Treatment Capacity Demand from Pending Applications (Table 4)	-0.011
Remainder Available for New Projects	0.373

0.373 mgd represents approximately 45% of our total treatment capacity and would be equivalent to projected flows from 2,415 new single-family homes (assumes sewer flow from a typical single-family residential unit is 157 gpd).

PART 3 - MEETING OUR CONSERVATION GOALS

Water demand in any given year may vary due to a number of factors including weather patterns, the economy in general and rate increases. However, water usage is also affected by changing land use patterns, conservation efforts, rate increases and changes in the public attitude towards the need to conserve resources. Overall in 2021, water usage decreased by about 13% from the previous year of 2020.

PART 4 – SOLAR PANELS ENERGY PRODUCTION & ENERGY SAVINGS

The Corporation Yard and Ives Pool solar panels and inverters were replaced in March 2019 under a factory warranty litigation program at no cost to the city, and a replacement inverter is on order for the Police Department.

The City received a status report of solar installations and energy production and savings. The report and table are attached.

Attachments:

TABLES FOR ANNUAL LEVEL OF SERVICE REPORT FOR 2021

Table 1	Water Production
Figure 1	Water Production, Population and Rainfall (Graph)
Table 2	Water Sales by Customer Class
Figure 2	Water Sales by Customer Class (Graph)
Table 3	Average Daily Dry Weather Flows at Morris Street Lift Station
Figure 3	Average Daily Dry Weather Flow v. Treatment Capacity (Graph)
Table 4	Estimated Sewer and Water Demand from Future Development

SOLAR PANELS ENERGY PRODUCTION & ENERGY SAVINGS

Status Report of Solar Installations for the City of Sebastopol February 22, 2021–February 15, 2022

Table of Energy Production and Energy Savings

GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA TRANSMITTALS (PES Environmental, Inc.)

• 2021 Groundwater Level Data April 28, 2022

Table 1
Water Production

											10-YR
CALENDAR YEAR	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Average
Total Annual Production (mg)	367	376	333	296	304	333	328	323	349	309	332
Average Day (mg)	1	1	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.9
Population (State DOF, Jan 1)	7,405	7,445	7,440	7,507	7,527	7,579	7,786	7,885	7,745	7,520	
Average Production Per Capita Per											
Day (gallons)	136	138	123	108	111	120	115	112	123	113	120
Maximum Month (mg)	45	40	43	35	40	44	44	43	43	40	42
Maximum Day (mg)	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.3	1.4	2.1	1.5	1.4	2.2	2.0	1.7
Average Day in Maximum Month (mg)	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.8	1.3	1.4
Maximum Well Capacity* (gpm)	2,550	1,800	1,800	2,257	2,257	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300	
% Total Production to Max Production	27%	40%	35%	25%	26%	28%	27%	27%	29%	26%	
Amount of Water Billed (mg)	338	350	311	277	286	296	294	288	324	283	305
Un-metered Water Usage**(mg)	2.1	3	1.5	0	0	0.5	2	2	2.1	1.5	1
Total Reported Use	340.1	353	313	277	286.1	296.5	296	290	326.1	284.5	306
Unaccounted-for Water (mg)	26.9	23	20	19	17.9	36.5	32	33	22.9	24.5	26
Unaccounted-for Water % of Total											
Production	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	11%	10%	10%	7%	8%	8%
Rainfall (Inches)	43.3	11.83	38.63	15.01	41.98	46.23	27.85	50.52	11.1	28.9	32

^{*}Based on pumping capacity of active wells in operation during the calendar year.

^{**}Un-metered Water Usage is reported by Public Works (for testing of new mains, hydrant flushing, estimated losses from main breaks and leaks, Ives Pool, street sweeping and sewer maintenance activities) and by the Fire Department (for fire suppression, hydrant testing and training activities).

Figure 1
Water Production v. Rainfall and Population




Table 2 WATER SALES by CUSTOMER CLASS (In Million Gallons)

YEAR	Single Family Residential	Multi-Family Residential	Total Residential Water Sales	Commercial/ Institutional	Landscape/ Irrigation	Corp Yard	TOTAL WATER SALES ALL USES	Population per State Department of Finance	Residential Water Sold - Gallons per Person per Day	All Water Sold - Gallons per Person per Day
2012	188.8	47.1	235.9	71.5	28.5	2.1	338	7,405	87	125
2013	190.2	46.8	237	74.5	36.4	1.7	349.6	7,445	87	129
2014	166.9	42.5	209.4	66.2	30.5	3.1	309.2	7,440	77	115
2015	146.2	39.9	186.1	59.9	27.4	3.7	277.1	7,507	68	108
2016	141.8	42.9	184.7	70.6	26.6	4.2	286.1	7,527	67	111
2017	150.6	40.3	190.9	70.1	30.7	4.2	295.9	7,579	69	120
2018	154.9	40.2	195.1	65.6	28	5.8	294.5	7,786	69	104
2019	155.2	38.7	193.9	61.6	27.8	4.9	288.2	7,885	67	100
2020	173.8	52.2	226	56.3	34.0	7.2	323.5	7,745	80	114
2021	148.8	39.1	187.9	63.5	25.1	6.4	282.9	7,520	68	103

Figure 2
WATER SALES BY CUSTOMER CLASS




Table 3
Average Daily Dry Weather Flow at Morris Street Lift Station

Calendar Year	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Dry Weather Flow (MGD)										
May	0.489					0.468	0.435			0.425
June	0.467		0.438	0.41	0.463	0.455	0.406	0.415		0.408
July	0.465	0.467	0.428	0.404	0.438	0.426	0.399	0.394	0.399	0.396
August	0.47	0.461	0.43	0.419	0.443	0.445	0.427	0.405	0.399	0.402
September	0.48	0.467			0.449		0.409	0.404	0.402	
October		0.463							0.398	
Average Daily Dry Weather Flow (MGD)	0.474	0.464	0.432	0.411	0.448	0.448	0.415	0.404	0.400	0.408
Treatment Capacity Used	56%	55%	51%	49%	53%	53%	49%	48%	48%	49%
Population	7,405	7,445	7,440	7,507	7,527	7,579	7,786	7,885	7,745	7,520
Per Capita ADDWF(GPD)	64	60	58	55	60	60	53	51	52	54
Rainfall (Inches)	43.3	11.83	38.63	15.01	41.98	46.23	27.85	50.52	11.1	28.9

Figure 3
Average Daily Dry Weather Flow v. Wastewater Capacity and Rainfall




Table 4 ESTIMATED SEWER AND WATER DEMAND FROM FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

APPROVED PROJECTS	Street Address	Single Family Residential Units	Multi-Family Residential Units	Hotel Rooms	Office, Commercial, Industrial Square Feet
Mixed-Use	7631 Healdsburg Ave.	1	1		1592
House, Accessory Unit	7424 Calder Ave.	1	1		
Hotel Sebastopol	6828 Depot St.			66	65855
ADU	7325 Healdsburg Ave.		1		
TOTAL APPROVED		2	3	66	67447
PENDING PROJECTS				·	
Pendent Homes (Huntley Square)	7950 Bodega Ave.	10			
Woodmark Apartments	7716/7706 Bodega Ave.		84		
Habitat for Humanity	333 N Main St.	4			
Benedetti Tire (Carwash)	6809 Sebastopol Ave.				4295
TOTAL PENDING		14	84	0	4295

	Water	Sewer
Estimated Demand from Approved Projects	2.6 million gallons/year	0.006 million gallons/day
Estimated Demand from Pending Projects	7.3 million gallons/year	0.011 million gallons/day
TOTAL APPROVED AND PENDING	9.9 million gallons/year	0.017 million gallons/day



Status Report of Solar Installations for the City of Sebastopol

February 22, 2021 to February 15, 2022

Summary

On February 15, 2022 Solar Works inspected all ten solar installations owned by the City of Sebastopol. These include Well #4, Corporate Yard, City Hall, Youth Annex, Community Center, Morris Lift Station, Fire Station, Police Station, Garzot Building and Ives Pool. Of the 35 inverters installed all are performing as expected. (See details below).

Total Production and Energy Savings

The sum total production of the ten City-owned systems for this reporting period was 289,453 kilowatt-hours. Based on an estimated average cost per kilowatt-hour of \$0.45, this equates to a savings of \$130,254 for the period, or an average of \$10,915 per month.

Technical Issues and Needed Repairs

These inverters need service or repair. Please note that costs are estimates, presented here to give a scope of the costs. Actual costs will vary, depending on the final diagnosis and remedy.

Component	Problem	Recommendation	Estimated Cost
No Repairs needed.			
		Estimated Total:	

Recommendations

Malfunctioning inverters do not contribute to savings. It therefor makes sense to invest in the repairs, since the cost would be recouped in about a year. Some additional costs may be incurred to upgrade systems for compatibility with modern equipment, which cannot be determined at this time. These are expected to be relatively minor expenses, though. Please let us know if you'd like to proceed with repairs by calling our office at (707) 829-8282.



City Of Seb O&M						Date of	10/14/19		Date of	3/2/20		Date of	2/22/21	_{0.45} Age	n øæ iter Reading	n <u>Num</u> t	er 🛵
Site/Serial #	Inverter#	Inverter Make/Model	Gone?	Notes	E-Total At	Reading Days this	Production	Average	Reading Days this	Production	Average Daily	Reading Days this	Production	Average Daily	Reading Days this	Production	Average Daily
39	IIIverter#	iliverter iviake/ivioder	Service?	Notes	Start 5/14/19	period 153	Since Last 139,366	Dailv 911	period2 140	Since Last 57,662	Production 412	period3 357	Since Last 520,475	Production 1458	period3 358	Since Last 289,453	Production 809
Well #4			٥		5/14/19		ted Savings			ted Savings			ted Savings			ted Savings	
					400400		_	\$62,715			\$25,948		_	\$234,214			\$130,254
2000529268	1	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	129128	135769	6641	43.41	138734	2965	21.18	150675	11941	33.45	163206	12531	35.00
2000530455	2	SMA SB 7000 US		Production /Bad LCD hard to read, E-Total may not be right	116720	117289	569	3.72	119481	2192	15.66	128894	9413	26.37	139743	10849	30.30
2000529270	3	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	112087	113177	1090	7.12	114074	897	6.41	147620	33546	93.97	159762	12142	33.92
2000529298	4	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	105523	110055	4532	29.62	111991	1936	13.83	120327	8336	23.35	130419	10092	28.19
2000501286	5	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	123164	129510	6346	41.48	132302	2792	19.94	143576	11274	31.58	155340	11764	32.86
2000204770	6	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	104531	109209	4678	30.58	111094	1885	13.46	119157	8063	22.59	127940	8783	24.53
Corporate Yard																	
1354207958	1	SMA SB 2500	Gone	Dead/Needs replacement Replaced 2020								10156	10156	28.45			
1354207761	2	SMA SB 2500	Gone	Dead/Needs replacement Replaced 2020								6771	6771	18.97			
3006560522		SB6.0-1SP-US-41		NEW								6938	6938	19.43	13760	6822	19.06
2006600200		SMA SB 5000	Gone	Replaced -2020	29406	31907	2501	16.35	32856	949	6.78			na			
3006560603	3	SB6.0-1SP-US-41		NEW								11325	11325	31.72	22416	11091	30.98
SEB Fire																	
27120859	1	Fronius Symo 10.0		Production	21937	28358	6421	41.97	30832	2474	17.67	41184	10352	29.00	43985	2801	7.82
27203567	2	Fronius Symo 10.0		Production	23170	30040	6870	44.90	32995	2955	21.11	44651	11656	32.65	56634	11983	33.47
Garzot Building																	
2000391150	1	SunPower SPR-6000		Production	87267	91400	4133	27.01	92278	878	6.27	98748	6470	18.12	107094	8346	23.31
2000391133	2	SunPower SPR-6000		Production	53202	54878	1676	10.95	56267	1389	9.92	65320	9053	25.36	69692	4372	12.21
2000331902	3	SunPower SPR-4000		Production	51204	55782	4578	29.92	56966	1184	8.46	61694	4728	13.24	66833	5139	14.35
Ives Pool																	
New-1990074540	old inverter -31707	SMA SB 5000 TL-US-22	Gone	inverter gone-replaced		3126	3126	20.43	3720	594	4.24			na			
New-1990052579	old inverter -31102	SB6.0-1SP-US-41	00.10	Production /Inverter replaced by Aloha Solar		2086	2086	13.63	2086	554	4.2.4	9993	7907	22.15	20833	10840	30.28
New-1990079412	old inverter - 27312	SB6.0-1SP-US-41		Production Production		3720	3720	24.31	3720			13761	10041	28.13	24050	10289	28.74
New-1990106671	old lilverter - 27312	SB6.0-1SP-US-41				3720	3720	24.51	3720				5877				
		3B0.0-13P-03-41		Production								5877	58//	16.46	17224	11347	31.70
City Hall					440000		0.40			20.45							
2000204686	1	SMA SB 5000 US	-	Production	110623	110942	319	2.08	113189	2247	16.05	121713	8524	23.88	129841	8128	22.70
2000213749	2	SMA SB 6000 US		Production	74500	77891	3391	22.16	79353	1462	10.44	85320	5967	16.71	91021	5701	15.92
Police Station																	
2000252926	1	SMA SB 5000 US	ļ	Production	94327	98509	4182	27.33	99994	1485	10.61	109664	9670	27.09	113367	3703	10.34
2000242146	2	SMA SB 5000 US	ļ	Production	93623	97840	4217	27.56	99370	1530	10.93	106220	6850	19.19	113321	7101	19.84
2000252848	3	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	88648	92739	4091	26.74	94235	1496	10.69	100865	6630	18.57	107646	6781	18.94
2000252915	4	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	92733	96848	4115	26.90	98885	2037	14.55	106359	7474	20.94	114070	7711	21.54
2000252908	5	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	88787	92516	3729	24.37	94463	1947	13.91	100949	6486	18.17	107999	7050	19.69
2000217848		SMA SB 4000 US	Gone	Inverter Dead/Replaced	60086	no screen			no screen			na					
2000253288		SMA SB 5000 US	Gone	Inverter Dead/Replaced	118985	124163	5178	33.84	126720	2557	18.26	na	na	na			
3007107217	6	SB 7.7-1SP-US-41		NEW inverter replaces #6 by Solar Works								7884	7884	22.08	22339	14455	40.38
Youth Annex																	
2000575849	1	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	72645	76150	3505	22.91	77969	1819	12.99	84562	6593	18.47	91336	6774	18.92
2000575143	2	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	68213	71395	3182	20.80	73071	1676	11.97	79111	6040	16.92	85333	6222	17.38
Community Center																	
2000680187	1	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	105106	111052	5946	38.86	112843	1791	12.79	122487	9644	27.01	129537	7050	19.69
2000679626	2			Production	102860	108473	5613	36.69	110248	1775	12.68	114640	4392	12.30	120311	5671	15.84
2000679616	3	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	112589	118635	6046	39.52	120618	1983	14.16	127327	6709	18.79	132890	5563	15.54
2000680181	4	SB 7.0-1SP-US-41	Gone	Production - Low production on this might be from the Panels	91532	97480	5948	38.88	99402	1922	13.73	102210	2808	7.87			
3010810839	5	SB 7.0-1SP-US-42		Production								102210	102210		6145	6145	17.16
3010812552	6	SB 7.0-1SP-US-43		Production								102210	102210		6588	6588	18.40
2000679605	5	SMA SB 7000 US	Gone	NOT PRODUCING. Imax error	106413	107243	830	5.42	107879	636	4.54	102210	1675	4.69	0388	0388	10.40
	3	OHA 3D 7000 US	Gorie	NOT I NODUCING. IIIIAX EITOI	100413	101243	030	5.42	10/8/9	030	4.34	109554	10/5	4.69			
Morris Lift Station		CMA CD EDGG UG		Production	70500	00040	070-	04.60	0.445	40.40	40.00	0445	505	40.15	0005	74	40.00
2000297686	1	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	78503	82240	3737	24.42	84189	1949	13.92	91122	6933	19.42	98256	7134	19.93
2000301241	2	SMA SB 5000 US		Production	80760	84690	3930	25.69	86743	2053	14.66	94094	7351	20.59	101448	7354	20.54
	3	SMA SB 7000 US	1	Production	23936	30258	6322	41.32	32430	2172	15.51	42901	10471	29.33	53632	10731	29.97
2000668742 2000258097	4	SMA SB 7000 US		Production	92493	98611	6118	39.99	100646	2035	14.54	110753	10107	Aºdi		n Numb	



April 28, 2022

954.001.03.004

City of Sebastopol
Public Works Department
Attention: Dante Del Prete, Superintendent
714 Johnson Street
Sebastopol, California 95472

Re: Groundwater Level Data Transmittal January through December 2021 City of Sebastopol Sebastopol, California

Dear Mr. Del Prete:

This data transmittal has been prepared by PES Environmental, Inc., an NV5 Company (PES), on behalf of the City of Sebastopol (City) to summarize the results of the groundwater level monitoring program performed in 2021 (January through December). The following sections of this transmittal summarize the activities performed and data collected for the subject monitoring period.

GROUNDWATER LEVEL MONITORING PROGRAM

The activities performed for the monitoring period (January through December) included: (1) recording groundwater levels in five City production wells; (2) summarizing regional precipitation data; and (3) preparing groundwater level hydrographs.

Groundwater Level Measurements

Groundwater level data collected during the subject monitoring period (January through December 2021) included groundwater elevations from five City production wells: inactive municipal Well #5, and active municipal Wells #4, #6, #7, and #8. These wells were equipped with Solinst, Inc. (Solinst), electronic submersible "LT Edge Levellogger" absolute (i.e., un-vented) pressure transducers and data logger systems. Additionally, a Solinst "LT Edge Barologger" is installed within Well #4 to provide baseline data for barometric compensation. The pressure transducers/data loggers are programmed to record pressure-head measurements at 20-minute intervals. The pressure-head measurements were barometrically compensated and correlated to groundwater level measurements obtained manually using an electronic water level sounder.

Mr. Dante Del Prete April 28, 2022 Page 2 of 3

Due to malfunctions of the telemetry system at Wells #4 and #6, groundwater elevation data were not available for portions of the monitoring period (i.e., August 20th through October 20th for Well #4 and July 14th through October 20th for Well #6). Due to obsolescence of Solinst telemetry units and continued malfunctions/failures of the Solinst equipment, new water level monitoring systems were installed in the five City of Sebastopol wells on October 21, 2021. PES equipped the wells with In-Situ Inc., electronic submersible "LevelTroll®" vented water level pressure transducers and "VuLink®" cellular telemetry devices. The vented pressure transducers automatically compensate for barometric pressure changes. The VuLink® device installed at Well #8 ceased transmitting data on December 5, 2021 and was sent to the manufacturer for evaluation. In-Situ provided a new replacement unit, which will be installed at Well #8 following the City's pump replacement project. PES understands the pump replacement work is anticipated to be completed in June 2022.

Precipitation Data

Daily precipitation records maintained by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration are summarized on Table 1. The precipitation data (reported in total inches per day) were measured at the Sonoma County Airport, Santa Rosa, California (Station ID: USW00023213). As indicated in Table 1, a total of 30.00 inches of rain was recorded during the monitoring period.

Groundwater Level Hydrographs

Following conversion of the pressure-head measurements to depth-to-groundwater levels, groundwater level hydrographs were prepared for each of the five City wells (Plates 1 through 5). During the subject monitoring period (January through December), observed groundwater levels were generally stable with seasonal variations related to precipitation and associated groundwater recharge.

CLOSURE

PES appreciates the opportunity to be of service to the City and in providing assistance with the Groundwater Level Monitoring Program. Should you have any questions regarding this information, please call Pete Gorman at (415) 798-3029.

Yours very truly,

PES ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., AN NV5 COMPA)

Peter D. Gorman, P.G., C.HG.

Associate Hydrogeologist

Carl Michelsen, P.G., C.HG.

Principal Geochemist

cc: Reyna Ramirez - City of Sebastopol (paper copy)

Mr. Dante Del Prete April 28, 2022 Page 3 of 3

Attachments: Table 1 – Summary of Precipitation Totals

Plate 1 – Groundwater Level Hydrograph, Municipal Well #4 Plate 2 – Groundwater Level Hydrograph, Municipal Well #5 Plate 3 – Groundwater Level Hydrograph, Municipal Well #6 Plate 4 – Groundwater Level Hydrograph, Municipal Well #7 Plate 5 – Groundwater Level Hydrograph, Municipal Well #8

PES Environmental, Inc., an NV5 Company

TABLE

Summary of Precipitation Totals Sonoma County Airport Santa Rosa, California Table 1

PES Environmental, Inc., an NV5 Company

9 by Jan-2021 Feb-2021 May-2021 App-2021 July-2021 App-2021 App-2021 App-2021 App-2021 Nov-2021 Mov-2021 Dec-2021 Mov-2021 Dec-2021 Dec-2021 <t< th=""><th> Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Daily F</th><th>Daily Precipitation Totals (inches) for 2021</th><th>itals (inches) fo</th><th>r 2021</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Mar-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Jun-2021 Mar-2021						Daily F	Daily Precipitation Totals (inches) for 2021	itals (inches) fo	r 2021				
0.006 0.41	0.076 0.41	Day	Jan-2021	Feb-2021	Mar-2021	Apr-2021	May-2021	Jun-2021	Jul-2021	Aug-2021	Sep-2021	Oct-2021	Nov-2021	Dec-2021
0.07	0.07	-	90.0	0 41	•	ı	į	1					0	
0.02	0.02	2	0.07	0.18	•	,			ı	1	•	I	000	I
0.73 0.74 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	0.752	۳ ا	000	F	-		l	1	1	!	K i	1	1	1
0.44	0.14	, ,	0.02	- 1			I	!	1	1	1	ı	0.20	ı
0.049	0.04	4	£/0	1	ti.	ŀ	ı	!	1	1	ì	1	0.08	ŀ
0.19	0.074	2	1	1	0.25	-	ı	1	1	1	ŧ	ı	_	_
0.04	0.04	9	0.19	1	0.02	l	1	1	1	1	ı	1	0.02	0.03
017 0.14 1.06 0.03 0.40 1.06 1. 0.63 0.37 0.04 1.06 1. 1. 1. 0.04 0.04 1.06 0.03	0.17	7	0.04	1	1	ı	ŀ	ı	1	1	٠	;	: -	3
0.03 T 0.440 T 0.63 T 0.68 T 0.68 T 0.68 T 0.68 T <t< td=""><td> T 0.40 T 0.40</td><td>80</td><td>0.17</td><td>1</td><td>0,14</td><td>;</td><td>ı</td><td>ı</td><td>ı</td><td>1</td><td>ŧ</td><td>ı</td><td>106</td><td>0.03</td></t<>	T 0.40	80	0.17	1	0,14	;	ı	ı	ı	1	ŧ	ı	106	0.03
0.03 — 0.63 — — — 0.04 —	0.03	o e	f	-	0.40	ı	1	-	ŀ	1	-	ı	0.85	
T 0.63 L	The color of the	10	0,03	ī	0.37	ŀ	ı	ı	ļ	1	0.04	1	<u> </u>	
T T	T	-	1	0.63	1	1	ŀ	ľ	ı	ı	1	1		000
0.011	1.	12	-	: -	ij	1	ı	1	}	ı	1	ŧ	1	182
0.08 0.40 <th< td=""><td> </td><td>13</td><td>1</td><td>0.11</td><td>ŧ</td><td>ı</td><td>ı</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>ı</td><td>3 3</td><td>1 6</td></th<>		13	1	0.11	ŧ	ı	ı	1	1	1	4	ı	3 3	1 6
0.03 -		14	1	0.08	0.40	I		1	ı	ı	,	1		
<		15	ı	0.03	,	ı	ı	1	ı	1	0		8 8	7
<		16	ı	1	8	ŀ	;	1	ļ				ñ	5.0
	1	, ţ			() {			1	l	ı	ı	1		0.02
0,08 1,06 0,07 T 0,01 0,09 0,01 T 0,76 0,76 0,05 0,16 0,76 0,05 0,05 0,05 0,05 0,05 0,07 1 0,05 0,05 0,05 0,05	1.06	- !	ı	I		!	!	1	I	!	:	0.09	-	ï
- 0.09 0.01 - T - - 0.76 0.05 - - 0.16 - - - - - 0.70 - 0.70 - 0.17 - - - - - - 0.70 - 0.70 - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - - 0.09 - <td> 1</td> <td>2</td> <td>ı</td> <td>80.0</td> <td>1.06</td> <td>ı</td> <td>ı</td> <td>!</td> <td>ı</td> <td>ı</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>_</td> <td>0,01</td> <td>(1</td>	1	2	ı	80.0	1.06	ı	ı	!	ı	ı	0.07	_	0,01	(1
0.16 0.76 0.17 T T 0.75 0.17 T T 0.75 0.75 T T 0.75 0.75 T T 0.75 0.75 1 T 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09	1. 0.16	9	1	0.09	0.0	ı	F	1	ı	1	ł	0.76	0.05	ï
0.17 0.89 0.17 T T T 0.75 T T 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09	0.17	20	1	0.16	ī	ı	ı	1	ı	1	ı	0.70	ı	-
0.17 — — — — — — 0.75 — 0.08 — T — — — — 0.97 T 1.50 — — — — — — 6.09 T 1.50 — — — — — — 6.09 T 0.64 — — — — — — 0.04 — 0.16 — — — — — — 0.09 — 0.16 — — — — — — 0.09 — 0.16 — — — — — — — — 0.16 — — — — — — 0.09 — 0.16 — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0.17 — — — — — 0.75 — 0.08 — T — — — 0.97 T 1.50 — — — — — — 0.09 T 1.50 — — — — — — 0.09 T 0.64 — — — — — — 0.09 — 0.16 — — — — — — 0.09 — 0.16 — — — — — — 0.09 — 0.16 — — — — — — — — 0.16 — <th< td=""><td>21</td><td>1</td><td>ı</td><td>ı</td><td>ì</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>ı</td><td>⊢</td><td>1</td><td>0.89</td><td>1</td><td>0.33</td></th<>	21	1	ı	ı	ì	1	1	ı	⊢	1	0.89	1	0.33
T 0.037 T 1.50 0.026 0.64 0.09	1.50	22	0.17	ŀ	ı	ŀ	ı	⊢	ŀ	F	ı	0.75	ï	0.31
0.08 T T 6.09 T 1.50 0.08 0.26 0.64 0.09 0.09 0.16 0.09 0.16 0.09 0.16 0.09 0.16 0.16 -	1.50	23	î	1	ŀ	۲	ı	1	ļ	ı	ŀ	0.97	-	1.18
1.50	1.50 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	24	80'0	1	ı	F	1	I	1	1	ı	60.9	_	0.04
1.50 0.01 0.04 0.09 0.064 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1.50 0.01 0.04 0.09 0.064 T T	25	1	1	ı	90.0	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	0.26	1	0.61
0.64 0.04 T 0.16 na	0.64 0.04 T 0.16	26	1.50	1	1	0.01	ı	ı	ı	1	ŀ	0.09	t	0.29
0.16	0.16	27	90	ı	ı	4 ()	ł	1	i	:	0.04	1	1	0.36
na	na - na - 0.07 T	28	0.16	1	ı	1	ı		:	1	0	ŧ/	_	0.19
na 0.10 na na 3.89 1.77 2.65 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.93	0.03 na — na — na — 0.00 na 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.65 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.93	58	t	na	ı		1		1	1	1	į	-	0.14
0.03 na na na 3.89 1.77 2.65 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.93	0.03 na na 0.10 na 3.89 1.77 2.65 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.93 Total Descriptation (in include) for langual through pages 30.00	30	1	па	ı	Ę	1		ı	ļ	ř	0.07	_	ï
3.89 1.77 2.65 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.93	3.89 1.77 2.65 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 10.77 2.93 Total Descriptation (in inches) for language through Descriptation (in inches) for language through Descriptation (in inches) for language through the content of the language through the content of the language through the content of t	31	0.03	na	1	па	1	na		-		0.10	na	j.
	Total Precipitation (in inches) for lanuary through December 30.00	Total (inches)	3.89	1.77	2.65	0.09	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15	10.77	2.93	7.75

Notes:

Source of Data: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Preliminary Record of Climatological Observations for Sonoma County Airport - Cooperative Station Network (Station ID: USW00023213)

-- No measurable/reported precipitation T = Trace precipitation event na = Not Applicable

PES Environmental, Inc., an NV5 Company

PLATES




Plate 1 Groundwater Level Hydrograph - Well #4 City of Sebastopol Municipal Wellfield Sebastopol, California

9,0

5,5

5,0

Plate 2
Groundwater Level Hydrograph - Well #5
City of Sebastopol Municipal Wellfield
Sebastopol, California teles 121/8 ien, 200.0 0.0 20.0 0.09 120.0 180.0 100.0

Depth to Groundwater (feet below top of casing)

Precipitation (inches per day)

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

9


0.5

0.0

3.5

Agenda Item Number 7 City Council Meeting Packet of October 4, 2022 Page 50 of 60

Plate 3
Groundwater Level Hydrograph - Well #6
City of Sebastopol Municipal Wellfield
Sebastopol, California



---- Daily Precipitation Total

—◆— Well #7 Groundwater Level

0.0

0.5

6.5

6,0

5.5

5.0

12/62/9 Plate 4
Groundwater Level Hydrograph - Well #7
City of Sebastopol Municipal Wellfield
Sebastopol, California HOSH 1200/8 Lelvers. 12/15 121/8 ten, 20.0 80.0 100.0 200.0 40.0 60.0 120.0 180.0

Depth to Groundwater (feet below top of casing)

Precipitation (inches per day)

3.0


20

1.5

0,1

0.4

Agenda Item Number 7 City Council Meeting Packet of October 4, 2022 Page 52 of 60







City of Sebastopol

FIRE DEPARTMENT

7425 Bodega Ave. Sebastopol, CA 95472 707 823-8061 Fax 823-4703

Bill Braga

Fire Chief

SEBASTOPOL FIRE DEPARTMENT 2021 ANNUAL RECAP INCIDENTS

- Emergency Calls for Service:
 - Year-to-Date = 1269
 - Types:
 - Medical/Vehicle 689 (54%)
 - Public Service 77 (6%)
 - Fire Alarms 74 (6%)
 - Hazmat/Spills 67 (5%)
 - Good Intent 315 (25%)
 - Fires/Misc 47 (4%)



City of Sebastopol

FIRE DEPARTMENT 7425 Bodega Ave. Sebastopol, CA 95472 707 823-8061 Fax 823-4703



Bill Braga Fire Chief

March 8, 2022

2021 Level of Service Report Sebastopol Fire Department

5. Fire

Standard: Per National Fire Protection Agency (NFPA) 1720, Standard for Volunteer Firefighters, volunteer staffed fire departments, shall have a maximum response time of 9 minutes and assemble 15 firefighters on the scene of structure fires 90% of the time.

<u>Present Situation</u>: The average response time over the last 4 years is 5:30 minutes for 80% of calls, and 6:30 minutes for 100%. We currently have a volunteer staff of 28 members. 24 active members and 4 reserve members. We continue to recruit new firefighters. This is an area of major concern with increased response times and increased call volume. We offer additional paid fire shifts, Monday through Friday, where the highest percentage of Emergency Calls for Service happen during weekdays, 7am-7pm. We continue to offer paid shifts for every weekend, including holidays. We are starting to hire full-time firefighters to support the increased daytime emergency calls for service and response times. A full-time Fire Engineer was hired in June 2020.

The Sebastopol Fire Department responded to 1269 calls for service in 2021. Calls for service in 2020 were 1164, 2019 had 1306, and 2018 had 1190. Our four-year average is 1232 calls for service. Over 60% of our calls for service continue to be medical related. We continue to see increased calls for service on an annual basis. There was a reduction in calls for 2020 due to the start of the COVID-19 Pandemic.

The City currently has a fire protection rating from the Insurance Services Office (ISO), of Class 3. Only 5.0% of the fire departments in the nation have a Class 3 or better rating, which speaks to the outstanding level of service provided by the City's volunteer and professional fire staff. As a volunteer fire department, an ISO Rating of 3 is the lowest and best rating possible to achieve and maintain.

Capital Equipment needs are a continuing concern. A new Type 3 Wildland Fire Engine will be ordered this Spring to replace an aging 1994 fire engine. A new Type 1 Structure Fire Engine will be placed in next year's FY2023-2024 Fire Budget. Fire Inspection and

Prevention Programs and Public Emergency Planning are two other areas where services could be enhanced. Another ongoing issue for the Fire Department is the difficulty of recruiting new volunteer firefighters as the community demographics change to an older population with fewer young families, and particularly in light of the high housing costs, which inhibits younger individuals and families from moving into the City.

We reduced the hiring age from 21 to 18, and have opened up the boundaries of either living near or working in the city. This change has benefited the department, as younger volunteers and those that do not live in the City have been added to our roster.

The City should continue to offer incentives for citizens to volunteer as firefighting staff and to retain those already volunteering. Since 2005, the department has provided a modest monetary benefit program to the volunteer firefighters based on their number of emergency responses. This program has increased the average number of firefighters per call by 25%. The program, SAFER (Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response), is 100% funded by FEMA and the Department of Homeland Security. Unfortunately, the FEMA SAFER Grant ended in 2015. I have once again adjusted this year's budget to continue this very important incentive for the volunteers. Traffic conditions and congestion also have an impact on response times. The Fire Department is continuing to look for ways to lessen the number of callouts to false alarms and unwarranted requests for calls for service. We continue to add a False Alarm or "Nuisance" call to our User Fee Schedule of \$1450.00 per incident in hopes that this would reduce the number of false alarms from businesses that have historically been repeat offenders. This has worked over the years. We estimated approximately 50 calls were eliminated based upon this new fee schedule for false alarms when it was adopted.

The new General Plan adopted the National Response Standard, as stated by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). The NFPA adopted Standard 1720, Standard for Volunteer Firefighters. This standard stipulates that volunteer staffed fire departments, serving an urban area (1,000 + persons per sq. mile), shall have a maximum response time of 9 minutes and assemble 15 firefighters on the scene of structure fires 90% of the time. The department assembled an average of 18 staff on fires 90% of the time, and was under 9 minutes, as stated in NFPA 1720. The 18 staff members assembled resulted from 10 Sebastopol Staff and 8 Automatic Mutual Aid Staff from Graton and Gold Ridge Fire Protection Districts. The Sebastopol Fire Department is still within compliance to the new NFPA Standard.

<u>**Determination**</u>: Response time and assembled firefighters are in Compliance and Standard has been met.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Response times are a critical metric within volunteer staffed fire departments. We have offered additional paid fire shifts to reduce our response times, and are working very closely with the City Council Budget Committee to budget for additional paid staff. We will continue to monitor this metric and make the necessary recommendations as needed.

Respectfully submitted,

Bill Braga, Fire Chief, Director of Emergency Services

Police Services

<u>Standard:</u> The General Plan requires a response time of three (3) minutes for 70 percent of calls.

<u>Present Situation:</u> The Sebastopol Police Department (SPD) consists of 14 full-time sworn officers, which includes the Police Chief, Police Lieutenant, four (4) Police Sergeants, and eight (8) Police Officers. The Police Department has seven (7) non-sworn support staff, which included a Police Records and Support Services Manager, five (5) Communication Dispatchers, and a Police Technician to conduct parking and animal control functions and assist with fingerprinting services. The Department also has four (4) Reserve Police Officers, and five (5) Community Service Volunteers.

SPD handled 11,137 incidents in 2021 – an average of 30 per day, of which 666 were categorized as Priority 1 (emergencies) – an average of 1.82 per day. The average response for all Priority 1 calls in 2021 was 4:52 minutes, from the time of dispatch to the time of arrival of officers at the scene of the emergency. The average time for the Communications Dispatcher to answer an emergency call for service, gather required information from the caller, and dispatch necessary resources to the scene was 1 minute 35 seconds.

During 2021, SPD officers documented 893 cases that required either a crime report, arrest report, or information report (an average of 2.45 investigative reports each day of the year.) In addition to those reports, officers issued 179 traffic citations, 185 criminal citations (for non-bookable misdemeanors or Municipal Code violations), and 690 parking citations.

Officers made 65 felony arrests (16-Property Crimes, 10-Crimes Against Persons, 24-Drug Crimes, 15-Warrant/Probation Violation/Parole Violation/Felony Evading), 244 misdemeanor arrests, and 31 arrests for people driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs in 2021.

During 2021, the PD faced two significant issues which affected our level of service. The biggest issue the PD faced, as with the rest of the City, was the COVID-19 pandemic. Much of the above statistical data is a direct reflection of stay-at-home orders, practicing safety protocols, and ensuring not only community safety, but safety with staff members limiting as much contact as possible with our community.

The Police Department also encountered interim and changing department leadership along with staffing issues throughout the year which resulted in operating at 60-75 percent of staffing a majority of the year. Available staffing levels were impacted because of vacant positions, work injuries, COVID exposures and quarantines, FMLA absences, and vacation and sick leaves.

Due to the aforementioned challenges, response times in 2021 slightly exceeded the standard set by the General Plan as follows:

Average response time Priority 1 calls: 3:18 minutes Average response time Priority 2 calls: 3:52 minutes

Determination: The standard was not met for Priority 1 calls for service.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Though there are calls for service that require a timely response, there are many calls for service that are more effectively handled when police take their time to respond and critically assess situations. When we place desired time frames to respond to calls for service, we prioritize the quick response over the necessity of critically assessing situations through the process of slower, more methodical responses. As a result, it is recommended that the response time requirement be removed from the General Plan and state the following: "The General Plan requires a response to calls for service be done with a critical, well-thought, and meaningful assessment of the situation as the priority. Though a timely response is an expectation, a timely response does not take priority over a more methodical, critical response that may result in a more positive outcome."

						1			
DATE	ADDRESS	OWNER	CONTRACTOR	KILOWATTS	DATE	ADDRESS	OWNER	CONTRACTOR	Generator or Energy Storage
	7415 Shaun Ct	Monica Crocker	Michael & Sun	8.25					
	955 McFarlane Ave	Gail Brownell	Synergy	4.48		7400 Blossomwood Ave	Steph Cohen	J & J Elec/Vital Energy	Generator
3/30/2021	1041 McFarlane Ave	Owne Boom	Synergy	2.88		7415 Shaun Ct	Monica Crocker	Michael & Sun	Energery Storage
4/6/2021	471 Winding Wood Wy	Jordan Hogan	Norcal Home	4.69	4/19/2021	419 Florene Ave	Seth Hanley	Synergy	Energery Storage
	419 Florene Ave	Seth Hanley	Synergy	5.12		955 McFarlane Ave	Gail Brownell	Synergy	Energery Storage
	432 Florence Ave	Simon Lowings	Citadel RS	4.75		440 High St	Sunny Galbraith	Applied Building Science	Energery Storage
	369 Taft St	Martha Doyle	Sunrun	6.825	6/2/2021	8076 Washington	Will Cunningham	Tesla	Energery Storage
5/25/2021	440 High St	Sunny Galbraith	Applied Building Science	4.3	7/1/2021	7151 Gwendolyn	David Shearn	SonoMarin Solar	Energery Storage
5/27/2021	709 First St	Lon Chapman	Nexus Solar	5.78		730 Ellis Ct	kevin Meutsch	o/b	Generator
	245 Dutton Ave	Eric Newman	Vivant Solar	4.225		728 Western Ave	Sara Winge	Don Barch/Energy Solar	Energery Storage
5/27/2021	7111 Gwendolyn Pl	Geral Etchingham	Northern Pacific Power	8.84		709 First St	Lon Chapman	Nexus Solar	Energery Storage
6/8/2021	980 Maytum Ave	Peter Fiesel	First Response	7.98	8/18/2021	8041 Hill Dr	Jim Joyce	Synergy	Energery Storage
6/2/2021	8076 Washington	Will Cunningham	Tesla	8.16		7766 Brookside	Glen Bueltemen	J & J Elec/Vital Energy	Generator
6/9/2021	103 Morris St	Anthony Koblenz	Solar Works	24.9	8/31/2021	7640 Meadow Ct	Austin Castaldi	Swell Svcs	Energery Storage
6/17/2021	455 Eileen Dr	Sara Marney	Vivant Solar	6.5	9/16/2021	465 Vine Ave	Steve Weinberg	Taylor Energy	Energery Storage
6/28/2021	471 High St	Ian Hoff	V3 Electric	4.55	9/16/2021	270 Jesse St	Brian Percell	Swell Services	Energery Storage
7/1/2021	7151 Gwendolyn	David Shearn	SonoMarin Solar	2.25	9/30/2021	7408 Walnut Ln	Adam Barta	Tesla Energy	Energery Storage
7/1/2021	7935 Covert Lane	Michael Martin	Sunrun	2.88	11/2/2021	1251 Beattie Ln	Gail Sullivan	Bellows Plumbing	Generator
7/1/2021	225 Golden Ridge	Rodney Huls	Norhern Pacific Power	10.88	11/16/2021	7775 Healdsburg Ave	Earthtone	Thor Elec	Generator
7/21/2021	728 Western Ave	Sara Winge	Don Barch/Energy Solar	4.9					
5/27/2021	447 Ragle Road	Jason Pallo	Suntegrity Solar	6.605					
8/3/2021	864 1st St	David Bolt	Taylor Energy	4.76					
8/16/2021	409 Eileen Dr	Lindsay Kvam	Michael & Sun	5.55					
8/18/2021	8041 Hill Dr	Jim Joyce	Synergy	4.14					
9/1/2021	1198 McFarlane	Fred Dumas	Freedom Forever	2.8					
9/13/2021	986 Lillian Way	Alexa Pagonas	Taylor Energy	7.48					
9/13/2021	724 Robinson Rd	Steven Levenberg	Synergy	6.9					
9/14/2021	420 Johnson St	Brian Gilbert	Michael & Sun	4.94					
9/16/2021	465 Vine Ave	Steve Weinberg	Taylor Energy	8.16					
9/16/2021	660 Gravenstein N	Doug Bishop/Seb Hardw	a Solar Works	46.35					
9/16/2021	270 Jesse St	Brian Percell	Swell Services	13.5					
	8109 Hansen Ln	Joan Evans	Northern Pacific Power	5.7					
9/30/2021	7525 Dowd Dr	Bruce Bragonier	Freedom Forever	4.55					
10/7/2021	349 Jesse St	mary Killian	Solar Works	3.8					
	7572 Meadowlark Dr	Lucio Hernandez	Freedom Forever	5.7					
		Zach Rasmusin	Michael & Sun	1.9					
	7408 Walnut Ln	Adam Barta	Sky Country	6.4					
	7510 Dowd Dr	Gene Bonino	Nexus Solar	2.38					
12/28/2021	8106 Hansen Ln	Renee Johnson	Turnkey Solar	9.25					

2021-001	Design Review		
2021-002	Façade Improvement Program		
2021-003	Façade Improvement Program		
2021-004	Admin Permit Review (Alcohol)		
2021-005	Design Review, Admin Review		
2021-006	Preapplication Conference		
2021-007	Preapplication Conference		
2021-008	Preliminary Review		
2021-009	Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-010	Design Review	SB35	
2021-010	Use Permit	SB35	
2021-011	Tree Removal, City Arborist		
2021-012	Admin Permit Review (Hosted Rental)		
2021-013	Admin Permit Review (Hosted Rental)		
2021-014	Tree Removal, City Arborist		
2021-015	Preliminary Review		
2021-016	Tree Removal, City Arborist		
2021-017	Sign Review, Admin		
2021-018	Film Permit		
2021-019	Tree Removal, City Arborist		
2021-020	Sign Review, Admin		
2021-021	Admin Permit Review (Alcohol)		
2021-022	Zoning Determination		
2021-023	Façade Improvement Program		
2021-024	Tree Removal, City Arborist		
2021-025	Façade Improvement Program		
2021-026	Design Review		
2021-027	Sign Review, Banner		
2021-028	Design Review	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-028	Tree Removal, Tree Board	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-029	Tree Removal, City Arborist	•	
2021-030	Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-031	Sign Review, Admin		
2021-032	Design Review		
2021-033	Sign Review, Banner		
2021-034	Rezoning, Environmental Review, Text Amendm	ent Tentative Man	
2021-035	Use Permit	ienc, remaure map	
2021-036	Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-037	Tree Removal, City Arborist		
2021-038	Admin Permit Review (Cannabis)		
2021-039	Admin Permit Review (Alcohol)		
2021-040	Sign Review, Board/Council		
2021-041	Design Review		
2021-042	Sign Review (Board) & Variance		
2021-042	Preliminary Review		
2021-043	Sign Review, Admin		
2021-044			
	Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-046	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-047	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program		
2021-047 2021-048	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol)		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin	Multiple Entitlements Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site)		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Antenna Permit (Existing Site)		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-057	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site)	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-057 2021-058	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Admin Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental)		
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-057 2021-057 2021-058 2021-058	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Faqade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Faqade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-057 2021-058 2021-058 2021-059 2021-059 2021-060	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-055 2021-056 2021-057 2021-059 2021-059 2021-059 2021-059 2021-060 2021-060	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol)	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-052 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-056 2021-057 2021-058 2021-059 2021-060 2021-060 2021-060 2021-062	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Faqade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Isque Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-057 2021-057 2021-059 2021-060 2021-061 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-063	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Treapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-052 2021-055 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-059 2021-060 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-063 2021-063 2021-064	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Rerewow, Admin	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-051 2021-052 2021-054 2021-055 2021-055 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-060 2021-060 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-063 2021-064 2021-064 2021-064	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Pesign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental)	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-052 2021-054 2021-055 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-059 2021-060 2021-061 2021-062 2021-062 2021-063 2021-064 2021-065 2021-065 2021-065 2021-065	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Treapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental)	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-052 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-059 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-064 2021-065 2021-066 2021-066 2021-066	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist Tree Removal, City Arborist	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-059 2021-061 2021-061 2021-062 2021-064 2021-064 2021-064 2021-064 2021-064 2021-066 2021-067 2021-066 2021-067 2021-067 2021-067 2021-068	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Pesign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-051 2021-052 2021-054 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-064 2021-065 2021-066 2021-066 2021-066 2021-066 2021-067 2021-068 2021-068 2021-068 2021-068 2021-068	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist ABC Transfer (Cannabis)	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-059 2021-060 2021-062 2021-062 2021-063 2021-063 2021-064 2021-065 2021-066 2021-066 2021-069 2021-069 2021-069 2021-069	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist ABC Transfer (Cannabis) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Cannabis)	Multiple Entitlements	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-051 2021-052 2021-054 2021-054 2021-055 2021-056 2021-059 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-062 2021-064 2021-065 2021-066 2021-066 2021-066 2021-066 2021-067 2021-068 2021-068 2021-068 2021-068 2021-068	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Façade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Façade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Review Sign Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Exisiting Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Use Permit Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist AdC Transfer (Cannabis) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Cannabis) Admin Permit Review (Cannabis)	Multiple Entitlements Withdrawn	
2021-047 2021-048 2021-049 2021-050 2021-050 2021-051 2021-052 2021-053 2021-053 2021-053 2021-054 2021-055 2021-059 2021-059 2021-059 2021-059 2021-059 2021-060 2021-062 2021-062 2021-063 2021-065 2021-066 2021-066 2021-069 2021-069 2021-069 2021-069	Use Permit, Temporary Use Permit, Temporary Fagade Improvement Program Admin Permit Review (Alcohol) Sign Review, Admin Fagade Improvement Program Sign Review, Admin Use Permit, Temporary Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Use Permit, Temporary Design Review, Admin Antenna Permit (Existing Site) Preapplication Conference Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Sign Review, Admin Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Nonhosted Rental) Tree Removal, City Arborist ABC Transfer (Cannabis) Sign Review, Admin Admin Permit Review (Cannabis)	Multiple Entitlements Withdrawn	

Permit #	Date Submitted	Date Approved	Date Issued I	Finaled	Address	APN	Owner	Contractor	Type	Valuation	Sq Ft	Attached/De	tached Conversio	New
210411	3/10/2021	4/8/2021	4/12/2021	11/22/2021	1026 First St	004-340-035	Gregchen Erdmann	Santos	ADU	\$260,000.00	924	Detached	N	lew
210631	6/7/2021	6/16/2021	6/22/2021		241 Florence Ave	004-254-013	Helen Nicholas	o/b	ADU	\$32,000.00	420	Detached	Conversion	
210719	6/21/2021	7/13/2021	7/14/2021		836 McFarlane Ave	004-560-035	Ghislaine Gery	o/b	ADU	\$49,000.00	460	Detached	Conversion	
211201	8/9/1966	8/9/1966	12/1/2021 9/6/19	966 & 12-6-21	483 Ragle Rd	004-510-087	Jordan Burns	o/b	ADU			Detached	N	lew
211218	3/18/2019	3/10/2021	12/15/2021		7095 Fellers Ln	004-124-001	Jay Hanson	Medrano's Co	SFD & ADU	\$700,000.00 2	656 & 624	Attached	N	lew
211226	12/16/2019	9/16/2020	12/28/2021		469 Fore Way	004-031-005	Bruce Corson	o/b	ADU	\$150,000.00	684	Detached	N	lew

6665 Sebastopol 6751 Sebastopol

2 RV pads 31 room hotel converted